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#### Abstract

In this note we prove the conjecture of [6] that every bipartite multigraph with integer edge delays admits an edge colouring with $d+1$ colours in the special case where $d=3$. A connection to the Brualdi-Ryser-Stein conjecture is discussed.


## 1 Introduction

Motivated by scheduling issues in optical networks, Wilfong Haxell and Winkler [6] made the following elegant combinatorial conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. Let $G$ be a bipartite multigraph with partition classes $A, B$ and maximum degree $d$. Suppose that each edge $e$ is associated with an integer 'delay' $r(e)$. Then $G$ admits an edge-colouring $f: E(G) \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, d\}$ such that $f$ is proper on $A$ and $f+r(\bmod d+1)$ is proper on $B$.

See also [2]. When the graph consists of just two vertices joined by $d$ parallel edges, this is implied by a theorem of Hall [5] as noted in [6. This fact has been dubbed 'the fundamental theorem of juggling' (think of the two vertices as the two hands of a juggler juggling $d$ balls).

More generally, one can consider the case where the edges impose an arbitrary distortion of the colours, given by a permutation $r$ of the set of colours, rather than 'delaying' the colour by a constant:
Problem 1.2. Let $G$ be a bipartite multigraph with partition classes $A, B$ and maximum degree $d$. Suppose that each edge $e$ is associated with a permutation $r_{e}$ of the set $\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$. Then $G$ admits a proper distortion-colouring with colours $\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$ (definitions in the next section).

It turns out that in this generality the problem becomes much harder than its special case in Conjecture 1.1] as noticed by N. Alon (private communication), the special case of Problem 1.2 where each class $A, B$ cosists of just one vertex is equivalent to the following conjecture of [1], which is a strengthening of a well-known conjecture of Brualdi and Stein on transversals in Latin squares.
Conjecture 1.3 ([1, Conjecture 2.4]). Let $H$ be a 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph, with partition classes $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}$ such that $\left|V_{2}\right|=\left|V_{3}\right|=\left|V_{1}\right|+1$. Suppose

[^0]that for every $x \in V_{1}$, the set of hyperedges containing $x$ induces a perfect matching of $V_{2} \cup V_{3}$. Then $V_{1}$ is matchable.

Here, $V_{1}$ being matchable means that there is a matching in $H$ containing all vertices in $V_{1}$.

Indeed, to see the equivalence, represent each edge in Problem 1.2 by a vertex in $V_{1}$, and let $V_{2}, V_{3}$ be sets of size $d+1=\left|V_{1}+1\right|$, to be thought of as the colour on the left endvertex and the colour on the right endvertex respectively.

Conjecture 1.3 strengthens the following well-known conjecture, made independently by Brualdi [3] and Stein [8]

Conjecture 1.4 (Brualdi-Stein). In every $n \times n$ Latin square there exists a transversal of size $n-1$.

An $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a Latin square, if no two entries in the same row or in the same column are equal. A transversal is a set of entries, each in a different row and in a different column, and each containing a different symbol. (For odd $n$, Ryser [7] conjectured that there is even a transversal of size $n$.)

To see that Conjecture 1.3 implies Conjecture 1.4 construct a 3-partite hypergraph $H$ with $V_{1}$ being the set of rows of that Latin square $L, V_{2}$ the set of columns, and $V_{3}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and for each entry of $L$ introduce an edge containing the three corresponding vertices of $H$. Then delete an arbitrary vertex in $V_{1}$ with all edges containing it to obtain the setup of Conjecture 1.3 ,

The aim of this note is to prove that Problem 1.2 has a positive answer for $d=3$.

## 2 Definitions

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a bipartite multigraph of maximum degree $d$ with bipartition $\{A, B\}$. Let $C o l:=\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$ be the set of colours, and suppose that every edge $e \in E$ is associated with a bijection $r_{e}$ on Col, called the distortion of $e$; intuitively, we are going to colour $e$ at its $A$ end and the colour will be distorted by $r_{e}$ when seen from $B$ (in [6] $r_{e}$ was addition, $\bmod d+1$, with the 'delay' of $e)$. If $a, b$ is the endvertex of $e$ in $A, B$ respectively, then we use the notation $b a(\cdot)$ to denote $r_{e}(\cdot)$ and $a b(\cdot)$ to denote $r_{e}^{-1}(\cdot)$.

A $k$-colouring of a set $E$ (of edges) is a function $f: E \rightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$. A $k$-colouring $f$ of the edges of a multigraph $G$ as above is called a proper distortion-colouring (with respect to the permutations $r_{e}$ ), if for every $a \in A$ we have $f(e) \neq f(g)$ for every two edges $e, g$ incident with $a$ and for every $b \in B$ we have $r_{e}(f(e)) \neq r_{g}(f(g))$ for every two edges $e, g$ incident with $b$.

## 3 Main

Theorem 3.1. For every bipartite multigraph $G$ of maximum degree 3, and any edge distortions, there is a proper distortion-colouring of $E(G)$ with 4 colours 0,1,2,3.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that every vertex of $G$ has degree precisely 3 , for otherwise we can add some dummy edges to make $G$ cubic.

It is well known that the edges of a regular bipartite multigraph can be decomposed into disjoint perfect matchings [4, Corollary 2.1.3]. So let $M, M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}$ be perfect matchings of $G$ with $M \cup M^{\prime} \cup M^{\prime \prime}=E(G)$. Then $M^{\prime} \cup M^{\prime \prime}$ is a 2-factor, and it can be decomposed into a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of edge disjoint cycles.

Let $\{A, B\}$ be the bipartition of $V(G)$. We are going to let each element of $\mathcal{C}$ choose the colours of the edges of $M$ incident with its $A$ side. More precisely, given a $C \in \mathcal{C}$, let $M_{C \cap A}$ denote the set of edges in $M$ incident with $C \cap A$, and let $M_{C \cap B}$ denote the set of edges in $M \backslash M_{C \cap A}$ incident with $B$. We are going to prove that

For every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a 4 -colouring $f_{A}$ of $M_{C \cap A}$ such that for every 4-colouring $f_{B}$ of $M_{C \cap B}$, there is a 4-colouring $f_{C}$ of $E(C)$ such that
$f_{A} \cup f_{B} \cup f_{C}$ is a proper distortion-4-colouring.
Note that (11) easily implies a proper distortion-colouring of $E(G)$ with 4 colours: the sets $M_{C \cap A} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}$ are pairwise edge disjoint, and their union is $M$. Thus, we can begin by colouring each of them by a colouring $f_{A}$ as in (11), and then we can extend the colouring to each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ keeping it proper.

So let us prove (11). Given a $C \in \mathcal{C}$, pick a 2-edge subarc uvy of $C$ with $u, y \in A$. Distinguish two cases:

If the distortions of the edges $u v, v y$ are identical, then give the edges $m_{u}, m_{y}$ of $M$ incident with $u, y$ colours that are different (when seen from $A$ ). If $C$ happens to be a 2-cycle, in which case $u=y$, give $m_{u}=m_{y}$ any colour.

If those distortions are not identical, then colour (the $A$ side of) both $m_{u}, m_{y}$ with a colour $\alpha$ such that $v u(\alpha) \neq v y(\alpha)$.

In both cases, colour the rest of $M_{C \cap A}$ arbitrarily; those colours will not matter.

We claim that this colouring $f_{A}$ has the desired property. To prove this, let $f_{B}$ be any colouring of $M_{C \cap B}$, and note that for every edge $e \in E(C)$ the set $L_{e}$ of still available colours for $e$, that is, the colours that would not conflict with $f_{A} \cup f_{B}$ if given to $e$ on its $B$ side, say, has at least 2 elements; indeed, only 2 edges adjacent with $e$ have been coloured so far and we had 4 colours to begin with.

Let us first deal with the case where $C$ is not a 2-cycle, and consider again the two edges $v u, v y$ as above. We claim that $L_{v u} \neq L_{v y}$; indeed, the colours we gave to $m_{u}, m_{y}$ were chosen is such a way so as to forbid a different candidate colour at the $v$ side of each of $v u, v y$, and so $L_{v u} \neq L_{v y}$ holds. On the other hand, the colour of the edge in $M$ incident with $v$ forbids the same colour for each of $v u, v y$, which implies that $L_{v u} \cap L_{v y} \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, since $L_{v u}, L_{v y}$ are neither equal nor disjoint, and each contains at least two colours, we can find a common colour $\beta \in L_{v u} \cap L_{v y}$ and another 2 colours $\gamma \in L_{v u}, \delta \in L_{v y}$ so that $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ are all distinct. Now colour $v u$ with $u v(\gamma)$ (so that its colour seems to be $\gamma$ on its $B$ side), and note that our colouring is still proper, since this colour came from the allowed list. Consider the next edge $u x$ of $C$ incident with $u$. This edge still has at least 1 available colour after we coloured $u v$ (recall that $\left|L_{e}\right| \geq 2$ ), so give it that colour. Continue like this along $C$, to properly colour all its edges except the last edge $v y$. Now note that when we coloured $v u$ we still left 2 colours available for the $B$ side of $v y$, namely $\beta, \delta \neq \gamma$. At least one of them is still available now, and we assign it to the $B$ side of $v y$ completing the proper distortion-colouring of $C$.

If $C$ is a 2-cycle then the situation is much simpler, and it is straightforward to check that (11) holds by distinguishing two cases according to whether its 2 edges bear the same distortions.

This completes the proof. Note that we proved something stronger than (11): for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, all but one of the edges in $M_{C \cap A}$ can be precoloured arbitrarily.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The conjecture of $\left[1\right.$ is more general than Conjecture 1.3 it allows for larger sets $V_{2}, V_{3}$ with an appropriate modification of the perfect matching condition.

