

# On the metric dimension and fractional metric dimension for hierarchical product of graphs

Min Feng   Kaishun Wang\*

*Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

## Abstract

A set of vertices  $W$  *resolves* a graph  $G$  if every vertex of  $G$  is uniquely determined by its vector of distances to the vertices in  $W$ . The *metric dimension* for  $G$ , denoted by  $\dim(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a resolving set of  $G$ . In order to study the metric dimension for the hierarchical product  $G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}$  of two rooted graphs  $G_2^{u_2}$  and  $G_1^{u_1}$ , we first introduce a new parameter, the *rooted metric dimension*  $\text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1})$  for a rooted graph  $G_1^{u_1}$ . If  $G_1$  is not a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , we show that  $\dim(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1})$ , where  $|V(G_2)|$  is the order of  $G_2$ . If  $G_1$  is a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , we obtain some tight inequalities for  $\dim(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1})$ . Finally, we show that similar results hold for the fractional metric dimension.

*Key words:* resolving set; metric dimension; resolving function; fractional metric dimension; hierarchical product; binomial tree.

*2010 MSC:* 05C12.

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are nontrivial and connected. For a graph  $G$ , we often denote by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  the vertex set and the edge set of  $G$ , respectively. For any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $G$ , denote by  $d_G(u, v)$  the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , and write  $R_G\{u, v\} = \{w \mid w \in V(G), d_G(u, w) \neq d_G(v, w)\}$ . If the graph  $G$  is clear from the context, the notations  $d_G(u, v)$  and  $R_G\{u, v\}$  will be written  $d(u, v)$  and  $R\{u, v\}$ , respectively. A subset  $W$  of  $V(G)$  is a *resolving set* of  $G$  if  $W \cap R\{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$  for any two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . A *metric basis* of  $G$  is a resolving set of  $G$  with minimum cardinality. The cardinality of a metric basis of  $G$  is the *metric dimension* for  $G$ , denoted by  $\dim(G)$ .

Metric dimension was introduced independently by Harary and Melter [15], and by Slater [24]. As a graph parameter it has numerous applications, among them are computer science and robotics [18], network discovery and verification [5], strategies

---

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: wangks@bnu.edu.cn

for the Mastermind game [8] and combinatorial optimization [23]. Metric dimension has been heavily studied, see [3] for a number of references on this topic.

The problem of finding the metric dimension for a graph was formulated as an integer programming problem independently by Chartrand et al. [7], and by Currie and Oellermann [10]. In graph theory, fractionalization of integer-valued graph theoretic concepts is an interesting area of research (see [22]). Currie and Oellermann [10] and Fehr et al. [11] defined fractional metric dimension as the optimal solution of the linear relaxation of the integer programming problem. Arumugam and Mathew [1] initiated the study of the fractional metric dimension for graphs. For more information, see [2, 12, 13].

Let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a real value function. For  $W \subseteq V(G)$ , denote  $g(W) = \sum_{v \in W} g(v)$ . The *weight* of  $g$  is defined by  $|g| = g(V(G))$ . We call  $g$  a *resolving function* of  $G$  if  $g(R\{u, v\}) \geq 1$  for any two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . The minimum weight of a resolving function of  $G$  is called the *fractional metric dimension* for  $G$ , denoted by  $\dim_f(G)$ .

It was noted in [14, p. 204] and [18] that determining the metric dimension for a graph is an NP-complete problem. So it is desirable to reduce the computation for the metric dimension for product graphs to the computation for some parameters of the factor graphs; see [6] for cartesian products, [16] for lexicographic products, and [25] for corona products. Recently, the fractional metric dimension for the above three products was studied in [2, 12, 13].

In order to model some real-life complex networks, Barrière et al. [4] introduced the hierarchical product of graphs and showed that it is associative. A *rooted graph*  $G^u$  is the graph  $G$  in which one vertex  $u$ , called *root vertex*, is labeled in a special way to distinguish it from other vertices. Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. The *hierarchical product*  $G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}$  is the rooted graph with the vertex set  $\{x_2x_1 \mid x_i \in V(G_i), i = 1, 2\}$ , having the root vertex  $u_2u_1$ , where  $x_2x_1$  is adjacent to  $y_2y_1$  whenever  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $\{x_1, y_1\} \in E(G_1)$ , or  $x_1 = y_1 = u_1$  and  $\{x_2, y_2\} \in E(G_2)$ . See [17, 19, 20, 21] for more information.

In this paper, we study the (fractional) metric dimension for the hierarchical product  $G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}$  of rooted graphs  $G_2^{u_2}$  and  $G_1^{u_1}$ . In Section 2, we introduce a new parameter, the rooted metric dimension  $\text{rdim}(G^u)$  for a rooted graph  $G^u$ . If  $G_1$  is not a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , we show that  $\dim(G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1})$ . If  $G_1$  is a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , we obtain some tight inequalities for  $\dim(G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1})$ . In Section 3, we show that similar results hold for the fractional metric dimension.

## 2 Metric dimension

In order to study the metric dimension for the hierarchical product of graphs, we first introduce the rooted metric dimension for a rooted graph.

A *rooted resolving set* of a rooted graph  $G^u$  is a subset  $W$  of  $V(G)$  such that  $W \cup \{u\}$  is a resolving set of  $G$ . A *rooted metric basis* of  $G^u$  is a rooted resolving set of  $G^u$  with the minimum cardinality. The cardinality of a rooted metric basis of  $G^u$  is called *rooted metric dimension* for  $G^u$ , denoted by  $\text{rdim}(G^u)$ . The following

observation is obvious.

**Observation 2.1** *If there exists a metric basis of  $G$  containing  $u$ , then  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = \dim(G) - 1$ . If any metric basis of  $G$  does not contain  $u$ , then  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = \dim(G)$ .*

For graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  we use  $H_1 \cup H_2$  to denote the disjoint union of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and  $H_1 + H_2$  to denote the graph obtained from the disjoint union of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  by joining every vertex of  $H_1$  with every vertex of  $H_2$ .

**Observation 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then  $1 \leq \dim(G) \leq n-1$ . Moreover,*  
(i)  $\dim(G) = 1$  *if and only if  $G$  is the path  $P_n$  of length  $n$ .*  
(ii)  $\dim(G) = n-1$  *if and only if  $G$  is the complete graph  $K_n$  on  $n$  vertices.*

**Proposition 2.3** [7, Theorem 4] *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $\dim(G) = n-2$  if and only if  $G = K_{s,t}$  ( $s, t \geq 1$ ),  $G = K_s + \overline{K_t}$  ( $s \geq 1, t \geq 2$ ), or  $G = K_s + (K_1 \cup K_t)$  ( $s, t \geq 1$ ), where  $\overline{K_t}$  is a null graph and  $K_{s,t}$  is a complete bipartite graph.*

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $G^u$  be a rooted graph of order  $n$ . Then  $0 \leq \text{rdim}(G^u) \leq n-2$ . Moreover,*

- (i)  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = 0$  *if and only if  $G = P_n$  and  $u$  is one of its end-vertices.*
- (ii)  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = n-2$  *if and only if  $G = K_n$ , or  $G = K_{1,n-1}$  and  $u$  is the centre.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a complete graph, by Observation 2.2 (ii) we have  $\dim(G) = n-1$ . Observation 2.1 implies that  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = n-2$ . If  $G$  is not a complete graph, then  $1 \leq \dim(G) \leq n-2$ , which implies that  $0 \leq \text{rdim}(G^u) \leq n-2$  by Observation 2.1.

(i) Since  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = 0$  if and only if  $\{u\}$  is a metric basis of  $G$ , by Observation 2.2 (i), (i) holds.

(ii) Suppose  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = n-2$ . Then  $\dim(G) = n-1$  or  $n-2$ . If  $\dim(G) = n-1$ , then  $G = K_n$ . Now we consider  $\dim(G) = n-2$ . If  $n = 3$ , then  $\dim(G) = 1$ , which implies that  $G = K_{1,2}$  and  $u$  is the centre. Now suppose  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $G$  is one of graphs listed in Proposition 2.3. If  $s, t \geq 2$  or  $G = K_s + (K_1 \cup K_t)$ , then there exists a metric basis containing  $u$ , which implies that  $\text{rdim}(G^u) = n-3$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G = K_{1,n-1}$ . Since any metric basis of  $K_{1,n-1}$  does not contain the centre, the vertex  $u$  is the centre of  $K_{1,n-1}$ . The converse is routine.  $\square$

Next, we study the metric dimension for the hierarchical product of graphs.

Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. For any two vertices  $x_2x_1$  and  $y_2y_1$  of  $G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}$ , observe that

$$d(x_2x_1, y_2y_1) = \begin{cases} d_{G_1}(x_1, y_1), & \text{if } x_2 = y_2, \\ d_{G_2}(x_2, y_2) + d_{G_1}(x_1, u_1) + d_{G_1}(y_1, u_1), & \text{if } x_2 \neq y_2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $x_2x_1$  and  $y_2y_1$  be two distinct vertices of  $G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}$ .*

- (i) *If  $x_2 = y_2$ , then*

$$R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} = \begin{cases} \{x_2z \mid z \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}\}, & \text{if } u_1 \notin R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}, \\ V(G_2^{u_2} \square G_1^{u_1}) \setminus \{x_2z \mid z \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}\}, & \text{if } u_1 \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *If  $x_2 \neq y_2$ , then  $\{x_2z, y_2z\} \cap R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $z \in V(G_1)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $u_1 \notin R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , then  $d_{G_1}(x_1, u_1) = d_{G_1}(y_1, u_1)$ . By (1), the inequality  $d(x_2x_1, z_2z_1) \neq d(y_2y_1, z_2z_1)$  holds if and only if  $z_2 = x_2$  and  $d_{G_1}(x_1, z_1) \neq d_{G_1}(y_1, z_1)$ . It follows that  $R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} = \{x_2z \mid z \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}\}$ . If  $u_1 \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , then  $d_{G_1}(x_1, u_1) \neq d_{G_1}(y_1, u_1)$ . By (1), the equality  $d(x_2x_1, z_2z_1) = d(y_2y_1, z_2z_1)$  holds if and only if  $z_2 = x_2$  and  $d_{G_1}(x_1, z_1) = d_{G_1}(y_1, z_1)$ . It follows that  $R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} = V(G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}) \setminus \{x_2z \mid z \notin R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}\}$ .

(ii) Suppose  $x_2z \notin R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}$ . Then  $d(x_2x_1, x_2z) = d(y_2y_1, x_2z)$ . By (1),

$$d_{G_1}(x_1, z) = d_{G_2}(y_2, x_2) + d_{G_1}(y_1, u_1) + d_{G_1}(z, u_1) \geq d_{G_2}(x_2, y_2) + d_{G_1}(y_1, z),$$

which implies that

$$d_{G_2}(x_2, y_2) + d_{G_1}(x_1, u_1) + d_{G_1}(z, u_1) \geq 2d_{G_2}(x_2, y_2) + d_{G_1}(y_1, z) > d(y_2y_1, y_2z).$$

Hence,  $y_2z \in R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. Then*

$$\text{rdim}(G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}) \geq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{W}$  be a rooted metric basis of  $G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}$ . For  $v \in V(G_2)$ , write  $\overline{W}_v = \{z \mid vz \in \overline{W}\}$ . For any two distinct vertices  $x, y$  of  $G_1$ , there exists a vertex  $wz$  in  $\overline{W} \cup \{u_2u_1\}$  such that  $d(vx, wz) \neq d(vy, wz)$ . If  $w = v$ , by (1) we get  $d_{G_1}(x, z) \neq d_{G_1}(y, z)$ , which implies that  $z \in (\overline{W}_v \cup \{u_1\}) \cap R_{G_1}\{x, y\}$ . If  $w \neq v$ , by (1) we have  $d_{G_1}(x, u_1) \neq d_{G_1}(y, u_1)$ , which implies that  $u_1 \in R_{G_1}\{x, y\}$ . Therefore, we have  $(\overline{W}_v \cup \{u_1\}) \cap R_{G_1}\{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$ , which implies that  $\overline{W}_v$  is a rooted resolving set of  $G_1^{u_1}$ . Hence

$$\text{rdim}(G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}) = |\overline{W}| = \sum_{v \in V(G_2)} |\overline{W}_v| \geq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1}),$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. If  $G_1$  is not a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , then*

$$\dim(G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6, we only need to prove that

$$\dim(G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}) \leq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1}). \quad (2)$$

Let  $W$  be a rooted metric basis of  $G_1^{u_1}$ . Then  $W \neq \emptyset$ . Write  $\overline{W} = \{vw \mid v \in V(G_2), w \in W\}$ . Note that  $|\overline{W}| = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}(G_1^{u_1})$ . In order to prove (2), we only need to show that  $\overline{W}$  is a resolving set of  $G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}$ . It suffices to show that, for any two distinct vertices  $x_2x_1$  and  $y_2y_1$  of  $G_2^{u_2} \cap G_1^{u_1}$ ,

$$\overline{W} \cap R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

If  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $u_1 \notin R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , then  $W \cap R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\} \neq \emptyset$ , by Lemma 2.5 (i) we obtain (3). If  $x_2 = y_2$  and  $u_1 \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , by (1) we have  $vw \in \overline{W} \cap R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}$  for any  $v \neq x_2$  and any  $w \in W$ , which implies that (3) holds. If  $x_2 \neq y_2$ , then (3) holds by Lemma 2.5 (ii). We accomplish our proof.  $\square$

Combining Observation 2.1 and Theorem 2.7, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.8** *Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs.*

(i) *If there exists a metric basis of  $G_1$  containing  $u_1$  and  $G_1$  is not a path, then*

$$\dim(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)|(\dim(G_1) - 1).$$

(ii) *If any metric basis of  $G_1$  does not contain  $u_1$ , then*

$$\dim(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)| \dim(G_1).$$

The *binomial tree*  $T_n$  is the hierarchical product of  $n$  copies of the complete graph on two vertices, which is a useful data structure in the context of algorithm analysis and designs [9]. It was proved that the metric dimension for a tree can be expressed in terms of its parameters in [7, 15, 24].

**Corollary 2.9** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\dim(T_n) = 2^{n-2}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\dim(T_2) = 1$ . Now suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Since  $T_n = (K_2^0 \sqcap \dots \sqcap K_2^0) \sqcap (K_2^0 \sqcap K_2^0)$  and  $\text{rdim}(K_2^0 \sqcap K_2^0) = 1$ , the desired result follows by Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

We always assume that 0 is one end-vertex of  $P_n$ . In the remaining of this section, we shall prove some tight inequalities for  $\dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0)$ .

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $G^u$  be a rooted graph with diameter  $d$ . Then*

$$\dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq \dim(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq d-1, \quad (4)$$

$$\dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) = \dim(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \text{ for } n \geq d. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* If  $G = K_2$ , then  $G^u \sqcap P_n^0$  is the path, which implies that (5) holds. Now we only consider  $|V(G)| \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $\overline{W}_n$  is a metric basis of  $G^u \sqcap P_n^0$ . Let  $P_n = (z_0 = 0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ . Define  $\pi_n : V(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \rightarrow V(G^u \sqcap P_n^0)$  by

$$\pi_n(vz_i) = \begin{cases} vz_{n-1}, & \text{if } i = n, \\ vz_i, & \text{if } i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\pi_n(\overline{W}_{n+1})$  is a resolving set of  $G^u \sqcap P_n^0$ , which implies that  $\dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq \dim(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0)$  for any positive integer  $n$ . So (4) holds.

In order to prove (5), we only need to show that  $\overline{W}_n$  is a resolving set of  $G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0$  for  $n \geq d$ . Pick any two distinct vertices  $v_1z_i$  and  $v_2z_j$  of  $G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0$ . It suffices to prove that

$$\overline{W}_n \cap R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0}\{v_1z_i, v_2z_j\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (6)$$

We claim that there exist two distinct vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  of  $G$  such that  $\overline{W}_n \cap \{w_s z_k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose for the contradiction that there

exists a vertex  $w \in V(G)$  such that  $\overline{W}_n \subseteq \{wz_k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ . If the degree of  $w$  in  $G$  is one, then there exists a path  $(w, x, y)$  in  $G$ . For any  $wz_k \in \overline{W}_n$ , we have  $d(xz_1, wz_k) = k+2 = d(yz_0, wz_k)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\overline{W}_n$  is a metric basis of  $G^u \sqcap P_n^0$ . If the degree of  $w$  in  $G$  is at least two, pick two distinct neighbors  $x$  and  $y$  of  $w$  in  $G$ . Then  $d(xz_0, wz_k) = k+1 = d(yz_0, wz_k)$  for any  $wz_k \in \overline{W}_n$ , a contradiction. Hence our claim is valid.

Now we prove (6). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . If  $j \leq n-1$ , then  $R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0} \{v_1 z_i, v_2 z_j\} \supseteq R_{G^u \sqcap P_n^0} \{v_1 z_i, v_2 z_j\}$ ; and so (6) holds. Now suppose  $j = n$ .

*Case 1.*  $v_1 = v_2$ . By the claim, the set  $\{w_1 z_k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$  or  $\{w_2 z_k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$  is a subset of  $R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0} \{v_1 z_i, v_1 z_n\}$ . So (6) holds.

*Case 2.*  $v_1 \neq v_2$ .

*Case 2.1.*  $i = 0$ . By the claim, we can choose  $w_s z_k \in \overline{W}_n$  with  $w_s \neq v_2$ . Then

$$d(v_1 z_0, w_s z_k) = d_G(v_1, w_s) + k \leq d + k \leq n + k < d_G(v_2, w_s) + n + k = d(v_2 z_n, w_s z_k),$$

which implies that  $w_s z_k \in R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0} \{v_1 z_0, v_2 z_n\}$ . So (6) holds.

*Case 2.2.*  $i \geq 1$ . Note that

$$R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0} \{v_1 z_i, v_2 z_n\} = R_{G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0} \{v_1 z_{i-1}, v_2 z_{n-1}\} \supseteq R_{G^u \sqcap P_n^0} \{v_1 z_{i-1}, v_2 z_{n-1}\}.$$

Then (6) holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11** *For any rooted graph  $G^u$ , we have*

$$\dim(G) \leq \dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq |V(G)| - 1. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Let  $z$  be the other end-vertex of  $P_n$ . Fix a vertex  $v_0 \in V(G)$  and write  $\overline{S} = \{vz \mid v \in V(G) \setminus \{v_0\}\}$ . Since  $\{z\}$  is a resolving set of  $P_n$ , the set  $\overline{S}$  resolves  $G \sqcap P_n$  by (1). Hence  $\dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq |\overline{S}| = |V(G)| - 1$ . Since  $G^u$  is isomorphic to  $G^u \sqcap P_1^0$ , Proposition 2.10 implies that  $\dim(G) \leq \dim(G^u \sqcap P_n^0)$ .  $\square$

For  $m \geq 2$ , we have  $\dim(K_m^u \sqcap P_n^0) = m - 1$ . This shows that the inequalities (4) and (7) are tight.

**Example 2.12** *For  $m, n \geq 2$ , we have  $\dim(P_m^u \sqcap P_n^0) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $P_k = (z_0 = 0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ . Then  $\{z_0 z_{n-1}, z_{m-1} z_{n-1}\}$  is a resolving set of  $P_m^u \sqcap P_n^0$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.13** *Let  $C_m$  be the cycle with length  $m$ . Then  $\dim(C_m^u \sqcap P_n^0) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_n = (z_0 = 0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  and  $C_m = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, c_0)$ . Then  $\{c_0 z_{n-1}, c_1 z_{n-1}\}$  is a resolving set of  $C_m^u \sqcap P_n^0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Fractional metric dimension

In order to study the fractional metric dimension for the hierarchical product of graphs, we first introduce the fractional rooted metric dimension for a rooted graph.

Similar to the fractionalization of metric dimension, we give a fractional version of the rooted metric dimension for a rooted graph. Let  $G^u$  be a rooted graph of order  $n$ . Write

$$\mathcal{P}^u = \{\{v, w\} \mid v, w \in V(G), v \neq w, d(v, u) = d(w, u)\}.$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{P}^u \neq \emptyset$ . Write  $V(G) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^u = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ . Let  $A^u$  be the  $m \times (n-1)$  matrix with

$$(A^u)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_j \text{ resolves } \alpha_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The integer programming formulation of the rooted metric dimension for  $G^u$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} \\ & \text{Subject to } A^u \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^T$ ,  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the  $m \times 1$  column vector all of whose entries are 1. The optimal solution of the linear programming relaxation of the above integer programming problem, where we replace  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$  by  $x_i \in [0, 1]$ , gives the *fractional rooted metric dimension* for  $G^u$ , which we denote by  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u)$ .

Let  $G^u$  be a rooted graph which is not a path with an end-vertex  $u$ . A *rooted resolving function* of a rooted graph  $G^u$  is a real value function  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g(R\{v, w\}) \geq 1$  for each  $\{v, w\} \in \mathcal{P}^u$ . The *fractional rooted metric dimension* for  $G^u$  is the minimum weight of a rooted resolving function of  $G^u$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $G^u$  be a rooted graph which is not a path with an end-vertex  $u$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq \text{rdim}(G^u)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq \frac{|V(G)|-1}{2}$ .
- (iii)  $\dim_f(G) - 1 \leq \text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq \dim_f(G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $W$  be a rooted metric basis of  $G^u$ . Define  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$g(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in W, \\ 0, & \text{if } v \notin W. \end{cases}$$

For any  $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{P}^u$ , there exists a vertex  $v \in W$  such that  $d(x, v) \neq d(y, v)$ . Then  $g(R\{x, y\}) \geq g(v) = 1$ , which implies that  $g$  is a rooted resolving function of  $G^u$ . Hence  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq |g| = |W| = \text{rdim}(G^u)$ .

(ii) The function  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$g(v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v = u, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } v \neq u \end{cases}$$

is a rooted resolving function of  $G^u$ . Hence  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq \frac{|V(G)|-1}{2}$ .

(iii) It is clear that  $\text{rdim}_f(G^u) \leq \text{dim}_f(G)$ . Let  $g$  be a rooted resolving function of  $G^u$ . Then the function  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v = u, \\ g(v), & \text{if } v \neq u \end{cases}$$

is a resolving function of  $G$ . Hence  $\text{dim}_f(G) \leq \text{rdim}_f(G^u) + 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

If  $u$  is not an end-vertex of the path  $P_n$ , then  $\text{rdim}_f(P_n^u) = \text{rdim}(P_n^u) = \text{dim}_f(P_n) = 1$ , which implies that the upper bounds in Proposition 3.1 (i) and (iii) are tight. The fact that  $\text{rdim}_f(K_n^u) = \frac{n-1}{2}$  shows that the inequality in Proposition 3.1 (ii) is tight.

Next, we study the fractional metric dimension for the hierarchical product of graphs.

For two rooted graphs  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{u_1} &= \{\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G_1) \mid x \neq y, d_{G_1}(x, u_1) = d_{G_1}(y, u_1)\}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{u_2 u_1} &= \{\{x_2 x_1, y_2 y_1\} \subseteq V(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) \mid x_2 x_1 \neq y_2 y_1, d(x_2 x_1, u_2 u_1) = d(y_2 y_1, u_2 u_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. If  $G_1$  is not a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , then*

$$\text{rdim}_f(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) \geq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\overline{g}$  is a rooted resolving function of  $G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}$  with weight  $\text{rdim}_f(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1})$ . For each  $z \in V(G_2)$ , define

$$\overline{g}_z : V(G_1) \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad x \mapsto \overline{g}(zx).$$

Write  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{u_1} = \{\{zx, zy\} \mid z \in V(G_2), \{x, y\} \in \mathcal{P}^{u_1}\}$ . By (1), we have  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{u_1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{u_2 u_1}$ . Hence  $\overline{g}_z(R_{G_1}\{x, y\}) \geq 1$  for any  $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{P}^{u_1}$ , which implies that  $|\overline{g}_z| \geq \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1})$ . Consequently,

$$\text{rdim}_f(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) = |\overline{g}| = \sum_{z \in V(G_2)} |\overline{g}_z| \geq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1}),$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G_1^{u_1}$  and  $G_2^{u_2}$  be two rooted graphs. If  $G_1$  is not a path with an end-vertex  $u_1$ , then*

$$\text{dim}(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1}).$$

*Proof.* Combining Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we only need to prove that

$$\text{dim}_f(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) \leq |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1}). \quad (8)$$

By Proposition 2.4 we have  $\mathcal{P}^{u_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $g$  be a rooted resolving function of  $G_1$  with weight  $\text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1})$ . Define

$$\overline{g} : V(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad x_2 x_1 \mapsto g(x_1).$$



We shall show that, for any two distinct vertices  $x_2x_1$  and  $y_2y_1$  of  $G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}$ ,

$$\bar{g}(R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}) \geq 1. \quad (9)$$

*Case 1.*  $x_2 = y_2$ . If  $u_1 \notin R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , by Lemma 2.5 we get  $R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} = \{x_2z \mid z \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}\}$ , which implies that  $\bar{g}(R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}) = g(R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\})$ . Since  $\{x_1, y_1\} \in \mathcal{P}^{u_1}$ , we obtain (9). If  $u_1 \in R_{G_1}\{x_1, y_1\}$ , by Lemma 2.5 we have  $R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\} \supseteq \{vz \mid z \in V(G_1)\}$  for any  $v \in V(G_2) \setminus \{x_2\}$ , which implies that  $\bar{g}(R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}) \geq |g|$ , so (9) holds.

*Case 2.*  $x_2 \neq y_2$ . Write  $W = \{z \mid x_2z \in R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}\}$  and  $S = \{z \mid y_2z \in R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}\}$ . By Lemma 2.5 we have  $W \cup S = V(G_1)$ . Then

$$\bar{g}(R\{x_2x_1, y_2y_1\}) \geq \sum_{z \in W} \bar{g}(x_2z) + \sum_{z \in S} \bar{g}(y_2z) = g(W) + g(S) \geq |g|,$$

which implies that (9) holds.

Therefore,  $\bar{g}$  is a resolving function of  $G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}$ , which implies that  $\dim_f(G_2^{u_2} \sqcap G_1^{u_1}) \leq |\bar{g}|$ . Since  $|\bar{g}| = |V(G_2)| \cdot \text{rdim}_f(G_1^{u_1})$ , we obtain (8). Our proof is accomplished.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\dim_f(T_n) = 2^{n-2}$ .*

*Proof.* It is immediate from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

By Corollaries 2.9 and 3.4, the binomial tree  $T_n$  is a graph whose metric dimension is equal to its fractional metric dimension.

Finally, we shall prove some tight inequalities for  $\dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_n^0)$ .

**Proposition 3.5** *For any rooted graph  $G^u$ , we have*

$$\dim_f(G) \leq \dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq \dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \leq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $P_n = (z_0 = 0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ . For a resolving function  $\bar{g}_{n+1}$  of  $G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0$ , we define  $\bar{g}'_{n+1} : V(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\bar{g}'_{n+1}(x_2x_1) = \begin{cases} \bar{g}_{n+1}(x_2z_{n-1}) + \bar{g}_{n+1}(x_2z_n), & \text{if } x_1 = z_{n-1}, \\ \bar{g}_{n+1}(x_2x_1), & \text{if } x_1 \neq z_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{g}'_{n+1}$  is a resolving function of  $G^u \sqcap P_n^0$ . Since  $|\bar{g}'_{n+1}| = |\bar{g}_{n+1}|$ , we have

$$\dim_f(G) = \dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_1^0) \leq \dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_n^0) \leq \dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0).$$

Define  $\bar{h} : V(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\bar{h}(x_2x_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x_1 = z_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x_1 \neq z_n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{h}$  is a resolving function of  $G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0$  with weight  $\frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ . Hence  $\dim_f(G^u \sqcap P_{n+1}^0) \leq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ .  $\square$

For  $m \geq 2$ , we have  $\dim_f(K_m^u \sqcap P_n^0) = \frac{m}{2}$ . This shows that all the inequalities in Proposition 3.5 are tight.

## Acknowledgement

This research is supported by NSFC(11271047), SRFDP and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University of China.

## References

- [1] S. Arumugam and V. Mathew, The fractional metric dimension of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012) 1584–1590.
- [2] S. Arumugam, V. Mathew and J. Shen, On fractional metric dimension of graphs, preprint.
- [3] R.F. Bailey and P.J. Cameron, Base size, metric dimension and other invariants of groups and graphs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 43 (2011), 209–242.
- [4] L. Barrière, F. Comellas, C. Dalfó and M.A. Fiol, The hierarchical product of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 157 (2009) 36–48.
- [5] Z. Beerliova, F. Eberhard, T. Erlebach, A. Hall, M. Hoffmann, M. Mihalák, and L.S. Ram, Network discovery and verification, *IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Communications* 24 (2006), 2168–2181.
- [6] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara and D.R. Wood, On the metric dimension of Cartesian products of graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 21 (2007) 423–441.
- [7] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. Johnson and O.R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 105 (2000) 99–113.
- [8] V. Chvátal, Mastermind, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983) 325–329.
- [9] T.H. Cormen, C.E. Leiserson, R.L. Rivest and C. Stein, *Introduction to Algorithms*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990. second ed. 2001.
- [10] J. Currie and O.R. Oellermann, The metric dimension and metric independence of a graph, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 39 (2001) 157–167.
- [11] M. Fehr, S. Gosselin and O.R. Oellermann, The metric dimension of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006) 31–41.
- [12] M. Feng, B. Lv and K. Wang, On the fractional metric dimension of graphs, *arXiv:1112.2106v2*.
- [13] M. Feng and K. Wang, On the fractional metric dimension of corona product graphs and lexicographic product graphs, *arXiv:1206.1906v1*.
- [14] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, Freeman, New York, 1979.

- [15] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combin.* 2 (1976) 191–195.
- [16] M. Jannesari and B. Omoomi, The metric dimension of the lexicographic product of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012) 3349–3356.
- [17] S. Jung, S. Kim and B. Kahng, Geometric fractal growth model for scale-free networks, *Phys. Rev. E* 65 (2002) 056101.
- [18] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari and A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 70 (1996) 217–229.
- [19] J.D. Noh, Exact scaling properties of a hierarchical network model, *Phys. Rev. E* 67 (2003) 045103.
- [20] E. Ravasz and A.-L. Barabási, Hierarchical organization in complex networks, *Phys. Rev. E* 67 (2003) 026112.
- [21] E. Ravasz, A.L. Somera, D.A. Mongru, Z.N. Oltvai and A.-L. Barabási, Hierarchical organization of modularity in metabolic networks, *Science* 297 (2002) 1551–1555.
- [22] E.R. Scheinerman and D.H. Ullman, *Fractional Graph Theory*, in: Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1997.
- [23] A. Sebő and E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, *Math. Oper. Res.* 29 (2004) 383–393.
- [24] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, *Conger. Numer.* 14 (1975) 549–559.
- [25] I.G. Yero, D. Kuziak, and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the metric dimension of corona product graphs, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011) 2793–2798.