Theoretical calculations on the structural, electronic and optical properties of bulk silver nitrides

Mohammed S. H. Suleiman^{1, 2, *} and Daniel P. Joubert^{1, [†](#page-12-1)}

¹*School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.*

²*Department of Physics, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan.*

(Dated: February 26, 2024)

We present a first-principles investigation of structural, electronic and optical properties of bulk crystalline Ag_3N , AgN and AgN_2 based on density functional theory (DFT) and many-body perturbation theory. The equation of state (EOS), energy-optimized geometries, cohesive and formation energies, and bulk modulus and its pressure derivative of these three stoichiometries in a set of twenty different structures have been studied. Band diagrams and total and orbital-resolved density of states (DOS) of the most stable phases have been carefully examined. Within the random-phase approximation (RPA) to the dielectric tensor, the single-particle spectra of the quasi electrons and quasi holes were obtained via the GW approximation to the self-energy operator, and optical spectra were calculated. The results obtained were compared with experiment and with previously performed calculations.

CONTENTS

- II. Calculation Methods [2](#page-1-0)
	- A. Stoichiometries and Crystal Structures [2](#page-1-1)
B. Electronic Relaxation Details 2
	- B. Electronic Relaxation Details [2](#page-1-2)
C. Geometry Relaxation and EOS 3
	- C. Geometry Relaxation and EOS
	- D. Formation Energy [3](#page-2-1)
	- E. GWA Calculations and Optical Properties [3](#page-2-2)
- III. Results and Discussion [4](#page-3-0) A. EOS and Relative Stabilities [4](#page-3-1) B. Volume per Atom and Lattice Parameters [7](#page-6-0) C. Bulk Modulus and its Pressure Derivative [8](#page-7-0) D. Formation Energies [8](#page-7-1) E. Electronic Properties [9](#page-8-0) F. Optical Properties [12](#page-11-0) IV. Conclusions [12](#page-11-1) Acknowledgments [12](#page-11-2)

I. INTRODUCTION

References [13](#page-12-2)

It is well-known now that late transition-metal nitrides (TMNs) usually possess interesting properties leading to a variety of potential technological applications^{$1-3$ $1-3$}. Hence, a significant number of quantum mechanical ab initio calculations of the structural and physical properties of this family of materials have appeared in the literature.

Since Juza and Hahn^4 Hahn^4 succeeded to synthesize Cu_3N in 1939, copper nitrides have been produced through various techniques and their properties and applications have been the subject of many theoretical and experimental

published works^{[5](#page-12-6)}. Due to its early discovery, copper nitride may now be considered as the most investigated among the late $TMNs^6$ $TMNs^6$.

On the other hand, the nitride of silver, the next element to copper in group 11 of the periodic table, has been known for more than two centurie[s](#page-12-8)^{7[,8](#page-12-9)}. However, despite its earlier discovery, silver nitride may be the least theoretically studied solid in the late TMNs family. Ex-perimental efforts to investigate structural^{[8](#page-12-9)[,9](#page-12-10)}, electronic⁸ and formation^{[7](#page-12-8)[,9](#page-12-10)[–11](#page-12-11)} properties of silver nitrides have been made by some researchers.

In 1[9](#page-12-10)49, Hahn and Gilbert⁹ carried out the first^{[8](#page-12-9)} structural study on the reported stoichiometry, Ag3N. They claimed an fcc structure with $a = 4.369 \text{ Å}$ and $Z = 4/3$ (i.e. 4 Ag atoms in the unit cell). A long time later in 1982, Haisa^{[12](#page-12-12)} suggested that the Ag atoms are located at the corners and face centers of the unit cell, while the N atoms, which may be statistically distributed in the octahedral interstices, were given no definite positions^{[12](#page-12-12)}.

According to the calculated N radius, Ag_3N can be described as an ionic compound, and recent *ab initio* calculations on the proposed structure revealed insulating characteristics with a fundamental band gap close to 1.35 eV . On the other hand, due to the similar lattice of the parent Ag and the easily separated N as N_2 , it can also be argued that this compound is a metal, supporting its black color^{[8](#page-12-9)}.

Under ordinary conditions^{[7](#page-12-8)}, it was found that silver can form Ag_3N^{13} Ag_3N^{13} Ag_3N^{13} from ammoniacal solutions of silv[e](#page-12-8)r oxide^{7[,8](#page-12-9)}. The black metallic-looking solid outcome, Ag_3N , is an extremely sensitive explosive compound^{[7](#page-12-8)[,14](#page-12-14)}. It may explode due to the slightest touch, even from the impact of a falling water droplet^{[14](#page-12-14)}, but it is relatively easy to handle under water or ethanol^{[8](#page-12-9)}. The explosive power is due to the energy released during the decomposition reaction:

$$
2\text{Ag}_3\text{N} \longrightarrow 6\text{Ag} + \text{N}_2 . \tag{1}
$$

Even in storage at room temperature, this solid com-pound decomposes slowly according to Eq. [1](#page-0-1) above $8,14$ $8,14$. From a thermochemical point of view, it was found that there is no stable intermediate stage in this decomposition, but there may be a metastable intermediate species (phase) with a remarkably low decomposition rat[e](#page-12-8)⁷ . At this point, it may be worth mentioning that the thermochemistry of silver nitride systems is not fully docu-mented in standard handbook data^{[7](#page-12-8)}.

In their 1991 work, Shanley and Ennis^{[7](#page-12-8)} stated: "Many of the samples ... did not survive the minimum handling required to move them, container and all, to the X-ray stage. ... More vigorously explosive samples propagated throughout their mass leaving no visible residue. Even among supersensitive materials, silver nitride is a striking example of a compound "teetering on the edge of existence". Under the circumstances, we did not succeed in developing data on the proportion of silver nitride required for explosive behavior in these mixtures."

Thus, beside the potential hazard to lab workers due to its sensitive explosive behavior, characterization of silver nitride is hindered by its extremely unstable (endother-mic) nature^{[7](#page-12-8)[,8](#page-12-9)}, and we are presented with an incomplete picture of structural, electronic and optical properties of this material. Surprisingly, this lack of detailed knowledge of many physical properties of silver nitride stimulated only very few published *ab initio* studies.

In the present work, first-principles calculations were carried out to investigate the lattice parameters, equation of state, relative stabilities, phase transitions, electronic and optical properties of silver nitrides in three different chemical formulae and in various crystal structures. Calculation methods are described in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0) In Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) results are presented, discussed and compared with experiment and with previous calculations. The article is concluded with some remarks in Sec. [IV.](#page-11-1)

II. CALCULATION METHODS

A. Stoichiometries and Crystal Structures

To the best of our knowledge, the only experimentally reported stoichiometries of Ag-N compounds are Ag_3N^7 Ag_3N^7 Ag_3N^7 Ag_3N^7 and AgN_3^7 . However, previous ab initio studies on Ag-N compounds considered Ag_4N^8 Ag_4N^8 , $Ag_3N^{8,15}$ $Ag_3N^{8,15}$ $Ag_3N^{8,15}$, Ag_2N^{15} Ag_2N^{15} Ag_2N^{15} , $\text{AgN}^{15,16}$ $\text{AgN}^{15,16}$ $\text{AgN}^{15,16}$ and $\text{AgN}_2^{15,17}$ $\text{AgN}_2^{15,17}$ $\text{AgN}_2^{15,17}$ in some cubic structures only. Consideration of stoichiometries other than the reported ones is probably due to the fact that many transition metals nitrides (TMs) are known to form more than one nitride^{[18](#page-12-18)}. Hence, our interest in investigating AgN and $AgN₂$ is based on this fact.

For Ag3N, we consider the following seven structures: the face-centered cubic structure of AlFe₃ $(D0₃)$, the simple cubic structure of $Cr₃Si (A15)$, the simple cubic structure of the anti- $\text{Re}O_3$ (D₀), the simple cubic structure of Ag₃Au $(L1₂)$, the body-centered cubic structure of CoAs₃ (D0₂), the hexagonal structure of ϵ -Fe₃N, and the trigonal (rhombohedric) structure of RhF3.

For AgN, the following four structures were considered:

the face-centered cubic structure of NaCl (B1), the simple cubic structure of CsCl (B2), the face-centered cubic structure of ZnS zincblende (B3), the hexagonal structure of NiAs $(B8₁)$, the hexagonal structure of BN (B_k) , the hexagonal structure of $WC(B_h)$, the hexagonal structure of ZnS wurtzite (B4), the simple tetragonal structure of PtS cooperite (B17), and the face-centered orthorhombic structure of TlF (B24).

 $AgN₂$ was studied in the following nine structures: the face-centered cubic structure of $CaF₂$ fluorite (C1), the simple cubic structure of FeS_2 pyrite (C2), the simple orthorhombic structure of FeS_2 marcasite (C18) and the simple monoclinc structure of CoSb_2 (CoSb_2).

B. Electronic Relaxation Details

In this work, electronic structure spin density functional theory $(SDFT)^{19,20}$ $(SDFT)^{19,20}$ $(SDFT)^{19,20}$ $(SDFT)^{19,20}$ calculations as implemented in the VASP code^{[21](#page-12-21)[–26](#page-13-0)} have been employed. To selfconsistently solve the Kohn-Sham (KS) equations^{[27](#page-13-1)}

$$
\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\nabla^2 + \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{XC}^{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}[n(\mathbf{r})]\right\}\psi_i^{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i^{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}\psi_i^{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}),
$$
\n(2)

where i, k and σ are the band, k-point and spin indices, receptively, VASP expands the pseudo part of the KS one-particle spin orbitals $\psi_i^{\sigma, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ on a basis set of plane-waves (PWs). Only those PWs with cut-off energy $E_{cut} \leq 600 \text{ eV}$ have been included. The Brillouin zones were sampled using Γ -centered Monkhorst-Pack^{[28](#page-13-2)} $17 \times 17 \times 17$ meshes. Any increase in the E_{cut} value or in the density of the k-mesh produces a change in the total energy less than 3 meV/atom. For static calculations, partial occupancies were set using the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections^{[29](#page-13-3)[–31](#page-13-4)}, while the smearing method of Methfessel-Paxton $(MP)^{32}$ $(MP)^{32}$ $(MP)^{32}$ was used in the ionic relaxation, and Fermi surface of the metallic phases has been carefully treated. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization^{[33](#page-13-6)[–35](#page-13-7)} of the generalized gradient approximation $(GGA)^{36-38}$ $(GGA)^{36-38}$ $(GGA)^{36-38}$ was employed for the exchange-correlation potentials $V_{XC}^{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}[n(\mathbf{r})]$. The implemented projector augmented wave (PAW) method^{[26](#page-13-0)[,39](#page-13-10)} was used to describe the core-valence interactions $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}),$ where the $4d^{10}5s^1$ electrons of Ag and the $2s^22p^3$ electrons of N are treated explicitly as valence electrons. While for these valence electrons only scalar kinematic relativistic effects are incorporated, the PAW potential treats the core electrons in a fully relativistic fashion^{[25](#page-13-11)}. No spin-orbit interaction of the valence electrons has been considered.

C. Geometry Relaxation and EOS

At a set of externally imposed lattice constants, ions with free internal parameters were relaxed until all force components on each ion were less than 0.01 eV/A . This is done following the implemented conjugate-gradient (CG) algorithm. After each ion relaxation step, static total energy calculation with the tetrahedron method was performed, and the cohesive energy per atom E_{coh} was calculated from

$$
E_{coh}^{\mathcal{A}g_m\mathcal{N}_n} = \frac{E_{\text{solid}}^{\mathcal{A}g_m\mathcal{N}_n} - Z \times \left(mE_{\text{atom}}^{\mathcal{A}g} + nE_{\text{atom}}^{\mathcal{N}}\right)}{Z \times (m+n)},
$$
(3)

where Z is the number of Ag_mN_n formulas per unit cell, $E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{Ag}}$ and $E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{N}}$ are the energies of the isolated nonspherical spin-polarized atoms, and $m, n = 1, 2$ or 3 are the stoichiometric weights. The obtained E_{coh} as a function of volume V per atom were then fitted to a Birch-Murnaghan 3rd-order equation of state $(EOS)^{40}$ $(EOS)^{40}$ $(EOS)^{40}$ and the equilibrium volume V_0 , the equilibrium cohesive energy E_0 , the equilibrium bulk modulus

$$
B_0 = -V \frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\Big|_{V=V_0} = -V \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial V^2}\Big|_{V=V_0}
$$
 (4)

and its pressure derivative

$$
B_0' = \frac{\partial B}{\partial P}\Big|_{P=0} = \frac{1}{B_0} \left(V \frac{\partial}{\partial V} (V \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial V^2}) \right)\Big|_{V=V_0} \tag{5}
$$

were determined.

D. Formation Energy

Beside the cohesive energy, another measure of relative stability is the formation energy E_f . Assuming that silver nitrides Ag_mN_n result from the interaction between the N_2 gas and the solid $Ag(A1)$ via the reaction (compare with Eq. [1\)](#page-0-1)

$$
m\text{Ag}^{\text{solid}} + \frac{n}{2}\text{N}_2^{\text{gas}} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}_m\text{N}_n^{\text{solid}},\tag{6}
$$

 E_f can be given by

$$
E_f(\text{Ag}_m \text{N}_n^{\text{solid}}) = E_{\text{coh}}(\text{Ag}_m \text{N}_n^{\text{solid}})
$$

$$
-\frac{mE_{\text{coh}}(\text{Ag}^{\text{solid}}) + \frac{n}{2}E_{\text{coh}}(\text{N}_2^{\text{gas}})}{m+n}.
$$
 (7)

Here $m, n = 1, 2, 3$ are the stoichiometric weights and $E_{\text{coh}}(\text{Ag}_m \text{N}_n^{\text{solid}})$ is the cohesive energy per atom as in Eq. [3.](#page-2-3) The cohesive energy $E_{\text{coh}}(Ag^{\text{solid}})$ and other equilibrium properties of the elemental metallic silver are given in Table [I.](#page-4-0) The cohesive energy of the diatomic nitrogen $(E_{\text{coh}}(N_2^{\text{gas}}))$ was found to be $-5.196 \text{ }eV/\text{atom core}$ sponding to an equilibrium N–N bond length of 1.113 Å (For more details, see Ref. [5](#page-12-6)).

E. GWA Calculations and Optical Properties

Although a qualitative agreement between DFTcalculated optical properties and experiment is possible, accurate quantitative description requires treatments be-yond the level of DFT^{[41](#page-13-13)}. Another approach provided by many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) leads to a system of quasi-particle (QP) equations, which can be written for a periodic crystal as^{42-44} as^{42-44} as^{42-44}

$$
\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})\right\} \psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d\mathbf{r}' \Sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP}) \psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP}(\mathbf{r}') = \epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP} \psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP}(\mathbf{r}).
$$
\n(8)

Practically, the wave functions $\psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP}(\mathbf{r})$ are taken from the DFT calculations. However, in consideration of computational cost, we used a less dense mesh of kpoints $(10 \times 10 \times 10)$. The term $\Sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \epsilon_{i, \mathbf{k}}^{QP})$ is the selfenergy which contains all the exchange and correlation effects, static and dynamic, including those neglected in our DFT-GGA reference system. In the so-called GW approximatio[n](#page-13-16)⁴⁵, Σ is given in terms of the Green's function G as

$$
\Sigma_{GW} = j \int d\epsilon' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \epsilon, \epsilon') W(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \epsilon), \tag{9}
$$

where the dynamically (frequency dependent) screened Coulomb interaction W is related to the bare Coulomb interaction v through

$$
W(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \epsilon) = j \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \epsilon) v(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}'), \quad (10)
$$

with ε , the dielectric matrix, calculated within the socalled random phase approximation (RPA). We followed the GW_0 self-consistent routine on G , in which the QP eigenvalues

$$
\epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP} = \text{Re}\left(\left\langle \psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP} \middle| H_{\text{KS}} - V_{XC} + \Sigma_{GW_0} \middle| \psi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{QP} \right\rangle\right) (11)
$$

are updated in the calculations of G , while W is kept at the DFT-RPA level. Four updates were performed, and after the final iteration in G , ε is recalculated within the RPA using the updated QP eigenvalues^{[43](#page-13-17)[,44](#page-13-15)[,46](#page-13-18)}. From the real $\varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega)$ and the imaginary $\varepsilon_{\rm im}(\omega)$ parts of this frequency-dependent microscopic dielectric tensor, one can derive all the other frequency-dependent dielectric response functions, such as reflectivity $R(\omega)$, transmitivity $T(\omega) = 1 - R(\omega)$, refractive index $n(\omega)$, extinction

coefficient $\kappa(\omega)$, and absorption coefficient $\alpha(\omega)^{47-49}$ $\alpha(\omega)^{47-49}$ $\alpha(\omega)^{47-49}$:

$$
R(\omega) = \left| \frac{\left[\varepsilon_{\text{re}}(\omega) + j\varepsilon_{\text{im}}(\omega)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1}{\left[\varepsilon_{\text{re}}(\omega) + j\varepsilon_{\text{im}}(\omega)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1} \right|^2 \tag{12}
$$

$$
n(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left[\varepsilon_{\rm re}^2(\omega) + \varepsilon_{\rm im}^2(\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{13}
$$

$$
\kappa(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left[\varepsilon_{\rm re}^2(\omega) + \varepsilon_{\rm im}^2(\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{14}
$$

$$
\alpha(\omega) = \sqrt{2}\omega \left(\left[\varepsilon_{\rm re}^2(\omega) + \varepsilon_{\rm im}^2(\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}
$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The energy-volume equation of state (EOS) for the different structures of Ag₃N, AgN₂ and AgN are depicted in Figs. [1,](#page-3-2) [2](#page-3-3) and [3,](#page-3-4) respectively. The corresponding calculated equilibrium properties are given in Table [I.](#page-4-0) In this table, we ordered the studied phases according to the increase in the nitrogen content; then within each series, structures are ordered in the direction of decreasing structural symmetry. For the sake of comparison, we also presented results from experiment and from previous ab initio calculations; and, whenever appropriate, the calculation method and the XC functional are also given in footnotes of the Table.

The calculated equilibrium properties: cohesive energies, formation energies, volume per atom, volume per Ag atom, and bulk modulus and its pressure derivative which are given Table [I,](#page-4-0) are visualized in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0) This kind of visualization allows us to study the effect of nitridation on the parent $Ag(A1)$, since all quantities in this figure are given relative to the corresponding ones of the elemental $Ag(A1)$ given in the first row of Table [I.](#page-4-0) Moreover, one can easly compare the properties of these phases relative to each other.

A. EOS and Relative Stabilities

Considering $E_{\rm coh}$ in the Ag₃N series, Fig. [1](#page-3-2) shows clearly that the $E(V)$ relations of Ag₃N in D0₉, D0₂ and RhF³ phases are almost identical, corresponding to equi-librium cohesive energy (Table [I\)](#page-4-0) of $-2.513, -2.514$ and -2.514 eV/atom, respectively. This behavior in the EOS could be traced back to the structural relationships between these three structures, since both D_0 and RhF_3 can simply be derived from the more symmetric D0⁹ (see Ref. [5](#page-12-6) and references therein). These structural relations may reflect in the EOS's and in other physical properties, and the three phases may co-exist during the Ag_3N synthesis process. Relative to the elemental Ag, these three phases tend not to change the $E_{\rm coh}$ (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0), lowering it only by \sim 0.03 eV/atom, as can been seen from Table [I.](#page-4-0) It may be worth to mention here that the simple

FIG. 1. (Color online.) Cohesive energy $E_{coh}(eV/\text{atom})$ versus atomic volume $V(\text{Å}^3/\text{atom})$ for Ag₃N in seven different structural phases.

FIG. 2. (Color online.) Cohesive energy $E_{coh}(eV/\text{atom})$ versus atomic volume $V(\AA^3/\text{atom})$ for AgN in nine different structural phases.

FIG. 3. (Color online.) Cohesive energy $E_{coh}(eV/\text{atom})$ versus atomic volume $V(\AA^3/\text{atom})$ for AgN₂ in four different structural phases.

^a Ref.^{[50](#page-13-21)}. This is an average of 56 experimental values, at 20 \degree C.

^b Ref.^{[51](#page-13-22)}. Cohesive energies are given at 0 K and 1 atm = 0.00010 GPa; while bulk mudulii are given at room temperature.

 \rm^c Ref. (25) in [52](#page-13-23): at room temperature.

^d See Refs. (8) – (11) in [52.](#page-13-23)

^f Ref.[53](#page-13-24). using the full-potential linearized augmented plane waves (LAPW) method within LDA.

 $g \text{Ref.}^{54}$ $g \text{Ref.}^{54}$ $g \text{Ref.}^{54}$: using projector augmented wave (PAW) method within LDA.

h Ref.^{[54](#page-13-25)}: using projector augmented wave (PAW) method within GGA(PW91).

ⁱ Ref.^{[54](#page-13-25)}: using projector augmented wave (PAW) method within GGA(PBE).

^j Ref.^{[52](#page-13-23)}: using a semiempirical estimate based on the calculation of the slope of the shock velocity vs. particle velocity curves obtained from the dynamic high-pressure experiments. The given values are estimated at \sim 298 K.

k Ref.^{[52](#page-13-23)}: using a semiempirical method in which the experimental static $P - V$ data are fitted to an EOS form where B_0 and B'_0 are adjustable parameters. The given values are estimated at \sim 298 K.

¹ Ref.^{[52](#page-13-23)}: using the so-called method of transition metal pseudopotential theory; a modified form of a method proposed by Wills and Harrison to represent the effective interatomic interaction.

^m Ref. [\[17](#page-12-17)]: using the full-potential linearized augmented plane waves (LAPW) method within LDA.

ⁿ Ref. $[17]$: using the full-potential linearized augmented plane waves (LAPW) method within GGA.

^o Ref. [\[16](#page-12-16)]: using full-potential (linearized) augmented plane waves plus local orbitals (FP-LAPW+lo) method within LDA.

^p Ref. [\[16\]](#page-12-16): using using full-potential (linearized) augmented plane waves plus local orbitals (FP-LAPW+lo) method within GGA(PBE).

^q Ref. $[15]$: using pseudopotential (PP) method within LDA.

^r Ref. [\[15](#page-12-15)]: using linear combinations of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method within LDA. B_0 's are calculated from elastic constants.

^s Ref. [\[15](#page-12-15)]: using linear combinations of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method within GGA. B_0 's are calculated from elastic constants.

^t This is the face centered cubic (fcc) structure with $Z = 4/3$ (i.e. 4 Ag atoms in the unit cell) suggested by Hahn and Gilbert according to some measurements (Ref. [9](#page-12-10)).

^u Ref. [9](#page-12-10).

^v Ref. [8](#page-12-9).

w This is the average of the experimenta[l](#page-12-8) values: $(+314.4 \pm 2.5) \text{ kJ/mol}^7 = (3.25853 \pm 0.02591) \text{ eV/atom}$, $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} =$ $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} =$ $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} =$ 2.062 eV/atom, $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^9 = 2.643 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^9 = 2.643 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^9 = 2.643 \text{ eV/atom}$, and $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV/atom}$. We used the conversion relation:

 1 eV /atom = 96.521 kJ/mol or equivalently $1 \text{ kJ/mol} = 0.010364 \text{ eV}$ /atom. ^x Ref. [12.](#page-12-12)

cubic D0⁹ phase is the stable phase of the synthesized $Cu_3N^{4,55}.$ $Cu_3N^{4,55}.$ $Cu_3N^{4,55}.$ $Cu_3N^{4,55}.$

The odd behavior of the EOS of $Ag_3N(Fe_3N)$ with the existence of two minima $(Fig. 1)$ $(Fig. 1)$ shows that the first minima (to the left) is a metastable local minimum that cannot be maintained as the system is decompressed. Ag ions are in the 6g Wyckoff positions: $(x, 0, 0), (0, x, 0), (-x, -x, 0), (-x, 0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, -x, \frac{1}{2})$

FIG. 4. (Color online.) Calculated equilibrium properties of the twenty studied phases of silver nitrides. All quantities are given relative to the corresponding ones of the *fcc* crystalline elemental silver given in the first row of Table [I.](#page-4-0) The vertical dashed lines separate between the different stoichoimetries.

FIG. 5. (Color online.) Enthalpy H *vs.* pressure P equation of state (EOS) for some Ag_3N phases in the range where $D0_9$ \rightarrow A15, D0₉ \rightarrow D0₃ and D0₉ \rightarrow L1₂ phase transitions occur.

and $(x, x, \frac{1}{2})$; with $x \sim \frac{1}{3}$ to the left of the potential barrier (represented by the sharp peak at ~ 18.2 Å³/atom), and $x = \frac{1}{2}$ to the right of the peak. It may be relevant to mention here that $Cu₃N(Fe₃N)$ was found to behave in a similar manner^{[5](#page-12-6)}.

The crossings of the less stable $A15$, $D0₃$ and $L1₂ EOS$ curves with the more stable $D0₉$, $D0₂$ and $RhF₃$ ones at the left side of their equilibrium points reveals pressureinduces phase transitions from the latter phases to the former. To show this, we plotted the corresponding relation between enthalpy $H = E(V) + PV$ and the imposed pressure P in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) Since $D0₉$, $D0₂$ and RhF₃ phases have identical $E(V)$ curves, the corresponding $H(P)$ curves are also identical. Hence, only the $H(P)$ of D0₉ is displayed in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) A point where the enthalpies of two phases are equal determine the phase transition pressure P_t ; and, indeed, the direction of the transition is from the higher H to the lower H^{56} H^{56} H^{56} . As depicted in Fig. [5,](#page-6-1) $P_t(D09 \rightarrow L1_2) = 17.8 \text{ GPa}, P_t(D09 \rightarrow D03) = 19.5 \text{ GPa}$ and $P_t(D0_9 \to A15) = 26.0 \text{ GPa.}$ Thus, $D0_9$, $D0_2$ and RhF₃ would not survive behind these P_t 's and A15, D0₃ and $L1₂$ are preferred at high pressure.

Fig. [4](#page-5-0) reveals that the AgN group contains the least stable phase among all the twenty studied phases: the hexagonal B_k . Fig. [2](#page-3-3) and Table [I](#page-4-0) show that the simple tetragonal structure of cooperite (B17) is the most stable phase in this AgN series. In fact, one can see from Fig. [4](#page-5-0) and Table [I](#page-4-0) that all the considered AgN phases possess less binding than their parent $Agfcc)$, except AgN(B17) which is slightly more stable, with 0.033 eV/atom lower E_{coh} . It is interesting to notice that AgN(B17) is $\sim 0.003 \, eV/\text{atom}$ more stable than the Ag3N most stable phases. Moreover, this B17 structure was theoretically predicted to be the ground-state structure of $CuN⁵$ $CuN⁵$ $CuN⁵$, $AuN⁵⁷$ $AuN⁵⁷$ $AuN⁵⁷$, $PdN⁵⁸$ $PdN⁵⁸$ $PdN⁵⁸$ and $PtN⁵⁹$ $PtN⁵⁹$ $PtN⁵⁹$.

Using the full-potential (linearized) augmented plane waves plus local orbitals (FP-LAPW+lo) method within LDA and within GGA, Kanoun and Said^{[16](#page-12-16)} studied the

 $E(V)$ EOS for AgN in the B1, B2, B3 and B4 structures. The equilibrium energies they obtained from the $E(V)$ EOS revealed that B1 is the most stable phase, and the relative stability they arrived at is in the order B1–B3–B4–B2, with a significant difference in total energy between B3 and B4 (see Fig. 2(b) in that article). Within this subset of structures, the numerical values of E_{coh} in Table [I](#page-4-0) do have the same order. However, the difference between the equilibrium $E_{\text{coh}}(B3)$ and $E_{\text{coh}}(B4)$ is only $\sim 0.009 \text{ eV}$, and the $E(V)$ EOS of B3 and B4 match/overlap over a wide range of volumes around the equilibrium point. This discrepancy may be attributed to the unphysical/ill-defined measure of stability that Kanoun and Said used, the total energy, while the number of the AgN formula units per unit cell in the B4 structure differs from that in the others 60 . Nevertheless, it may be worth mentioning here that AgN(B3) was found to be $elastically$ unstable^{[15](#page-12-15)}.

In the $CuN₂$ nitrogen-richest phase series, we can see from Table [I](#page-4-0) and from Fig. [4](#page-5-0) that the phases of this group are significantly more stable than all the other studied phases, except C1, which is, in contrast, the second least stable among the twenty studied phases, with $0.017 \, \text{eV}$ /atom more than AgN(B_k). From Fig. [3,](#page-3-4) Fig. [4](#page-5-0) and Table [I,](#page-4-0) one can see that in this series, the lower the structural symmetry, the more stable is the phase. It was found that CuN_2 phases have the same trend^{[5](#page-12-6)}.

Comparing the relative stability of Ag3N, AgN and $AgN₂$, we find from Table [I](#page-4-0) and from Fig. [4](#page-5-0) that $AgN₂$ in its least symmetric phase, the simple monoclinc structure of $\cos b_2$, is the most energetically stable phase with $E_{\rm coh} = -3.699 \, eV/\text{atom}.$

B. Volume per Atom and Lattice Parameters

The numerical values of the lattice parameters and the average equilibrium volume per atom V_0 for the twenty modifications are presented in Table [I.](#page-4-0) The middle sub-window of Fig. [4](#page-5-0) depicts the V_0 values relative to the Ag(fcc). To measure the average of the $Ag-Ag$ bond length in the silver nitride, the equilibrium average volume per Ag atom (V_0^{Ag}) , which is simply the ratio of the volume the unit cell to the number of Ag atoms in the unit cell, is visualized in the same subwindow.

From the V_0 curve in Fig. [4,](#page-5-0) we can see that, all AgN and AgN₂ modifications, except the open $AgN(B_k)$ phase, decrease V_0 ; while the Ag₃N phases tend, on average, not to change the number density of the parent $Ag(A1)$.

On the other hand, the V_0^{Ag} curve in Fig. [4](#page-5-0) reveals that, relative to the elemental Ag and to each other, V_0^{Ag} tends to increase with the increase in the nitrogen content. Thus, in all these nitrides, the introduced N ions displace apart the ions of the host lattice causing longer Ag-Ag bonds than in the elemental Ag. This cannot be seen directly from the V_0 values depicted in the same figure.

For AgN in the B1, B2, B3 and B4 structures, Kanoun and Said (Ref. [16](#page-12-16) described in Sec. [III A](#page-3-1) above) obtained GGA equilibrium lattice parameters which are in very good agreement with ours. Their obtained LDA lattice parameter values show the common underestimation with respect to their and our GGA values (see Table [I\)](#page-4-0).

Gordienko and Zhuravlev^{[15](#page-12-15)} studied the structural, mechanical and electronic properties of AgN(B1) , AgN(B2), AgN(B3), $AgN_2(C1)$ and $Ag_3N(D0_9)$ cubic phases. Their DFT calculations were based on pseudopotential (PP) method within LDA, and on linear combinations of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method within both LDA and GGA. For comparison, some of their findings are included in Table [I.](#page-4-0) Within the parameter subspace they considered, our GGA values of the a lattice parameter agree very well with theirs. On the other hand, although their PP a values are closer to the GGA ones (ours and theirs), all their LDA values are less than the GGA ones. This confirms the well-known behavior of LDA compared to GGA^{61-63} GGA^{61-63} GGA^{61-63} . Gordienko and Zhuravlev also found that the Ag–Ag interatomic distance increases in the order Ag3N– $AgN-AgN₂$. This agrees with the general trend shown in Fig. [4,](#page-5-0) since the V_0^{Ag} curve shows an average increase in the same direction.

C. Bulk Modulus and its Pressure Derivative

Fig. [4](#page-5-0) reveals that Ag3N phases tend, on average, to preserve the B_0 value of the parent Ag(A1). Increasing the nitrogen content to get AgN phases will increase the B_0 value of the parent Ag(A1), except in the case of B_k . While the nitrogen in $AgN₂$ tends to lower the $B₀$ value of the parent $Ag(A1)$, the cubic C1 phase posses the highest B_0 value. This could be seen from Fig. [3,](#page-3-4) where the curvature of the $E_{\text{coh}}(V)$ curve of C1 is higher compared to the shallow minima of the C2, C18 and CoSb_2 curves.

From the definition of the equilibrium bulk modulus (Eq. [4\)](#page-2-4), one would expect B_0 to increase as E_{coh} or V_0 decreases. This is because of the minus sign of the former and the inverse proportionality of the latter. That is, *roughly speaking*, the B_0 curve should have a mirror reflection-like behavior with respect to the E_{coh} and V_0 curves. Nevertheless, if E_{coh} or V_0 are increasing and the other is decreasing, then the dominant net effect will be of the one with the higher change^{[64](#page-14-1)}. For example, Fig. [4](#page-5-0) shows that in going from $D0₃$ to A15, both E_{coh} and V_0 increase resulting in a negative change in B_0 . In going from A15 to D0₉, E_{coh} is decreasing while V_0 is increasing, but, in the end, the latter won the competition and lowered the value of B_0 . This argument stays true throughout the three series. When there is no significant change in both E_{coh} and V_0 , there is no significant change in B_0 . This is the case when one goes from C18 to CoSb_2 . A close look at the B_0 curve in Fig. [4,](#page-5-0) reveals that the huge decrease in $E_{\rm coh}$ between C1 and C2 defeats the relatively small increase in V_0 . This is simply because,

according to Eq. [4,](#page-2-4) the value of B_0 is proportional to the absolute change in $E_{\rm coh}$, while it is far more sensitive to any change in V_0 because it is proportional to $(\Delta V_0)^{-1}$.

It is common to measure the pressure dependence of B_0 by its derivative B'_0 (Eq. [5\)](#page-2-5). Fig. [4](#page-5-0) shows that the B_0 value of the C2, C18 and CoSb₂ phases increases as these phases are put under pressure. While the B_0 values of the rest of the phases shows very low sensitivity to pressure and they tend to slightly lower the bulk modulus, the Fe3N phase is the most sensitive phase and tends to significantly lower its B_0 upon application of pressure. This high sensitivity may indicate that the corresponding minimum on the potential surface is not global, but another local minimum as the one at $16.2 \text{ Å}^3/\text{atom}$ (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-2).

From the elastic constants they obtained, Gordienko and Zhuravlev^{15} Zhuravlev^{15} Zhuravlev^{15} calculated the corresponding macroscopic bulk moduli (included in Table [I\)](#page-4-0). They found the highest LDA B_0 value for AgN(B1) among all phases they considered, but, in agreement with the present work, they obtained the highest GGA B_0 value for $\text{AgN}_2(\text{C1})$. Since LDA relative to GGA overestimates $E_{\rm coh}$ and thus underestimates V_0 , each of these two factors (see Subsection [III C\)](#page-7-0) would separately lead to the odd LDA value of 219.2 GPa which they obtained. Nevertheless, due to this fact, Gordienko and Zhuravlev argued that one should consider the LDA and GGA average value of B_0 .

D. Formation Energies

Formation energies in the present work are used as a measure of the relative thermodynamic stabilities of the phases under consideration. That is, the lower the formation energy, the lower the tendency to dissociate back into the constituent components Ag and N_2 .

The obtained formation energies E_f of the twenty relaxed phases are given in Table [I](#page-4-0) and depicted graphically in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0) The latter shows that, relative to each other and within each series, the formation energy E_f (defined by Eqs. [6](#page-2-6) and [7\)](#page-2-7) of the studied phases has the same trend as the cohesive energy 65 . That is, all phases have the same relative stabilities in the E_f space as in the $E_{\rm coh}$ space. However, while Ag3N phases tend to have equal E_{coh} as the AgN phases, all Ag₃N modifications have a lower E_f than the AgN ones. Hence, silver nitride is more likely to be formed in the former stoichiometry. However, all the twenty obtained E_f values are positive; which, in principle, means that all these phases are thermodynam-ically unstable (endothermic)^{[66](#page-14-3)}.

Some of the experimental values of E_f for the synthesized Ag_3N phase (which is claimed to be in an fcc structure) are $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} = 2.062 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} = 2.062 \text{ eV/atom}$ $+199 \text{ kJ/mol}^{11} = 2.062 \text{ eV/atom}$, $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV}$ $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV}$ $+230 \text{ kJ/mol}^{10} = 2.384 \text{ eV}$ atom, $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^{9} =$ $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^{9} =$ $+255 \text{ kJ/mol}^{9} =$ 2.643 eV/atom and $(+314.4 \pm 2.5)$ kJ/mo[l](#page-12-8)⁷ = $(3.25853 \pm$ 0.02591) eV; with an average value of 2.587 ± 0.364 eV. Among the considered phases in the present work, there is only one phase wich has E_f value that fits in this range, the $\text{AgN}_2(\text{C1})$. Interestingly, this C1 structure has an fcc underlying Bravia lattice; however, the chemical formula differs from that of the synthesized phase.

E. Electronic Properties

The DFT(GGA) calculated band diagrams (i.e. $\epsilon_i^{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})$ curves) and spin-projected total and orbital resolved (i.e. partial) density of states (DOS) of the most stable phases: $D0₉$, RhF₃, $D0₂$, B17, and C18 are presented in Figs. [6,](#page-9-0) [7,](#page-9-1) [8,](#page-9-2) [9](#page-10-0) and [10,](#page-10-1) respectively. Spin-projected total density of states (TDOS) are shown in sub-figure (b) in each case. In all the six considered cases, electrons occupy the spinup and spin-down bands equally, resulting in zero spinpolarization density of states: $\zeta(\epsilon) = n_{\uparrow}(\epsilon) - n_{\perp}(\epsilon)$. Thus, it is sufficient only to display spin-up (or spin-down) density of states (DOS) and spin-up (or spin-down) band diagrams. In order to investigate the details of the electronic structure of these phases, energy bands are plotted along densely sampled high-symmetry string of neighboring k-points. Moreover, to extract information about the orbital character of the bands, the $Ag(s, p, d)$ and $N(s, p)$ partial DOS are displayed at the same energy scale.

Fig. [6\(](#page-9-0)a) shows the band structure $\epsilon_i^{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})$ of $Ag_3N(D0_9)$. With its valence band maximum (VBM) at $(R, -0.086 \, eV)$ and its conduction band minimum (CBM) at $(\Gamma, 0.049 \text{ eV})$, Ag₃N(D₀) presents a semiconducting character with a narrow indirect band gap E_q of 0.134 eV . From sub-figures $6(c)$ $6(c)$ and (d), it is seen clearly that the $Ag(d)-N(p)$ mixture in the region from -7.286 eV to -0.086 eV beneath E_F , with two peaks: a low density peak around $1.5 eV$ and a high density peak around 4.0 eV steaming mainly from the bands of silver d electrons.

Our obtained PDOS, TDOS and band structure of Ag3N(D09) agree qualitatively well with Gordienko and Zhuravlev^{[15](#page-12-15)}; however, using LCAO method within GGA, the value of the indirect E_g of Ag₃N(D0₉) they predicted is $0.25 eV$.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no experimentally reported E_q value for Ag₃N. However, Tong^{[8](#page-12-9)} prepared $Ag_{3+x}N$ samples, and carried out XRD measurements to confirm the fcc symmetry of the prapared samples. Using a TB-LMTO code within LDA, Tong then calculated the band structure of Ag3N and obtained an indirect energy gap of $1.35\ eV$. Nevertheless, we could not figure out the positions of the N ions Tong's model.

It is a well known drawback of Kohn-Sham DFT-based calculations to underestimate the band gap. Thus the more demanding GW calculations were carried out, and the obtained E_g value will be presented in Sec. [III F.](#page-11-0)

Calculated electronic properties of $Ag_3N(D0_2)$ are dis-played in Fig. [8.](#page-9-2) sub-figure $8(a)$ $8(a)$ shows the energy bands $\epsilon_i^{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})$ of Ag₃N(D₀₂). With its valence band maximum (VBM) at $(H, -0.091 \, \text{eV})$ and its conduction band minimum (CBM) at $(\Gamma, 0.039 \text{ eV})$, Ag₃N(D0₉) presents semiconducting character with a narrow indirect band gap E_g of 0.130 eV . From sub-figures $8(c)$ $8(c)$ and (d), one can notice clearly the $Ag(d)-N(p)$ mixture in the region from $-7.249\ eV$ to $-0.091\ eV$ below E_F , with two peaks: a low density peak around −1.3 eV steaming from an almost equal mixture of $\text{Ag}(d)$ and $\text{N}(p)$, and a high density peak around $-4.3 eV$ steming mainly from the bands of silver d electrons plus a relatively very low contribution from the $N(p)$ states.

Fig. [7](#page-9-1) depicts the band diagram and DOS's of $Ag_3N(RhF_3)$. In contrast to $Ag_3N(D0_9)$ and $Ag_3N(D0_2)$, sub-figure $7(a)$ $7(a)$ shows that $Ag_3N(RhF_3)$ is a semiconductor with a narrow *direct* band gap of 0.129 eV of width located at Γ point. The VBM is at -0.089 eV and the CBM is at 0.040 eV . From sub-figures $7(c)$ $7(c)$ and (d), one can see the $Ag(d)-N(p)$ mixture is in the region from -7.286 eV to -0.089 eV beneath E_F , with two peaks: a low density peak around $-1.366\ eV$ steaming from an almost equal mixture of $Ag(d)$ and $N(p)$, and a high density peak around $-4.382 eV$ steaming mainly from the bands of silver d electrons plus a relatively very low contribution from the $N(p)$ states.

The relationship between $D0₉$, $D0₂$ and $RhF₃$ structures manifests itself in many common features between the electronic structure of these three Ag_3N nitrides: (i) equal E_g of ~ 0.13 eV; (ii) a deep bound band around \sim −14.6 eV below E_F consists mainly of the N(2s) states; (iii) a broad valence band with \sim 7.2 eV of width that comes mostly from the 4d electrons of Ag plus a very small contribution from $N(2p)$; and (iv) the relatively low TDOS of the conduction bands.

Energy bands $\epsilon_i^{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})$, total density of states (TDOS) and partial (orbital-resolved) density of states (PDOS) of $AgN(B17)$ are shown in Figs. [9.](#page-10-0) It is clear that AgN(B17) would be a true metal at its equilibrium. The major contribution to the very low TDOS around Fermi energy E_F comes from the 2p states of the N atoms as it is evident from sub-figure $9(d)$ $9(d)$. Beneath E_F lies a band with \sim 7.3 eV of width, in which the main contribution is due to the $Ag(4d)$ states plus a small contribution from the $N(2p)$ states. While the $N(2s)$ states dominate the deep lowest region around 13.5 eV , the low density unoccupied bands stem mainly from the $N(2p)$ states. The Fermi surface crosses two partly occupied bands: a lower one in the $X-M$, Γ-Z-A and Γ-X-R directions, and a higher band in the $X-M-\Gamma$ and $M-A$ directions. Thus, E_F is not a continuous surface contained entirely within the first BZ.

It may be worth mentioning here that $\text{AgN}(B1)^{16,68}$ $\text{AgN}(B1)^{16,68}$ $\text{AgN}(B1)^{16,68}$ $\text{AgN}(B1)^{16,68}$ and $AgN(B3)^{16,69}$ $AgN(B3)^{16,69}$ $AgN(B3)^{16,69}$ $AgN(B3)^{16,69}$ phases were also theoretically predicted to be metallic.

Although $\text{AgN}_2(\text{CoSb}_2)$ is the most stable phase, but the difference in cohesive energy between $\text{AgN}_2(\text{CoSb}_2)$ and $\text{AgN}_2(\text{C18})$ is less than 0.02 eV/atom , and we decided to examine the electronic structure of both phases. With E_F crossing the finite TDOS, Fig. [10](#page-10-1) shows that $\text{AgN}_2(\text{C18})$ is metallic at 0 K. The orbital resolved DOS's reveal that the major contribution to the low TDOS at E_F comes from the $N(2p)$ states with tiny

FIG. 6. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for Ag_3N in the D0₉ structure: (a) band structure along the high-symmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67\]](#page-14-6). Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: $M(0.5, 0.5, 0.0)$, $\Gamma(0.0, 0.0, 0.0)$, $X(0.0, 0.5, 0.0)$, $R(0.5, 0.5, 0.5)$; (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of $Ag(s, p, d)$ orbitals in Ag₃N; and (d) PDOS of N(s, p) orbitals in Ag₃N,.

FIG. 7. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for Ag_3N in the RhF₃ structure: (a) band structure along the high-symmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67\]](#page-14-6). Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: $F(0.5, 0.5, 0.0), Q(0.375, 0.625, 0.0), B(0.5, 0.75, 0.25), Z(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), \Gamma(0.0, 0.0, 0.0), L(0.0, 0.5, 0.0), Y(0.25, 0.5, -0.25),$ $\Sigma(0.0, 0.5, -.5)$; (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of Ag(s, p, d) orbitals in Ag₃N; and (d) PDOS of $N(s, p)$ orbitals in Ag₃N.

FIG. 8. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for Ag_3N in the $D0_2$ structure: (a) band structure along the high-symmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67\]](#page-14-6). Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: $\Gamma(0.0, 0.0, 0.0)$, $N(0.0, 0.0, 0.5)$, $P(0.25, 0.25, 0.25)$, $H(0.5, -.5, 0.5)$; (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of $Ag(s, p, d)$ orbitals in Ag₃N; and (d) PDOS of N(s, p) orbitals in Ag₃N.

FIG. 9. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for AgN in the B17 structure: (a) band structure along the high-symmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67\]](#page-14-6). Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: $X(0.0, 0.5, 0.0), M(0.5, 0.5, 0.0), \Gamma(0.0, 0.0, 0.0), Z(0.0, 0.0, 0.5), A(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), R(0.0, 0.5, 0.5);$ (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of Ag(s, p, d) orbitals in AgN; and (d) PDOS of $N(s, p)$ orbitals in AgN.

FIG. 10. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for $AgN₂$ in the C18 structure: (a) band structure along the highsymmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67](#page-14-6)]. Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: $\Gamma(0.0, 0.0, 0.0), X(0.0, 0.5, 0.0), S(-.5, 0.5, 0.0), Y(-.5, 0.0, 0.0), Z(0.0, 0.0, 0.5), U(0.0, 0.5, 0.5), R(-.5, 0.5, 0.5), T(-.5, 0.0, 0.5);$ (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of $Ag(s, p, d)$ orbitals in AgN_2 ; and (d) PDOS of $N(s, p)$ orbitals in AgN₂.

FIG. 11. (Color online.) DFT calculated electronic structure for $AgN₂$ in the CoSb₂ structure: (a) band structure along the high-symmetry k-points which are labeled according to Ref. [\[67](#page-14-6)]. Their coordinates w.r.t. the reciprocal lattice basis vectors are: Γ(0.0, 0.0, 0.0), B(−.5, 0.0, 0.0), A(−.5, 0.5, 0.0), E(−.5, 0.5, 0.5), Z(0.0, 0.0, 0.5), Y (0.0, 0.5, 0.0), D(−.5, 0.0, 0.5) and $C(0.0, 0.5, 0.5)$; (b) spin-projected total density of states (TDOS); (c) partial density of states (PDOS) of Ag(s, p, d) orbitals in AgN₂; and (d) PDOS of $N(s, p)$ orbitals in AgN₂.

contributions from the 5s, 4d and 3p states of Ag, respectively. As one can see from sub-figure $10(a)$ $10(a)$, the E_F surface crosses the edges of the first Brillouin zone in the Z -U-R-S-T-X and T-Z directions.

The calculated electronic properties of $\text{AgN}_2(\text{CoSb}_2)$ are displayed in Fig. [11.](#page-10-2) Band structure, TDOS and orbital resolved DOS's have almost the same features as the corresponding ones of $\text{AgN}_2(\text{C18})$. It may be worth to mention here that C1 phase of $AgN₂$ was also theoret-ically predicted to be metallic^{[15](#page-12-15)}.

Compared to the metallic AgN(B17), three new features of these 1:2 nitrides are evident: (i) Deep at \sim −22.7 eV there is a highly-localized mixture of the $N(s)$ - $N(p)$ states. However, the variation in $N(2s)$ energy with respect to k is smaller than the variation of N(2p) states, resulting in a narrower and higher PDOS. (ii) Below the band that is crossed by E_F there are four bands separated by $\sim 11.4 \, eV, \sim 1.6 \, eV, \sim 0.38 \, eV$ and $\sim 0.28 \, eV$ energy gaps, respectively. (iii) The very tiny contribution of the N(p) states to the N(2p)-Ag(4d) band.

A common feature of all the studied cases is that $Ag(p)$ -orbitals do not contribute significantly to the hybrid bands. Another common feature is the highly structured, intense and narrow series of peaks in the TDOS valance band corresponding to the superposition of $N(2p)$ and $Ag(4d)$ states. In their k-space, $Ag(4d)$ energies show little variation with respect to k; hence the Van Hove singularities-like sharp features.

To summarize, we have found that the most stable phases of AgN and $AgN₂$ are metallic, while those of Ag_3N are semiconductors. A close look at Fig. [9](#page-10-0) up to Fig. [6](#page-9-0) reveals that as the nitrogen to silver ratio increases from $x = 1$ to $x = 1/2$, the TDOS at E_F decreases; and by arriving at $x = 1/3$ a gap opens. This finding agrees well with Gordienko and Zhuravlev^{[15](#page-12-15)}. Moreover, it may be worth mentioning here that such behavior was theoretically predicted to be true for copper nitrides as $\text{well}^{5,70}.$ $\text{well}^{5,70}.$ $\text{well}^{5,70}.$ $\text{well}^{5,70}.$

F. Optical Properties

Fig. [12](#page-12-23) depicts the GW calculated real and imaginary parts of the frequency-dependent dielectric function $\varepsilon_{\rm RPA}(\omega)$ of Ag₃N(D₀₉) and the corresponding derived optical constants. The optical region^{71} is shaded in each sub-figure.

The real part $\varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega)$ (sub-figure [12\(](#page-12-23)a)) shows an upward trend before $\sim 2.3 \, eV$, where it reaches its maximum value and generally decreases after that. The imaginary part $\varepsilon_{\text{im}}(\omega)$ (same sub-figure [12\(](#page-12-23)a)) shows an upward trend before $\sim 1.0 \, eV$ and it has three main peaks located at ~ 2.6 eV in the optical region, ~ 3.3 eV at the right edge of the optical region, and at $\sim 4.1 \, eV$ in the UV range.

Calculated reflectivity $R(\omega)$ and transmitivity $T(\omega)$ are displayed in sub-figure [12\(](#page-12-23)b). With $0.6 \le R(\omega) \le 0.8$, it is evident that $Ag_3N(D0_9)$ is a good reflector, specially in the red and the infrared regions. In the visible range, the maximum transmitivity $T(\omega)$ is at ~ 2.54 eV ≡ 489 nm, which is at the blue-green edge.

sub-figure $12(c)$ $12(c)$ depicts the calculated refraction $n(\omega)$ and extinction $\kappa(\omega)$ coefficients. As they should, these two spectra have, in general, the same qualitative frequency dependence as the real $\varepsilon_{\rm re}(\omega)$ and the imaginary $\varepsilon_{\text{im}}(\omega)$ dielectric functions, respectively.

From the absorption coefficient $\alpha(\omega)$ spectrum (subfigure $12(d)$ $12(d)$, it can be seen that $Ag_3N(D0_9)$ starts absorbing photons with $\sim 0.9 \, eV$ energy. Hence, it is clear that GW_0 calculations give a band gap of $\sim 0.9 \, eV$, which is a significant improvement over the value obtained from DFT. The non-vanishing $\alpha(\omega)$ in the whole optical region agrees with the experiment, since it may explain the observed black color of the synthesized Ag₃N.

To the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first trial to theoretically investigate the optical properties of silver nitride. However, for more accurate optical characterization (e.g. more accurate positions and amplitudes of the characteristic peaks), electron-hole excitations should be calculated. This can be done by evaluating the two-body Green function G_2 on the basis of our obtained GW one-particle Green function G and QP energies, then solving the so-called Bethe-Salpeter equation, the equation of motion of $G_2^{\tau_2}$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have succesfully employed first-principles calculation methods to investigate the structural, stability, electronic and optical properties of Ag₃N, AgN and AgN₂. Within the accuracy of the employed methods, the obtained structural parameters, EOS, B_0 , B'_0 and electronic properties show good agreement with the few avialable previous calculations. On the other hand, our obtained results show, at least, partial agreement with three experimental facts: (i) the lattice parameter of $Ag_3N(D0_9)$ is close to the experimentally reported one; (ii) the positive formation energies reveals the endothermic (unstable) nature of silver nitrides, and (iii) absorption spectrum explains its observed black color. Moreover, the present work may be considered as the first trial to theoretically investigate the optical properties of silver nitride. We hope that some of our obtained results will be confirmed in future experimentally and/or theoretically.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All GW calculations and some DFT calculations were carried out using the infrastructure of the Centre for High Performance Computing (CHPC) in Cape Town. Suleiman would like to acknowledge the support he received from Wits, DAAD, AIMS, SUST and the AS-ESMA group. Many thanks to the Scottish red pen of

FIG. 12. (Color online.) The GW calculated frequency-dependent optical spectra of Ag₃N(D0₉): (a) the real $\varepsilon_{re}(\omega)$ and the imaginary $\varepsilon_{\text{im}}(\omega)$ parts of the dielectric function $\varepsilon_{\text{RPA}}(\omega)$; (b) reflectivity $R(\omega)$ and transmitivity $T(\omega)$; (c) refraction $n(\omega)$ and extinction $\kappa(\omega)$ coefficients; and (d) absorption coefficient $\alpha(\omega)$. The shaded area highlights the optical region.

Ross McIntosh!

- [∗] Corresponding author: suleiman@aims.ac.za
- [†] Homepage: <http://www.wits.ac.za/staff/daniel.joubert2.htm> ¹ D. Åberg, P. Erhart, J. Crowhurst, J. M.
- P. Erhart, J. Crowhurst, J. M. Zaug, A. F. Goncharov, and B. Sadigh, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.104116) 82, 104116 (Sep 2010), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.104116>
- $2 X.$ P. Du and Y. X. Wang, [Journal of Applied Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3309787) 107, 053506 (2010), <http://link.aip.org/link/?JAP/107/053506/1>
- ³ M. G. Moreno-Armenta, W. L. Prez, and N. Takeuchi, [Solid State Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2006.12.002) 9, 166 (2007), ISSN 1293-2558,
- ⁴ R. Juza and H. Hahn, [Zeitschrift fr anorganische und allgemeine Chemie](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19392410204) **241,** 172 (1939), ISSN 1521-3749, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19392410204>
- ⁵ M. S. H. Suleiman, M. P. Molepo, and D. P. Joubert, ArXiv e-prints(Nov. 2012), [arXiv:1211.0179 \[cond-mat.mtrl-sci\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0179)

6 \vee Du A Ji. L. Ma, Y. Wang
- ⁶ Y. Du, A. Ji, L. Ma, Y. Wang, and Z. Cao, [Journal of Crystal Growth](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2005.03.077)
 280. 490 (2005), ISSN 0022-0248, **280,** 490 (2005), ISSN 0022-0248,
- ⁷ E. S. Shanley and J. L. Ennis, [Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie00059a023) 30, 2503 (1991), [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ie00059a023,](http://arxiv.org/abs/http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ie00059a023) <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ie00059a023>
- $^8\,$ J. J. Tong, *Darstellung, Strukturen und Eigenschaften ausgew¨ahlter Perowskit-Materialien und Molek¨ulkristalle*, Ph.D. thesis, Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Stuttgart (2010),
- http://elib.uni-stuttgart.de/opus/volltexte/2010/5816 p_0 H Hahn and E. Gilbert, Hahn and E. Gilbert, [Zeitschrift fr anorganische Chemie](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19492580109) **258,** 77 (1949), ISSN 1521-3749, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19492580109>

¹⁰ P Anderson and N. Parlee,
- 11 R. Juza and H. Hahn, [Zeitschrift fr anorganische und allgemeine Chemie](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19402440205) **244,** 133 (1940), ISSN 1521-3749,
- <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.19402440205> ¹² M. Haisa, [Acta Crystallographica Section A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0567739482000990) 38, 443 (Jul
- 1982), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0567739482000990> ¹³ Ag3N, formerly termed *fulminating silver* by its discoverers, can be formed from ammoniacal solutions of silver oxide according to the following reaction
	- $3\text{Ag}_2\text{O} + 2\text{NH}_3^{(\text{aq})} \longrightarrow 2\text{Ag}_3\text{N} + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}.$ (16) It can also be formed by means of other reactions^{[7](#page-12-8)[,8](#page-12-9)} .
- <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1293255806002858> Ennis and E. S. Shanley, [Journal of Chemical Education](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ed068pA6) 68, A6 (1991), [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ed068pA6,](http://arxiv.org/abs/http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ed068pA6) <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ed068pA6>
	- ¹⁵ A. Gordienko and Y. Zhuravlev, Journal of Structural Chemistry 51, 401 (2010), ISSN 0022-4766, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10947-010-0061-8>
	- ¹⁶ M. Kanoun and S. Goumri-Said, [Physics Letters A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2006.09.100) **362,** 73 (2007), ISSN 0375-9601,
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S00220248805004264u and X. F. Zhang, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0375960106 [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.054103) 72, 054103 (Aug 2005), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.054103>
	- ¹⁸ A. F. Wells, *[Structural Inorganic Chemistry](http://books.google.co.za/books?id=lQfwAAAAMAAJ)*, 5th ed. (Oxford University Press, 1984) ISBN 9780198553700, <http://books.google.co.za/books?id=lQfwAAAAMAAJ>
	- ¹⁹ U. von Barth and L. Hedin, [Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1088/0022-3719/5/13/012) 5, 1629 (Feb 1972), <http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3719/5/13/012/> ²⁰ M. Pant and A. Rajagopal, [Solid State Communications](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(72)90934-9)
	- 10, 1157 (1972), ISSN 0038-1098, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/00381098729
	- 21 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.558) 47, 558 (Jan 1993), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.558> 22 $\rm C$ $\;$ $\;$ Kresse $\;$ $\;$ and $\;$ J. $\;$ Hafr

Anderson and N. Parlee, High
ature Science 2, 289 (1970), Temperature Science http://www.osti.gov/energycitations/product.biblio.jsp?query.idd=0&page=0&osti**qu**d=40&52%4 2^2 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, $\frac{p_{\text{4}}}{q}$ ker $\frac{p_{\text{4}}}{q}$ (May 1994),

- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.14251>
 23 C Kresse and J. Furthm 2^3 G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, [Computational Materials Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0) 6, 15 (1996), ISSN 0927-0256,
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0927025686800(20808), <http://othes.univie.ac.at/2622/> 24 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) 54, 11169 (Oct 1996),
- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169> Hafner, [Journal of Computational Chemistry](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057)

2044 (2008), ISSN 1096-987X. 29, 2044 (2008), ISSN 1096-987X, ${\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \begin{array}{l} {\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \\ {\hbox{\rm\it C}} \end{array}$ ${\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \begin{array}{l} {\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \\ {\hbox{\rm\it C}} \end{array}$ ${\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \begin{array}{l} {\hbox{\rm http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057}} \\ {\hbox{\rm\it C}} \end{array}$
- Kresse and D. P. Joubert, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758) 59, 1758 (Jan 1999), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758>
- 27 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, [Physical Review](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133) 140, A1133 (Nov 1965), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133>
- J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) 13, 5188 (Jun 1976), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188>
- ²⁹ O. Jepson and O. Anderson, [Solid State Communications](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(71)90313-9) **9**, 1763 (1971), ISSN 0038-1098, $\texttt{http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038109871903139}$ $\texttt{http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038109871903139}$ $\texttt{http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038109871903139}$
- ³⁰ G. Lehmann and M. Taut, [physica status solidi \(b\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pssb.2220540211) 54, 469 (1972), ISSN 1521-3951, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pssb.2220540211>
- ³¹ P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.16223) 49, 16223 (Jun 1994),
- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.16223>
M. Methfessel and A. T. Paxton $\frac{32 \text{ M}}{\text{M}}$. Methfessel and A. T. Paxton,
Physical Review B $\frac{40}{60}$, 3616 (Aug 1989), [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.3616) 40, 3616 (Aug 1989), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.3616>
- ³³ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) 77, 3865 (Oct 1996), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865>
- ³⁴ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1396) 78, 1396 (Feb 1997),
- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1396>
³⁵ M Ernzerhof and G. E. Scuseria, Ernzerhof and G. E. Scuseria, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.478401) 110, 5029 (1999), <http://link.aip.org/link/?JCP/110/5029/1>
- ³⁶ A. D. Becke, [Physical Review A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098) 38, 3098 (Sep 1988), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098>
- ³⁷ J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.6671) 46, 6671 (Sep 1992), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.6671>
- ³⁸ J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.4978.2) 48, 4978 (Aug 1993), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.4978.2>
- 39 P. E. Blöchl, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) 50, 17953 (Dec 1994), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953>
- ⁴⁰ F. Birch, [Physical Review](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.71.809) 71, 809 (Jun 1947), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.71.809>
- ⁴¹ M. Gajdoš and K. Hummer and G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller and F. Bechstedt, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.045112) 73, 045112 (Jan 2006), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.045112>
- 42 W. G. Aulbur, L. Jönsson, and J. W. Wilkins (Academic Press, 1999) pp. 1 – 218,
- ⁴³ J. Kohanoff, *Electronic Structure Calculations for Solids and Molecules : Theory and Computational Methods*

(Cambridge University Press; Cambridge, 2006)

- ⁴⁴ J. Harl, *The Linear Response Function in Density Functional Theory: Optical Spectra and Improved Description of the Electron Correlation*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Vi-
- L. Hedin, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.139.A796) 139, A796 (Aug 1965),
- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.139.A796>
⁴⁶ G. Kresse, M. Marsman, and J. Furthmulle M. Marsman, and J. Furthmuller, "Vasp the guide," (2011), available on-line at <http://cms.mpi.univie.ac.at/vasp/vasp/>. Last accessed October 2012.
- ⁴⁷ M. Fox, *[Optical Properties of Solids](http://books.google.co.za/books?id=-5bVBbAoaGoC)*, Oxford Master Series in Physics: Condensed Matter Physics (Oxford University Press, 2010) ISBN 9780199573363,
- <http://books.google.co.za/books?id=-5bVBbAoaGoC> Dressel and G. Grüner, *[Electrodynamics of solids : optical properties of electrons in matter](http://www.amazon.com/Electrodynamics-Solids-Optical-Properties-Electrons/dp/0521597269)* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge New York, 2002) ISBN 0521592534
- ⁴⁹ A. Miller, in *[Handbook of Optics, Volume 1: Fundamentals, Techniques,](http://books.google.co.za/books?id=ggc6AQAAIAAJ) Optical Society of America* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 2010) ISBN 0070479747, 9780070479746
- [The structures of the elements](http://books.google.co.za/books?id=Q-rvAAAAMAAJ), A Wiley-interscience publication (John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1974) ISBN 0471217883, ley & Sons Inc., 1974) ISBN 0471217883, <http://books.google.co.za/books?id=Q-rvAAAAMAAJ>
- ⁵¹ C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, eigth ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2005) ISBN 9780471415268, <http://books.google.co.za/books?id=kym4QgAACAAJ>
- ⁵² S. Raju, E. Mohandas, and V. Raghunathan, J. Phys. Chem Solids **58**, 1367 (1997)
⁵³ M. J. Mehl and D
- J. Mehl and D. A. Papaconstantopoulos, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.4519) 54, 4519 (Aug 1996), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.4519>
- ⁵⁴ E. Zarechnaya, N. Skorodumova, S. Simak, B. Johansson, and E. Isaev, [Computational Materials Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2007.12.018) 43, 522 (2008), ISSN 0927-0256,
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927025608
55 II Hahn and W. Weber, ⁵⁵ U. Hahn and W. Weber, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.12684) 53, 12684 (May 1996),
- <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.12684> ⁵⁶ G. Grimvall, *[Thermophysical Properties of Materials](http://books.google.co.za/books?id=TCWZlgbB3EEC)*
- (North Holland, 1986) http://books.google.co.za/books?id=TCWZ1g
- ⁵⁷ M. S. H. Suleiman and D. P. Joubert, in South African Institute of Phusics 57^{th} An-*South African Institute of Physics 57*th *Annual Conference (SAIP 2012), No. 298* (2012) http://indico.saip.org.za/confSpeakerIndex.py?view=full&lette
- ⁵⁸ M. S. H. Suleiman and D. P. Joubert, in *South African Institute of Physics 57*th *Annual Conference (SAIP 2012), No. 299* (2012) http://indico.saip.org.za/confSpeakerIndex.py?view=full&letter=
- ⁵⁹ J. von Appen, M.-W. Lumey, and R. Dron-skowski, Angewandte Chemie International Edition [Angewandte Chemie International Edition](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200600431) 45, 4365 (2006), ISSN 1521-3773, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200600431>
- 60 In th[e](#page-12-16)ir original article¹⁶, Kanoun and Said stated that "... there are two atom in wurtzite unit cell, and one in all the other cases." which is a clear typo!
 $\frac{61}{7}$ Z W₁₁ and R. E. Cohe
- 61 Z. Wu and R. E. Cohen, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.235116) 73, 235116 (Jun 2006), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.235116>

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S008ff94708602480overov, G. E. Scuseria, J. Tao, and J. P. Perdew, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.075102) 69, 075102 (Feb 2004), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.075102>

⁶³ J. P. Perdew and S. Kurth, in *[A Primer in Density Functional Theory](http://books.google.co.za/books/about/A_Primer_in_Density_Functional_Theory.html?id=mX793GABep8C&redir_esc=y)*, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, 2003) ISBN 9783540030836, <http://books.google.co.za/books?id=mX793GABep8C>

 64 64 Since Eq. 4 does not refer to any stoichiometry or any species (that is, it does not consider the way that the change in energy or volume was done), we may take the change in volume (or energy) with respect to itself, with respect to the parent $Ag(A1)$, or with respect to any of the other nineteen considered modifications.

- 65 Surely, this needs not to be so. Compare the definition [3](#page-2-3) with the definition [6.](#page-2-6)
- 66 It is common that one obtains positive DFT formation energy for (even the experimentally synthesized) transition-metal nitrides. Moreover, the zeropressure zero-temperature DFT calculations have to be corrected for the conditions of formation of these nitrides. Another source of this apparent shortcoming stems from the PBE-GGA underestimation of the cohesion in N_2 . We have discussed this point

further in Ref. [5.](#page-12-6)

- ⁶⁷ C. J. Bradley and A. P. Cracknell, *The Mathematical Theory of Symmetry in Solids: Representation Theory for Point Groups and Space Groups* (Oxford: Clarendon
- Press, 1972)
68 D. Engin, Engin, C. Kemal, and C. Y. Oztekin,
nese Physics Letters **25**, 2154 (2008). Chinese Physics Letters 25, 2154 (2008), <http://stacks.iop.org/0256-307X/25/i=6/a=063>
- ⁶⁹ R. de Paiva, R. A. Nogueira, and J. L. A. Alves, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085105) 75, 085105 (Feb 2007),
- $\begin{tabular}{c} http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085105\\ \hline 70$ M \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Moreno-Armenta \hspace{0.6cm} and \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Soto, \end{tabular}$ $\begin{tabular}{c} http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085105\\ \hline 70$ M \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Moreno-Armenta \hspace{0.6cm} and \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Soto, \end{tabular}$ $\begin{tabular}{c} http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085105\\ \hline 70$ M \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Moreno-Armenta \hspace{0.6cm} and \hspace{0.6cm} G. \hspace{0.6cm} Soto, \end{tabular}$ G. Moreno-Armenta and G. Soto, [Solid State Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2007.10.003) 10, 573 (2008), ISSN 1293-2558, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1293255807
- 71 Recall that the optical region (i.e. the visible spectrum) is about $(390 \sim 750)$ nm which corresponds to
- $\begin{array}{c} \text{(3.183}\sim1.655)\ eV.\\ \text{N.} \end{array}$ Rohlfing and S. G. Louie, [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.4927) 62, 4927 (Aug 2000), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.4927>