Molecular diffusion between walls with adsorption and desorption

Maximilien Levesque,^{1, a)} Olivier Bénichou,² and Benjamin Rotenberg¹

¹⁾ CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7195 PECSA, 75005 Paris, France ²⁾ CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7600 LBTMC 75005 Paris, France

²⁾ CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7600 LPTMC, 75005 Paris, France

The time dependency of the diffusion coefficient of particles in porous media is an efficient probe of their geometry. The analysis of this quantity, measured *e.g.* by nuclear magnetic resonance (PGSE-NMR), can provide rich information pertaining to porosity, pore size distribution, permeability and surface-to-volume ratio of porous materials. Nevertheless, in numerous if not all practical situations, transport is confined by walls where adsorption and desorption processes may occur. In this article, we derive explicitly the expression of the time-dependent diffusion coefficient between two confining walls in the presence of adsorption and desorption. We show that they strongly modify the time-dependency of the diffusion coefficient, even in this simple geometry. We finally propose several applications, from sorption rates measurements to the use as a reference for numerical implementations for more complex geometries.

I. INTRODUCTION

The time dependency of the diffusion coefficient of particles in porous media is an efficient probe of their geometry^{1–5}. Extracting information on the microscopic structure of the porous medium from diffusion measurements, has seen applications from heterogeneous geological materials, *e.g.* clays⁵ to biological tissues, *e.g.* brain⁶. In this respect, pulsed gradient spin echo nuclear magnetic resonance measurements (PGSE-NMR), unraveled by explicit derivations of the time-dependent diffusion coefficient of tracers in simple, model media,^{4,7,8} have shown to reveal rich information regarding to porosity, pore size distribution, permeability and surface-to-volume ratio.⁹

Nevertheless, in numerous if not all practical situations, transport is confined by walls where adsorption and desorption processes may occur. This is especially true for porous media for which the surface-to-volume ratio is large. Such processes dramatically alter the overall dynamics of the diffusing species, even in the most simple geometries^{4,10–14}.

Here, we derive explicitly the expression of the timedependent diffusion coefficient between two confining walls in the presence of general adsorption and desorption.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Following a stochastic approach, we consider a Brownian particle moving along the x axis with a bulk diffusion

coefficient D_b , confined between two walls at positions x = 0 and x = L. The evolution equations and the boundary conditions are

$$\partial_t c(x,t) = D_b \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} \text{ for } x \in]0, L[,$$
(1)

$$\Gamma_0(t) = -k_d \Gamma_0 + k_a c \left(0, t\right) = D_b \left. \partial_x c \right|_{x=0}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\Gamma_L(t) = -k_d \Gamma_L + k_a c \left(L, t\right) = -D_b \left. \partial_x c \right|_{x=L}, \quad (3)$$

where c(x,t) [length⁻³] is the particle concentration at position x and time t, Γ_0 and Γ_L [length⁻²] are the surface concentrations in adsorbed particles at both walls. The desorption and adsorption rates are noted k_d [time⁻¹] and k_a [length·time⁻¹]. The brownian particles can be in three states. In state a_b , they're free to move along the x axis. In states a_0 or a_L , they're adsorbed at one wall at x = 0 or L, where they remain immobile. The equilibrium probability P_{eq} associated to these states follows from the normalization condition:

$$\sum_{\alpha = a_0, a_L} P_{\text{eq}}(\alpha) + \int_0^L P_{\text{eq}}(a_b) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1, \qquad (4)$$

with the result $P_{\text{eq}}(a_0) = P_{\text{eq}}(a_L) = k_a/(k_dL + 2k_a)$ and $P_{\text{eq}}(a_b) = k_d/(k_dL + 2k_a)$.

Assuming that the particles are initially distributed according to $P_{\rm eq}$, the mean squared displacement (MSD), $M(t) = \left\langle \left(x(t) - x_0\right)^2 \right\rangle$, of the particles can be expressed as

$$M(t) = \int_{0}^{L} \mathrm{d}x \int_{0}^{L} \mathrm{d}x_{0} \left(x - x_{0}\right)^{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} P_{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\alpha\right) G\left(x,\beta,t|x_{0},\alpha\right),$$
(5)

a

where $G(x, \beta, t | x_0, \alpha)$ is the particle propagator, *i.e.* the

^{a)}Electronic mail: maximilien.levesque@gmail.com

probability for a particle initially at x_0 in state α to be at x in state β at time t. Several propagators have to be calculated, which correspond to all combinations of starting and ending positions and states. Their explicit determination is conveniently performed by first Laplace transforming Eq. 1–3. In the Laplace domain, boundary conditions associated with adsorption and desorption simplify to radiative boundary conditions (see, for example, Ref.^{14,15}). Then, after standard calculations, the Laplace transform of these propagators are found to be given by:

$$G\left(x < x_0, a_b, s | x_0, a_b\right) = \kappa q(\gamma \cosh(qx) + q \sinh(qx)) \left(\gamma \cosh\left(q \left(x_0 - L\right)\right) - q \sinh\left(q \left(x_0 - L\right)\right)\right),\tag{6}$$

$$\widetilde{G}\left(x > x_0, a_b, s | x_0, a_b\right) = \kappa q \left(\gamma \cosh\left(q x_0\right) + q \sinh\left(q x_0\right)\right) \left(\gamma \cosh\left(q (x - L)\right) - q \sinh\left(q (x - L)\right)\right),\tag{7}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_0, s | x_0, a_b) = \frac{\kappa}{k_a} q\left(\gamma k_a - s\right) \left(\gamma \cosh(q(L - x)) + q \sinh(q(L - x))\right),\tag{8}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_L, s | x_0, a_b) = \frac{\kappa}{k_a} q \left(\gamma k_a - s\right) \left(\gamma \cosh(qx) + q \sinh(qx)\right),\tag{9}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_b, s | x_0, a_0) = \frac{\kappa}{k_d} q \left(\gamma k_a - q^2 D_b\right) \left(\gamma \cosh\left(q \left(x_0 - L\right)\right) - q \sinh\left(q \left(x_0 - L\right)\right)\right),\tag{10}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_0, s | x_0, a_0) = \frac{\kappa}{k_a k_d} q\left(\gamma k_a - s\right) \left(\left(\gamma k_a + q^2 D_b\right) \cosh(Lq) + q\left(k_a + \gamma D_b\right) \sinh(Lq) \right), \tag{11}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_L, s | x_0, a_0) = \frac{\kappa}{k_a k_d} \left(\gamma k_a - s\right) \left(\gamma q k_a - q^3 D_b\right),\tag{12}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_b, s | x_0, a_L) = \frac{\kappa}{k_d} q \left(\gamma k_a - q^2 D_b\right) \left(\gamma \cosh\left(q x_0\right) + q \sinh\left(q x_0\right)\right),\tag{13}$$

$$G(x, a_0, s | x_0, a_L) = G(x, a_L, t | x_0, a_0),$$
(14)

$$\widetilde{G}(x, a_L, s | x_0, a_L) = G(x, a_0, t | x_0, a_0),$$
(15)

where s is the conjugate of t, $q = \sqrt{s/D_b}$, $\gamma = \frac{k_d + s}{k_a}$

and $\kappa = \left(s\left(\gamma^2 + q^2\right)\sinh(Lq) + 2s\gamma q\cosh(Lq)\right)^{-1}$.

Inserting these propagators and their respective P_{eq} in Eq. 5, one gets for the Laplace transform of the mean squared displacement:

$$\widetilde{M}(s) = \frac{1}{2k_a + k_d L} \times \left[\frac{2k_d L}{sq^2} \frac{4k_d (k_d + s) \sinh\left(\frac{qL}{2}\right)}{sq^3 \left((k_d + s) \cosh\left(\frac{qL}{2}\right) + k_a q \sinh\left(\frac{qL}{2}\right) \right)} \right],\tag{16}$$

where s is the conjugate variable of time t in Laplace space, and $q = \sqrt{\frac{s}{D_b}}$. The diffusion coefficient is then easily derived from the MSD as

$$D(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{s}{2}\widetilde{M}(s)\right),\tag{17}$$

where \mathcal{L}^{-1} is the inverse Laplace transform. The resulting reduced time-dependent diffusion coefficient is plotted in figure 1 as a function of the reduced time $D_b t/L^2$, for various adsorption and desorption rates¹⁶. At t = 0, $D(0)/D_b$ is simply the fraction $f = (1 + 2k_a/k_dL)^{-1}$ of mobile (non-adsorbed) particles. Figure 1 explores a large range of f, from weak to strong sorption. For all sorption parameters k_a and k_d , diffusivity decreases with time, as increases the probability for each Brownian particle that explores the media to experience confinement by a wall and immobilization by adsorption. This decrease is strongly modified by the sorption processes, as the more probable it is for a particle to get adsorbed, the more time it takes to explore the media. At long times, because our media is not permeable, *i.e.* because the confinement is total in our geometry, the effective diffusion coefficient tends toward zero.

III. SHORT AND LONG TIMES APPROXIMATIONS

For $\frac{D_b}{k_d L^2} + \frac{k_a}{2k_d L} \gg 1$, *i.e.* for sufficiently long residence time on walls and fraction of adsorbed species, the series expansion of the hyperbolic functions in Eq. 16 allows, together with the residue theorem, to explicitly inverse



FIG. 1. Time-dependent diffusion coefficient in reduced units as a function of the reduced time. Several ratios $f = (1 + 2k_a/k_dL)^{-1}$ are reported, which decrease with the strength of the sorption phenomena: (black line) f = 1, *i.e.* without adsorption; (red dashed line) f = 0.5; (green dot-dashed line) $f = 10^{-1}$ and (blue dotted line) $f = 10^{-2}$.

Eq. 17 in the long time-limit (*i.e.* for $s \to 0$), leading to:

$$\frac{D_{\text{long}}(t)}{D_b} = f \times \frac{k_a L}{2D_b + k_a L} e^{-\frac{k_d}{1 + \frac{k_a L}{2D_b}}t}.$$
 (18)

At short times, we analytically inverse the power series expansion for $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\lim_{s\to\infty} D(s)]$. The first terms read:

$$\frac{D_{\text{short}}(t)}{D_b} = f \times \left(1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{D_b t}}{L} + 2\frac{k_a t}{L}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$
(19)

See Supplementary Material Document No.¹⁷ for terms up to order 10 of the expansion. Figure 2 compares the short and long time approximations of the timedependent diffusion coefficient to the full numerical inversion of the Laplace transform of Eq. 17 for $f = 10^{-1}$. Together, the short and long time approximations provide a simple uniform approximation over the whole temporal domain.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we addressed explicitly the effect of adsorption and desorption phenomena on the timedependent diffusion coefficient of Brownian particles confined between walls. The full expression of D(t) is given, together with convenient and accurate approximations. It is shown that adsorption and desorption processes strongly modify D(t), so that their consideration is important for proper determination of micro-geometric information from D(t) measurements. Eq. 17 may also be used to extract sorption rates k_a and k_d from experimental measurements of D(t), e.g. by PGSE-NMR. Finally, in more complex geometries, resort to numerical simulations such as Lattice Boltzmann^{18,19} is necessary. The



FIG. 2. Reduced diffusion coefficient as a function of reduced time for $f = 10^{-1}$; (black) from the full expression of Eq. 17, (red dashed line) from the short time approximation of Eq. 19 up to order 10 and (blue dotted line) from the long time approximation of Eq. 18.

present work provides exact reference results required for the validation of such numerical schemes in the presence of adsorption and desorption.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank D. Frenkel and I. Pagonabarraga for useful discussions. BR and ML acknowledge financial support from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grant ANR-09-SYSC-012 and OB acknowledges support from European Research Council starting Grant FPTOpt-277998.

- ¹P. P. Mitra, Physica A: Stat. Mech. App. **241**, 122 (1997).
- ²P. N. Sen, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 9871 (2003).
- ³P. N. Sen, Concepts in Magnetic Resonance **23A**, 1 (2004).
- ⁴O. K. Dudko, A. M. Berezhkovskii, and G. H. Weiss, J. Phys. Chem. B **109**, 21296 (2005).
- ⁵A. Valfouskaya, P. M. Adler, J.-F. Thovert, and M. Fleury, J. App. Phys. **97**, 083510 (2005).
- ⁶D. LeBihan, R. Turner, P. Douek, and N. Patronas, Amer. J. Roentgenol. **159**, 591 (1992).
- ⁷A. M. Berezhkovskii and L. Dagdug,
 J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 134102 (2010).
- ⁸A. M. Berezhkovskii and L. Dagdug, J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 124109 (2011).
- ⁹R. L. Kleinberg, Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance vol.9, edited by Wiley, New-York (1996) pp. 4960–4969.
- ¹⁰D. Alcor, V. Croquette, L. Jullien, and A. Lemarchand, PNAS **101**, 8276 (2004).
- ¹¹P. Levitz, M. Zinsmeister, P. Davidson, D. Constantin, and O. Poncelet, Phys. Rev. E 78, 030102 (2008).
- ¹²O. Bénichou, D. Grebenkov, P. Levitz, C. Loverdo, and R. Voituriez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 150606 (2010).
- ¹³A. V. Chechkin, I. M. Zaid, M. A. Lomholt, I. M. Sokolov, and R. Metzler, J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 204116 (2011).
- ¹⁴M. Levesque, O. Bénichou, R. Voituriez, and B. Rotenberg, Phys. Rev. E 86, 036316 (2012).
- ¹⁵A. M. Berezhkovskii, A. V. Barzykin, and V. Y. Zitserman, J. Chem. Phys. , 245104 (2009).

- ¹⁶ J. Abate and P. P. Valkó, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng. **60**, 979 (2004).¹⁸ B. Rotenberg, I. Pagonabarraga,
 ¹⁷ See supplementary material 1 for terms up to order 10 of the short time expansion of the diffusion coefficient.
 ¹⁹ B. Rotenberg, I. Pagonabarraga, Faraday Discussions **144**, 223 (2010). and D. Frenkel, and D. Frenkel,

Supplementary Material for:

Molecular diffusion between walls with adsorption and desorption

Maximilien Levesque,^{1, a)} Olivier Bénichou,² and Benjamin Rotenberg¹ ¹⁾ CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7195 PECSA, 75005 Paris, France

²⁾CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7600 LPTMC, 75005 Paris, France

^{a)}Electronic mail: maximilien.levesque@gmail.com

I. SHORT-TIME EXPANSION OF THE TIME-DEPENDENT DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT

At short times t, the power series expansion for the time-dependent diffusion coefficient up to order 10 reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{D_{abart}(i)}{f \cdot D_{b}} &= 1 - \frac{4\sqrt{D_{b}}\sqrt{2}}{k_{c}} + \frac{2k_{a}t}{L} \\ &= \frac{8k_{a}^{2}k^{3/2}}{3k\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{D_{b}}} \\ &= \frac{k_{a}\left(D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{b/2}}{D_{b}} \\ &+ \frac{16k_{a}^{2}\left(2D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{b/2}}{15L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &+ \frac{k_{a}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{2}}{31D_{c}^{2}} \\ &- \frac{32k_{a}^{2}\left(D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(2D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(k_{a}^{4} - 4D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4}}{105L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{32k_{a}^{2}\left(D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(2k_{a}^{4} - 4D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4}}{105L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{4k_{a}\left(-k_{a}^{4} + 5D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} - 4D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4}}{12D_{0}^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{64k_{a}^{2}\left(2D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(-k_{a}^{4} - 4D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4/2}}{945L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &+ \frac{64k_{a}^{2}\left(2D_{b}k_{a} - k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(-k_{a}^{4} - 4D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{2} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4/2}}{945L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{128k_{a}^{2}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{4/2}}{945L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{128k_{a}^{2}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{10906L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{128k_{a}^{2}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 3D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{10906L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{128k_{a}^{2}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 3D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{13313L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{128k_{a}^{2}\left(k_{a}^{4} - 11D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 4D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{4} - 1D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{4}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{13313L\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &+ \frac{k_{a}\left(k_{a}^{12}\left(-11D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 0D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{2} - 5D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{4}k_{a}^{2} - 1D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}k_{a}^{2} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{202025\sqrt{\pi}D_{b}^{3/2}} \\ &- \frac{512k_{a}^{2}\left(-k_{a}^{4} + 5D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)\left(k_{a}^{4} - 3D_{b}k_{a}k_{a}^{4} + 2D_{b}^{2}k_{a}^{2}\right)t^{1/2}}{2020$$

where D_b is the bulk diffusion coefficient, k_a and k_d are the adsorption and desorption rates, L is the distance between the confining walls, and $f = (1 + 2k_a/k_dL)^{-1}$.