

Is anisotropic flow really acoustic?

Roy A. Lacey,^{1,*} Yi Gu,¹ X. Gong,¹ D. Reynolds,¹ N. N. Ajitanand,¹ J. M. Alexander,¹ A. Mwai,¹ and A. Taranenko¹

¹*Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University,
Stony Brook, NY, 11794-3400, USA*

(Dated: February 9, 2022)

The flow harmonics for charged hadrons (v_n) and their ratios $(v_n/v_2)_{n \geq 3}$, are studied for a broad range of transverse momenta (p_T) and centrality (cent) in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. They indicate characteristic scaling patterns for viscous damping consistent with the dispersion relation for sound propagation in the plasma produced in the collisions. These scaling properties are not only a unique signature for anisotropic expansion modulated by the specific shear viscosity (η/s), they provide essential constraints for the relaxation time, a distinction between two of the leading models for initial eccentricity, as well as an extracted $\langle \eta/s \rangle$ value which is insensitive to the initial geometry model. These constraints could be important for a more precise determination of η/s .

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 25.75.Dw, 25.75.Ld

Azimuthal anisotropy measurements are a key ingredient in ongoing efforts to pin down the precise value of the transport coefficients of the plasma produced in heavy ion collisions at both the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The Fourier coefficients v_n are routinely used to quantify such measurements as a function of collision centrality (cent) and particle transverse momentum p_T ;

$$\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1} v_n(p_T) \cos n(\phi - \psi_n) \right), \quad (1)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle of an emitted particle, and ψ_n are the azimuths of the estimated participant event planes [1, 2];

$$v_n(p_T) = \langle \cos n(\phi - \psi_n) \rangle,$$

where the brackets denote averaging over particles and events. The distribution of the azimuthal angle difference ($\Delta\phi = \phi_a - \phi_b$) between particle pairs with transverse momenta p_T^a and p_T^b (respectively) is also commonly used to quantify the anisotropy [3–6];

$$\frac{dN^{\text{pairs}}}{d\Delta\phi} \propto \left(1 + \sum_{n=1} 2v_{n,n}(p_T^a, p_T^b) \cos(n\Delta\phi) \right), \quad (2)$$

$$v_{n,n}(p_T^a, p_T^b) = v_n(p_T^a) v_n(p_T^b),$$

where the latter factorization has been demonstrated to hold well for $p_T \lesssim 3$ GeV/c for particle pairs with a sizable pseudorapidity gap $\Delta\eta_p$ [5, 6].

The coefficients $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ (for $p_T \lesssim 3 - 4$ GeV/c) have been attributed to an eccentricity-driven hydrodynamic expansion of the plasma produced in the collision zone [7–13]. That is, a finite eccentricity moment ε_n drives uneven pressure gradients in- and out of the event plane ψ_n , and the resulting expansion leads to the anisotropic flow of particles about this plane. In this

model framework, the values of $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ are sensitive to the magnitude of both ε_n and the transport coefficient η/s (*i.e.* the specific shear viscosity or ratio of shear viscosity η to entropy density s) of the expanding hot matter [8, 11, 14–18]. Thus, $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ measurements provide a crucial bridge to the extraction of η/s from data.

Initial estimates of η/s from v_n measurements [11, 12, 16, 17, 19–24] have all indicated a small value ($\eta/s \sim 1 - 4$ times the lower conjectured bound of $1/4\pi$ [25]). Recent 3+1D hydrodynamic calculations, which have been quite successful at reproducing $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ measurements [26–28], have also indicated a similarly small value of $\eta/s \lesssim 2/4\pi$. However, the precision of all of these extractions has been hampered by significant theoretical uncertainty, especially those arising from poor constraints for the initial eccentricity and the relaxation time. One approach to the resolution of this issue is to target these uncertainties for systematic study, with the aim of establishing reliable upper and lower bounds for η/s [12, 29]. An alternative approach, adopted in this work, is to ask whether better constraints for these theoretical bottlenecks can be developed to aid precision extractions of η/s ?

Given the acoustic nature of anisotropic flow (*i.e.* it is driven by pressure gradients), a transparent way to evaluate the strength of the dissipative effects which reduce the magnitude of $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$, is to consider the attenuation of sound waves in the plasma. In the presence of viscosity, sound intensity is exponentially damped $e^{(-r/\Gamma_s)}$ relative to the sound attenuation length Γ_s . This can be expressed as a perturbation to the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ [31];

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu}(n, t) = \exp(-\beta n^2) \delta T_{\mu\nu}(0), \quad \beta = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\eta}{s} \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{t}{T}, \quad (3)$$

which incorporates the dispersion relation for sound propagation, as well as the spectrum of initial ($t = 0$) perturbations associated with the eccentricity moments. The latter reflects the collision geometry and its associated density driven fluctuations. Here, the viscous coeffi-




FIG. 1. (a)-(d) v_n/ε_n vs. n for charged hadrons for several p_T selections in 20-30% central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV; (e) β' vs. p_T for the same centrality selection; (f) β vs. p_T from the analysis of the results from viscous hydrodynamical calculations [26] for $\delta f \propto p_T^2$ and $\delta f \propto p_T^{1.5}$. The v_n data are taken from Refs. [6, 30]; the dashed and dotted curves represent fits (see text).

cient $\beta \propto \eta/s$, $t \propto \bar{R}$ is the expansion time, T is the temperature, $k = n/\bar{R}$ is the wave number (*i.e.* $2\pi\bar{R} = n\lambda$ for $n \geq 1$) and \bar{R} is the transverse size of the collision zone.

The viscous corrections to v_n implied in Eq. 3, do not indicate an explicit p_T -dependence. However, a finite viscosity in the plasma results in an asymmetry in the energy-momentum tensor which manifests as a correction to the local particle distribution (f) at freeze-out [23];

$$f = f_0 + \delta f(\tilde{p}_T), \quad \tilde{p}_T = \frac{p_T}{T}, \quad (4)$$

where f_0 is the equilibrium distribution and $\delta f(\tilde{p}_T)$ is its first order correction. The latter leads to the p_T -dependent viscous coefficient $\beta'(\tilde{p}_T) \propto \beta/p_T^\alpha$, where the magnitude of α is related to the relaxation time $\tau_R(p_T)$.

Equations 3 and 4 suggest that for a given centrality, the viscous corrections to the flow harmonics $v_n(p_T)$, grow exponentially as n^2 ;

$$\frac{v_n(p_T)}{\varepsilon_n} \propto \exp(-\beta' n^2), \quad (5)$$

and the ratios $(v_n(p_T)/v_2(p_T))_{n \geq 3}$ can be expressed as;

$$\frac{v_n(p_T)}{v_2(p_T)} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_2} \exp(-\beta'(n^2 - 4)), \quad (6)$$

indicating that they only depend on the eccentricity ratios and the relative viscous correction factors. Note as well that Eq. 6 shows that the higher order harmonics $v_{n,n \geq 3}$, can all be expressed in terms of the lower order

harmonic v_2 , as has been observed recently [6, 32]. For a given harmonic, Eq. 5 can be linearized to give

$$\ln\left(\frac{v_n(p_T)}{\varepsilon_n}\right) \propto \frac{-\beta'}{R}, \quad (7)$$

which indicates a characteristic system size dependence ($1/\bar{R}$) of the viscous corrections.

If validated, the acoustic dissipative patterns summarized in Eqs. 5, 6 and 7, indicate that estimates for α , β and $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ can be extracted directly from the data. Here, we perform validation tests for these dissipative patterns with an eye toward more stringent constraints for τ_R , η/s and the distinction between different eccentricity models.

The data employed in our analysis are taken from measurements by the ATLAS collaboration for Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV [6, 30]. These measurements exploit the event plane analysis method (*c.f.* Eq. 1), as well as the two-particle $\Delta\phi$ correlation technique (*c.f.* Eq. 2) to obtain robust values of $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ for a sizable $\Delta\eta_p$ gap between particles and the event plane, or particle pairs. We divide these values by $\varepsilon_n(\text{cent})$ and plot them as a function of n , to make an initial test for viscous damping compatible with sound propagation in the plasma produced in these collisions. Monte Carlo Glauber (MC-Glauber) simulations were used to compute the number of participants $N_{\text{part}}(\text{cent})$ and $\varepsilon_n(\text{cent})$ from the two-dimensional profile of the density of sources in the transverse plane $\rho_s(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$. The weight $\omega(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = \mathbf{r}_\perp^n$ [33] was used to compute $\varepsilon_n(\text{cent})$.

The open circles in Figs. 1 (a)-(d) show representative examples of v_n/ε_n vs. n for several p_T cuts, for the




FIG. 2. v_n/v_2 vs. p_T for several centrality selections for Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. The open symbols show the values obtained from data; the filled symbols show the results of fits to these ratios with Eq. 6 (see text).

20-30% centrality selection. The dashed curves which indicate fits to the data with Eq. 5, confirm the expected exponential growth of the viscous corrections to v_n , as n^2 . The p_T -dependent viscous coefficients $\beta'(\tilde{p}_T)$ obtained from these fits, are summarized in Fig. 1 (e); they show the expected $1/p_T^\alpha$ dependence attributable to $\delta f(p_T)$. Note that a similar dependence is obtained for fits to the results of viscous hydrodynamical calculations, as illustrated in panel (f). The latter indicates that the p_T dependence of β allows a distinction between the two sets of calculations which use different input assumptions for $\delta f(p_T)$. The dotted curve in panel (e) is a fit which gives the values $\alpha \sim 0.58$ and $\beta \sim 0.12$. Similar results were obtained for a broad range of centrality selections.

Additional constraints can be obtained from the ratios of the flow harmonics $(v_n(p_T)/v_2(p_T))_{n \geq 3}$ (cf. Eq. 6), as well as the dependence of $v_n(p_T)/\varepsilon_n$ on the transverse size of the collision zone (cf. Eq. 7). The open symbols in Fig. 2 show the values of $(v_n(p_T)/v_2(p_T))$ for $n = 3, 4$ and 5 , for each of the centrality selections indicated. A simultaneous fit to these ratios was performed with Eq. 6 to extract β and $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ at each centrality. Small variations about the previously extracted value of $\alpha \sim 0.58$ were used to aid the convergence of these fits. The filled symbols in Fig. 2 show the excellent fits achieved; they confirm the characteristic dependence of the relative viscous correction factors expressed in Eq. 6. They also confirm that the relationship between v_2 and the higher order harmonics stems solely from “acoustic scaling” of the viscous corrections to anisotropic flow. The extracted values for $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$, α and β are summarized and discussed below.

Figures 3(a) and (b) gives a more transparent view of the influence of system size on the viscous corrections. Fig. 3(a) shows that $v_{2,3}$ increases for $140 \lesssim N_{\text{part}} \lesssim 340$ as would be expected from an increase in $\varepsilon_{2,3}$ over the same N_{part} range. For $N_{\text{part}} \lesssim 140$ however, the decreasing trend of $v_{2,3}$ contrasts with the increasing trends for $\varepsilon_{2,3}$, suggesting that the viscous effects due to much smaller system sizes, serve to suppress $v_{2,3}$. This is confirmed by the dashed curves in Fig. 3(b) which validate the expected linear dependence of $\ln(v_n/\varepsilon_n)$ on $1/\bar{R}$ (cf. Eq. 7) for the data shown in Fig. 3(a). A similar dependence was observed for other p_T selections. The slopes of these curves serve as an important additional constraint for β .

Figures 3(c) - (e) show a comparison between the $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ ratios extracted from the fits shown in Fig. 2 (open symbols), and those obtained from model calculations (filled symbols). For the 5-50% centrality range, the comparison shows good agreement between the extracted ratios and those obtained from MC-Glauber calculations with weight $\omega(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = \mathbf{r}_\perp^n$ [33]. A similarly good agreement with the ratios obtained from a Monte Carlo implementation [34] of the factorized Kharzeev-Levin-Nardi (KLN) model [35, 36] is not observed. For the 0-5% most central collisions, the extracted values of $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ are larger than the values obtained from either eccentricity model. This difference could result from an overestimate of ε_2 in the 0-5% centrality selection, for the initial eccentricity models considered.

The fits shown in Fig. 2 also give values for α and β , which are summarized in Figs. 3(f) and (g); they are essentially independent of centrality. This suggests that,




FIG. 3. (a) $v_{2,3}$ vs. N_{part} for $p_T = 1 - 2$ GeV/c: (b) $\ln(v_n/\varepsilon_n)$ vs. $1/\bar{R}$ for the data shown in (a): (c - e) centrality dependence of the $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ ratios extracted from fits to $(v_n(p_T)/v_2(p_T))_{n \geq 3}$ with Eq. 6; $\varepsilon_n/\varepsilon_2$ ratios for the MC-Glauber [33, 37] and MC-KLN [34] models are also shown: (f) extracted values of β vs. centrality: (g) extracted values of α vs. centrality (see text).




FIG. 4. (a) $\ln(v_n/\varepsilon_n)$ vs. n^2 from viscous hydrodynamical calculations for three values of specific shear viscosity as indicated. (b) $\ln(v_n/\varepsilon_n)$ vs. n^2 for Pb+Pb data. The p_T -integrated v_n results in (a) and (b) are for 0.1% central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV [38]; the curves are linear fits. (c) β vs. $4\pi\eta/s$ extracted from the curves shown in (a) and (b).

within errors, the full data set for $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ can be understood in terms of the eccentricity moments coupled to a single (average) value for α and β (respectively). This observation is compatible with recent viscous hydrodynamical calculations which have been successful in reproducing $v_n(p_T, \text{cent})$ measurements with a single $\delta f(\tilde{p}_T)$ ansatz and an average value of η/s [26, 27]. Therefore, these values of α and β should provide an important set

of constraints for detailed model calculations.

To demonstrate their utility, we have used the results from recent viscous hydrodynamical calculations [38] to calibrate β and make an estimate of η/s . This is illustrated in Fig. 4. The p_T -integrated v_n results from viscous hydrodynamical calculations for three separate η/s values, for 0.1% central Pb+Pb collisions are shown in Fig. 4(a). They indicate the expected linear dependence

of $\ln(v_n/\epsilon_n)$ on n^2 , as well as the required sensitivity of the slopes of these curves to the magnitude of η/s . The calibration curve or β vs. $4\pi\eta/s$, obtained from linear fits to the curves in Fig. 4(a), is shown in Fig. 4(c). The p_T -integrated v_n data [38] shown in Fig. 4(b), also validates the expected linear dependence of $\ln(v_n/\epsilon_n)$ on n^2 for the same ϵ_n values employed in Fig. 4(a). We use the slope of this curve in concert with the calibration in Fig. 4(c) to obtain the estimate $\langle 4\pi\eta/s \rangle \sim 2.2 \pm 0.2$, which is in reasonable agreement with recent $\langle \eta/s \rangle$ estimates [26, 27, 32, 39, 40]. Here, it is noteworthy that our calibration procedure leads to a $\langle \eta/s \rangle$ value which is insensitive to the initial geometry model employed. Further calculations are undoubtedly required to reduce model driven calibration uncertainties. However, our analysis clearly demonstrates the value of the relative magnitudes of v_n as an important constraint.

In summary, we have presented a detailed phenomenological study of viscous damping of the flow harmonics v_n and their ratios $(v_n/(v_2))_{n \geq 3}$, for Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. Within a parametrized viscous hydrodynamical framework, this damping can be understood to be a consequence of the acoustic nature of anisotropic flow. That is, the observed viscous damping reflects the detailed scaling properties inferred from the dispersion relation for sound propagation in the plasma produced in these collisions. These patterns give a unique signature for anisotropic expansion modulated by viscosity, and provide straightforward constraints for the relaxation time, a distinction between two of the leading models for initial eccentricity, as well as an extracted $\langle \eta/s \rangle$ value which is essentially independent of the initial eccentricity. Such constraints could be crucial for a more precise determination of the specific shear viscosity η/s .

Acknowledgments This research is supported by the US DOE under contract DE-FG02-87ER40331.A008.

* E-mail: Roy.Lacey@Stonybrook.edu

- [1] J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 229 (1992).
- [2] A. Adare *et al.* (PHENIX), Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 062301 (2010), arXiv:1003.5586 [nucl-ex].
- [3] R. A. Lacey, Nucl. Phys. **A698**, 559 (2002).
- [4] K. Aamodt *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 032301 (2011), arXiv:1105.3865 [nucl-ex].
- [5] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 2012 (2012), arXiv:1201.3158 [nucl-ex].
- [6] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **C86**, 014907 (2012), arXiv:1203.3087 [hep-ex].
- [7] U. Heinz and P. Kolb, Nucl. Phys. **A702**, 269 (2002).
- [8] D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. **C68**, 034913 (2003).

- [9] P. Huovinen, P. F. Kolb, U. W. Heinz, P. V. Ruuskanen, and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. **B503**, 58 (2001).
- [10] T. Hirano and K. Tsuda, Phys. Rev. **C66**, 054905 (2002), arXiv:nucl-th/0205043.
- [11] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 172301 (2007).
- [12] H. Song and U. W. Heinz, J. Phys. **G36**, 064033 (2009).
- [13] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, (2010), arXiv:1009.3244 [hep-ph].
- [14] U. W. Heinz and S. M. H. Wong, Phys. Rev. **C66**, 014907 (2002).
- [15] R. A. Lacey and A. Taranenko, PoS **CFRNC2006**, 021 (2006).
- [16] H.-J. Drescher, A. Dumitru, C. Gombeaud, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. **C76**, 024905 (2007).
- [17] Z. Xu, C. Greiner, and H. Stoecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 082302 (2008).
- [18] V. Greco, M. Colonna, M. Di Toro, and G. Ferini, (2008), arXiv:0811.3170 [hep-ph].
- [19] R. A. Lacey *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 092301 (2007).
- [20] A. Adare *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 172301 (2007).
- [21] M. Luzum and P. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. **C78**, 034915 (2008).
- [22] R. A. Lacey, A. Taranenko, and R. Wei, (2009), arXiv:0905.4368 [nucl-ex].
- [23] K. Dusling, G. D. Moore, and D. Teaney, (2009), arXiv:0909.0754 [nucl-th].
- [24] H. Niemi, G. Denicol, H. Holopainen, and P. Huovinen, (2012), arXiv:1212.1008 [nucl-th].
- [25] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005), hep-th/0405231.
- [26] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. **C85**, 024901 (2012), arXiv:1109.6289 [hep-ph].
- [27] C. Gale, S. Jeon, B. Schenke, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, (2012), arXiv:1210.5144 [hep-ph].
- [28] F. G. Gardim, F. Grassi, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 202302 (2012), arXiv:1203.2882 [nucl-th].
- [29] M. Luzum and J.-Y. Ollitrault, (2012), arXiv:1210.6010 [nucl-th].
- [30] J. Jia, J. Phys. **G38**, 124012 (2011), arXiv:1107.1468 [nucl-ex].
- [31] P. Staig and E. Shuryak, (2010), arXiv:1008.3139 [nucl-th].
- [32] R. A. Lacey, A. Taranenko, N. Ajitanand, and J. Alexander, (2011), arXiv:1105.3782 [nucl-ex].
- [33] R. A. Lacey, R. Wei, N. N. Ajitanand, and A. Taranenko, (2010), arXiv:1009.5230 [nucl-ex].
- [34] H.-J. Drescher and Y. Nara, Phys. Rev. **C76**, 041903 (2007).
- [35] D. Kharzeev and M. Nardi, Phys. Lett. **B507**, 121 (2001), arXiv:nucl-th/0012025 [nucl-th].
- [36] T. Lappi and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. **C74**, 054905 (2006).
- [37] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders, and P. Steinberg, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **57**, 205 (2007).
- [38] See Fig. 14 in CMS PAS HIN-12-011.
- [39] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Lett. **B702**, 59 (2011), arXiv:1102.0575 [hep-ph].
- [40] Z. Qiu, C. Shen, and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. **B707**, 151 (2012), arXiv:1110.3033 [nucl-th].