

# Cosmologies of Multiple Spherical Brane-universe Model

I. C. Jardim<sup>a1</sup>, R. R. Landim<sup>a2</sup>, G. Alencar<sup>a3</sup> and R. N. Costa Filho<sup>a4</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará- Caixa Postal 6030, Campus do Pici, 60455-760, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil.*

## Abstract

The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric with spherical topology is calculated as an effective metric at the brane in a multiple branes in  $D$ -dimensional spacetime scenario. In this model the radius of the brane is the cosmological scale factor, and its evolution is calculated from the viewpoint of the observers on their respective branes. The cosmology of the brane-universe is analyzed in cases where the anisotropic pressure has a cosmological constant like state equation. The pressure values needed to distinguish the oscillating, expanding or collapsing solutions are found, and the minimum value of a brane-universe mass to prevent a collapse into a black hole is also calculated. Finally the equation of motion is solved numerically in order to illustrate the different cosmological scenarios and to validate the analytical results.

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: jardim@fisica.ufc.br

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: renan@fisica.ufc.br

<sup>3</sup>e-mail: geova@fisica.ufc.br

<sup>4</sup>e-mail: rai@fisica.ufc.br

# 1 Introduction

The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric (FRW) describes a homogeneous isotropic universe that can be modeled as a perfect fluid. The dynamics of this fluid is given by a cosmological scale factor, which determines the spatial volume of the universe, and depends on the amount of matter in the universe [1]. This model became the standard cosmological model due to the observations of Hubble in 1929 [2] showing an expanding universe in opposition to predictions of the Einstein's static universe. This observation led to the conclusion that the universe was hotter and denser in the past with an infinite density at the Big Bang. Although such initial singularity causes difficulties to a rational description of the universe, that model gained prominence in the 70's, due to the Hawking and Penrose's singularity theorems [3]. However, the latest observations indicate that the universe is expanding at an increasing rate [4, 5] what requires some kind of matter which gravitate repulsively like a cosmological constant. This kind of matter violates the conditions of the singularity theorems, making its results inapplicable to the nowadays observed universe. Since then, models without cosmological singularity returned to the scene as possible candidates for the description of the universe like for example models of oscillating universe [6–9].

Brane-universe models emerged to solve theoretical problems, like the unification of fundamental interactions and the hierarchy problem [10–15]. These models describe the universe as a flat brane, and because of this it can not be used to solve cosmological problems, like the accelerated expansion and the initial singularity. Thus, other geometries are necessary but only two are compatible with the FRW metric: the spherical and hyperbolic ones. For easily incorporating the observed symmetries of the universe, like the galaxy isotropic runaway, the existence of a preferred frame, and a cosmic Gaussian time, the spherical brane model has received great attention. The phenomenology of these models has been studied in past decade [16, 17] and for more recent studies see [18–20]. These models has been proved compatible with the observational data [21–23], as well as, by introduction of different cosmological constant in the bulk, with the observed dynamics, modeling the dark energy [24].

In this piece of work we continue a recent study where a multiple anisotropic concentric spherical branes model was constructed [25]. Here, a dimensional reduction is made to obtain the effective metric measured by observers on the brane and the evolution of the cosmological scale factor in the description of these observers. This reduction give us the cosmology of the brane-universes, in such a way as to be compared with the current data. A more detailed study of the cosmology is made for cases where the anisotropic pressure is of cosmological constant type. This becomes important since this model naturally tends to expand, in agreement with the current observations. Studying the null velocity points we can found the necessary conditions ( but not sufficient) to models of oscillating or eternal expanding universes. In the oscillating scenario we analyze the conditions able to prevent the collapse of the universe into a black hole. To reinforce the study of null velocity points the equation of motion is solved numerically. At this point we will discuss the energy condition in the

oscillating scenario.

This work is organized as follows: Sec. II gives a short review of results obtained in multiple spherical brane model. In particular the metric, the equation of motion and the possible state equation for anisotropic pressure are shown since they are needed for the sequence of this work. In Sec. III the effective metric on the brane is calculated through a dimensional reduction, and the cosmology of the brane to observers on them is also obtained. In Sec. IV the possible models of a universe with state equation for anisotropic pressure like a cosmological constant is discussed, and the conditions for the existence of oscillating, collapsing or eternally expanding universes are studied. In Sec. V the equation of motion is solved numerically to illustrate the behavior of the cosmological scale factor in different scenarios, as well as to confirm the results obtained in Sec. IV. Also in this section we discuss the weak energy condition in oscillating scenario. The last section shows the conclusion and possible perspectives of this work.

## 2 The spherical brane model

Following the multiple spherical brane model developed in ref. [25] the Einstein's equation is solved in a  $D$  dimensional bulk with spacetime dependent cosmological constant. The matter field has  $n$  spherical and anisotropic  $(D-2)$ -branes, and the obtained invariant line element is

$$d\tau^2 = -A(r, t)dt^2 + B(r, t)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega_{D-2}$  is the angular line element in  $D$  dimensions, formed by  $D-2$  angular variables. The metric elements are

$$B^{-1}(r, t) = 1 - \frac{2G_D M(r, t)}{r^{D-3}} - r^2 \lambda(r, t) \quad (2)$$

$$A(r, t) = B^{-1}(r, t) \prod_{i=0}^n e^{-\pi_i \theta(R_i - r)} \times \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{B_{i-1}(R_i)}{B_i(R_i)} - 1 \right) \theta(R_i - r) \right], \quad (3)$$

where we defined

$$M(r, t) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{R_i^{D-2}}{2G_D} \left[ \frac{2\kappa_D \rho_i}{(D-2)} - \Delta \lambda_i R_i \right] \theta(r - R_i), \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda(r, t) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i [\theta(r - R_i) - \theta(r - R_{i+1})], \quad (5)$$

$$B_j^{-1}(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{r^{D-3}} \sum_{i=0}^j \left[ \frac{2\kappa_D}{D-2} \rho_i R_i^{D-2} - \Delta\lambda_i R_i^{D-1} \right] - \lambda_j r^2, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\pi_i \equiv \frac{2\kappa_D}{D-2} R_i B_i(R_i) P_i, \quad (7)$$

where  $P_i$  is the anisotropic pressure,  $2\Delta\lambda_i = (D-1)(D-2)\Delta\lambda_i$  is the difference between the internal and external cosmological constant of the  $i$ -th brane, and for completeness  $M_0 = R_0 = 0$ . Since the branes are dynamic, the following equation of motion for the  $i$ -th brane is obtained from the conservation of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i \frac{dU_i}{dt_i} &= \frac{\Delta\lambda_i}{\kappa_D} (1 - U_i^2) - \frac{D-2}{R_i} [P_i - \rho_i (\gamma_i + U_i^2)] - \\ &\quad - B_i(R_i) [P_i + \rho_i - 2\rho_i U_i^2] \times \\ &\quad \times \left[ (D-3) \frac{G_D M(R_i)}{R_i^{D-2}} - R_i \lambda_i \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $dt_i = \sqrt{A_i(R_i)/B_i(R_i)} dt$ ,  $U_i \equiv \frac{dR_i}{dt_i}$  and  $\gamma_i$  is the ratio between the pressure and energy density of matter on the brane. The achievement of the above equation of motion gives the following two state equations that remove divergences

$$P_i = -\rho_i \quad \text{or} \quad P_i = \rho_i U_i^2. \quad (9)$$

With the above anisotropic pressures the brane can have any value of matter on it. This freedom allows us to keep the cosmological eras, in same way the standard cosmological model.

### 3 Effective metric and cosmology on the brane

In order to calculate the effective metric and its evolution measured by observers on a specific brane a reduction is performed to describe the brane-universes cosmology. To do this we will start with the  $D$ -dimensional metric (1), and using the relationship  $r = R_i(t)$ , we can make the dimensional reduction on the brane to obtain the effective metric

$$ds_i^2 = -[A_i(R_i) - B_i(R_i)V_i^2] dt^2 + R_i(t)^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2. \quad (10)$$

To simplify the above expression, we use the following comoving time and radial coordinate on the brane

$$d\tau_i = \sqrt{A_i(R_i) - B_i(R_i)V_i^2} dt, \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{r} = \sin \theta_0. \quad (12)$$

In this coordinates, the reduced metric takes the form

$$ds_i^2 = -d\tau_i^2 + R_i(\tau_i)^2 \left[ \frac{d\bar{r}^2}{1-\bar{r}} + \bar{r}^2 d\Omega_{D-3}^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

This is the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric for a universe with  $(D-2)$  spatial dimensions with spherical topology, where the brane radius is the cosmological scale factor. To obtain the scale factor evolution,  $R_i(\tau_i)$ , we need to calculate the evolution law (8) in terms of the new variable  $\tau_i$ . Using the composed derivation rules we obtain

$$U_i = \sqrt{\frac{B_i(R_i)}{1+B_i(R_i)W_i^2}} W_i, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{dU_i}{dt_i} = \frac{B_i(R_i)}{(1+B_i(R_i)W_i^2)^2} \left[ \frac{dW_i}{d\tau} + \frac{B_i(R_i)'}{2B_i(R_i)} W_i^2 \right], \quad (15)$$

where  $W_i = dR_i/d\tau_i$  and the prime indicates the derivation with respect to the argument. In terms of comoving time the equation of motion (8) takes the form

$$\rho_i \frac{dW_i}{d\tau_i} = b_0(R_i) + b_2(R_i)w_i^2 + b_4(R_i)w_i^4, \quad (16)$$

where, to simplify, we defined  $w_i \equiv \sqrt{B_i(R_i)}W_i$ . The above coefficients for the  $P_i = -\rho_i$  case are

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(R_i) &= \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{\kappa_D B_i(R_i)} + (D-2)\rho_i \frac{1+\gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)R_i}, \\ b_2(R_i) &= \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{B_i(R_i)\kappa_D} + \frac{(D-2)}{R_i}\rho_i \left[ 3 + \frac{2\gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)} \right] - \\ &\quad - 3(D-1)\rho_i \left[ \frac{G_D M(R_i)}{R_i^{D-2}} + \lambda_i R_i \right], \\ b_4(R_i) &= \frac{(D-2)}{R_i}\rho_i \left[ 2 + \frac{\gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)} \right] - \\ &\quad - 2(D-1)\rho_i \left[ \frac{G_D M(R_i)}{R_i^{D-2}} + \lambda_i R_i \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and, for  $P_i = \rho_i U_i^2$ , the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(R_i) &= \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{\kappa_D B_i(R_i)} + (D-2)\frac{\rho_i \gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)R_i} - \\ &\quad - \rho_i \left[ (D-3)\frac{G_D M(R_i)}{R_i^{D-2}} - R_i \lambda_i \right], \\ b_2(R_i) &= \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{B_i(R_i)\kappa_D} + \frac{2(D-2)\gamma_i \rho_i}{B_i(R_i)R_i}, \\ b_4(R_i) &= \frac{(D-2)\rho_i \gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)R_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Equation (16) gives us the brane-universe cosmology as felt by observers on the brane, and as one can see, from velocity independent coefficient, the cosmologies are strongly influenced by the choice of radial pressure. In the first case (Eqs. (17)), the brane-universe tends to expand due to the negative pressure (like a cosmological constant), while in the second case (Eqs. (18)) it tends to collapse.

## 4 Cosmology for $P_i = -\rho_i$

In this section we study the model with a cosmological constant like state equation. We choose the  $P_i = -\rho_i$  case because it has a state equation that simplifies the analytical calculations. Furthermore, this state equation is consistent with the energy-momentum tensor used in the model, and provides a natural explanation for the condition expressed in Eq. (9). There are two different cosmological scenarios depending on the sign of acceleration when the velocity of the  $i$ -th brane vanishes. That happens for  $w_i = 0$ , and the equation (16) gives us the acceleration

$$\rho_i \left. \frac{dW_i}{dt_i} \right|_{W_i=0} = \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{\kappa_D B_i(R_i)} + (D-2)\rho_i \frac{1+\gamma_i}{B_i(R_i)R_i}. \quad (19)$$

Considering only the region where the energy density,  $\rho_i$ , is positive, the acceleration will be positive if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \frac{\Delta\Lambda_i}{\kappa_D} + \frac{D-2}{R_i} (1+\gamma_i) \rho_i \\ &\propto \Delta\lambda_i R_i^{D-1} [(D-1) + (D-2)(1+\gamma_i)] + \\ &\quad + 2(D-2)(1+\gamma_i) G_D M_i. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Assuming that  $\gamma_i \geq -1$ , what is physically consistent since we don't know anything that violates this condition, the acceleration in the rest points will be positive if

$$-\frac{2(D-2)(1+\gamma_i) G_D M_i}{(D-1) + (D-2)(1+\gamma_i)} < \Delta\lambda_i R_i^{D-1}. \quad (21)$$

For the  $\Delta\lambda_i > 0$  case, the solution is trivial ( $R_i > 0$ ), and this universe model will expand indefinitely, which makes it consistent with the observations. For the  $\Delta\lambda_i < 0$  case we obtain the non-trivial solution

$$R_i < \left[ \frac{2G_D M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i|} \left( 1 + \frac{D-1}{(D-2)(1+\gamma_i)} \right)^{-1} \right]^{1/(D-1)}. \quad (22)$$

The above result shows that, in rest points, the acceleration will be positive if the brane radius is smaller than the above quantity, and vice-versa. The obtained result defines a critical radius

$$R_i^c = \left( \frac{2G_D M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i| \sigma_i} \right)^{1/(D-1)}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\sigma_i \equiv 1 + \frac{D-1}{(D-2)(1+\gamma_i)}. \quad (24)$$

The adopted procedure don't give us the return points, but show the existence of a rest point with negative acceleration beyond the critical radius and other with positive acceleration before it. This condition is required for an oscillating universe model. However, the equation of motion (16) is valid just beyond the Kottler horizon. Therefore, in order to have an oscillating universe is necessary that the critical radius be bigger than the horizon radius,

$$R_i^k < \left( \frac{2G_D M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i| \sigma_i} \right)^{1/(D-1)}. \quad (25)$$

Since the horizon is defined by the pole of the metric (2), given by equation

$$-\lambda_i (R_i^k)^{D-1} + (R_i^k)^{D-3} - 2G_D \sum_{j=0}^i M_j = 0, \quad (26)$$

we can find the mass that makes the critical radius outer the horizon, which is

$$\left( \frac{2G_D M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i| \sigma_i} \right)^{-2/(D-1)} - (|\Delta\lambda_i| \sigma_i + \lambda_i) > |\Delta\lambda_i| \sigma_i \frac{M_{i-1}^{int}}{M_i}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$M_{i-1}^{int} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} M_j. \quad (28)$$

The above expression has analytical solution just for the most inner brane, where  $M_{i-1}^{int} = 0$ . To this brane the critical radius will be bigger than the horizon only if

$$M_1 < \frac{|\Delta\lambda_1|}{2G_D} \sigma_1 (|\Delta\lambda_1| \sigma_1 + \lambda_1)^{-(D-1)/2}. \quad (29)$$

This is the maximum mass where the most inner brane can have in order to not collapse. If the mass is bigger than this value the evolution law forces that it is contracting and pass through the horizon creating a black hole. If the mass is less than its maximum value the brane can oscillate as in bouncing models.

## 5 Numerical Results

In this section the equation of motion (Eq. (16)) is solved numerically. The parameters values used in the calculations were chosen in a way to show the general behavior expected according for different scenarios shown in last section. We should point that the numerical results are more complete than the




Figure 1: Numerical solution for  $D = 5$ ,  $G_5 M = 2$  and  $\kappa_5 = 1$ . The dashed line is the solution for dust matter on the brane while the solid line is for a photon gas. Here we considered an expansive scenario with  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 0$  and  $R_i(0) = 100$  and  $W_i(0) = 0$  as initial conditions.

analytical ones. In this case we have found just a necessary condition to distinguish between the different scenarios, but here we find the complete solution once the initial conditions are given.

Fig. 1 shows the result for a scenario without cosmological constant, i.e., an expanding universe model. The curve shows the behavior of the radius  $R$  against time. From the figure, one can see an expansionary phase preceded by a collapsing stage indicating a model with bouncing. For the used parameters the universe expands rapidly with time. In Fig. 2 we consider a scenario of an oscillating universe, in accordance with the parameters obtained previously. The result shows that the oscillation amplitude must be limited. This happens because when considering a negative difference of cosmological constants (needed for an oscillating scenario) the energy density on the brane becomes non-positive defined. This can be seen from the definition (4)

$$\rho_i = \frac{(D-2)}{2\kappa_D R_i^{D-2}} [2G_D M_i - |\Delta\lambda_i| R_i^{D-1}]. \quad (30)$$

In order to ensure the weak energy condition [26] we have to limit the brane radius to

$$R_i \leq \left[ \frac{2G_D M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i|} \right]^{1/D-1}, \quad (31)$$

so, we can overestimate the oscillation amplitude

$$A_i \lesssim 2(R_i^{\max} - R_i^c) = 2R_i^{\max} \left[ 1 - \sigma_i^{-1/(D-1)} \right], \quad (32)$$






Figure 2: Numerical solution for  $D = 5$ ,  $G_5 M = 2$  and  $\kappa_5 = 1$ . The dashed lines are the solutions for dust matter on the brane while the solid line is for a photon gas. we simulate an oscillating scenario, for this we use  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4}$  whit  $R_i(0) = 9.0$  and  $W_i(0) = 0$  as initial conditions.




Figure 3: In above simulation we use the same values of Fig. 2 but we fix  $R_i(0) = 9.99$  and  $\gamma = 1/3$ . The dashed line indicates the critical radius  $R_i^c$ . This illustrate the different behavior for the regions below and above the critical radius.

assuming the strong energy condition,  $\gamma_i \leq 1/3$ , the above result leads us, in  $D = 5$ , to

$$A_i \approx 0.32 \left( \frac{2G_5 M_i}{|\Delta\lambda_i|} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (33)$$

The parameters used in the simulation give us the value  $A_i \lesssim 3.2$ . Fig. 2 shows an amplitude of  $\approx 0.8$ , within the estimated range. The difference occurs because we considered  $R_i(0) = 9.0$  ( while  $\rho_i \rightarrow 0$  when  $R_i \rightarrow 10.0$ ) and the behavior for the region  $R_i > R_i^c$  is different of the behavior for  $R_i < R_i^c$ , as we can see in Fig. 3 where results for  $R_i(0) = 9.99$  provides us with an amplitude of  $\approx 2.0$ , which is still much lower than the overestimated result. These results show the validity of the analytical study of the previous section.

## 6 Conclusions and Perspectives

In this work we compute the effective metric measured by observers on the brane. We obtained, in agreement with the standard cosmological model, the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric with spherical topology. We also obtained the cosmology of these brane-universe, that describes the evolution of the cosmological scale factor. We observed that the brane cosmology is affected by bulk cosmological constant, by matter on the brane, as well as by the brane state equation. The matter dependence give us the idea of cosmological eras, which depends on the state equation of this matter.

We also study the cosmology obtained from evolution equation (8) in the case where the anisotropic pressure has a cosmological constant like state equation. We show that this kind of brane tends to expand, due the negative pressure, so it is able to describe the universe without the introduction of cosmological constants in the bulk. In case where the difference of cosmological constants in the bulk is positive or null we found an eternal expanding universe. An interesting scenario was found using an negative difference between cosmological constants. This has the effect of inhibit the expansion and we obtained an oscillating universe. In this scenario we also obtain the mass limit which a brane could have in order to not collapse into a black hole. Finally, we solve numerically the equation of motion in order to illustrate and reinforce the analytical results. In the oscillating case we estimate the oscillating amplitude imposing the strong energy condition in the brane.

An extension of this work is the study of cosmology generated by the velocity dependent state equation and study the phenomenology of the model. Despite its advantages, we should point that the spherical brane scenario share some problems of plane brane models. One of this problems is related to the localizability of fields on the brane [27–32]. This can have important phenomenological implications to the standard model and cosmology [33–35]. However, in the present manuscript we have supposed that the matter is localized in the brane and we leave the study of trapping of fields in the spherical brane scenario for a future work.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial support provided by Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and FUNCAP/CNPq/PRONEX.

## References

- [1] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York (2008)
- [2] E. Hubble, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **15**, 168 (1929).
- [3] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **314**, 529 (1970).
- [4] R. A. Knop *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **598**, 102 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0309368].
- [5] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0402512].
- [6] K. -i. Maeda, Y. Misonoh and T. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064024 (2010) [arXiv:1006.2739 [hep-th]].
- [7] K. Hirano and Z. Komiya, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 103513 (2010) [arXiv:1008.4456 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [8] H. -H. Xiong, Y. -F. Cai, T. Qiu, Y. -S. Piao and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 212 (2008) [arXiv:0805.0413 [astro-ph]].
- [9] G. Lessner, Astrophys. Space Sci. **306**, 249 (2006).
- [10] T. Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys. ) **1921** (1921) 966.
- [11] O. Klein, Z. Phys. **37**, 895 (1926) [Surveys High Energ. Phys. **5**, 241 (1986)].
- [12] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998) [hep-ph/9803315].
- [13] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998) [hep-ph/9804398].
- [14] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
- [15] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].

- [16] M. Gogberashvili, Europhys. Lett. **49**, 396 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812365].
- [17] A. Boyarsky, A. Neronov and I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 091301 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0411144].
- [18] K. Akama, T. Hattori and H. Mukaida, arXiv:1109.0840 [gr-qc].
- [19] K. Akama, T. Hattori and H. Mukaida, arXiv:1208.3303 [gr-qc].
- [20] J. M. H. da Silva and R. da Rocha, arXiv:1212.2588 [gr-qc].
- [21] J. L. Tonry *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **594**, 1 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0305008].
- [22] J. P. Luminet, J. Weeks, A. Riazuelo, R. Lehoucq and J. P. Uzan, Nature **425**, 593 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0310253].
- [23] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, Phys. Rept. **283**, 303 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9805018].
- [24] M. Gogberashvili, Phys. Lett. B **636**, 147 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0511039].
- [25] I. C. Jardim, R. R. Landim, G. Alencar and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064019 (2011) [arXiv:1105.4578 [gr-qc]].
- [26] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London (1984)
- [27] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim, K. C. Mendes, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim, R. N. C. Filho and K. C. Mendes, Europhys. Lett. **93**, 10003 (2011) [arXiv:1009.1183 [hep-th]].
- [28] R. R. Landim, G. Alencar, M. O. Tahim and R. N. Costa Filho, JHEP **1108**, 071 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5573 [hep-th]].
- [29] R. R. Landim, G. Alencar, M. O. Tahim and R. N. Costa Filho, JHEP **1202**, 073 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5855 [hep-th]].
- [30] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim and R. N. C. Filho, arXiv:1207.3054 [hep-th].
- [31] R. C. Fonseca, F. A. Brito and L. Losano, JCAP **1201**, 032 (2012) [arXiv:1106.5719 [hep-th]].
- [32] C. -EFu, Y. -X. Liu, K. Yang and S. -W. Wei, JHEP **1210**, 060 (2012) [arXiv:1207.3152 [hep-th]].
- [33] C. Csaki, J. Erlich and J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 064021 (2002) [hep-ph/0203034].

- [34] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall and J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 045015 (2000) [hep-ph/9911406].
- [35] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, C. F. Kolda and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B **462**, 34 (1999) [hep-ph/9906513].