

Review of low-energy interaction of strange and charm hadrons with nucleons and nuclei

Laura Tolos*

*Instituto de Ciencias del Espacio (IEEC/CSIC), Campus Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
Facultat de Ciències, Torre C5, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe University,
Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
E-mail: tolos@ice.csic.es*

Carmen Garcia-Recio

*Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear, and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica
y Computacional, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain*

Raquel Molina

Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Mihogaoka 10-1, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan

Juan Nieves

*Instituto de Física Corpuscular (centro mixto CSIC-UV), Institutos de Investigación de Paterna,
Aptdo. 22085, 46071, Valencia, Spain*

Eulogio Oset

*Instituto de Física Corpuscular (centro mixto CSIC-UV), Institutos de Investigación de Paterna,
Aptdo. 22085, 46071, Valencia, Spain*

Angels Ramos

*Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal
647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

Lorenzo Luis Salcedo

*Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear, and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica
y Computacional, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain*

The properties of strange and charm mesons in nuclear matter and nuclei are reviewed. Different frameworks are presented and discussed paying a special attention to unitarized coupled-channel approaches. Possible experimental signatures of the in-medium properties of these mesons are also addressed, in particular in connection with the future FAIR facility at GSI.

*Xth Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum,
October 8-12, 2012
TUM Campus Garching, Munich, Germany*

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

Understanding the behavior of matter under extreme conditions of density and temperature has been a matter of debate over the last decades in order to gain insight not only into fundamental aspects of the strong interaction, such as the mass generation or deconfinement, but also into a variety of astrophysical phenomena, such as the dynamical evolution of supernovae and the composition of neutron stars. The experimental programs of SIS/GSI, SPS/CERN, RHIC/BNL, the ongoing LHC/CERN project and the forthcoming PANDA and CBM experiments at FAIR/GSI are being developed to clarify the present situation.

A particular effort has been invested in understanding the properties of hadrons with strange and charm content in hot and dense matter. Since the pioneer work on kaon condensation in neutron stars [1], the properties of mesons with strangeness in dense matter have been analyzed in connection to the study of exotic atoms as well as the analysis of heavy-ion collisions [2]. On the other hand, the study of the properties of open and hidden charm mesons started more than 20 years ago in the context of relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions in connection with charmonium suppression [3] as a probe for the formation of quark-gluon plasma (QGP). The experimental program in hadronic physics at the future FAIR facility at GSI [4] will move from the light quark sector to the heavy one and will face new challenges where charm plays a dominant role. In particular, a large part of the PANDA physics program will be devoted to charmonium spectroscopy. Moreover, the CBM experiment will extend the GSI program for in-medium modification of hadrons in the light quark sector and provide the first insight into the charm-nucleus interaction.

In this paper we review the properties of the strange (K , \bar{K} and \bar{K}^*) and open-charm (D , \bar{D} and D^*) mesons in dense matter. We address different approaches to obtain the in-medium properties of these mesons, paying a special attention to coupled-channels unitarized methods. Several experimental scenarios are also presented so as to test the properties of these mesons in matter, such as heavy-ion collisions data on strange mesons, the transparency ratio of the $\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'$ reaction and the formation of D -mesic nuclei.

2. Strange mesons in matter

2.1 The properties of \bar{K} mesons in a hot dense nuclear medium

In the strange sector, the interaction of strange pseudoscalar mesons with matter is a topic of high interest. Whereas the interaction of $\bar{K}N$ is repulsive at threshold, the phenomenology of antikaonic atoms shows that the \bar{K} feels an attractive potential at low densities [5].

Antikaonic atoms, in which an electron is replaced by a negatively charged antikaon, can provide us with information about the interaction of an antikaon with nuclear matter. There have been some attempts to extract the antikaon-nucleus potential from best-fit analysis of antikaonic-atom data and some solutions, which use a phenomenological potential that includes additional non-linear density dependent terms, seem to be in agreement with very strongly attractive well depths of the order of -200 MeV at normal saturation density [5]. However, some criticism has been raised due to the fact that antikaonic-atom data tests matter at the surface of the nucleus and, therefore, do not really provide a suitable constraint on the antikaon-nucleus potential at normal nuclear matter saturation density, $\rho_0 = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$.

Early works based on relativistic mean-field calculations using the meson-exchange picture or the chiral approach for the $\bar{K}N$ interaction while fitting the parameters to the $\bar{K}N$ scattering lengths [6] also obtained very deep potentials of a few hundreds of MeVs at saturation density. However, later approaches on unitarized theories in coupled channels based on the chiral approach [7, 8] or on meson-exchange potentials [9, 10] obtain a potential much less attractive.

In the unitarized coupled-channels models, the attraction is a consequence of the modified s -wave $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance in the medium due to Pauli blocking effects [11] together with the self-consistent consideration of the \bar{K} self-energy [7] and the inclusion of self-energies of the mesons and baryons in the intermediate states [8]. Attraction of the order of -50 MeV at normal nuclear matter density is obtained in unitarized theories in coupled channels based on chiral dynamics [8] and meson-exchange models [9, 10]. Moreover, the knowledge of higher-partial waves beyond s -wave [12, 13, 14] becomes essential for relativistic heavy-ion experiments at beam energies below 2 GeV per nucleon [2].

One of the latest unitarized approaches for calculating the antikaon self-energy in symmetric nuclear matter at finite temperature is given in Refs. [14, 15]. In this model, the antikaon self-energy and, hence, spectral function is obtained from the in-medium antikaon-nucleon interaction in s - and p -waves within a chiral unitary approach. The s -wave amplitude of the $\bar{K}N$ comes, at tree level, from the Weinberg-Tomozawa (WT) term of the chiral Lagrangian. Unitarization in coupled channels is imposed on on-shell amplitudes (T) with a cutoff regularization. The $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance in the $I = 0$ channel is generated dynamically and a satisfactory description of low-energy scattering observables is achieved.

The in-medium solution of the s -wave amplitude accounts for Pauli-blocking effects, mean-field binding on the nucleons and hyperons via a $\sigma - \omega$ model, and the dressing of the pion and antikaon propagators. The self-energy is then obtained in a self-consistent manner summing the transition amplitude T for the different isospins over the nucleon Fermi distribution at a given temperature, $n(\vec{q}, T)$,

$$\Pi_{\bar{K}}(q_0, \vec{q}, T) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} n(\vec{p}, T) [T_{\bar{K}N}^{(I=0)}(P_0, \vec{P}, T) + 3T_{\bar{K}N}^{(I=1)}(P_0, \vec{P}, T)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $P_0 = q_0 + E_N(\vec{p}, T)$ and $\vec{P} = \vec{q} + \vec{p}$ are the total energy and momentum of the antikaon-nucleon pair in the nuclear matter rest frame, and (q_0, \vec{q}) and (E_N, \vec{p}) stand for the energy and momentum of the antikaon and nucleon, respectively, also in this frame. The model includes, in addition, a p -wave contribution to the self-energy from hyperon-hole (Yh) excitations, where Y stands for Λ , Σ and Σ^* components. The self-energy determines, through the Dyson equation, the in-medium kaon propagator and the corresponding antikaon spectral function:

$$S_{\bar{K}}(q_0, \vec{q}, T) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\text{Im} \Pi_{\bar{K}}(q_0, \vec{q}, T)}{|q_0^2 - \vec{q}^2 - m_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Pi_{\bar{K}}(q_0, \vec{q}, T)|^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

The evolution of the \bar{K} spectral functions with density and temperature is shown in Fig. 1. The \bar{K} spectral function shows a broad peak that results from a strong mixing between the quasi-particle peak and the $\Lambda(1405)N^{-1}$ and $Y(=\Lambda, \Sigma, \Sigma^*)N^{-1}$ excitations. These p -wave YN^{-1} subthreshold excitations affect mainly the properties of the \bar{K} at finite momentum, enhancing the low-energy tail




Figure 1: \bar{K} spectral function for different densities, temperatures and momenta.

of the spectral function and providing a repulsive contribution to the \bar{K} potential that partly compensates the attraction obtained from the stronger s -wave $\bar{K}N$ interaction component. Temperature and density soften the p -wave contributions to the spectral function at the quasi-particle energy.

2.1.1 Heavy-ion collision data for strange mesons

From heavy-ion collisions there has been a lot of activity aiming at extracting the properties of antikaons in a dense and hot environment. The antikaon production in nucleus-nucleus collisions at SIS energies was studied some time ago using a Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (BUU) transport model with antikaons that were dressed with the Juelich meson-exchange model [16]. Multiplicity ratios involving strange mesons coming from heavy-ion collisions data were also analyzed [17]. More recently, a systematic study of the experimental results of KaoS collaboration was performed together with a detailed comparison to transport model calculations [18]. Several conclusions on the production mechanisms for strangeness were achieved. However, the question that still remains is to which extend the properties of \bar{K} mesons are modified in matter. There is still no convincing simultaneous description of all experimental data that involve antikaons in matter. A recent report on strangeness production close to threshold in proton-nucleus and heavy-ion collisions addresses the above issues [19].

2.2 The \bar{K}^* meson in nuclear matter

With regard to vector mesons in the nuclear medium, only the non-strange vector mesons have been the main focus while very little discussion has been made about the properties of the strange ones, K^* and \bar{K}^* . Most probably this is due to the fact that strange vector mesons can not be detected experimentally with dileptons. Only recently the \bar{K}^*N interaction in free space has been studied in Ref. [20] using SU(6) spin-flavour symmetry, and in Refs. [21, 22] within the




Figure 2: Contributions to the \bar{K}^* self-energy

hidden local gauge formalism for the interaction of vector mesons with baryons of the octet and the decuplet. A recent review on results within the hidden gauge formalism for vector mesons can be found in Ref. [23].

The \bar{K}^* self-energy in symmetric nuclear matter is obtained within the hidden gauge formalism of Ref. [24]. Two sources for the modification of the \bar{K}^* s -wave self-energy emerged in nuclear matter (see Fig. 2): a) the contribution associated to the decay mode $\bar{K}^*\pi$ modified by nuclear medium effects on the π and \bar{K} mesons (see the (a1) and (a2) diagrams in Fig. 2), which accounts for the $\bar{K}^*N \rightarrow \bar{K}N, \pi Y, \bar{K}\pi N, \pi\pi Y \dots$ processes, with $Y = \Lambda, \Sigma$, and b) the contribution associated to the interaction of the \bar{K}^* with the nucleons in the medium, which accounts for the direct quasi-elastic process $\bar{K}^*N \rightarrow \bar{K}^*N$, as well as other absorption channels involving vector mesons, $\bar{K}^*N \rightarrow \rho Y, \omega Y, \phi Y, \dots$. In fact, this last term comes from a unitarized coupled-channel process, similar to the $\bar{K}N$ case. Two resonances are generated dynamically, $\Lambda(1783)$ and $\Sigma(1830)$, which can be identified with the experimentally observed states $J^P = 1/2^-$ $\Lambda(1800)$ and the $J^P = 1/2^-$ PDG state $\Sigma(1750)$, respectively [21].

The in-medium \bar{K}^* self-energy results from the sum of both contributions, $\Pi_{\bar{K}^*} = \Pi_{\bar{K}^*}^{(a)} + \Pi_{\bar{K}^*}^{(b)}$, where $\Pi_{\bar{K}^*}^{(b)}$ is obtained, similarly to the \bar{K} meson in Eq. (2.1), by integrating the \bar{K}^*N transition amplitude over the nucleon Fermi sea. The \bar{K}^* spectral function is given in a similar way as in Eq. (2.2).

The \bar{K}^* meson spectral function is displayed in the left panel of Fig. 3 as a function of the meson energy q_0 , for zero momentum and different densities up to $1.5 \rho_0$. The dashed line refers to the calculation in free space, where only the $\bar{K}\pi$ decay channel contributes, while the other three lines correspond to the fully self-consistent calculations, which incorporate the process $\bar{K}^* \rightarrow \bar{K}\pi$ in the medium, as well as the quasielastic $\bar{K}^*N \rightarrow \bar{K}^*N$ and other $\bar{K}^*N \rightarrow VB$ processes. The structures above the quasiparticle peak correspond to the dynamically generated $\Lambda(1783)N^{-1}$ and $\Sigma(1830)N^{-1}$ excitations. Density effects result in a dilution and merging of those resonant-hole states, together with a general broadening of the spectral function due to the increase of collisional




Figure 3: Left: \bar{K}^* spectral function at $\vec{q} = 0$ MeV/c for different densities. Right: Transparency ratio for $\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'$

and absorption processes. Although the real part of the optical potential is moderate, -50 MeV at ρ_0 , the interferences with the resonant-hole modes push the \bar{K}^* quasiparticle peak to lower energies. However, transitions to meson-baryon states with a pseudoscalar meson, which are included in the \bar{K}^* self-energy but are not incorporated explicitly in the unitarized $\bar{K}^* N$ amplitude, would make the peak less prominent and difficult to disentangle from the other excitations. In any case, what is clear from the present approach, is that the spectral function spread of the \bar{K}^* increases substantially in the medium, becoming at normal nuclear matter density five times bigger than in free space.

2.2.1 Transparency ratio for $\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'$

In order to test experimentally the \bar{K}^* self-energy, one possible observable is the nuclear transparency ratio. The idea is to compare the cross sections of the photoproduction reaction $\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'$ in different nuclei, and trace them to the in medium K^{*-} width.

The normalized nuclear transparency ratio is defined as

$$T_A = \frac{\tilde{T}_A}{\tilde{T}_{^{12}\text{C}}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{T}_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'}}{A \sigma_{\gamma N \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} N}}. \quad (2.3)$$

It describes the loss of flux of K^{*-} mesons in the nucleus and is related to the absorptive part of the K^{*-} -nucleus optical potential and, thus, to the K^{*-} width in the nuclear medium. We evaluate the ratio between the nuclear cross sections in heavy nuclei and a light one (^{12}C), T_A , so that other nuclear effects not related to the absorption of the K^{*-} cancel.

In the right panel of Fig. 3 we show the results for different nuclei. The transparency ratio has been plotted for two different energies in the center of mass reference system, $\sqrt{s} = 3$ GeV and 3.5 GeV, which are equivalent to energies of the photon in the lab frame of 4.3 GeV and 6 GeV, respectively. We observe a very strong attenuation of the \bar{K}^* survival probability due to the decay $\bar{K}^* \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi$ or absorption channels $\bar{K}^* N \rightarrow \bar{K} N, \pi Y, \bar{K} \pi N, \pi \pi Y, \bar{K}^* N, \rho Y, \omega Y, \phi Y, \dots$ with increasing nuclear-mass number A . This is due to the larger path that the \bar{K}^* has to follow before it leaves the nucleus, having then more chances to decay or get absorbed.

3. Charm mesons in matter

3.1 Open charm in dense matter

The medium modifications of mesons with charm, such as D and \bar{D} mesons, have been object of recent theoretical interest due to the consequences for charmonium suppression, as observed at SPS energies by the NA50 collaboration [25]. Furthermore, changes in the properties of the charm mesons will have a strong effect on the predicted open-charm enhancement in nucleus-nucleus collisions [26, 27].

A phenomenological estimate based on the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model [28] predicts an attractive D^+ -nucleus potential at normal nuclear matter density ρ_0 of the order of -140 MeV [29]. The D -meson mass shift has also been studied using the QCD sum-rule (QSR) approach [30, 31, 32]. Due to the presence of a light quark in the D -meson, the mass modification of the D -meson has a large contribution from the light quark condensates. A mass shift of -50 MeV at ρ_0 for the D -meson has been suggested [30]. A second analysis, however, predicts only a splitting of D^+ and D^- masses of 60 MeV at ρ_0 because the uncertainties to which the mass shift is subject at the level of the unknown DN coupling to the sector of charm baryons and pions [31]. Recent results on QSR rules for open charm mesons can be found in [32]. On the other hand, the mass modification of the D -meson is also addressed using a chiral effective model in hot and dense matter [33], where strong mass shifts were obtained.

With regard to approaches based on coupled-channel dynamics, unitarized coupled-channel methods have been applied in the meson-baryon sector with charm content [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42], partially motivated by the parallelism between the $\Lambda(1405)$ and the $\Lambda_c(2595)$. Other existing coupled-channel approaches are based on the Jülich meson-exchange model [43, 44] or on the hidden gauge formalism [45].

More recently, an $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ spin-flavor symmetric model has been developed [46, 47], similarly to the $SU(6)$ approach in the light sector of Refs. [20, 48]. This model implements heavy-quark spin symmetry (HQSS) [49], which is a proper QCD symmetry that appears when the quark masses, such as the charm mass, become larger than the typical confinement scale. The model generates dynamically resonances with negative parity in all the isospin, spin, strange and charm sectors that one can form from an s-wave interaction between pseudoscalar and vector meson multiplets with $1/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ baryons [50].

The HQSS predicts that, in QCD, all types of spin interactions involving heavy quarks vanish for infinitely massive quarks. Thus, HQSS connects vector and pseudoscalar mesons containing charm quarks. Chiral symmetry fixes the lowest order interaction between Goldstone bosons and other hadrons in a model independent way; this is the Weinberg-Tomozawa interaction. Then, it is very appealing to have a predictive model for four flavors including all basic hadrons (pseudoscalar and vector mesons, and $1/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ baryons) which reduces to the WT interaction in the sector where Goldstone bosons are involved and which incorporates HQSS in the sector where charm quarks participate. Indeed, this is a model assumption which is justified in view of the reasonable semiquantitative outcome of the $SU(6)$ extension in the three-flavor sector [51] and on a formal plausibleness on how the $SU(4)$ WT interaction in the charm pseudoscalar meson-baryon sector comes out in the vector-meson exchange picture.




Figure 4: The D and D^* spectral functions in dense nuclear matter at $\vec{q} = 0$ MeV/c.

Within this model, the self-energy and, hence, spectral function for D and D^* mesons are obtained self-consistently in a simultaneous manner, as it follows from HQSS, by taking, as bare interaction, the extended WT interaction previously described. We incorporate Pauli blocking effects and open charm meson self-energies in the intermediate propagators for the in-medium solution [52]. Specifically, the D and D^* self-energies are obtained by summing the transition amplitude over the Fermi sea of nucleons, as well as over the different spin ($J = 1/2$ for DN , and $J = 1/2, 3/2$ for D^*N) and isospin ($I = 0, 1$) channels, similarly to the \bar{K} case.

The D and D^* spectral functions at zero momentum are displayed in Fig. 4. Those spectral functions show a rich spectrum of resonance-hole states. On one hand, the D meson quasiparticle peak mixes strongly with $\Sigma_c(2823)N^{-1}$ and $\Sigma_c(2868)N^{-1}$ states. These Σ_c resonances are predictions of our model with no experimental confirmation yet. On the other hand, the $\Lambda_c(2595)N^{-1}$ is clearly visible in the low-energy tail. With regard to the D^* meson, the D^* spectral function incorporates the $J = 3/2$ resonances, and the quasiparticle peak fully mixes with the $\Sigma_c(2902)N^{-1}$ and $\Lambda_c(2941)N^{-1}$ states. The $\Sigma_c(2902)$ can be identified with the experimental $\Sigma_c(2800)$ [53] while $\Lambda_c(2941)$ has no experimental correspondance yet. For both mesons, the $Y_c N^{-1}$ modes tend to smear out and the spectral functions broaden with increasing phase space, as seen before in the SU(4) model [38].

The optical potential for D and \bar{D} meson is shown in Fig. 5. Defined as

$$V(r, E) = \frac{\Pi(q^0 = m + E, \vec{q} = 0, \rho(r))}{2m}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $E = q^0 - m$ is the D or \bar{D} energy excluding its mass, and Π the meson self-energy, we observe in both cases a strong energy dependence close to the open-charm meson mass. In the case of the D meson, this is due to the mixing of the quasiparticle peak with the $\Sigma_c(2823)N^{-1}$ and $\Sigma_c(2868)N^{-1}$ states. For the \bar{D} meson, the presence of a bound state at 2805 MeV [47], almost at $\bar{D}N$ threshold, makes the potential also strongly energy dependent. This is in contrast to the SU(4) model (see Ref. [54]).




Figure 5: The D and \bar{D} optical potential at $\vec{q} = 0$ MeV/c for different densities

3.1.1 D mesons in nuclei

In this section we present a possible experimental scenario for the detection of the changes in matter of the properties of open charm mesons. In particular, we would like to analyze the possible formation of D mesic nuclei.

The QMC model predicted D and \bar{D} -meson bound states in ^{208}Pb relying upon an attractive D and \bar{D} -meson potential in the nuclear medium [29]. The experimental observation of those bound states, though, might be problematic since, even if there are bound states, their widths could be very large compared to the separation of the levels. This is indeed the case for the potential derived from a $\text{SU}(4)$ t -vector meson exchange model for D -mesons [39].

We solve the Schrödinger equation in the local density approximation so as to analyze the formation of bound states with charm mesons in nucleus. In particular we study D and D^0 mesons in nucleus. We observe that the D^0 -nucleus states are weakly bound (see Fig. 6), in contrast to previous results using the QMC model. Moreover, those states have significant widths [54], in particular, for ^{208}Pb [29]. Only D^0 -nucleus bound states are possible since the Coulomb interaction prevents the formation of observable bound states for D^+ mesons. A similar study for \bar{D} mesic atoms and nuclei is presented in Ref. [55].

It is also interesting to note that a recent work suggests the possibility that, for the lightest nucleus, DNN develops a bound and narrow state with $S = 0, I = 1/2$, as evaluated in Ref. [56].

To gain some knowledge on the charm meson-nucleus interaction, the information on bound states is very valuable. This is of special interest for PANDA at FAIR. The experimental detection of D bound states is, however, a difficult task. For example, it was observed in Ref. [54] that reactions with antiprotons on nuclei for obtaining D^0 -nucleus states might have a very low production rate (see Fig. 7). Reactions but with proton beams seem more likely to trap a D^0 in nuclei [54].




Figure 6: D^0 -nucleus bound states.




Figure 7: Possible production of D^0 -mesic nuclei with an antiproton beam

4. Summary

We have reviewed the properties of strange and charm mesons in dense matter. Different frameworks have been discussed paying a special attention to unitarized coupled-channel approaches that take, as bare interaction, effective Lagrangians that respect chiral symmetry in the light sector while HQSS in the heavy one, and/or vector-meson exchange models. Moreover, we have analyzed possible experimental signatures of the in-medium properties of these mesons. In particular, we have presented heavy-ion collisions data on strange mesons, the transparency ratio of the $\gamma A \rightarrow K^+ K^{*-} A'$ reaction and the study the possible formation of D -mesic nuclei.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by DGI and FEDER funds, under Contract Nos. FIS2011-28853-C02-02, FIS2011-24149, FIS2011-24154, FPA2010-16963 and the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio

2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042), by Junta de Andalucía Grant No. FQM-225, by Generalitat Valenciana under Contract No. PROMETEO/2009/0090, by the Generalitat de Catalunya under contract 2009SGR-1289 and by the EU HadronPhysics3 project, Grant Agreement No. 283286. L. T. acknowledges support from Ramon y Cajal Research Programme, and from FP7- PEOPLE-2011-CIG under Contract No. PCIG09-GA- 2011-291679.

References

- [1] D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B **175** (1986) 57.
- [2] C. Fuchs, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **56** (2006) 1
- [3] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178** (1986) 416
- [4] <http://www.gsi.de/~fair>
- [5] E. Friedman and A. Gal, Phys. Rep. **452** (2007) 89
- [6] J. Schaffner, J. Bondorf and I. N. Mishustin, Nucl. Phys. A **625** (1997) 325
- [7] M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. B **426** (1998) 12
- [8] A. Ramos and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **671** (2000) 481
- [9] L. Tolos, A. Ramos, A. Polls and T. T. S. Kuo, Nucl. Phys. A **690** (2001) 547
- [10] L. Tolos, A. Ramos and A. Polls, Phys. Rev. C **65** (2002) 054907
- [11] V. Koch, Phys. Lett. B **337** (1994) 7
- [12] L. Tolos, A. Ramos and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **74** (2006) 015203
- [13] M. F. M. Lutz, C. L. Korpa and M. Moller, Nucl. Phys. A **808** (2008) 124
- [14] L. Tolos, D. Cabrera and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **78** (2008) 045205
- [15] D. Cabrera, A. Polls, A. Ramos and L. Tolos, Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 045201
- [16] W. Cassing, L. Tolos, E. L. Bratkovskaya and A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A **727** (2003) 59
- [17] L. Tolos, A. Polls, A. Ramos and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Phys. Rev. C **68** (2003) 024903
- [18] A. Forster, F. Uhlig, I. Bottcher, D. Brill, M. Debowski, F. Dohrmann, E. Grosse and P. Koczon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **75** (2007) 024906
- [19] C. Hartnack, H. Oeschler, Y. Leifels, E. L. Bratkovskaya and J. Aichelin, Phys. Rep. **510** (2012) 119
- [20] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, and L. L. Salcedo, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 034025
- [21] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Eur. Phys. J. A **44** (2010) 445
- [22] K. P. Khemchandani, H. Kaneko, H. Nagahiro and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 114041;
K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres, H. Kaneko, H. Nagahiro and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 094018; K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres, H. Nagahiro and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 114020
- [23] E. Oset, A. Ramos, E. J. Garzon, R. Molina, L. Tolos, C. W. Xiao, J. J. Wu and B. S. Zou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **21** (2012) 1230011
- [24] L. Tolos, R. Molina, E. Oset and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **82** (2010) 045210

- [25] M. Gonin *et al.*, NA50 Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A **610** (1996) 404c
- [26] M. I. Gorenstein, A. P. Kostyuk, H. Stöcker and W. Greiner, Phys. Lett. B **509** (2001) 277; M. I. Gorenstein, A. P. Kostyuk, H. Stöcker and W. Greiner, J. Phys. G **27** (2001) L47; A. P. Kostyuk, M. I. Gorenstein and W. Greiner, Phys. Lett. B **519** (2001) 207
- [27] W. Cassing, E. L. Bratkovskaya, and A. Sibirtsev, Nucl. Phys. A **691** (2001) 753
- [28] P. A. M. Guichon, Phys. Lett. B **200** (1988) 235
- [29] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, K. Saito, and R. H. Landau, Phys. Rev. C **59** (1999) 2824; A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima, and A. W. Thomas, Eur. Phys. J. A **6** (1999) 351
- [30] A. Hayashigaki, Phys. Lett. B **487** (2000) 96
- [31] P. Morath, W. Weise and S. H. Lee, *Proceedings of the 17th Autumn school on QCD: Perturbative or Nonperturbative?* Lisbon 1999, edited by L. S. Ferreira, P. Nogueira, and J. I. Silva-Marcos (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), p. 425; W. Weise, *Proceedings of Hirschegg '01: Structure of Hadrons: 29th International Workshop on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations*, Hirschegg, Austria, 14-20 Jan 2001, published in **Hirschegg 2001, Structure of hadrons**, p. 249
- [32] T. Hilger, R. Thomas and B. Kampfer, Phys. Rev. C **79** (2009) 025202; T. Hilger, B. Kampfer and S. Leupold, Phys. Rev. C **84** (2011) 045202
- [33] A. Mishra, E. L. Bratkovskaya, J. Schaffner-Bielich, S. Schramm and H. Stöcker, Phys. Rev. C **69** (2004) 015202; A. Kumar and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **81** (2010) 065204; A. Kumar and A. Mishra, Eur. Phys. J. A **47** (2011) 164
- [34] L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **70** (2004) 025203
- [35] L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B **635** (2006) 85
- [36] M. F. M. Lutz and E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A **730** (2004) 110
- [37] J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A **763** (2005) 90; J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A **776** (2006) 17
- [38] T. Mizutani and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **74** (2006) 065201
- [39] L. Tolos, A. Ramos and T. Mizutani, Phys. Rev. C **77** (2008) 015207
- [40] R. Molina, D. Gamermann, E. Oset and L. Tolos, Eur. Phys. J. A **42** (2009) 31
- [41] C. E. Jimenez-Tejero, A. Ramos and I. Vidana, Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 055206
- [42] C. E. Jimenez-Tejero, A. Ramos, L. Tolos and I. Vidana, Phys. Rev. C **84** (2011) 015208
- [43] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U. G. Meissner and A. Sibirtsev, Eur. Phys. J. A **33** (2007) 107
- [44] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U. G. Meissner and L. Tolos, Eur. Phys. J. A **47** (2011) 18
- [45] J. -J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 232001
- [46] C. Garcia-Recio, V. K. Magas, T. Mizutani, J. Nieves, A. Ramos, L. L. Salcedo and L. Tolos, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 054004
- [47] D. Gamermann, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo and L. Tolos, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 094016
- [48] H. Toki, C. Garcia-Recio and J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 034001

- [49] N. Isgur, M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **232** (1989) 113; M. Neubert, Phys. Rep. **245** (1994) 259; A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10** (2000) 1
- [50] O. Romanets, L. Tolos, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo and R. G. E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 114032
- [51] D. Gamermann, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves and L. L. Salcedo, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 056017
- [52] L. Tolos, C. Garcia-Recio, and J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 065202
- [53] K. Nakamura *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], J. Phys. G **37** (2010) 075021
- [54] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves and L. Tolos, Phys. Lett. B **690** (2010) 369
- [55] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo and L. Tolos, Phys. Rev. C **85** (2012) 025203
- [56] M. Bayar, C. W. Xiao, T. Hyodo, A. Dote, M. Oka and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **86** (2012) 044004

