

Proceedings of CKM 2012, the 7th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle, University of Cincinnati, USA, 28 September - 2 October 2012

## $V_{us}$ from kaon decays

*Matthew Moulson*

*Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN*

*Via E. Fermi, 40, 00044 Frascati RM, Italy*

### Abstract

During the last few years, new experimental and theoretical results have allowed ever-more-stringent tests of the Standard Model to be performed using kaon decays. This overview of recent progress includes updated results for the evaluation of the CKM matrix element  $V_{us}$  from kaon decay data and related tests of CKM unitarity and gauge and lepton universality.

If the couplings of the  $W$  to quarks and leptons are indeed specified by a single gauge coupling, then for universality to be observed as the equivalence of the Fermi constant  $G_F$  as measured in muon and hadron decays, the CKM matrix must be unitary. Currently, the most stringent test of CKM unitarity is obtained from the first-row relation  $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \equiv 1 + \Delta_{\text{CKM}}$ . During the period spanning 2003 to 2010, a wealth of new measurements of  $K_{\ell 3}$  and  $K_{\ell 2}$  decays and steady theoretical progress made possible precision tests of the Standard Model (SM) based on this relation. In a 2010 evaluation of  $|V_{us}|$ , the FlaviaNet Working Group on Kaon Decays set bounds on  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}}$  at the level of 0.1% [1], which translate into bounds on the effective scale of new physics on the order of 10 TeV [2]. Since 2010, there have been a few significant new measurements and some important theoretical developments. Among the latter, advances in algorithmic sophistication and computing power are leading to more and better lattice QCD estimates of the hadronic constants  $f_+(0)$  and  $f_K/f_\pi$ , which enter into the determination of  $|V_{us}|$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$  and  $K_{\mu 2}$  decays, respectively. In addition, two groups working on the classification and averaging of results from lattice QCD [3, 4] have joined their efforts, forming the new Flavor Lattice Average Group (FLAG-2) to provide recommended values of these constants [5].

The experimental inputs for the determination of  $|V_{us}|$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$  decays are the rates and form factors for the decays of both charged and neutral kaons. There have been no new branching ratio (BR) measurements since the 2010 review. On the other




Figure 1:  $1\sigma$  confidence contours for form factor parameters ( $K_{e3}$ - $K_{\mu 3}$  averages) from dispersive fits, for different experiments. The NA48/2 result was converted by the author from the experiment’s polynomial fit results. The FlaviaNet 2010 average and the new average, with the NA48/2 result included, are also shown.

hand, both the KLOE and KTeV collaborations have new measurements of the  $K_S$  lifetime,  $\tau_{K_S}$ . The KLOE measurement [6] is based on the vertex distribution for  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  decays in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow K_S K_L$  events. The new KTeV result [7] comes from a comprehensive reanalysis of the  $\pi\pi$  vertex distributions in the experiment’s regenerator beam. This analysis can be performed with or without assuming  $CPT$  symmetry. The present update makes use of the new KTeV values for  $\tau_{K_S}$  and  $\text{Re}\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$  (which enters the fit for the  $K_L$  rates by providing an effective measurement of  $\text{BR}(\pi^0\pi^0)/\text{BR}(\pi^+\pi^-)$ ) obtained without the  $CPT$  assumption. The largest effect of these updates is to reduce the uncertainty on  $\tau_{K_S}$ , the value of which changes from 89.59(6) ps [1] to 89.58(4) ps.

The NA48/2 experiment has recently released preliminary results for the form factors for both  $K_{e3}^\pm$  and  $K_{\mu 3}^\pm$  decays [8]. At the moment, the fits are performed using one of two parameterizations,

- polynomial:  $(\lambda'_+, \lambda''_+)$  for  $K_{e3}$ ,  $(\lambda'_+, \lambda''_+, \lambda_0)$  for  $K_{\mu 3}$ , or
- polar:  $M_V$  for  $K_{e3}$ ,  $(M_V, M_S)$  for  $K_{\mu 3}$ .

The FlaviaNet Working Group uses the dispersive parameterizations of [9] because of the advantages described in [1]. NA48/2 has not yet performed dispersive fits. However, it is possible to fit approximately equivalent values of  $\Lambda_+$  and  $\ln C$  to the

Mode	$ V_{us} f_+(0) $	% err	Approx contrib to % err			
			BR	$\tau$	$\Delta$	$I$
$K_{L,e3}$	0.2163(5)	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.11	0.05
$K_{L,\mu3}$	0.2166(6)	0.28	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.06
$K_{S,e3}$	0.2155(13)	0.61	0.60	0.02	0.11	0.05
$K_{e3}^\pm$	0.2160(11)	0.52	0.31	0.09	0.41	0.04
$K_{\mu3}^\pm$	0.2158(13)	0.63	0.47	0.08	0.41	0.06

Table 1: Values of  $|V_{us} f_+(0)|$  from data for different decay modes, with breakdown of uncertainty from different sources: branching ratio measurements (BR), lifetime measurements ( $\tau$ ), long distance radiative and isospin-breaking corrections ( $\Delta$ ), and phase space integrals from form factor parameters ( $I$ ).

NA48/2 measurements of  $(\lambda'_+, \lambda''_+, \lambda_0)$  using the expressions in the appendix of [9] and the observation that  $\lambda_0 \approx \lambda'_0 + 3.5\lambda''_0$  [10]. This is important because it helps to resolve a controversy: the older measurements of the  $K_{\mu3}$  form factors for  $K_L$  decays from NA48 [11] are in such strong disagreement with the other existing measurements that they have been excluded from the FlaviaNet averages [1]. The new NA48/2 measurements, on the other hand, are in good agreement with other measurements, as seen from Fig. 1. Including the new NA48/2 results, appropriately converted for the current purposes, the dispersive average becomes  $\Lambda_+ = (25.75 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $\ln C = 0.1895(70)$ , with  $\rho = -0.202$  and  $P(\chi^2) = 55\%$ . The central values of the phase space integrals barely change with this inclusion; the uncertainties are reduced by 30%.

For all of the above efforts, however, the value of and uncertainty on  $|V_{us}| f_+(0)$  is essentially unchanged. This is because the new results are nicely consistent with the older averages, and neither the  $K_S$  lifetime nor the phase space integrals were significant contributors to the overall experimental uncertainty. The updated five-channel ( $K_{L,e3}$ ,  $K_{L,\mu3}$ ,  $K_{S,e3}$ ,  $K_{e3}^\pm$ ,  $K_{\mu3}^\pm$ ) average is  $|V_{us}| f_+(0) = 0.2163(5)$  with  $P(\chi^2) = 93\%$ . An approximate breakdown of the contributions to the uncertainty for the determination of  $|V_{us}| f_+(0)$  for each channel is given in Table 1.

The ratio of the values for  $|V_{us} f_+(0)|^2$  obtained from  $K_{\mu3}$  and  $K_{e3}$  decays is proportional to  $(g_\mu/g_e)^2$ . The value 1.002(5) is obtained for this ratio, which confirms the universality of lepton couplings. Comparison of the values for  $|V_{us} f_+(0)|^2$  obtained with  $K^+$  and  $K_L$ ,  $K_S$  decays confirms the accuracy of the correction for strong isospin breaking  $\Delta_{SU(2)}$ . The correction used in the analysis is  $\Delta_{SU(2)} = (2.9 \pm 0.4)\%$  [12], while perfect equality of the experimental results would require  $\Delta_{SU(2)} = (2.73 \pm 0.41)\%$ . The uncertainty on the theoretical value is one of the largest contributions to the uncertainty on  $|V_{us}| f_+(0)$  from  $K^\pm$  decays.

A value for  $f_+(0)$  is needed to obtain the value of  $V_{us}$ . The currently recom-

mended FLAG-2 value [5] for  $f_+(0)$  from three-flavor lattice QCD is that obtained by RBC/UKQCD [13]. For the present analysis, the uncertainty is symmetrized, giving  $f_+(0) = 0.959(5)$  and thus  $|V_{us}| = 0.2254(13)$ . Importantly, the test of CKM unitarity also requires a value for  $|V_{ud}|$ . The most recent definitive survey of experimental data on  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$   $\beta$  decays is that of [14], which gives  $|V_{ud}| = 0.97425(22)$ . This, together with the value of  $|V_{us}|$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$ , yields  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = 0.0000(8)$ , demonstrating perfect agreement with unitarity.

Up to kinematic factors and isospin-breaking corrections, the ratio of the (inner-bremsstrahlung inclusive) rates for  $K_{\mu 2}$  and  $\pi_{\mu 2}$  decays provides access to the quantity  $|V_{us}/V_{ud}| \times f_K/f_\pi$ . The FlaviaNet fit for the  $K^\pm$  BRs, which provides the values for  $\text{BR}(K_{\mu 2}^\pm)$  and  $\tau_{K^\pm}$ , has not changed since the 2010 review. There are some important changes in the necessary theoretical inputs. There are many more calculations of  $f_K/f_\pi$  in three-flavor lattice QCD than there are of  $f_+(0)$ . The most recent FLAG-2 average of the four complete and published determinations of  $f_K/f_\pi$  in three-flavor lattice QCD is  $f_K/f_\pi = 1.193(5)$  [5], only slightly changed from the situation in 2010 by the addition of a single study. More significant is a new calculation of the corrections to the ratio  $\Gamma(K_{\mu 2}^\pm)/\Gamma(\pi_{\mu 2}^\pm)$  for isospin breaking [15], which for the first time takes into account the effects of strong isospin breaking, in addition to the long-distance electromagnetic corrections. While the magnitude of the total correction is nearly doubled, the contribution to the uncertainty from the correction is increased by only about 20%. The resulting value for  $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$  is 0.2317(11).

The values of  $|V_{ud}|$  from  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$   $\beta$  decays,  $|V_{us}|$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$  decays, and  $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$  from  $K_{\mu 2}$  decays can be combined in a single fit to increase the sensitivity of the unitarity test, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The fit can be performed with or without the unitarity constraint,  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = 0$ . The unconstrained fit does not change the input value of  $|V_{ud}|$  and gives  $|V_{us}| = 0.2256(8)$ . This gives  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = +0.0001(6)$ , once again in perfect agreement with unitarity. Using a model-independent effective-theory approach, the authors of [2] show that the effective scale for corresponding contributions from new physics with approximate flavor symmetry is about 10 TeV.

The corresponding results in 2010 were  $|V_{us}| = 0.2253(9)$  and  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = -0.0001(6)$  [1]. The new measurements of  $\tau_{K_S}$  and the  $K_{\ell 3}$  form factor parameters have virtually no effect on the final result. While there is a small effect from the inclusion of the new lattice estimate for  $f_K/f_\pi$  in the FLAG-2 average, the largest single influence is from the new correction to the ratio  $\Gamma(K_{\mu 2})/\Gamma(\pi_{\mu 2})$  for the effects of strong isospin breaking. This underscores a simple fact: so much work has been done to increase the precision of the experimental inputs to  $|V_{us}|$  that, for the moment at least, further experimental progress is difficult. The measurements that offer the most room for improvement are the BRs for the  $K_{\ell 3}$  decays of the  $K_S$  and of the  $K^\pm$ , and in the case of  $K^\pm$ , to be useful, better BR measurements would also require a more precise theoretical estimate for  $\Delta_{SU(2)}$ . But while the experimental quantities  $|V_{us}|f_+(0)$  and  $|V_{us}/V_{ud}| \times f_K/f_\pi$  have been measured to within about 0.2%, the precision of the




Figure 2: Fits to  $|V_{ud}|$  from  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$   $\beta$  decays,  $|V_{us}|$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$  decays, and  $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$  from  $K_{\mu 2}$  decays. The yellow ellipse indicates the  $1\sigma$  confidence interval in the plane of  $(|V_{ud}|, |V_{us}|)$  for the fit with no constraints. The yellow line segment indicates the result obtained with the constraint  $\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = 0$ .

unitarity test is currently determined by the uncertainties on the lattice results for  $f_+(0)$  and  $f_K/f_\pi$ , which are at the level of 0.5%. Thus, at the moment, the lattice offers the most certain prospects for further improvement. Results for  $f_+(0)$  and  $f_K/f_\pi$  with precision at the level of 0.2% may be available as early as 2014, and continued progress is expected thereafter [16].

## References

- [1] M. Antonelli, V. Cirigliano, G. Isidori, F. Mescia, M. Moulson, H. Neufeld, E. Passemar and M. Palutan *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **69**, 399 (2010) [arXiv:1005.2323 [hep-ph]].
- [2] V. Cirigliano, J. Jenkins and M. González-Alonso, Nucl. Phys. B **830**, 95 (2010) [arXiv:0908.1754 [hep-ph]].
- [3] G. Colangelo, S. Dürr, A. Jüttner, L. Lellouch, H. Leutwyler, V. Lubicz, S. Necco and C. T. Sachrajda *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1695 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4408 [hep-lat]].

- [4] J. Laiho, E. Lunghi and R. S. Van de Water, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 034503 (2010) [arXiv:0910.2928 [hep-ph]].
- [5] G. Colangelo, talk at Lattice '12 conference, Cairns, Australia (2009).
- [6] F. Ambrosino *et al.* [KLOE Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, (2011) 1604 [arXiv:1011.2668 [hep-ex]].
- [7] E. Abouzaid *et al.* [KTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **83**, 092001 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0127 [hep-ex]].
- [8] M. Hita-Hochgesand for the NA48/2 Collaboration, talk at Moriond EW 12 conference (2012).
- [9] V. Bernard, M. Oertel, E. Passemar and J. Stern, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 034034 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1654 [hep-ph]].
- [10] F. Ambrosino *et al.* [KLOE Collaboration], JHEP **0712**, 105 (2007) [arXiv:0710.4470 [hep-ex]].
- [11] A. Lai *et al.* [NA48 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **647**, 341 (2007) [hep-ex/0703002].
- [12] A. Kastner and H. Neufeld, Eur. Phys. J. C **57**, 541 (2008) [arXiv:0805.2222 [hep-ph]].
- [13] P. A. Boyle *et al.* [RBC-UKQCD Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **69**, 159 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0886 [hep-lat]].
- [14] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055502 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1202 [nucl-ex]].
- [15] V. Cirigliano and H. Neufeld, Phys. Lett. B **700**, 7 (2011) [arXiv:1102.0563 [hep-ph]].
- [16] R. Van de Water, talk at CIPANP 12 conference, St. Petersburg, FL (2012).