arXiv:1301.3121v1 [hep-ph] 14 Jan 2013

Leptonic Mixing and Group Structure Constants

C.S. Lam

Department of Physics, McGill University

Montreal, Q.C., Canada H3A 2T8

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of British Columbia,

Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1

Email: Lam@physics.mcgill.ca

Abstract

Hernandez and Smirnov discovered an interesting formula to parametrize each column of a neutrino mixing matrix by six integers related to the residual symmetry. We point out that these six integers are not independent, and propose a way to find the allowed combinations using structure constants of finite groups.

I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetry of leptonic mixing can be studied from the top down, or from the bottom up. In the former case, a symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ is introduced from which the leptonic mixing patterns are computed. In the latter case, $\mathcal{G}$ is derived from the residual symmetries compatible with experimental mixing. In this article we discuss the latter.

It was recently found [1, 2] that each column of a neutrino mixing matrix can be parametrized by six integers related to residual symmetry. This is a great step forward because this formula for mixing vectors is explicit and easily calculable. In contrast, it is considerably harder and much more lengthy to produce mixing vectors from the top down, because in that case many eigenvectors of many group elements of many 3-dimensional irreducible representations of many groups have to be computed [3, 4].

Nevertheless, there are hidden difficulties with this bottom-up apprach. As we shall see in Sec. II, without a constraint between these six parameters, there may be no group containing the intended residual symmetry, much less a finite one with a moderate order. The purpose of this article is to find out the constraints between these parameters.

After a review of the main formula [1] in Sec. II, and some of its consequences, we will embark on a way to search for the constraints in Sec. III. We need to do a scan to find the allowed parameters, but this scan is much simpler than the top-down scan [3, 4] because only class functions are needed here rather than the individual group elements. Examples of the search are given in Sec. IV, with the help of two GAP-language [7] programs discussed in Appendix A.

II. REVIEW OF THE PARAMETRIZATION

We follow the notations of [1]. The symmetry generator of Z_m in the charged-lepton sector is denoted by T, rather than F as in [3], and those for the Z_2 or $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry in the neutrino sector are denoted by S_{iU}, rather than G_i. Following [1], $\det(T) = \det(S_{iU}) = 1$ is assumed, and the order of $W_{iU} := S_{iU}T$ is taken to be p. Most of time we will discuss a partial Z_2 symmetry and will drop the subscript i in S_U and W_U.

The absolute value of a column of the mixing matrix U can be parametrized by six

integers $(m, k_e, k_\mu, p, s_e, s_\mu)$ to be [1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{ei}|^2 &= \frac{a_R \cos\frac{\phi_e}{2} + \cos\frac{3\phi_e}{2} - a_I \sin\frac{\phi_e}{2}}{4\sin\frac{\phi_{e\mu}}{2}\sin\frac{\phi_{\tau e}}{2}}\ , \\
|U_{\mu i}|^2 &= \frac{a_R \cos\frac{\phi_\mu}{2} + \cos\frac{3\phi_\mu}{2} - a_I \sin\frac{\phi_\mu}{2}}{4\sin\frac{\phi_{e\mu}}{2}\sin\frac{\phi_{\mu\tau}}{2}}\ , \\
|U_{\tau i}|^2 &= \frac{a_R \cos\frac{\phi_\tau}{2} + \cos\frac{3\phi_\tau}{2} - a_I \sin\frac{\phi_\tau}{2}}{4\sin\frac{\phi_{\tau e}}{2}\sin\frac{\phi_{\mu\tau}}{2}}\ , \quad [mv]
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where i labels the column. The integer parameters (m, k_e, k_μ) are defined via the Z_m generator $T = \mathrm{diag}(\omega_m^{k_e}, \omega_m^{k_\mu}, \omega_m^{k_\tau})$, where $\omega_m := \exp(2\pi i/m)$. The angles are $\phi_e := 2\pi k_e/m,\ \phi_\mu := 2\pi k_\mu/m,\ \phi_\tau := 2\pi k_\tau/m,\ \phi_{e\mu} := \phi_e - \phi_\mu,\ \phi_{\mu\tau} := \phi_\mu - \phi_\tau$, and $\phi_{\tau e} := \phi_\tau - \phi_e$. The parameter $k_\tau = -(k_e + k_\mu)$ is determined by $\det(T) = 1$. The quantities a_R and a_I are the real and imaginary parts of $a := \mathrm{Tr}(W_U) = \omega_p^{s_e} + \omega_p^{s_\mu} + \omega_p^{s_\tau}$, where $\omega_p^{s_e},\ \omega_p^{s_\mu}, \omega_p^{s_\tau}$ are the eigenvalues of $W_U = S_U T$. Since $\det(W_U) = 1$, it follows that $s_e + s_\mu + s_\tau = 0$, so (1) is parametrized by six integers $(m, k_e, k_\mu, p, s_e, s_\mu)$. The range of these integers are $m \geq 3$, $m > k_e, k_\mu \geq 0$, and $p > s_e, s_\mu \geq 0$, but they cannot be chosen independently.

One indication that the six integers are not arbitrary is the following. The right-hand side of (1) is not guaranteed to be non-negative but the left-hand side must be. For example, with $(m, p) = (3, 5)$, there is at least one negative entry in (1) if we take (k_e, k_μ, s_e, s_μ) to be either (0,1,0,0), (0,1,1,1), (0,1,1,2), (0,1,1,3), (0,1,2,2), or (0,1,2,4), so these choices of parameters are forbidden.

The reason for this failure is that a group structure cannot emerge from these choices, as we shall see in Sec. IVB.

Many known examples in the literature relies on $m = 3$ and $T = \mathrm{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)$. In fact, this T is unique up to permutation for $m = 3$ if it is to be non-degenerate. For that T, (1) is reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{ei}|^2 &= \frac{1}{3}(a_R + 1), \\
|U_{\mu i}|^2 &= \frac{1}{6}(-a_R + 2 + \sqrt{3}a_I), \\
|U_{\tau i}|^2 &= \frac{1}{6}(-a_R + 2 - \sqrt{3}a_I), \quad [mv3]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

so the equivalent mixing vectors are completely controlled by $a = \mathrm{Tr}(W_U)$. We call two mixing vectors *equivalent* if they differ only by a permutation of their components.

Table 1 shows some known cases taken from [1–6] with this T. Here the parameters $(m, k_e, k_\mu) = (3, 0, 1)$ are fixed. The first column in the Table gives the GAP-designation of the group in its Small Group library [7], the second column the common name of the group, the third column the mixing vector $|v| = (|U_{ei}|, |U_{\mu i}|, |U_{\tau i}|)$, or one of its permutations. The last two columns give (p, s_e, s_μ) and the corresponding (a_R, a_I) parameters that give rise to this $|v|$. (2) can be used to check that the listed $|v|$ indeed corresponds to the listed (a_R, a_I).

SmallGroup	Group	$\|v\|$	(p, s_e, s_μ)	(a_R, a_I)
$[12, 3]$	A_4	$[.577, .577, .577]$	$(3, 0, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
$[96, 64]$	$\Delta(96)$	$[.577, .789, .211]$	$(8, 1, 2)$	$(0, 1)$
$[24, 12]$	S_4	$[.816, .408, .408]$	$(4, 0, 1)$	$(1, 0)$
$[150, 5]$	$\Delta(150)$	$[.480, .812, .332]$	$(10, 1, 3)$	$(-.309, .951)$
		$[.777, .607, .170]$,	$(10, 1, 2)$	$(.809, .588)$
$[162, 14]$		$[.525, .279, .804]$	$(18, 7, 13)$	$(-.174, -.985)$
$[168, 42]$	$PSL(2, Z_7)$	$[.408, .894, .187]$	$(7, 1, 2)$	$(-.500, 1.32)$
$[294, 7]$	$\Delta(294)$	$[.354, .814, .460]$	$(14, 1, 5)$	$(-.623, .782)$
$[384, 568]$	$\Delta(384)$	$[.312, .810, .497)$	$(16, 1, 6)$	$(-.707, .707)$
$[486, 61]$	$\Delta(486)$	$[.525, .279, .804]$	$(18, 7, 13)$	$(-.174, -.985)$
$[600, 179]$	$\Delta(600)$	$[.480, .812, .332]$	$(10, 1, 3)$	$(-.309, .951)$

Table 1. Some mixing vectors obtained from $(m, k_e.k_\mu) = (3, 0, 1)$

III. GROUP STRUCTURE

Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite group that contains the elements $T, S_U, W_U = S_U T$, of orders $m, 2, p$ respectively. Then its order $|\mathcal{G}|$ must be an integer multiple of the least common multiple of 2, m, and p. Moreover, the product of an order-2 element S_U and an order-m element T must produce an order-p element W_U. Whether this can be realized or not depends on $\mathcal{G}$, and is determined by the *structure constant* of that group.

A. Structure Constant

Let $\mathcal{C}_i$ represent the ith conjugacy class of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\mathcal{C}_i\mathcal{C}_j$ the set of all products xy with $x \in \mathcal{C}_i$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_j$. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{C}_i\mathcal{C}_j$ must cover all classes $\mathcal{C}_k$ an integer number of times so that we can write

$$\mathcal{C}_i\mathcal{C}_j = \sum_k \gamma_{ijk}\mathcal{C}_k. \quad [stcon] \tag{3}$$

The non-negative integers γ_{ijk} are known as *structure constants* of the finite group $\mathcal{G}$. It is symmetric in i and j because $x\mathcal{C}_j x^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_j$, hence $\mathcal{C}_i\mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}_j\mathcal{C}_i$. They can be calculated from the characters χ_i^μ of class $\mathcal{C}_i$ and irreducible representation μ by the formula

$$\gamma_{ijk} = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_i|\ |C_j|}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_\mu \frac{1}{n_\mu} \chi_i^\mu \chi_j^\mu \chi_k^\mu, \quad [gabcchi] \tag{4}$$

where $|\mathcal{C}_i|$ is the size of class $\mathcal{C}_i$ and n_μ is the dimension of the irreducible representation μ. Hence $|\mathcal{C}_k|\gamma_{ijk}$ is completely symmetric in i, j, k.

Let c_o denote the list of classes whose elements have order o. Instead of writing the list as $\{\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_j, \cdots\}$, we simply write it as $c_o = \{i, j, \cdots\}$. Given a choice of (m, p), we need to find the groups $\mathcal{G}$ that are 'structurally sound', in the sense that there is at least one $\gamma_{ijk} > 0$ with $i \in c_2,\ j \in c_m$, and $k \in c_p$. A program in GAP language to search for such groups is presented in Appendix A.

B. Eigenvalues

Given a group $\mathcal{G}$ that is structurally sound for the parameters (m, p), the next task is to find out the allowed choice of (k_e, k_μ, s_e, s_μ) for this group. Since these parameters are related to the eigenvalues of T and W_U, and all elements within a class have the same eigenvalues, they can be obtained by searching the eigenvalues of classes $\mathcal{C}_j \in c_m$ and $\mathcal{C}_k \in c_p$ for which $\gamma_{ijk} > 0$. There could be different sets of eigenvalues for different 3-dimensional irreducible representations IR_3 and all of them should be found. For c_p, it is actually only the trace that is needed, not the individual eigenvalues. For a 3-dimensional irreducible representation μ, a is simply the character χ_j^μ. The output of the program in Appendix A contains these allowed eigenvalues.

IV. EXAMPLES

Let us illustrate the discussion of Sec. III with some examples.

A. $(m, k_e, k_\mu) = (3, 0, 1), \quad p = 10$

For $(m, p) = (3, 10)$, the least common multiple of (2, 3, 10) is 30, so we need to consider only groups $\mathcal{G}$ whose order is a multiple of 30. With $\det(T) = \det(S_U) = \det(W_U) = 1$, $\mathcal{G}$ can be confined to finite subgroups of $SU(3)$, and we will limit ourselves to those with an order < 512. From the list of such subgroups [8], only three groups have the right order. They are the Small Groups [60,5] $=A_5$, [150,5]=$\Delta(150)$, and [300,43]=$\Delta(300)$.

Table 2 shows these groups, as well as the allowed parameters (s_e, s_μ) and $a = a_r + ia_I$ when the group is structurally sound. This table is taken from the output of the programs 'gpsearch' and 'gpsu3' in Appendix A.

The last four columns in the Table display the order-o classes c_o, for $o = 2,\ o = m = 3,\ o = p = 10$, and the list IR_3 of 3-dimensional irreducible representations of the group. We see that [60,5] is not structurally sound because it lacks order-10 elements. The group [300, 43] does have plenty of order-10 elements, but it fails because there is no non-vanishing structure constants between classes of orders 2, 3, and 10. That leaves only the group [150,5].

$\Delta(150) = [150, 5]$ has one class each of order 2 and 3, four classes of order 10, and eight 3-dimensional irreducible representations. However, only the combinations in column 4 have non-zero structure constants and unit determinants. These combinations are consistent with those listed in Table 6 of [6], though they are obtained in completely different ways. The allowed parameters given in columns 2 and 3 form two complex-conjugated pairs, with both $(s_e, s_\mu) = (1, 2)$ and $(s_e, s_\mu) = (1, 3)$ appeared in Table 1. According to (2), exchanging a with a^* only permutes $|v_2|$ and $|v_3|$, so the mixing vectors of $(s_e, s_\mu) = (3, 8)$ and (1,2) are equivalent, and those of (4,7) and (1,3) are equivalent.

Any pair (s_e, s_μ) in the Table can be replaced by an equivalent pair (s_α, s_β) in which α, β are chosen from e, μ, τ, because they all give rise to the same a. For example, take the pair $(s_e, s_\mu) = (1, 2)$. Since $s_e + s_\mu + s_\tau = 0 \bmod p$, it follows that $s_\tau = 7$, therefore any pair taken from 1,2,7 will give the same a and the same mixing vector.

In principle, both s_e and s_μ can vary from 0 to 9, but according to Table 2, no combination

(s_e, s_μ) other than these four (and their equivalent ones as explained in the last paragraph) are allowed, at least not for a group of order < 512.

SmallGroup	(s_e, s_μ)	$a = (a_R, a_I)$	(i, j, k, IR_3)	c_2	c_3	c_{10}	IR_3
$[60, 5]$	–	–	–	2	3	–	2, 3
$[150, 5]$	$(1, 2)$	$\omega_{10} = (.809, .588)$	$(2, 3, 7, 9), (2, 3, 7, 11)$ $(2, 3, 10, 7), (2, 3, 11, 11)$	2	3	$6, 7, 10, 11$	4–11
	$(1, 3)$	$\omega_{10}^3 = (-.309, .951)$	$(2, 3, 6, 9), (2, 3, 10, 5)$ $(2, 3, 11, 7)$				
	$(4, 7)$	$\omega_{10}^7 = (-.309, -.951)$	$(2, 3, 6, 7), (2, 3, 7, 5)$ $(2, 3, 10, 11)$				
	$(3, 8)$	$\omega_{10}^9 = (.809, -.588)$	$(2, 3, 6, 11), (2, 3, 7, 7)$ $(2, 3, 10, 9)$				
$[300, 43]$	–	–	–	3	2, 6	7–10, 13–18 22–29, 31–36	4–36

Table 2. Allowed groups and allowed parameters for $(m, p) = (3, 10)$

B. $(m, k_e, k_\mu) = (3, 0, 1), \quad p = 5$

Since the least common multiple of (2, 3, 5) is also 30, the groups to be considered here are identical to those in Sec. IVA, namely, [60,5], [150,5], and [300,43]. Table 3 is the equivalent of Table 2, now for $p = 5$. We see that [60,5]=A_5 is the only structurally sound group for $(m, p) = (3, 5)$.

In principle, s_e and s_μ can range from 0 to 4, but we saw in Sec. II that the combinations $(s_e, s_\mu) = (0, 0), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 4)$ cannot be allowed because they give rise to a negative $|U_{\alpha i}|^2$. Let us see why this is so from the group theoretical point of view. According to Table 3, the allowed combinations of (s_e, s_μ) are (1,4) and (2,3), both leading to $s_\tau = 0$, so the allowed pairs should be chosen either from 1,4,0, or 2,3,0, but none of the forbidden pairs in Sec. II are one of these, which is why none are allowed.

SmallGroup	(s_e, s_μ)	$a = (a_R, a_I)$	(i, j, k, IR_3)	c_2	c_3	c_5	IR_3
$[60, 5]$	$(1, 4)$	$-\omega_5^2(1+\omega_5) = (1.618, 0)$	$(2, 3, 5, 2), (2, 3, 4, 3)$	2	3	$4, 5$	$2, 3$
	$(2, 3)$	$-\omega_5(1+\omega_5^3) = (-0.615, 0)$	$(2, 3, 4, 2), (2, 3, 5, 3)$				
$[150, 5]$	–	–	–	2	3	$4, 5, 8$ $9, 12, 13$	4–11
$[300, 43]$	–	–	–	3	$2, 6$	$4, 5, 11$ $12, 19$–$21, 30$	4–36

Table 3. Allowed groups and allowed parameters for $(m, p) = (3, 5)$

C. $(m, p) = (4, 7)$

The programs 'gpsearch' and 'gpsu3' in Appendix A can be used on any (m, p), not just $m = 3$. To illustrate that, consider the example $(m, p) = (4, 7)$. In this case, the least common multiple of $(2, m, p)$ is 28. Among finite $SU(3)$ subgroups of order < 512, only the groups [84,11], [168,42], [336,57] have orders which are integer multiples of 28. Of these three groups, only the group $[168, 42] = \Sigma(168) = PSL(3, 2) = PSL(2, 7)$ is structurally sound, as seen in Table 4. The allowed parameters $(s_e, s_\mu) = (1, 2)$ with $s_\tau = 4$, and $(s_e, s_\mu) = (3, 5)$ with $s_\tau = 6$, are complex conjugate of each other. The values of (k_e, k_μ, k_τ) not listed in the Table are both (0,1,3). These assignments are those in known examples [1, 5], what we learn from Table 3 in addition is that there are no other combinations of (k_e, k_μ, s_e, s_μ) that is structurally sound for $(m, p) = (4, 7)$, at least not for groups of order < 512.

SmallGroup	(s_e, s_μ)	$a = (a_R, a_I)$	(i, j, k, IR_3)	c_2	c_3	c_5	IR_3
$[84, 11]$	–	–	–	3	–	$4, 9$	4–12
$[168, 42]$	$(1, 2)$	$\omega_7(1+\omega_7+\omega_7^3)$ $= (-0.5, 1.323)$	$(2, 4, 5, 3), (2, 4, 6, 2)$	2	4	$5, 6$	$2, 3$
	$(3, 5)$	$\omega_7^3(1+\omega_7^2+\omega_7^3)$ $= (-0.5, -1.323)$	$(2, 4, 5, 2), (2, 4, 6, 3)$				
$[336, 57]$	–	–	–	5	$3, 7, 9, 10$	$4, 20$	4–40

Table 4. Allowed groups and allowed parameters for $(m, p) = (4, 7)$

D. $[600, 179] = \Delta(600)$

We can also use this technique to find all the allowed parameters $(m, k_e, k_\mu, p, s_2, s_\mu)$ for a given group. As an illustration let us consider $\Delta(600)$ with $(m, k_e, k_p) = (3, 0, 1)$. The allowed values of (p, s_e, s_μ) and a, together with the equivalent mixing vectors $|v|$ calculated from (2), are listed in Table 5.

Since the classes of $\Delta(600)$ have orders 1-5, 10, 20, we will consider $p = 3, 4, 5, 10, 20$.

p	(s_e, s_μ)	$a = (a_R, a_I)$	$\|v\|$
3	$(0,1)$	$0 = (0,0)$	$(.577, .577, .577)$
4	$(0,1)$	$1 = (1,0)$	$(.816, .408, .408)$
	$(0,2)$	$-1 = (-1,0)$	$(.000, .707, .707)$
5	–	–	–
10	$(0,5)$	$-1 = (-1,0)$	$(.000, .707, .707)$
	$(1,2)$	$-\omega_5^3 = (.809, .588)$	$(.777, .607, .170)$
	$(3,6)$	$-\omega_5^4 = (-.309, .951)$	$(.480, .812, .332)$
	$(3,8)$	$-\omega_5^2 = (.809, -.588)$	$(.777, .170, .607)$
	$(4,9)$	$-\omega_5 = (-.309, -.951)$	$(.480, .332, .812)$
20	$(0,5)$	$1 = (1,0)$	$(.816, .408, .408)$
	$(1,8)$	$\omega_5^2 = (-.809, .588)$	$(.252, .800, .546)$
	$(2,4)$	$-\omega_5^3 = (.809, .588)$	$(.777, .607, .170)$
	$(2,6)$	$-\omega_5^4 = (-.309, .951)$	$(.480, .812, .332)$
	$(3,4)$	$\omega_5 = (.309, .951)$	$(.661, .746, .086)$
	$(4,13)$	$\omega_5 = (.309, .951)$	$(.661, .746, .086)$
	$(6,16)$	$-\omega_5^2 = (.809, -.588)$	$(.777, .170, .607)$
	$(7,16)$	$\omega_5^4 = (.309, -.951)$	$(.661, .086, .746)$
	$(8,18)$	$-\omega_5 = (-.309, -.951)$	$(.480, .332, .812)$
	$(9,12)$	$\omega_5^3(-.809, -.588)$	$(.252, .546, .800)$

Table 5. Allowed (p, s_e, s_μ, a) and mixing vector $|v|$ for $\Delta(600)$ with $(m, k_e, k_\mu) = (0, 1, 2)$

A neutrino mixing matrix with the third column given by the mixing vector (.170, .607, .177) and the second column given by the mixing vector (.577, .577, .577), both appearing in Table 5, is in good agreement with the measured neutrino mixing parameters [2–4, 6].

Appendix A: GAP Programs

1. Usage

Two programs written in the GAP language [7] are given in this Appendix.

The main program is the function **gpsearch(m,p,g,LS)**. It tests whether the structure constant γ_{ijk} (see (3)) for the group G =SmallGroup(g) is nonzero for some $i \in c_2,\ j \in c_m$, and $k \in c_p$ (see Sec. IIIA). If not, it returns 'structurally forbidden'. If so, it gives different printouts depending on whether the short form (LS=1) or the long form (LS=2) is chosen.

If LS=1, all the allowed $[k_e, k_\mu, s_e, s_\mu, a]$ (see Sec. II) are printed out. If LS=2, then the printout includes the lists c_2, c_m, c_p and the list IR_3 of 3-dimensional irreducible representations of G. In addition, also a list of $[[i,j,k,n],[k_e,k_\mu,k_\tau],[s_e,s_\mu,s_\tau],a]$ for which $\gamma_{ijk} > 0$. The allowed parameters $(k_e, k_\mu, k_\tau, s_e, s_\mu, s_\tau, a)$ depend on the irreducible representation $n \in \mathrm{IR}_3$.

The program stops when one of the following happens. Either the order of G is not a multiple of the least common multiple of (2,m,p), or there is no 3-dimensional irreducible representation in G, or one of c_2, c_m, c_p is empty.

The second function **gpsu3(m,p)** runs gpsearch(m,p,g,1) through all g which is a finite $SU(3)$ subgroup of even order less than 512.

2. gpsearch

a. Explanations

- lines 04-10 defines a sub-function which converts a list L of cyclotomic numbers $\mathrm{E(n)}^k := \omega_n^k$ into a list of the exponents k.
- the lists of c_2, c_m, c_p, and IR_3 are computed in lines 24-26 and line 20.
- the lists dp2, dm2, dp2 in line 35 are sublists of c_2, c_m, c_p with determinant=+1.
- the do loop between lines 28 and 59 runs through all $n \in \mathrm{IR}_3$.
- the three do loops between lines 40 and 50 run through all $i \in$ dp2, $j \in$ dm2, and $k \in$ dp2.

- γ_{ijk} is calculated in line 41.
- the eigenvalues of T are calculated in line 43, and converted to the index form $[k_e, k_\mu, k_\tau]$ in line 47.
- the eigenvalues of W_U are calculated in line 48, and converted to the index form $[s_e, s_\mu, s_\tau]$ in line 52.
- a =Tr(W_U) is calculated in line 50.
- the rest of the lines prepares for the outputs, depending on whether LS=1 or LS=2.

b. The Program

```
01   gpsearch:=function(m,p,g,LS)
02   local convert,out,class,n,G,dir,ir3,order,p2,pm,pp,iir3,d2,dm,dp,dp2,dpm,dpp,tbl,ip2,
03         ipm,ipp,evm,evp,a,kevm,sevp,out1,out2,out3;
# ———————————————————————————————————
04      convert:=function(L,n)    # L is a list, with L[i]=E(n)∧k[i]. Outputs k[i]
05         local i,j,k,nl;
06         nl:=Number(L); k:=List([1..nl],x→0);
07          for i in [1..nl] do   for j in [0..n-1]do
08             if E(n)∧j=L[i] then k[i]:=j; break; fi;
09          od; od; return(k);
10      end;;
# ———————————————————————————————————
11   if LS<>1 and LS<>2 then
12       return("the LS argument has to be either 1 (short) or 2 (long)"); fi;
13    out:=[ ];
14    if not IsInt(g[1]/LcmInt(2,LcmInt(m,p))) then return("group has wrong order"); fi;
15      # stops the program if lcm(2,m,p) does not divide the order of the group
16   G:=SmallGroup(g);   # G is the group to be searched
17   class:=ConjugacyClasses(G);    # a list of conjugacy classes of G
18   dir:=List([1..Number(class)], i→Trace(Identity(G)∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[i]));
```

```
    # list of dimensions of irreducible representations of G
ir3:=Positions(dir,3);   # a list of 3-dim irreducible representations of G
if ir3=[ ] then return("this group has no 3-dim irred rep"); fi;
    # stops the program if there are no 3-dimensional irr rep
order:=List(class, x→Order(Representative(x)));   # list of class orders
p2:=Positions(order,2);   # a list of order-2 classes
pm:=Positions(order,m);   # a list of order-m classes
pp:=Positions(order,p);   # a list of order-p classes
if p2=[ ] or pm=[ ] or pp=[ ] then return("this group contains no such orders"); fi;
for iir3 in ir3 do
    d2:=Positions(List(p2,x→Determinant(Representative(
        class[x])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3])),1);
    dm:=Positions(List(pm,→Determinant(Representative(
        class[x])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3])),1);
    dp:=Positions(List(pp,x→Determinant(
        Representative(class[x])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3])),1);
    dp2:=List(d2,i→p2[i]); dpm:=List(dm,i→pm[i]); dpp:=List(dp,i→pp[i]);
        # for each 3-dimensional irreducible representation iir3,
        # dp2, dpm, dpp are sublists of lists p2, pm, pp with determinant=1
    if d2=[ ] or dm=[ ] or dp=[ ] then continue; fi;
    tbl:=CharacterTable(G); # character table of G used to calculate structure constant
     for ip2 in dp2 do   for ipm in dpm do   for ipp in dpp do
        if ClassMultiplicationCoefficient(tbl, ip2,ipm,ipp)=0 then continue;
            # select out non-zero structure constants gamma[ip2,ipm,ipp]
        evm:=Eigenvalues(CF(m),Representative(
            class[ipm])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3]);
                # eigenvalues of T in that irreducible rep
        if Number(evm)<3 then continue; fi;   # proceed only if T is nondegenerate
        kevm:=convert(evm, m); # convert eigenvalues of T into exponents [ke, km, kt]
        evp:=Eigenvalues(CF(p),Representative(
            class[ipp])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3]);
        a:=Trace(Representative(class[ipp])∧IrreducibleRepresentations(G)[iir3]);
```

```
51              # distinct eigenvalues and trace of W_U
52          sevp:=convert(evp,p); # convert eigenvalues of W_U into exponents [se, sm, st]
52          out1:=Concatenation([kevm],[sevp],[a]);    # list [kevm, sevp, a]
53          out2:=Concatenation([[ip2,ipm,ipp,iir3]],out1); # list [[ip2,ipm,ipp,iir3],[kevm,sevp,a]]
54          out3:=[kevm[1],kevm[2],sevp[1],sevp[2],a];    # list [ke,km,se,sm,a]
55          if LS=2 then out:=Concatenation(out, [out2]);
56              # string lists out2 together into a big list out
57          elif LS=1 then out:=Concatenation(out, [out3]); fi;
58              # string lists out3 together into a big list out
59    od;od;od;od;   # close iir2, ip2, ipm, ipp loops
60    if out=[ ] then return("structurally forbidden\n"); fi;
61    if LS=2 then Print("\nc2=",p2, " cm=",pm, " cp=",pp," IR3=",ir3,"\n"); fi;
62   if LS=2 then Print("[ip2,ipm,ipp,iir3], [ke,km,kt], [se,sm,st],a\n"); fi;
63   return(out); end;;
```

3. gpsu3

```
01   gpsu3:=function(m,p)   # search SU(3) finite subgroups of order <512
02   local su3fil, g;
03   su3fil:=[ [ 12, 3 ], [ 24, 12 ], [ 48, 3 ], [ 54, 8 ], [ 60, 5 ], [ 84, 11 ], [ 96, 64 ],
04              [ 108, 15 ], [ 108, 22 ], [ 150, 5 ], [ 156, 14 ], [ 162, 14 ], [ 168, 42 ],
05              [ 192, 3 ], [ 216, 88 ], [ 216, 95 ], [ 228, 11 ], [ 294, 7 ], [ 300, 43 ],
06              [ 324, 50 ], [ 336, 57 ], [ 372, 11 ], [ 384, 568 ], [ 432, 103 ],
07              [ 444, 14 ], [ 486, 61 ] ];;   # even-order finite subgroups of SU(3)
08   for g in su3fil do if not IsInt(g[1]/LcmInt(2,LcmInt(m,p))) then continue; fi;
09   Print(gpsearch(m,p,g,1),"\n\n"); od; end;;
```

---

[1] D. Hernandez, A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 053014 [arXiv:1204.0445]; arXiv:1212.2149.

[2] B. Hu, arXiv:1212.2819.

[3] C.S. Lam, arXiv:1208.5527, to appear in Phys. Rec, D.

[4] M. Holthausen, K.S. Lim, M. Lindner, arXiv:1212.2411.

[5] R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio and C. Hagedorn, Nucl. Phys. B 858 (2012) 437 [arXiv:1112.1340].

[6] C.S. Lam, arXiv:1301.1736.

[7] www.gap-system.org.

[8] P.O. Ludl, J. Phys. A 43 (2010) 395204; 44 (2011) 139501(E).