# Obtaining $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ exclusively: a theoretical perspective 
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## 1 Introduction

Recent inclusive determinations of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ have uncertainties of approximately 10\% [1], as opposed to $\lesssim 2 \%$ on $\left|V_{c b}\right|$ via $B \rightarrow X_{c} l \nu$ [2]. Exclusive channels provide a competitive alternative route to $\left|V_{u b}\right|$, but although experimentally more promising this requires information about hadronic matrix elements via form factors. Form factors are calculable via non-perturbative techniques such as Lattice QCD (see e.g. refs. [3]) or QCD sum rules on the light-cone (LCSR). Predictions are usually confined to a particular region of $q^{2}$, the momentum transfer squared, i.e. LCSR and Lattice are restricted to large and small recoil energies of the daughter hadron respectively. In LCSR one considers a correlator $\Pi_{\mu}$ of the time-ordered product of two quark currents, sandwiched between the final state hadron, which is on shell, and the vacuum [4], i.e. for a $B$ decaying to a $\pi$ of momenta $p_{B}$ and $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mu}=i m_{b} \int d^{D} x e^{-i p_{B} \cdot x}\langle\pi(p)| T\left\{\bar{u}(0) \gamma_{\mu} b(0) \bar{b}(x) i \gamma_{5} d(x)\right\}|0\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be expressed on one hand by a light-cone expansion via perturbative hard scattering kernels convoluted with non-perturbative light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs), ordered in increasing twist, or by inserting a sum over excited states, i.e. the $b$ hadron and a continuum of heavier states. Assuming quark hadron duality above a certain continuum threshold $s_{0}$, one can subtract this continuum contribution from both sides. Borel transforming this relation then ensures that this assumption, and the truncation of the series, have a minimal effect on the resulting sum rule. At present, $\left|V_{u b, \text { excl }}\right|$ is obtained most precisely from $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$, where in the limit of massless leptons the decay rate for $B \rightarrow \pi$ depends on a single form factor $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$. However by considering other channels, e.g. baryonic decays such as $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu$, one can obtain interesting complementary information $\sqrt[1]{1}$ Here I will discuss recent progress in the calculation of the form factors for $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$ [9] and $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu$ [8] using LCSR.
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## 2 Recent LCSR updates on $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ for $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$

There has been much progress in the LCSR calculations of $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ in the last 15 years. The next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections to $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ at leading twist (twist-2) were first calculated in LCSR in ref. [5] and LO corrections up to twist-4 were calculated in ref. [6]. Since the LO twist-3 contribution was found to be large, it was confirmed that the NLO corrections are under control, using both the pole and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ mass for $m_{b}$ [7]. In ref. [8], different values for the moments of the twist-2 LCDA were employed, extracted from latest experimental data for $F_{\pi}$ using LCSR. The normalised decay rate integrated over a given range in $q^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \zeta\left(0, q_{\max }^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2}} \int_{0}^{q_{\max }^{2}} d q^{2} \frac{d \Gamma}{d q^{2}}\left(\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was then predicted to be $\Delta \zeta\left(0,12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)=4.59_{-0.85}^{+1.00} \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$, which can be combined with experimental predictions, allowing the extraction of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$.

Two-loop corrections to the form factor $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ at twist- 2 were recently calculated in ref. [9]. In light of the large two-loop sum rules corrections to $f_{B}$ calculated in ref. [10], one aim of this work was to test the argument that, in obtaining $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ via LCSR, radiative corrections to $f_{+} f_{B}$ and $f_{B}$ should cancel when both calculated in sum rules. Due to the technical challenges posed by a full calculation, a subset of two-loop radiative corrections for twist- 2 contribution to $f_{+}(0)$ proportional to $\beta_{0}$ was considered, as this gauge invariant subset is thought to be a good approximation to the complete next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) result. In combination with the experimental result for $f_{+}(0)\left|V_{u b}\right|$ one can then obtain $\left|V_{u b}\right|$. The necessary diagrams are obtained by inserting a fermion bubble in the gluon propagator of the NLO twist2 diagrams, further details can be found in ref. [9]. The results for $f_{+}(0)$, seen in fig. 1, show that despite the $\sim 9 \%$ positive NNLO corrections to the QCD sum rules result for $f_{B}$, the LCSR prediction for $f_{+}(0)$ is stable, increasing by $\sim 2 \%$ to $f_{+}(0)=0.261_{-0.023}^{+0.020}$, as shown in fig. 1. This enforces the stability of LCSR with respect to higher order corrections, and could be taken to provide confirmation that $f_{B}$ from sum rules, not Lattice should be used here. A recent analysis by BaBar [11] finds $\left|V_{u b}\right|=\left(3.34 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05+_{-0.26}^{+0.29}\right) 10^{-3}$ using this result, and $\left|V_{u b}\right|=(3.46 \pm$ $\left.0.06 \pm 0.08_{-0.32}^{+0.37}\right) 10^{-3}$ using $\Delta \zeta\left(0,12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ from ref. [8], which are clearly in good agreement.

## 3 Improvements on form factors for $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p$ decays

Recently there has been increasing work on extracting $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ via $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu$. A number of complications arise in LCSR when baryons are considered instead of mesons, the first being the choice of the heavy-light baryon interpolating current $\eta$ described by


Figure 1: $f_{+}(0)$ at $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2} \beta_{0}\right)$ for central values of input parameters (solid) with uncertainties (dotted), compared to the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ result calculated using $s_{0}=34.3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (dashed), as a function of the Borel parameter $M^{2}$.
$\Gamma_{b}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\epsilon^{i j k}\left(u_{i} C \Gamma_{b} d_{j}\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_{b} c_{k}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

debated since the 1980s. Additionally, the contribution of the negative parity $\Lambda_{b}^{*}$ baryon, with $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$, which has a similar mass to $\Lambda_{b}$ is difficult to isolate, and in the literature was often included in the continuum [12]. Recently however it was found to be possible to separate the $\Lambda_{b}^{*}$ from the $\Lambda_{b}$ contribution in the sum rule, and on comparing results for both $\Gamma_{b}=\gamma_{5}\left(\gamma_{5} \gamma_{\lambda}\right)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{b}=1\left(\gamma_{\lambda}\right)$, it was found that the resulting form factors show a reduced dependence on the choice of $\Gamma_{b}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{b}$ (13].

## 4 Summary and Outlook

Recent progress on the LCSR calculation of form factors for the exclusive determination of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ was presented. This included recent updates on $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ : the 2011 NLO analysis in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme resulted in $\left|V_{u b}\right|=\left(3.46 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.08_{-0.32}^{+0.37}\right) 10^{-3}$ and the $2012 \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2} \beta_{0}\right)$ result found a $\sim 2 \%$ increase in $f_{+}(0)=0.262_{-0.023}^{+0.020}$, such that $\left|V_{u b}\right|=\left(3.34 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05+_{-0.26}^{0.29}\right) 10^{-3}$. New results for the form factors for $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu$ were also discussed, where it was showed that by isolating and removing the negative parity baryons' contribution, the form factors show a reduced dependence on the choice of $\Gamma_{b}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{b}$. Future work should focus on combining the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2} \beta_{0}\right) f_{+}(0)$ and Lattice results to determine $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ and calculating remaining twist-2 NNLO corrections to $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and gluon radiative corrections to the $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p$ form factors.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the limit of massless leptons the decay rate for $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow p l \nu$ depends on four form factors, $f_{1,2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $g_{1,2}\left(q^{2}\right)$.

