

Spin-rotation coupling in compound spin objects

Gaetano Lambiase^{a,b,c}, Giorgio Papini^{c,d,e}

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello", Università di Salerno, 84084 Fisciano (Sa), Italy.*

^b*INFN, Sezione di Napoli Italy.*

^c*International Institute for Advanced Scientific Studies, 89019 Vietri sul Mare (SA), Italy.*

^d*Department of Physics, University of Regina, Regina, SK, S4S 0A2, Canada. and*

^e*Prairie Particle Physics Institute, Regina, SK, S4S 0A2, Canada.*

We generalize spin-rotation coupling to compound spin systems. In the case of muons bound to nuclei in a storage ring the decay process acquires a modulation. Typical frequencies for $Z/A \sim 1/2$ are $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ Hz, a factor 10 higher than the modulation observed in $g - 2$ experiments.

PACS numbers:

1. INTRODUCTION

Spin-rotation coupling (SRC) is a necessary ingredient in tests involving inertia and gravity, in physical [1] and astrophysical [2, 3] processes, in the generation and control of spin currents and in measurements of the anomalous $g - 2$ factor of fermions. It extends our knowledge of rotational inertia to the quantum level in ways that violate the equivalence principle (EP) [4] that is well-tested experimentally at the classical level. It can in fact be argued that EP does not apply in the quantum world. Phase shifts in particle interferometers [5, 6] and particle wave functions certainly depend on the masses of the particles involved [2, 7]. Also, EP does not hold in the context of the causal interpretation of quantum mechanics [8] and several models predict quantum violations of EP [9, 10], recently in connection with neutrino oscillations [11–15]. The Mashhoon term, in particular, yields different potentials for different particles and different spin states [2] and can not, therefore, be considered universal. Its presence is predicted by all approaches based on the Dirac equation [2, 3, 6, 16]. The effect has been measured directly for photons [17, 18] and more recently confirmed to 18% accuracy for fermions [19]. The latter result follows from precise cosmic-spin coupling experiments [20] and from nuclear spin gyroscope measurements of the earth rotation [19, 21].

It is useful to examine once more SRC's role in the determination of anomalous magnetic moments. A relevant point of the $g - 2$ experiment is that muons on equilibrium orbits within a small fraction of the maximum momentum are almost completely polarized with spin vectors pointing in the direction of motion. As the muons decay, those electrons projected forward in the muon rest frame can therefore be detected around the ring and their angular distribution reflects the precession of the muon spin along the cyclotron orbits. In the $g - 2$ experiment [25, 26] it is the Mashhoon effect [24] that evidences the $g - 2$ term in

$$\Omega = 2\mu B - \omega_2 = \left(1 + \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}\right) \frac{eB}{m} - \frac{eB}{m} = \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2} \frac{eB}{m}, \quad (1)$$

by exactly cancelling, in $2\mu B$, the much larger Bohr magneton contribution of fermions with no anomalous magnetic moment. In (1), m is the mass of the muon and the result is referred to the rest frame of the muon. The cancellation is made possible by the non-diagonal form of the evolution matrix M of [25] and is therefore a direct consequence of the violation of EP.

Recently, the possibility of studying the evolution of heavily charged ions in storage rings [27–29] raises the question of the role of SRC in rotating compound spin systems [30–32] that have applications in fields like nuclear physics, QED, bound state (BS)-QED and stellar nucleosynthesis [33].

The purpose of this work is to investigate SRC in the semiclassical system represented by a nucleus plus a decaying charged particle, specifically a negative muon, rotating in a storage ring. The problem is closely related to the original $g - 2$ experimental setup [22, 23]. Though the muon is not just a more massive electron, information about the particle that is dragged along the orbit by the nucleus can be obtained only if the particle itself decays. We apply to this problem some of the results obtained in [31].

2. SPIN PRECESSION FOR BOUND MUONS

We refer our calculation to a frame which rotates about the x_3 -axis in the clockwise direction of an ion in a Storage Ring, with the x_2 -axis tangent to the ion orbit in the direction of its momentum and write $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{\mathbf{u}}_3$. The main elements of the calculation are ω_{g_μ} that represents the coupling of the magnetic moment of the muon to the magnetic field in the Experimental Storage Ring, $\omega_{Th}^{(\mu)}$ that comes from the Thomas precession, and the muon cyclotron frequency $\omega_c^{(\mu)}$. The quantity of interest is the angular precession frequency Ω_μ given by

$$\Omega_\mu \equiv \omega_{Th}^{(\mu)} + \omega_{g_\mu} - \omega_c^{(\mu)}. \quad (2)$$

This quantity can be calculated using the results of [31] applied in this case to a muon rather than an electron. We obtain

$$\omega_{Th}^{(\mu)} = \frac{e\mathbf{B}}{m} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu|n}\gamma_n} I_\mu - \frac{Q\mathbf{B}}{M} \frac{1}{\gamma_n} I_Q, \quad (3)$$

where the relativistic factors β_n, γ_n refer to the motion of the nucleus in the Storage Ring and $\beta_{\mu|n}, \gamma_{\mu|n}$ to that of the muon relative to the nucleus. The other definitions are

$$I_\mu \equiv \frac{(\gamma_{\mu|n}\gamma_n)^2 \left(\beta_n^2 + \frac{\beta_{\mu|n}^2}{\gamma_n} + 2\Pi + \frac{\gamma_n\Pi^2}{\gamma_n + 1} - Y \right)}{(1 + \Pi)[\gamma_{\mu|n}\gamma_n(1 + \Pi) + 1]}, \quad (4)$$

$$I_Q \equiv \frac{(\gamma_{\mu|n}\gamma_n)^2 \left[\beta_n^2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_n\Pi}{\gamma_n + 1} \right)^2 - X \right]}{\gamma_{\mu|n}\gamma_n(1 + \Pi) + 1},$$

$$Y \equiv \frac{\beta_{\mu|n}^2[\gamma_n(2 - \cos^2 \theta) - \sin^2 \theta]}{3\gamma_n^2}, \quad X \equiv \frac{\beta_{\mu|n}^2\beta_n^2 \sin^2 \theta}{3(\gamma_n + 1)},$$

where $\Pi = \beta_n \cdot \beta_{\mu|n} = \beta_n \beta_{\mu|n} \cos \theta$. Equation (3) for ω_{Th} reduces to the standard angular frequency of the muon moving in the storage ring when $Y = 0$ (owing to $[(\mathbf{B} \cdot \beta_{\mu|n})\beta_{\mu|n}] = 0$). In fact, in absence of the charged nucleus ($Q = 0$), which implies $\beta_{\mu|n} = 0$, $\gamma_{\mu|n} = 1$, hence $\beta = \beta_n$, $\gamma_n = \gamma$, we re-obtain from (3) the standard result [34]

$$\omega_{Th,l}^{(\mu)} = \frac{eB}{m} \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}. \quad (5)$$

The same result is also recovered by setting $\beta_n = 0$, so that $\beta = \beta_{\mu|n}$ and $\gamma = \gamma_{\mu|n}$.

Muons have an intrinsic magnetic momentum given by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\mu = -g_\mu \mu_B \mathbf{s}$, where g_μ is the g -factor, μ_B the Bohr magneton and $\mathbf{s}$ the muon spin. When placed in an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, muons acquire an additional potential energy given by $-\boldsymbol{\mu}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{B}$. Following [31], we find

$$\omega_{g_\mu} = -\frac{g_\mu e}{2m} \Upsilon \mathbf{B}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Upsilon \equiv 1 - \frac{\gamma_{\mu|n}^2 (\beta_{\mu|n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_3)^2}{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}. \quad (7)$$

The calculation of g -factors, based on BS-QED, can be carried out with accuracy even when the expansion parameter is $Z\alpha \simeq 0.4$. The results agree with available direct measurements [35]. In particular, the BS-QED calculation given in [36] includes radiative corrections of order α/π and exact binding corrections. It yields

$$g_\mu = 2 \left[\frac{1 + 2\sqrt{1 - (\alpha Z)^2}}{3} + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} C^{(2)}(\alpha Z) \right], \quad (8)$$

where

$$C^{(2)}(\alpha Z) \simeq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha Z)^2 + \frac{7}{2}(\alpha Z)^4.$$

In the limit $Q = 0$ we obtain from (6)

$$\omega_{g_\mu, l} = -\frac{eBg_\mu}{2m}. \quad (9)$$

For the cyclotron frequency of the bound muon we obtain

$$\omega_c^{(\mu)} = \left[-\frac{eB}{m} \frac{\beta_n}{\beta} \frac{1 - (\beta_{\mu|n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1)^2}{\gamma_{\mu|n} \gamma_n (1 + \Pi)^2} + \frac{QB}{M} \frac{\beta_n}{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma_n (1 + \Pi)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_n \Pi}{\gamma_n + 1} \right) \right] \hat{\mathbf{u}}_3 \quad (10)$$

which, in the usual limit $Q = 0$, yields

$$\omega_{c, l}^{(\mu)} = \frac{eB}{m\gamma}, \quad (11)$$

and from (5), (9) and (11) we get, in the same limit,

$$\Omega_{\mu, l} = \omega_{Th, l}^{(\mu)} + \omega_{g_\mu, l} - \omega_{c, l}^{(\mu)} = -\frac{eB}{m} \left(-\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} + \frac{g_\mu}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right), \quad (12)$$

which coincides with (1). By comparing (11) with (1) we find that $\omega_{c, l}^{(\mu)}$ corresponds to SRC. It therefore follows that $\omega_c^{(\mu)}$ is the desired *generalization of SRC to rotating compound spin systems*.

The angular frequencies $\omega_{Th}^{(\mu)}$, ω_{g_μ} and $\omega_c^{(\mu)}$ yield the final expression of the angular precession frequency Ω_μ

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\mu &\equiv \omega_{Th}^{(\mu)} + \omega_{g_\mu} - \omega_c^{(\mu)} = \\ &= -\frac{e\mathbf{B}}{m_\mu} \left(\frac{g_\mu}{2} \Upsilon - \frac{I_\mu}{\gamma_{\mu|n} \gamma_n} - U \right) - \frac{Q\mathbf{B}}{M} \frac{I_Q + V}{\gamma_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where Υ is defined in (7) and

$$U \equiv \frac{1 - (\beta_{\mu|n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1)^2}{\gamma_{\mu|n} \gamma_n (1 + \Pi)^2} \frac{\beta_n}{\beta}, \quad (14)$$

$$V = \frac{\beta_n}{\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{(1 + \Pi)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_n \Pi}{\gamma_n + 1} \right).$$

Since in our simplified case we are neglecting magnetic field components along the x_2 and x_3 -axes, we have $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{\mathbf{u}}_3$ and can recast (13) in the form

$$\Omega_\mu = \Omega_\mu \hat{\mathbf{u}}_3, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\Omega_\mu \equiv -\frac{eB}{m} \left[\left(\frac{g_\mu}{2} \Upsilon \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \frac{I_\mu}{\gamma_{\mu|n} \gamma_n} - U \epsilon_3 \right) + \frac{Z}{A} \frac{m}{m_p} \frac{\epsilon_4 I_Q + \epsilon_5 V}{\gamma_n} \right], \quad (16)$$

$m_p \simeq 0.9\text{GeV}$ is the proton mass and the ϵ 's are tags introduced to distinguish the various contributions. In particular ϵ_1 tags the g_μ contribution, ϵ_2 and ϵ_4 those due to $\omega_{Th}^{(\mu)}$, while ϵ_3 and ϵ_5 refer to $\omega_c^{(\mu)}$. On carrying out averages over

TABLE I: Partial and total contributions to Ω_μ and comparison of ${}^4\text{He}^{1+}$ with a few ions for which $Z/A \sim 1/2$.

$\epsilon(\text{Hz})$	${}^4\text{He}^{1+}$	${}^{142}\text{Pr}^{60+}$	${}^{140}\text{Pm}^{58+}$	${}^{122}\text{I}^{52+}$
ϵ_1	$5.6374 \cdot 10^7$	$5.1851 \cdot 10^7$	$5.1534 \cdot 10^7$	$5.2735 \cdot 10^7$
ϵ_2	$-1.9405 \cdot 10^7$	$-1.9929 \cdot 10^7$	$-2.0122 \cdot 10^7$	$-1.9383 \cdot 10^7$
ϵ_3	$-3.6903 \cdot 10^7$	$-3.1395 \cdot 10^7$	$-3.0947 \cdot 10^7$	$-3.2708 \cdot 10^7$
ϵ_4	$1.0940 \cdot 10^6$	$0.8968 \cdot 10^6$	$0.9220 \cdot 10^6$	$0.9066 \cdot 10^6$
ϵ_5	$2.0811 \cdot 10^6$	$1.7345 \cdot 10^6$	$1.7605 \cdot 10^6$	$1.8105 \cdot 10^6$
$\Omega_\mu(\text{Hz})$	$3.2417 \cdot 10^6$	$3.1591 \cdot 10^6$	$3.1475 \cdot 10^6$	$3.3613 \cdot 10^6$

the angles and taking $\gamma_n \sim 1.6$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_\mu = & \frac{1}{3T} 1068B \left\{ 0.65548 \frac{Z}{A} \left(\epsilon_5 \frac{0.755213}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{0.429654}{\gamma_{\mu|n}^2}}} + \epsilon_4 \frac{0.1752 + 1.15226\gamma_{\mu|n}^2}{1 + 1.5256\gamma_{\mu|n}} \right) \right. \\
& + 8.86788 \left[- \frac{\epsilon_3 (0.165009 + 0.330018\gamma_{\mu|n}^2)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{0.429654}{\gamma_{\mu|n}^2}} \gamma_{\mu|n}^3} - \right. \\
& - \frac{0.65548 \epsilon_2 (-0.841867 + 2.16932\gamma_{\mu|n}^2)}{\gamma_{\mu|n} (1 + 1.5256\gamma_{\mu|n})} + \\
& \left. \left. + \epsilon_1 (1 + a_\mu) \left(1 - \frac{0.218493 (-1 + \gamma_{\mu|n}^2)}{\gamma_{\mu|n} (1 + 1.5256\gamma_{\mu|n})} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

The $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ terms tend to balance one another (Table I). The larger contributions come from ϵ_4 and ϵ_5 and remain dominant so far as $Z/A \sim 0.5$. The last two terms are not present in the original derivation of SRC in which the fermion is not bound. They are entirely due to the presence of the nucleus to which the muon is attached and their contributions, as mentioned above, can be traced back to ω_{Th}^μ and $\omega_c^{(\mu)}$.

Generalizations of SRC can also be obtained for bound bosons following the procedure outlined above.

3. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have extended SRC to rotating compound spin systems. The generalization has been obtained by means of successive Lorentz transformations on the usual assumption that there exists an infinity of locally inertial observers and that, therefore, the time scale over which the process takes place is small relative to the acceleration time scale of the observer [18].

The Lorentz factor $\gamma_{\mu|n}$ that appears in (16) and (17) is the only free parameter of the entire calculation. It cannot, in fact be determined, as in [31], by comparing (17) with experimental data that, as yet, do not exist. We can however give some estimates. Choosing $\gamma_{\mu|n}$ equal to the Bohr atom value, given by

$$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + \frac{(Z\alpha)^4}{4}} + \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{2}, \quad (18)$$

we obtain the value $\gamma_{\mu|n} = 1.00011$ that substituted in (17) gives the results reported in Table I. The same value of $\gamma_{\mu|n}$ leads to the Bohr atom energy $E = -m(1 - \gamma_{\mu|n} + (\alpha Z)^2) = -10.8952\text{KeV}$ for He in good agreement with

the relativistic value $E_R = -\frac{m(Z\alpha)^2}{2n^2}(1 + \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{n}(1 - \frac{3}{4n})) = -11.2755\text{KeV}$. It appears from Table I that a typical modulation frequency $\sim 3.5 \times 10^6\text{Hz}$ is superimposed on the usual exponential decay of the muon dragged along the ion orbit, while the muon polarization is approximately $\beta \sim \beta_n \sim 0.75$. As a comparison, the typical modulation in the $g - 2$ experiment is $\Omega_\mu^{(g-2)} \sim 2.3 \times 10^5\text{Hz}$ with a polarization $\beta^{(g-2)} \sim 0.9$.

The difficulties of the experiment, use of muons, incomplete muon polarization, detection of electrons along the Storage Ring, certainly do not suggest that the experiment be tried as an alternative way to measure the anomaly $a_\mu \equiv \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}$. The problem discussed in this work rather confirms the fact that SRC can generate oscillations in a decay process as in the GSI experiments and underlines the importance that ion accelerators are assuming for fundamental physics. As in the case of the GSI oscillations, SRC links quantum phenomena, like muon decay and a_μ , to the classical parameter $\gamma_{\mu|n}$, which, by itself, deserves attention.

-
- [1] Y.Q. Cai, D.G. Lloyd and G. Papini, Phys. Lett. A **178**, 225 (1993).
 - [2] Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1259 (1991); **68**, 3811 (1992).
 - [3] G. Papini, Proc. of the 5th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics, Eds. R.B. Mann and R.G. McLenaghan, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, p. 10.
 - [4] B. Mashhoon, Classical Quantum Gravity **17**, 2399 (2000).
 - [5] C. Lämmerzahl, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28**, 1043 (1996).
 - [6] D. Singh and G. Papini, Nuovo Cimento B **115**, 223 (2000).
 - [7] Daniel M. Greenberger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 875(1983).
 - [8] P.R. Holland, Found. Phys. Lett. **2**, 471(1989).
 - [9] T.A. Morgan and A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 79 (1962); W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 301 (1977); N. D. Hari Dass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 393 (1976); Ann. Phys.(N.Y.) **107**, 337 (1977).
 - [10] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 2739 (1978).
 - [11] M. Gasperini, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2635 (1988); Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3606 (1989).
 - [12] A. Halprin, C.N. Leung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1833 (1991).
 - [13] M.N. Butler and S. Nozawa, R.A. Malaney, A.I. Boothroyd, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2615 (1993).
 - [14] V. Bozza, G. Lambiase, G. Papini, G. Scarpetta, Phys. Lett. A **279**, 163 (2001).
 - [15] G.Z. Adunas, E. Rodriguez-Milla and D.V. Ahluwalia, Gen. Rel. Grav. **33**, 183 (2001).
 - [16] F. W. Hehl, and W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. D, **42**, 2045 (1990).
 - [17] N. Ashby, Living Rev. Relativity, **6**, 1 (2003).
 - [18] Bahram Mashhoon, Ann. Phys.(Berlin) **523**, 226 (2011).
 - [19] W.-T. Ni, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73**, 056901 (2010).
 - [20] B. R. Heckel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 021603 (2006). E. G. Adelberger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 131104 (2007). D. J. Kapner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 021101 (2007). D. J. Wineland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1735 (1991).
 - [21] T.W. Kornack, R. K. Ghosh, and M.V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 230801 (2005).
 - [22] J. Bailey *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B150**, 1 (1979).
 - [23] F.J.M. Farley and E. Picasso, Advanced Series in High-Energy Physics, vol. 7, Quantum Electrodynamics, Ed. T. Kinoshita, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990, p. 479.
 - [24] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2639 (1988); Phys. Lett. A **143**, 176 (1990); A **145**, 147 (1990); Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3812 (1992).
 - [25] G. Papini, G. Lambiase, Phys. Lett. A **294**, 175 (2002).
 - [26] G. Papini, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 077901 (2002). G. Lambiase, G. Papini, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 097901 (2004). G. Lambiase, G. Papini, R. Punzi, G. Scarpetta, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 073011 (2005).
 - [27] Yu.A. Litvinov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 262501 (2007).
 - [28] Yu.A. Litvinov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **664**, 162 (2008).
 - [29] Yu.A. Litvinov *et al.*, nucl-ex/0509019.
 - [30] G. Lambiase, G. Papini, G. Scarpetta, arXiv:0811.2302[nucl-th].
 - [31] G. Lambiase, G. Papini, G. Scarpetta, **718**, 998-1001 (2013); arXiv:1205.0684 [nucl-th].
 - [32] I. M. Pavlichenkov, Europhys. Lett. **85**, 40008 (2009).
 - [33] Yu. A. Litvinov *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **756**, 3 (2005). K. Takahashi, K. Yokoi, Nucl. Phys. A **404**, 578 (1983).
 - [34] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999. J.D. Jackson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 417 (1976).
 - [35] M. Vogel, *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **235**, 7 (2005).
 - [36] S. A. Blundell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 1857 (1997).
 - [37] P.J. Mohr *et al.*, CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physics Constants: 2006.