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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be the essential part of the exceptional locus of a good resolution of an isolated, $\log$ canonical singularity of index one. We describe the dimension of the dual complex of $E$ in terms of the Hodge type of $H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$, which is one of the main results of the paper [1] of Fujino. Our proof uses only an elementary classical method, while Fujino's argument depends on the recent development in minimal model theory.


In this paper, a normal singularity $(X, x)$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ is always assumed to be isolated, strictly $\log$ canonical of index one, where a strictly $\log$ canonical singularity means a $\log$ canonical and not $\log$ terminal singularity. Let $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ be a good resolution (i.e., a resolution with the simple normal crossing exceptional divisor) of the singularity of $X$. We have

$$
K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}-E+F,
$$

where $E=E_{\text {red }}>0$ and $F \geq 0$ have no common components. The divisor $E$ is called the essential part of the exceptional divisor on the resolution. For a simple normal crossing divisor $E$, we associate a simplicial complex $\Gamma_{E}$ called the dual complex in a canonical way. Fujino defines an invariant $\mu(X, x)$ and it turns out to be

$$
\mu=\mu(X, x)=\min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is a stratum of } E\}
$$

(see $[1,4.11]$ ). Note that $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E}=n-\mu-1$.
On the other hand, we define the Hodge type of the singularity $(X, x)$ in the following way: Since

$$
\mathbb{C}=H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq G r_{F}^{0} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{n-1}^{0, i}(E)
$$

there is unique $i$ such that $H_{n-1}^{0, i}(E) \neq 0$, where $H_{n-1}^{0, i}(E)$ is $(0, i)$-Hodge component of $H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})$ and $F$ is the Hodge filtration. In this case, we call the singularity $(X, x)$ of type $(0, i)$. We can easily prove that the type is independent of the choice of resolutions ([2]).

One of the main results (Theorem 5.5) in [1] states that for $(X, x)$ of type $(0, i)$, the equality $\mu(X, x)=i$ holds. Theorem 1 below states the same conclusion and its proof was privately communicated by the author to Fujino in 1999 (cited as [I3] in the reference list of [1]). The author thinks that it is reasonable to publish the original proof as a supplement to Fujino's article, because her original proof is simpler and used only classical method, while Fujino uses recent results in minimal model theory.

Theorem 1. Let $E$ be the essential part of the exceptional divisor of a good resolution $Y \longrightarrow X$ of an $n$-dimensional isolated strictly log canonical singularity $(X, x)$.If the Hodge type is of $(0, i)$, then $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E}=$ $n-i-1$.

The following lemma appeared in [3, Lemma 7.4.9]. As it is written in Japanese, we write the proof down here for the non-Japanese readers.

Lemma 2. Let $E$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on an $n$-dimensional non-singular variety. If $H_{n-1}^{0, i}(E) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E} \geq n-i-1$.

Proof. After renumbering the suffixes if necessary, we prove that there exist $n-i$ irreducible components $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n-i}$ such that $E_{1} \cap \cdots \cap$ $E_{n-i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $E^{\prime}$ be a minimal subdivisor of $E$ such that $H_{n-1}^{0, i}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. If $E^{\prime}$ is irreducible, then it is a non-singular variety of dimension $n-1$, therefore we obtain $i=n-1$ by the basic fact in mixed Hodge theory (see for example [3, Theorem 7.1.6]). Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E} \geq 0=n-(n-1)-1
$$

i.e., the required inequality becomes trivial. If $E^{\prime}$ is not irreducible, take an irreducible component $E_{1}<E^{\prime}$ and decompose $E^{\prime}$ as $E^{\prime}=E_{1}+$ $E_{1}^{\vee}$. Then by the minimality of $E^{\prime}$, we have $H_{n-1}^{0, i}\left(E_{1}\right)=H_{n-1}^{0, i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$
H^{n-2}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}\left(E_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus H^{n-1}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

By the above vanishing, the $(0, i)$-component of the center term comes from the left term, therefore $i \leq n-2$ and $H_{n-2}^{0, i}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\vee}\right) \neq 0$.

Take $E_{1}^{\dagger}$, a minimal subdivisor of $E_{1}^{\vee}$ such that $H_{n-2}^{0, i}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\dagger}\right) \neq 0$. If $E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\dagger}$ is irreducible, then it is a non-singular variety of dimension $n-2$, therefore we obtain $i=n-2$ by the basic fact in mixed Hodge theory. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E} \geq \operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{E_{1}+E_{1}^{\dagger}} \geq 1=n-(n-2)-1
$$

i.e., the required inequlatiy holds. If $E^{\dagger}=E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\dagger}$ is not irreducible, take an irreducible component $E_{2}$ of $E^{\dagger}$ such that the decomposition
$E^{\dagger}=E_{2}+E_{2}^{\vee}$ gives a non-trivial decomposition $E_{1} \cap E_{1}^{\dagger}=E_{1} \cap E_{2}+$ $E_{1} \cap E_{2}^{\vee}$. By the same argument as above, we obtain $i \leq n-3$ and $H_{n-3}^{0, i}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2} \cap E_{2}^{\vee}\right) \neq 0$. Continue this procedure successively until we eventually obtain

$$
H_{i}^{0, i}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2} \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-i-1} \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\vee}\right) \neq 0
$$

which yields $E_{1} \cap E_{2} \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-i-1} \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\vee} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 1. The inequality $\geq$ is proved in Lemma 2. Assume the strict inequality. Then there exist components $E_{1}, \ldots E_{s},(s>n-$ i) such that $C:=E_{1} \cap \ldots \cap E_{s} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $E_{j} \cap C=\emptyset$ for any $E_{j}(j>s)$. Let $\varphi: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$ be the blow-up at $C, E^{\prime}$ the reduced total pull-back of $E, E_{0}$ the exceptional divisor for $\varphi$ and $E_{j}^{\prime}$ the proper transform of $E_{j}$. Then $E^{\prime}$ is again the essential part on $Y^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ itself is a minimal subdivisor of $E^{\prime}$ such that $H_{n-1}^{0, i}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ by [2, Corollary 3.9]. Make the procedure of the proof of the lemma with taking $E_{0}$ as $E_{1}$ in the lemma. Then we obtain $E_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, E_{n-i-1}^{\prime}$ (by renumbering the suffices $1, \ldots, s)$ such that $H_{i}^{0, i}\left(E_{0} \cap E_{1}^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $i$-dimensional variety $E_{0} \cap E_{1}^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{s-n+i_{-}}$ bundle over $C$, because it is the exceptional divisor of the blow up of an $(i+1)$-dimensional variety $E_{1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-i-1}$ with the $(n-s)$-dimensional center $C$. By the assumption on $s$, we note that $s-n+i>0$. Hence we have $H^{i}\left(E_{0} \cap E_{1}^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}\right)=0$. In particular

$$
H_{i}^{0, i}\left(E_{0} \cap E_{1}^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap E_{n-i-1}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

a contradiction.
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