Sufficient conditions for wave instability in three-component reaction-diffusion systems

Shigefumi Hata,[1] Hiroya Nakao,[2] and Alexander S. Mikhailov[1]

[1] *Department of Physical Chemistry, Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society, Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Germany.*
[2] *Department of Mechanical and Environmental Informatics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama 2-12-1, 152-8552 Tokyo, Japan*

Sufficient conditions for the wave instability in general three-component reaction-diffusion systems are derived. These conditions are expressed in terms of the Jacobian matrix of the uniform steady state of the system, and enable us to determine whether the wave instability can be observed as the mobility of one of the species is gradually increased. It is found that the instability can also occur if one of the three species does not diffuse. Our results provide a useful criterion for searching wave instabilities in reaction-diffusion systems of various origins.

arXiv:1302.0683v2 [nlin.PS] 13 Feb 2013

The wave instability provides an important mechanism for pattern formation in nonequilibrium chemical systems. When it takes place, a critical mode corresponding to a traveling wave with a certain wavenumber and oscillation frequency begins to grow, destabilizing the uniform steady state. Although being less known, the wave instability has already been considered in 1952 by A. Turing in his pioneering publication [1], where the classical (i.e. static) Turing instability, leading to the establishment of a periodic stationary pattern has also been introduced. Therefore, it may be also appropriate to describe it as the oscillatory Turing bifurcation. Moreover, it was already noticed by A. Turing [1] that at least three interacting species are needed for this instability to occur.

Because of the spatial reflection symmetry, waves traveling in the left and right directions have the same growth rates and both of them begin to spontaneously develop above the instability threshold. Nonlinear interactions between such modes determine whether one of the modes gets suppressed, so that a wave traveling in a certain direction is established, or standing waves, representing superpositions of left and right traveling waves, are instead formed [2, 3]. The wave patterns resulting from such instability can also exhibit further instabilities, and wave turbulence may set on.

In contrast to the classical Turing bifurcation, which has been extensively discussed for both biological and chemical systems [1, 4–8], the wave bifurcation has so far attracted less attention. It has been considered for special chemical models [9] and its existence was suggested in the experiments with Belousov-Zhabotinsky microemulsions [10]. There are also publications in which this instability was discussed for special ecological models [11].

Because at least three species are needed for the wave instability to occur, the linear stability analysis is more complex in this case, as compared with the classical Turing bifurcation in two-component activator-inhibitor systems. The complexity of the stability analysis, which has been previously performed separately for individual chemical systems, has probably also been responsible for the fact that the wave instability has not been broadly investigated for reaction-diffusion media.

In this article, we present a general derivation of the sufficient conditions for the wave (or oscillatory Turing) bifurcation in arbitrary three-component reaction-diffusion models. The final conditions are formulated in terms of the elements of the Jacobian matrix of the uniform steady state. They tell whether the wave bifurcation is possible when the mobility of any chosen species is gradually increased, while diffusion coefficients of other species are kept constant. As we show, the instability is possible even if one of the three species is immobile. Using our general expressions, the analysis of the wave bifurcation in specific reaction models will be largely simplified.

We consider reaction-diffusion systems with three chemical reactants U, V and W. Local densities of the reactants are denoted as $u = [U], v = [V]$ and $w = [W]$. All reactants diffuse over the space and undergo local chemical reactions. Generally, such systems are described by equations

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt} = f(u,v,w) + D_u \nabla^2 u, \\ \dfrac{dv}{dt} = g(u,v,w) + D_v \nabla^2 v, \\ \dfrac{dw}{dt} = h(u,v,w) + D_w \nabla^2 w, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where functions f, g and h represent the local reactions. Diffusion coefficients of the reactants are D_u, D_v and D_w. We assume that a uniform steady state $(u,v,w) = (\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})$ determined by $f(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w}) = g(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w}) = h(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w}) = 0$ exists and that this state is stable in absence of diffusion.

We introduce small perturbations to the steady state as $(u,v,w) = (\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w}) + (\delta u, \delta v, \delta w)$. Substituting this into

Eqs. (1), the following linearized differential equations for the perturbations are obtained:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\delta u = f_u\delta u + f_v\delta v + f_w\delta w + D_u\nabla^2\delta u, \\ \dfrac{d}{dt}\delta v = g_u\delta u + g_v\delta v + g_w\delta w + D_v\nabla^2\delta v, \\ \dfrac{d}{dt}\delta w = h_u\delta u + h_v\delta v + h_w\delta w + D_w\nabla^2\delta w, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $f_u = \partial f/\partial u|_{(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})}$, $f_v = \partial f/\partial v|_{(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})}$, $f_w = \partial f/\partial w|_{(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})}$, ... are partial derivatives at the steady state. The following rescaled variables are introduced for convenience:

$$\delta\tilde{u} = \delta u, \quad \delta\tilde{v} = \sqrt{\left|\frac{f_v}{g_u}\right|}\delta v, \quad \delta\tilde{w} = \left|\frac{f_v}{h_v}\right|\delta w, \quad \tilde{t} = \sqrt{|f_v g_u|}t. \tag{3}$$

We substitute these variables into Eqs. (2) to obtain a set of equations

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{d\tilde{t}}\delta\tilde{u} = m_0\delta\tilde{u} + \alpha\delta\tilde{v} + n\delta\tilde{w} + D_u\mu\nabla^2\delta\tilde{u}, \\ \dfrac{d}{d\tilde{t}}\delta\tilde{v} = \beta\delta\tilde{u} + p_0\delta\tilde{v} + q\delta\tilde{w} + D_v\mu\nabla^2\delta\tilde{v}, \\ \dfrac{d}{d\tilde{t}}\delta\tilde{w} = r\delta\tilde{u} + \gamma\delta\tilde{v} + s_0\delta\tilde{w} + D_w\mu\nabla^2\delta\tilde{w}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= \frac{f_u}{\sqrt{|f_v g_u|}}, \qquad n = \frac{f_w\,|h_v|}{\sqrt{|{f_v}^3 g_u|}}, \\ p_0 &= \frac{g_v}{\sqrt{|f_v g_u|}}, \qquad q = g_w\left|\frac{h_v}{f_v g_u}\right|, \\ r &= \frac{h_u}{|h_v|}\sqrt{\left|\frac{f_v}{g_u}\right|}, \qquad s_0 = \frac{h_w}{\sqrt{|f_v g_u|}}, \\ \mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_v g_u|}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The coefficients α, β, γ are determined by signs of f_v, g_u, h_v, $\alpha = \mathrm{sign}(f_v), \beta = \mathrm{sign}(g_u), \gamma = \mathrm{sign}(h_v)$. The perturbations $(\delta\tilde{u}, \delta\tilde{v}, \delta\tilde{w})$ are expanded over plane waves as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tilde{u} &= \int d\vec{k}\tilde{U}^{(\vec{k})}\exp\left[\lambda^{(\vec{k})}\tilde{t} - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}\right], \\ \delta\tilde{v} &= \int d\vec{k}\tilde{V}^{(\vec{k})}\exp\left[\lambda^{(\vec{k})}\tilde{t} - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}\right], \\ \delta\tilde{w} &= \int d\vec{k}\tilde{W}^{(\vec{k})}\exp\left[\lambda^{(\vec{k})}\tilde{t} - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \cdots)$ is the wave vector, and $\lambda^{(\vec{k})}$ is a growth rate of the plane wave with wave vector $\vec{k}$. Thus, we obtain the following equations for each wave vector $\vec{k}$:

$$\lambda^{(k)}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{U}^{(k)}\\ \tilde{V}^{(k)}\\ \tilde{W}^{(k)}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_0 - D_u\mu k^2 & \alpha & n \\ \beta & p_0 - D_v\mu k^2 & q \\ r & \gamma & s_0 - D_w\mu k^2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{U}^{(k)}\\ \tilde{V}^{(k)}\\ \tilde{W}^{(k)}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $k = \left|\vec{k}\right|$ is the wave number, the magnitude of the wave vector $\vec{k}$. Because only the magnitude of the wave vector is important, here and below we drop the vector symbols.

The condition

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} m_0 - D_u\mu k^2 - \lambda^{(k)} & \alpha & n \\ \beta & p_0 - D_v\mu k^2 - \lambda^{(k)} & q \\ r & \gamma & s_0 - D_w\mu k^2 - \lambda^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{8}$$

should be satisfied for Eq. (7) to have non-trivial solutions. Thus, the linear growth rate $\lambda^{(k)}$ is determined by the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^3 - (m+p+s)\lambda^2 + (mp+ps+sm-nr-\gamma q-\alpha\beta)\lambda - (mps-npr+\alpha qr-\gamma mq+\beta\gamma n-\alpha\beta s) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m =& m(k) = m_0 - k^2\mu D_u, \\ p =& p(k) = p_0 - k^2\mu D_v, \\ s =& s(k) = s_0 - k^2\mu D_w. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The growth of each plane-wave mode is determined by the real part of $\lambda^{(k)}$. The uniform steady state is stable if $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda^{(k)})$ is negative for all k. The instability occurs if $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda^{(k)})$ becomes positive for at least one wave number $k = k_\mathrm{c}$. Then the uniform steady state is destabilized, leading to spontaneous development of wave patterns with critical wave number k_c. If the imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(k_\mathrm{c})})$ of the unstable mode is zero, the first critical mode represents a stationary plane wave and the Turing instability occurs. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(k_\mathrm{c})}) \neq 0$, the critical mode is oscillatory in time and periodic in space, so that the critical mode represents a traveling wave and the wave instability takes place.

The *complex conjugate root theorem* tells that a characteristic equation with real coefficients

$$\lambda^3 + a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0 \tag{11}$$

has either three real roots or one real root and a pair of complex conjugate roots. In the latter case, the three roots can be written as

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \psi \pm i\omega, \quad \lambda_3 = \phi, \tag{12}$$

so that the coefficients are represented as

$$a = -(2\psi+\phi), \quad b = \psi^2+\omega^2+2\psi\phi, \quad c = -(\psi^2+\omega^2)\phi. \tag{13}$$

Combining these three equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c - ab =& -(\psi^2+\omega^2)\phi + (2\psi+\phi)(\psi^2+\omega^2+2\psi\phi) \\ =& 2\psi\left[(\psi+\phi)^2+\omega^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

At the threshold of the wave instability, we have $\psi = 0$, so that $c - ab = 0$. At the threshold of the Turing instability, we would have $\phi = 0$, and therefore $c = 0$. Note that the Turing instability is also possible when the characteristic equation has three real roots and one of them becomes positive. It can be easily checked that, also in this case, the instability threshold corresponds to $c = 0$.

Thus, the wave instability first takes place when, for one wavenumber $k = k_\mathrm{c}$, the equation

$$I_\mathrm{wav} = (mps-npr+\alpha qr-\gamma mq+\beta\gamma n-\alpha\beta s) + (m+p+s)(mp+ps+sm-nr-\gamma q-\alpha\beta) = 0 \tag{15}$$

becomes satisfied, where m, p and s are given by equations (10) with $k = k_\mathrm{c}$.

The Turing instability first takes place when, for one wavenumber $k = k_\mathrm{c}$, the equation

$$I_\mathrm{st} = mps-npr+\alpha qr-\gamma mq+\beta\gamma n-\alpha\beta s = 0 \tag{16}$$

becomes satisfied, where the coefficients m, p and s are again given by equations (10) with wavenumber k_c.

It is convenient to introduce the three-dimensional m-p-s space in order to represent these conditions graphically. As illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, each of the conditions (15) and (16) defines a boundary surface and Eqs. (10) determine a straight line Γ which is parameterized by the wave number k. If the line Γ touches the boundary surface $I_\mathrm{wav}(m,p,s) = 0$ or $I_\mathrm{st}(m,p,s) = 0$, then the conditions (15) or (16) are satisfied and the corresponding instability takes place.




FIG. 1: Boundary surface for the wave instability $I_{\rm wav}(m,p,s)=0$. The line Γ touches the surface at a point P. Panel (b) is a closeup of (a). Parameters are fixed at $n=q=r=\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$, $m_0=0.4, p_0=0.4$ and $s_0=-1.8$. Diffusion constants are $D_u=1, D_v=1$ and $D_w=14.521$.




FIG. 2: Boundary surface for the Turing instability $I_{\rm st}(m,p,s)=0$. Parameters are fixed at $n=q=r=\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$.

The uniform steady state $(\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})$ should be stable if the diffusion is absent. In terms of of the Jacobian matrix at the steady state

$$J=\begin{pmatrix} f_u & f_v & f_w \\ g_u & g_v & g_w \\ h_u & h_v & h_w \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

this implies that

$$\det(J)<0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(J)<0. \tag{18}$$

Thus, the initial point P_0 with coordinates (m_0, p_0, s_0) on the line (10) should lie inside the stable region in m-p-s space.

The wave instability takes place if the first critical mode is oscillatory. At the threshold of the wave instability, the line Γ defined by Eq. (10) should touch the boundary surface $I_{\rm wav} = 0$ without having intersections with the surface $I_{\rm st} = 0$.

Suppose that we want to check whether the wave instability can occur when the diffusion constant D_w is varied. Let us denote $A = D_v/D_u$ and $B = p_0 - m_0 D_v/D_u$ and consider a plane $p = Am + B$ parallel to the s-axis. The line Γ is always lying on this plane irrespective of D_w, because the conditions $p_0 = Am_0 + B$ and $D_v/D_u = A$ are satisfied. A coordinate x is introduced on the plane in such a way that we have $x = 0$ when $m + p = 0$ holds. The slope of the line Γ in the x-s space is $D_u D_w/\sqrt{{D_u}^2 + {D_v}^2}$ and is nonnegative. The initial point P_0 of the line Γ is at (x_0, s_0) on the plane, where $x_0 = (m_0 + p_0)/(A + 1)$. Because we assume that the necessary conditions (18) are satisfied, the point (x_0, s_0) is in the stable region of the x-s space. Two surfaces $I_{\rm wav} = 0$ and $I_{\rm st} = 0$ intersect the plane along the boundary curves $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ and $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$,

$$\hat{I}_{\rm wav}(x, s; A, B) = I_{\rm osc}\left(x - \frac{B}{A+1},\ Ax + \frac{B}{A+1},\ s\right) = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$\hat{I}_{\rm st}(x, s; A, B) = I_{\rm st}\left(x - \frac{B}{A+1},\ Ax + \frac{B}{A+1},\ s\right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Below we examine the dependences of the boundary curves $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ and $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ on the parameters $m_0, p_0, s_0, n, q, r, \alpha, \beta$ and γ. This allows us to obtain the parameter conditions under which the wave instability can occur.

Let us examine the shape of the boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ at large values of $|s|$. If $|s| \gg 1$, we can neglect $\mathcal{O}(s^0)$ terms and obtain

$$\hat{I}_{\rm wav}(x, s; A, B) \approx s\left[(1+A)^2 x^2 + s(1+A)x - nr - \gamma q\right]. \tag{21}$$

Then, the boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ is given by the equation

$$(1+A)^2 x^2 + s(1+A)x - nr - \gamma q = 0 \tag{22}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} x =& \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{s}{A+1} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{s}{A+1}\right)^2 + 4\frac{nr+\gamma q}{(A+1)^2}}\right] \\ \simeq& \frac{s}{2(A+1)}\left[-1 \pm \left(1 + 2\frac{nr+\gamma q}{s^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

As follows from Eq. (21), the boundary curve approaches $x = 0$ in the limit of large $|s|$ as

$$(A+1)xs^2 = 0. \tag{24}$$

Then, the plus sign should be chosen in Eq. (23). Thus, the asymptotic boundary curve in the limit $s \gg 1$ is the hyperbola

$$xs = \frac{nr + \gamma q}{A+1}. \tag{25}$$

If the coefficients of this hyperbola is negative, i.e. $nr + \gamma q < 0$, the boundary curve lies in the region of $x > 0$ and $s < 0$. In such cases, given that $x_0 > 0$, the line Γ always touches the boundary curve under increasing the diffusion constant D_w, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Therefore, we require $nr + \gamma q < 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ as a part of the sufficient conditions. Using definitions of the model parameters (5), these requirements can be written in terms of the Jacobian matrix as

$$f_w h_u + g_w h_v < 0, \tag{26}$$

$$f_u + g_v > 0. \tag{27}$$




FIG. 3: Boundary curves $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ (red) and $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ (blue) when (a) the condition (30) and (b,c) the condition (34) are satisfied. All species are mobile. Positions of the line Γ defined by (10) are shown for two different values of D_w. The boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ can behave as shown in (b) or (c), depending on the model parameters.

The boundary curve for the Turing instability $\hat{I}_{\rm st}(x, s; A, B) = 0$ is given by

$$s(x) = -\frac{(A+1)^2(Anr+\gamma q)x - (A+1)^2(\beta\gamma n + \alpha q r) + (A+1)B(nr-\gamma q)}{A(A+1)^2x^2 - (A^2-1)Bx - \alpha\beta(A+1)^2 - B^2}, \tag{28}$$

which is a single-valued function of x.

Let us assume that all three reactants diffuse over the space, $D_u \neq 0, D_v \neq 0$ and $D_w \neq 0$, which leads to $A \neq 0$. If the denominator on the right hand side of Eq. (28) is not zero for all values of x, then $s(x)$ is a continuous function. Figure 3 (a) illustrates the qualitative shape of the boundary curves $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ and $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ in such a situation. In this case, the line Γ touches the boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ without intersecting $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ as D_w is increased. As a consequence, the wave instability takes place. The conditions are given by

$$(A^2-1)^2B^2 + 4A(A+1)^2\left[\alpha\beta(A+1)^2 + B^2\right] < 0 \tag{29}$$

which implies

$$f_u g_v - f_v g_u > \frac{(f_u D_v + g_v D_u)^2}{4 D_u D_v}. \tag{30}$$

If the denominator on the right hand side of Eq. (28) vanishes at x_- and x_+, the boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ diverges in two ways depending on the values of n, q, r, α, β and γ (Fig. 3 (b) and (c)). In both cases, if $x_0 \leq x_-$, the line Γ touches $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ first. Thus, if the condition

$$x_0 \leq x_- = \frac{(A^2-1)B - (A+1)^2\sqrt{B^2 + 4\alpha\beta A}}{2A(A+1)^2} \tag{31}$$

which is equivalent to

$$f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0 \tag{32}$$

and

$$f_u D_v + g_v D_u \leq 0 \tag{33}$$

is satisfied, the wave instability takes place as D_w is increased.

Next, we assume that the reactant V does not diffuse, that is $D_v = 0$, leading to $A = 0$. In this case, the boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ is given by

$$s(x)|_{A=0} = -\frac{qx + \kappa(n - qr) + B(nr - q)}{Bx + 1 - B^2}, \tag{34}$$




FIG. 4: Boundary curves $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ (red) and $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ (blue). The reactant V does not diffuse ($D_v = 0$). The line Γ defined by (10) is shown as black lines for two different values of D_w. The boundary curve $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ can behave as shown in (a) or (b) depending on the parameters n, q, r, α, β and γ.

which diverges at $x = B - 1/B = p_0 - 1/p_0$ [Figs. 4 (a) and (b)]. In this case, if $x_0 \leq p_0 - 1/p_0$, the line Γ touches $\hat{I}_{\rm wav} = 0$ without intersecting $\hat{I}_{\rm st} = 0$ when D_w is increased. Thus, the instability condition for a system with two diffusible reactants is given by

$$x_0 \leq p_0 - \frac{1}{p_0}, \tag{35}$$

which implies

$$g_v(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \leq 0. \tag{36}$$

On the other hand, if the reactant U does not diffuse, $D_u = 0$, one can choose a plane $m = A'p + B'$ where $A' = D_u/D_v$ and $B' = m_0 - p_0 D_u/D_v$ and derive the condition

$$f_u(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \leq 0. \tag{37}$$

Thus, sufficient conditions for the wave instability under increasing diffusion constant D_w of the reactant W has been derived for the four cases depending on the diffusion constants. The first two conditions (26) and (27) are common to all cases. The last condition depends of the diffusion constants, i.e. we have

$$\begin{cases} f_u g_v - f_v g_u > \dfrac{(f_u D_v + g_v D_u)^2}{4 D_u D_v} \quad (D_u \neq 0, D_v \neq 0), & (38) \\ f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_u D_v + g_v D_u \leq 0 \quad (D_u \neq 0, D_v \neq 0), & (39) \\ f_u(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) < 0 \quad (D_u = 0), & (40) \\ g_v(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) < 0 \quad (D_v = 0). & (41) \end{cases}$$

These different requirements can however be expressed in a single equation;

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} f_u + D_u\Lambda & f_v \\ g_u & g_v + D_v\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ for any } \Lambda < 0. \tag{42}$$

with at least two non-vanishing diffusion constants.

The wave instability may take place also under the variation of other two diffusion constants D_u or D_v. The corresponding sufficient conditions for each case can be obtained by permutating three variables u, v and w.

In summary, the wave instability occurs under increasing diffusion constants D_u, D_v or D_w, if the conditions

$$g_v + h_w > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_u f_v + h_u f_w < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} g_v + D_v\Lambda & g_w \\ h_v & h_w + D_w\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ for any } \Lambda < 0, \tag{43}$$

$$h_w + f_u > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_v g_w + f_v g_u < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} h_w + D_w\Lambda & h_u \\ f_w & f_u + D_u\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ for any } \Lambda < 0, \tag{44}$$

or

$$f_u + g_v > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_w h_u + g_w h_v < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} f_u + D_u\Lambda & f_v \\ g_u & g_v + D_v\Lambda \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ for any } \Lambda < 0. \tag{45}$$

are satisfied.

We have derived sufficient conditions for the wave instability in general three-component reaction-diffusion systems. The conditions are formulated in terms of the Jacobian matrix elements at a steady state and of the diffusion constants They do not depend on model details. Once these conditions are satisfied, the wave instability occurs as we increase the diffusion mobility of one of the reacting species.

Our general results are applicable for systems of various origins, including biological, chemical, physical and ecological systems. Our analysis has revealed that the wave instability may occur even if one of three reactants is immobile. This result can be important in a variety of applications involving both diffusible and non-diffusible reactants.

Authors acknowledge the financial support through the DFG SFB 910 program "Control of Self-Organizing Nonlinear Systems" in Germany, through the Fellowship for Research Abroad, KAKENHI and the FIRST Aihara Project (JSPS), and the CREST Kokubu Project (JST) in Japan.

[1] Turing, A. M. The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **237**, 37-72 (1952).
[2] Knobloch, E. & De Luca, J. Amplitude equations for travelling wave convection. *Nonlinearity* **3**, 975 (1990).
[3] Walgraef, D. *Spatio-Temporal Pattern Formation, with Examples in Physics, Chemistry and Materials Science.* (Springer, New York, 1997).
[4] Sick, S., Reiniker, S., Timmer, J. & Schlake, T. WNT and DKK determine hair follicle spacing through a reaction-diffusion mechanism. *Science* **314**, 1447-1450 (2006).
[5] Kondo, S. & Asai, A reaction-diffusion wave on the skin of the marine angelfish Pomacanthus. *Nature* **376**, 765-768 (1995).
[6] Nakamasu, A., Takahashi, G., Kanbe, A. & Kondo, S. Interactions between zerafish pigment cells responsible for the generation of Turing patterns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 8429-8434 (2009).
[7] Castets, V., Dulos, E., Boissonade, J. & De Kepper, P. Experimental evidence for a sustained standing Turing-type nonequilibrium chemical pattern. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2953-2956 (1990).
[8] Ouyang, Q. & Swinney, H. L. Transition from a uniform state to hexagonal and striped Turing patterns. *Nature* **352**, 610-612 (1991).
[9] Yang, L., Dolnik, M., Zhabotinsky, A. M. & Epstein, I. R. Pattern formation arising from interactions between Turing and wave instabilities. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **117**, 7259 (2002).
[10] Vanag, V. K., & Epstein, I. R. Pattern formation in a tunable medium: The Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction in an aerosol OT microemulsion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 228301 (2001).
[11] Wang, W., Liu, Q. X. & Jin, Z. Spatiotemporal complexity of a ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 051913 (2007).