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Abstract. Let $a$ be an ideal of a local ring $(R, m)$ with $c = \text{cd}(a, R)$ the cohomological dimension of $a$ in $R$. In the case that $c = \dim R$, we first give a bound for depth $D(H_c^a(R))$, where $c > 2$ and $(R, m)$ is complete. Later, $H_c^a(R) \otimes_R H_c^a(R)$, $D(H_c^a(R)) \otimes_R D(H_c^a(R))$ and $H_c^a(R) \otimes_R D(H_c^a(R))$ are examined. In the case $c = \dim R - 1$, the set $\text{Att}_R H_c^a(R)$ is considered.

1. Introduction

Throughout this note, we assume $(R, m)$ is a $d$-dimensional commutative Noetherian local ring and $a$ is an ideal of $R$. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $H_i^a(M)$ denote the $i$-th local cohomology module of $M$ with respect to $a$ as introduced by Grothendieck (cf. [8] and [3]). The first non-vanishing cohomological degree of the local cohomology modules $H_i^a(R)$ is well understood. It is the maximal length of a regular sequence on $R$ consisting of elements of $a$. The last non-vanishing amount of local cohomology modules, instead, is more mysterious. It is known as the cohomological dimension of $a$ in $R$, denoted by $\text{cd}(a, R)$. The $\text{cd}(a, R)$ carries many information about the ideal itself. For instance, $a$ cannot be generated by elements fewer than $\text{cd}(a, R)$. For the applications of $\text{cd}(a, R)$ we refer the reader to the fruitful papers [10] and [17].

It is a well-known fact that $\text{cd}(a, R) \leq d$ (cf. [3] Theorem 6.1.2]). In the case that $a = m$, the equality $\text{cd}(m, R) = d$ happens. The Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum Vanishing Theorem gives a characterization for vanishing of $H_d^a(R)$. In [4] Theorem 3.3] the second author and Schenzel have expressed the isomorphism $H_d^a(R) \cong H_d^{\hat{m} \hat{R}}(\hat{R}/J)$ for a certain ideal $J$ of $\hat{R}$. It indicates the properties of $H_d^a(R)$ via $H_d^{\hat{m} \hat{R}}(\hat{R})$, which is more well-known.

Let $E := E_R(R/m)$ be the injective hull of the $R$-module $R/m$. By $D_R(-)$ we denote the Matlis dual functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$. For brevity, we often write $D(-)$ for $D_R(-)$ when there is no ambiguity about the ring $R$. We denote by $\text{pd}_R(M)$ resp. $K_M$ the projective dimension resp. the canonical module of a finite $R$-module $M$.
In Section 2, we assume that $H^d_a(R) \neq 0$, i.e. $\text{cd}(a, R) = d$ and give some information on $D(H^d_a(R))$ and the tensor products of these modules. First, for a complete local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ we show that

$$\text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R))) \geq \min\{2, d\}$$

(see Proposition 2.4). Then, we give an upper bound for depth($D(H^d_a(R))$):

$$\text{grade}(b, D(H^d_a(R))) + \dim R/b \geq \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R))) \geq 2,$$

where $b$ is an ideal of $R$ of dimension at most 2 and $d \geq 3$. Hence, it provides conditions in which Cohen-Macaulayness of $D(H^d_a(R))$ fails ($d \geq 3$), see Remark 2.6. Later, we examine the modules $H^d_a(R) \otimes_R H^d_a(R)$, $D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R))$ and $H^d_a(R) \otimes R D(H^d_a(R))$. In particular, in the case $R$ is complete and $\text{pd}_{R/Q}(K_{R/Q}) < \infty$ for a certain ideal $Q$ of $R$, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for Cohen-Macaulayness of $D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))$ (see Theorem 2.8).

In general, the case $\text{cd}(a, R) = d - 1$ is more complicated than the first one. On the other hand, $H^{d-1}_a(R)$ is more mysterious and its properties are not so much known. The aim of the Section 3 is to obtain more information about the structure of $H^{d-1}_a(R)$ to shed light on the obscure aspects of this issue. We examine $\text{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_a(R))$ the set of attached prime ideals of $H^{d-1}_a(R)$. As a technical tool we use the concept of colocalization introduced by Richardson in [13]. Our main result in this section is Theorem 3.2.

2. Matlis duality and tensor products

Throughout this section, $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring of dimension $d$ and $a$ is an ideal of $R$. Moreover, we assume that $H^d_a(R) \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $R$ is complete.

(1) Suppose that $M$ and $N$ are either Artinian or finitely generated $R$-modules. Then we have the following isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(D(N), D(M)).$$

(2) Let $M$ and $N$ be Artinian $R$-modules. Then we have the following isomorphism

$$D(N) \otimes_R M \cong D(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)).$$

Proof. (1) By virtue of [3, Theorem 10.2.12] one has $N \cong D(D(N))$. Then, by [14, Theorem 2.11] we get the claim as follows:

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, D(D(N))) \cong \text{Hom}_R(D(N), D(M)).$$
(2) It should be noted that, by Matlis duality $D(N)$ is a finitely generated $R$-modules. By Hom $-\otimes$-adjointness we can prove the claim as follows:

$$D(N) \otimes_R M \cong D(N) \otimes_R D(D(M))$$
$$\cong D(N) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(D(M), E(R/m))$$
$$\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(D(N), D(M)), E(R/m))$$
$$\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, N), E(R/m)).$$

The third isomorphism follows by [3, 10.2.16]. □

We need the definition of the canonical module of a finitely generated $R$-module $M$. To this end let $R$ be the epimorphic image of a local Gorenstein ring $(S, n)$ and $n = \dim S$.

**Definition 2.2.** (A) For a finitely generated $R$-module $M$ we consider

$$K(M) = \text{Hom}_R(H^t_m(M), E_R(R/m)), \ t = \dim M.$$  

Because $H^t_m(M)$ is an Artinian $R$-module it turns out that $K(M)$ is a finitely generated $\hat{R}$-module.

(B) This is closely related to the notion of the canonical module $K_M$ of a finitely generated $R$-module $M$. To this end we have to assume that $(R, m)$ is the epimorphic image of a local Gorenstein ring $(S, n)$ with $n = \dim S$. Then $K_M = \text{Ext}^{n-t}_S(M, S)$ is called the canonical module of $M$. By virtue of the Cohen’s Structure Theorem and (A) there is an isomorphism $K(M) \simeq K_M$. By the Local Duality Theorem (see [8]) there are the following isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_R(H^t_m(M), E_R(R/m)) \simeq K(M) \simeq K_M.$$  

Here $\hat{R}$ resp. $\hat{M}$ denote the $m$-adic completion of $R$ resp. of $M$.

In the following, we summarize a few results about the last non-vanishing local cohomology module $H^d_a(R)$. To this end note that a finite module $M$ over a Noetherian ring $R$ satisfies Serre’s condition $S_n$ if depth $M_p \geq \min\{n, \dim M_p\}$ for all $p \in \text{Supp } M$.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $(R, m)$ be a complete local ring.

(1) $H^d_a(R)$ is an Artinian $R$-module.

(2) $H^d_a(R) \cong H^d_m\left(R/Q_a(R)\right)$, where $Q_a(R) = \bigcap_i q_i$ and $q_i$s run over all primary components of the zero ideal of $R$ with $\dim R/q = d$ and $\text{Rad}(a + q) = m$.

(3) $D(H^d_a(R)) \cong K_{R/Q_a(R)}$ is a finitely generated $R$-module.

(4) $\text{Ann}_R H^d_a(R) = Q_a(R)$.

(5) $\dim_R D(H^d_a(R)) = d$. 

(6) The module $D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$ satisfies Serre’s condition $S_2$.

(7) If $R/Q_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, then the module $D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. For the proof of (1), see [3, Theorem 7.1.6]. For the proof of (2), (3), (4) and (7) we refer the reader to [7, 3.3, 4.1(c), 4.2(a) and 4.3]. Part (5) follows by (2) and (4). Finally, (6) is a consequence of (3) and [15, Lemma 1.9].

We recall the $i$th formal local cohomology of $M$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ as $F_i^\mathfrak{a}(M) := \lim_{\leftarrow n} H^i_m(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$. See [16] and [5] for more information. It should be noted that $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} \text{Ext}^i_R(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$ is known as the $i$th generalized local cohomology module for all $R$-modules $M$ and $N$. In the case $R = M$, the module $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is the same as the ordinary local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$.

2.1. On the depth. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring. It is a well-known result that $D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension $d$ for $d \leq 2$ (see for example Lemma 2.3(6)). In this subsection, we examine the depth of Matlis dual of top local cohomology modules.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring. Then

$$\text{depth}(D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))) \geq \min\{d, 2\}.$$

**Proof.** We prove the claim by induction on $d$. By the explanation before this Proposition, for $d \leq 2$, the claim is clear. Assume that $d \geq 3$.

First note that by virtue of Lemma 2.3 we have $H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \cong H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/Q)$, where $Q := Q_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$. By the definition of $Q$ we may deduce that $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass } R/Q$ and so $\text{depth } R/Q > 0$. Hence, there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is nonzero-divisor on $R/Q$. Accordingly, we have the following long exact sequence

$$0 \to D(H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/Q)) \to D(H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/Q)) \to D(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(x, Q))) \to \cdots.$$

Since $\dim(R/(x, Q)) = d - 1$, by induction hypothesis one can see that

$$\text{depth } D(H^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(x, Q))) \geq 2.$$

Hence, there are $u \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \bigcup_{p \in \text{Ass}(D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/Q))/xD(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/Q))))} p$. Thus, it follows that $\text{depth}(D(H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/Q))) \geq 2$. 

According to [3] Corollary 1.1.4 the grade($\mathfrak{a}, D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)))$ plays a significant role to see whether $\mathfrak{a}$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection, i.e. $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{ara}(\mathfrak{a})$. Note that ara($\mathfrak{a}$) stands for the arithmetic rank of the given ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. For set-theoretic complete intersection ideals and related concepts see for instance [6]. In this direction, we try to find an explicit relation between $\text{depth } D(H^d_{\mathfrak{a}}(R))$ and...
grade(a, D(H^d_a(R))). To this end, we denote by grade_a(M, N) the least integer i such that H^i_a(M, N) is nonzero for finitely generated R-modules M and N.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let a be an ideal of a local ring (R, \(m\)). Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules. Then

1. grade_a(M, N) ≥ grade_a(M, R) − pd_R N, provided that pd_R N < ∞.
2. In the case (R, m) is factor ring of a Gorenstein local ring (S, n), one has
   \[ \dim M/aM = \dim S - \text{grade}_a(M, S). \]
3. grade_a(M, N) ≥ max\{0, depth N − \dim M/aM\}, provided that pd_R N < ∞.

**Proof.** (1) We argue by induction on \(n := \text{pd}_R N\).

Put n = 0 so N is a finite free R-module of the form \(N \cong \bigoplus t R\), where t is an integer. Hence, by [3, Theorem 3.4.10] the isomorphisms

\[ H^i_a(M, N) \cong H^i_a(M, \bigoplus t R) \cong \bigoplus t H^i_a(M, R) \]

hold. Clearly grade_a(M, N) ≥ grade_a(M, R).

Next, suppose that n > 0 and the claim holds for \(n - 1\). There is a finite free R-module F and exact sequence

\[ 0 \to L \to F \to N \to 0 \]

which induces pd_R L = n − 1. By induction hypothesis grade_a(M, L) ≥ grade_a(M, R) − n + 1. If i < grade_a(M, R) − n, then it follows from the long exact sequence

\[ \cdots \to H^i_a(M, F) \to H^i_a(M, N) \to H^{i+1}_a(M, L) \to \cdots \]

that \(H^i_a(M, N)\) is zero. Hence, grade_a(M, N) ≥ grade_a(M, R) − pd_R N.

(2) In the light of [16] Remark 3.6 and Theorem 4.5] we have

\[ \dim M/aM = \sup\{i : \mathfrak{F}_a^i(M) \neq 0\} \]
\[ = \sup\{i : H^{\dim S - i}_a(M, S) \neq 0\} \]
\[ = \dim S - \inf\{i : H^i_a(M, S) \neq 0\} \]
\[ = \dim S - \text{grade}_a(M, S). \]

(3) First note that as local cohomology, depth and dimension of a module are stable under completion, by passing to the completion, we may assume that R is a complete local ring. Then, by Cohen’s Structure Theorem, R is a factor ring of a regular local ring (T, n). In the light of the Independence Theorem, we may assume that \(\mathfrak{F}_a^i(M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_b^i(M)\), where \(b := a \cap T\).

Using part (2), we have

\[ \dim M/aM = \dim T - \text{grade}_a(M, T). \]
So by part (1) and the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem, we conclude the assertion as follows:

\[
\dim \frac{M}{aM} = \dim T - \text{grade}_a(M, T) \\
\geq \dim T - \text{grade}_a(M, N) - \text{pd}_T N \\
= \text{depth} N - \text{grade}_a(M, N).
\]

\[\square\]

Assume that \( b \) is an ideal of \( R \) of dimension at most 2 and \( d \geq 3 \). By virtue of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we have

\[
\text{grade}(b, D(H^d_a(R))) + \dim R/b \geq \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R))) \geq 2,
\]

Provided that \( \text{pd}(D(H^d_a(R))) < \infty \). This inequality yields an upper bound for the depth\( (D(H^d_a(R))) \).

**Remark 2.6.** Let \( (R, m) \) be a local ring of dimension \( d > 0 \).

(1) Let \( x \) be a member of a system of parameters of \( R \). Consider \( 0 : R < x := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} 0 : R x^n = 0 : R x^t \), for some integer \( t \). The following exact sequence

\[
0 \to 0 : R < x \to R \to R/0 : R < x \to 0.
\]

implies that \( \dim(0 : R < x >) < d \) and \( \dim R/(0 : R < x > ) = d \). To this end, note that the element \( x \) is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of \( R \). Hence \( x^t \subseteq (0 : R (0 : R x^t)) \not\subseteq p \), for any minimal primes \( p \) of \( R \).

Now apply \( H^d_a(−) \) to the above short exact sequence to get the following exact sequence

\[
H^d_a(0 : R < x > ) \to H^d_a(R) \to H^d_a(R/0 : R < x > ) \to 0.
\]

Hence, by Grothendieck’s Vanishing Theorem, we have the isomorphism \( H^d_a(R) \cong H^d_a(R/0 : R < x > ) \).

(2) Now, we give conditions that Cohen-Macaulayness of \( D(H^d_a(R)) \) fails. Let \( (R, m) \) be a complete local ring, \( d \geq 3 \) and \( b \) be a one-dimensional ideal containing a regular element \( x \) of \( R \) (part (1) guarantees the existence of such an element). Then, it implies the following long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to H^{d-1}_a(R/xR) \to H^d_a(R) \xrightarrow{x} H^d_a(R) \to 0.
\]

By applying \( D(−) \) we get

\[
0 \to D(H^d_a(R)) \xrightarrow{x} D(H^d_a(R)) \to D(H^{d-1}_a(R/xR)) \to \cdots.
\]

Now, it yields that \( x \) is a \( D(H^d_a(R)) \)-regular element. So \( \text{grade}(b, D(H^d_a(R))) > 0 \). Suppose that \( b \) does not contain a \( D(H^d_a(R))/x D(H^d_a(R)) \)-regular element. Hence, [1, 2.3 and 1.2.4] imply that \( \text{Ext}^1_R(R/b, D(H^d_a(R))) \neq 0 \). So, it follows that
grade(b, D(H^d_a(R))) = 1. Therefore, in the view of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we have

\[ 2 \leq \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R))) \leq \text{grade}(b, D(H^d_a(R))) + \dim R/b = 2. \]

It shows that with the above assumptions D(H^d_a(R)) is not Cohen-Macaulay for \( d \geq 3 \).

### 2.2. Tensor products

We assume that \( H^d_a(R) \neq 0 \) and \( Q := Q_a(R) \). In recent research, there is an interest in endomorphism rings of certain local cohomology modules \( H^i_a(R) \). This was done in the case of \( i = d \) by the second author and Schenzel (see [7]) and some conditions for Cohen-Macaulayness of \( \text{Hom}_R(H^d_a(R), H^d_a(R)) \) have been investigated. For the sake of completeness, we state the following result.

**Remark 2.7.** Let \( a \) be an ideal of a complete local ring \((R, m)\). Then,

\[ \text{depth}_R(\text{Hom}_R(H^d_a(R), H^d_a(R))) \geq \min\{2, d\}. \]

To this end note that \( \text{Hom}_R(H^d_a(R), H^d_a(R)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(D(H^d_a(R)), D(H^d_a(R))) \) (see Lemma 2.1). Hence, the inequality is clear by virtue of [4, Exercise 1.4.19] and Proposition 2.4.

The next part of our work is to investigate the tensor product of top local cohomology modules and their Matlis duality.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \((R, m)\) be a complete local ring of dimension \( d > 0 \). Then, the following statements hold:

1. \( H^d_a(R) \otimes_R H^d_a(R) = 0 \).
2. The ideal \( Q \) is contained in \( \text{Ann}_R(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R))) \) and the Krull dimension of \( D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R)) \) is equal to \( d \).
3. Suppose that \( \text{pd}_{R/Q}(K_{R/Q}) < \infty \), then the following statements are equivalent:
   
   (a) \( R/Q \) is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.
   
   (b)

   \[ \text{Tor}_i^{R/Q}(D(H^d_a(R)), D(H^d_a(R))) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i \geq 1 \]

   and

   \[ D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R)) \] is a Cohen-Macaulay \( R/Q \)-module.

4. Assume that \( R/Q \) satisfies \( S_2 \) situation. Then, there is an isomorphism

\[ H^d_a(R) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R)) \cong E_{R/Q}(R/m). \]
Proof. (1) As \( H^d_a(R) \) is an Artinian and \( m \)-torsion \( R \)-module (cf. Lemma 2.3), then it follows by [12, Proposition 5.8] that \( H^d_a(R) \otimes_R H^d_a(R) = 0 \). To this end note that from Proposition 2.4 we have \( \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R))) > 0 \).

(2) It is clear that \( \text{Ann}_R(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R))) \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(D(H^d_a(R))) = Q \).

To this end, note that \( \text{Ann}_R(D(H^d_a(R))) = \text{Ann}_R(H^d_a(R)) \) (see for instance [3, Remark 10.2.2]) then using Lemma 2.3 one has \( \text{Ann}_R(D(H^d_a(R))) = Q \).

On the other hand, the following equalities complete the claim.

\[
\dim_R(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R))) = \dim_R(\text{Supp}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R)))) = \dim_R D(H^d_a(R)) = d
\] (see 2.1).

(3) It should be noted that for a finite module \( M \) which has \( R \)-module and \( R/Q \)-module structures then \( \text{depth}_R M = \text{depth}_{R/Q} M \). Moreover, by virtue of 2.3 it follows that \( D(H^d_a(R)) \cong K_{R/Q} \).

In order to prove that (a) implies (b), take into account that if \( R/Q \) is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, then \( D(H^d_a(R)) \) is a Cohen-Macaulay module (see 2.3). Thus by the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem, we deduce that \( K_{R/Q} \) is a free \( R/Q \)-module. Hence,

\[
\text{Tor}_i^{R/Q}(D(H^d_a(R)), D(H^d_a(R))) = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq 1.
\]

Now, using [11, Lemma 2.2] we have

\[
\text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))) = d.
\]

Thus, \( D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R)) \) is a Cohen-Macaulay \( R/Q \)-module.

To show that (b) implies (a), we first use the hypothesis and [11, Lemma 2.2]. Then we have

\[
(2.1) \quad \text{2depth}(H^d(R)) = \text{depth } R/Q + \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))).
\]

As \( \text{pd}_{R/Q}(K_{R/Q}) < \infty \), then by the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem it follows that

\[
\text{depth } D(H^d_a(R)) \leq \text{depth } R/Q.
\]

Since \( D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R)) \) is a Cohen-Macaulay \( R/Q \)-module, then in the light of the equality (2.1), we prove the claim as follows:

\[
\text{depth } R/Q \geq \text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))) = \dim_{R/Q}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))) = \dim R/Q.
\]
(4) As $H^d_a(R)$ is an Artinian $R$-module so $D(H^d_a(R))$ is finite. Hence,

$$H^d_a(R) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R)) \cong D(\text{Hom}_R(H^d_a(R), H^d_a(R)))$$

$$\cong D(R/Q)$$

$$\cong E_{R/Q}(R/m).$$

To this end note that the first isomorphism follows by Lemma 3.2 and the second one follows by [7, Theorem 4.2].

Let $M$ and $N$ be nonzero finitely generated modules over a local ring $(R, m)$. If $M$ and $N$ satisfy the depth formula provided that

$$\text{depth } M + \text{depth } N = \text{depth } R + \text{depth}(M \otimes_R N).$$

A necessary condition for the depth formula to hold is that $\text{depth } M + \text{depth } N \geq \text{depth } R$ (see for instance [11, pp. 163]). As an application of 2.4 we deduce the following result:

**Corollary 2.9.** Let $(R, m)$ be a $d$-dimensional complete local ring. In the case $d = 3$ or $4$, then we have

$$\text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_R D(H^d_a(R))) \geq 4 - \text{depth } R.$$ 

**Corollary 2.10.** Let $(R, m)$ be a complete local ring with $d \geq 4$. Suppose that $\text{pd}_{R/Q}(K_{R/Q}) < \infty$ and $\text{Tor}^R_{i,Q}(D(H^d_a(R)), D(H^d_a(R))) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Then,

1. $\text{depth}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))) \geq 4 - \text{depth } R/Q,$
2. $\text{pd}_{R/Q}(D(H^d_a(R)) \otimes_{R/Q} D(H^d_a(R))) \leq 2 \text{depth } R/Q - 4.$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3 it follows that $D(H^d_a(R)) \cong K_{R/Q}$ is a finitely generated module. Part (1) is a consequence of 2.4 and [2, Theorem 1.2]. Part (2) follows by (1) and the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem. \qed

3. Attached primes of $H^{d-1}_a(R)$

Throughout this section, let $(R, m)$ be a local ring and $S$ a multiplicative closed subset of $R$. In his paper [13], A. S. Richardson proposed the definition of colocalization of an $R$-module $M$ relative to $S$ as the $S^{-1}R$-module

$$S^{-1}M := D_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}D_R(M)).$$

If $S = R \setminus p$ for some $p \in \text{Spec } R$, we write $pM$ for $S^{-1}M$. See also [5] for more information.

In the light of [13, Theorem 2.2], representable modules are preserved under colocalization and in the case $M$ is a representable module, we have

$$\text{Att}_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M = \{S^{-1}p : p \in \text{Att } R M \text{ and } S \cap p = \emptyset\},$$
where the notation \( \text{Att}_R M \) is used to denote the set of attached prime ideals of \( M \). This section is based on the use of this property. We examine the set of attached prime ideals of the last non-vanishing value of local cohomology.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( a \) be an ideal of \( R \) and \( p \in \text{Spec} \ R \). Let \( c \) be an integer such that \( H^i_a(R) = 0 \) for every \( i > c \). Assume that \( H^c_a(R/I) \) is representable for all ideals \( I \) of \( R \). Then, we have the following

1. \( \text{Att}_R(pH^c_a(R)) \subseteq \{ qR_p : \text{dim} \ R/q \geq c, q \subseteq p \text{ and } q \in \text{Spec} \ R \} \).

2. In the case \( R \) is complete, we get the following equality

\[ \text{Att}_R(pH^d_a(R)) = \{ qR_p : \text{dim} \ R/q = d, q \subseteq p, \text{Rad}(a + q) = m \text{ and } q \in \text{Spec} \ R \} \].

**Proof.** (1) By virtue of (2.11), we have

\[ \text{Att}_R(pH^c_a(R)) = \{ qR_p : q \in \text{Att}_R(H^c_a(R)) \text{ and } q \subseteq p \} \].

As the functor \( H^c_a(\_\_ \ (-)) \) is right exact and it preserves direct limits, \( H^c_a(R/q) = H^c_a(R) \otimes_R R/q \). On the other hand, \( H^c_a(R/q) \) is representable, then using [1] Lemma 2.11 one can see that

\[ \text{Att}_R(H^c_a(R/q)) = \text{Att}_R(H^c_a(R)) \cap \text{Supp}(R/q) \supseteq q \].

Hence, \( \text{Att}_R(H^c_a(R/q)) \neq \emptyset \) which implies that \( H^c_a(R/q) \neq 0 \) and consequently \( \text{dim} \ R/q \geq c \).

(2) If \( H^d_a(R) = 0 \), then we are done. Thus we assume that \( H^d_a(R) \neq 0 \).

- "\( \subseteq \)": Let \( qR_p \in \text{Att}_R(pH^d_a(R)) \). As we have seen in part (1), \( q \subseteq p \) and \( H^d_a(R/q) \neq 0 \) so \( \text{dim} \ R/q = d \). Now, it follows from the Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum Vanishing Theorem that \( \text{Rad}(a + q) = m \).

- "\( \supseteq \)": Again using (3.1) we have

\[ \text{Att}_R(pH^d_a(R)) = \{ qR_p : q \in \text{Att}_R(H^d_a(R)), q \subseteq p \} \],

so it is enough to show that \( q \in \text{Att}_R H^d_a(R) \).

As \( \text{dim} \ R/q = d \) and \( \text{Rad}(a + q) = m \), so the Independence Theorem implies that \( H^d_a(R/q) \neq 0 \). Hence,

\[ \emptyset \neq \text{Att}_R(H^d_a(R/q)) = \text{Att}_R(H^d_a(R)) \cap \text{Supp}(R/q) \].

In the contrary assume that \( q \notin \text{Att}_R H^d_a(R) \). Then by virtue of (3.2) there exists a prime ideal \( Q \in \text{Att}_R H^d_a(R) \) such that \( Q \supseteq q \) and so \( \text{dim} \ R/Q < d \). On the other hand, \( Q \in \text{Att}_R H^d_a(R) \) if and only if \( QR_Q \in \text{Att}_R(QH^d_a(R)) \). By virtue of part one \( \text{dim} \ R/Q = d \) which is a contradiction. Now the proof is complete. \( \square \)

After this preparation we can state the main result of this section as follows:
Theorem 3.2. Let \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) be a complete local ring of dimension \(d\). Let \(\mathfrak{a}\) be an ideal of \(R\). Assume that \(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R)\) is representable and \(H^d_\mathfrak{a}(R) = 0\). Then

1. \(\text{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - 1, \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \cup \text{Assh}(R)\).

2. \(\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - 1, \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\} \subseteq \text{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R))\).

Proof. (1) Let \(\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R)\), then \(\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p} H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R))\), (cf. (3.1)). It follows from Proposition 3.1 that \(\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq d - 1\).

If \(\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d\), then it follows that \(\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}(R)\). In the case \(\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - 1\), as \(\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R)\) and \(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(-)\) is a right exact functor, so one can deduce that \(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0\). By the Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum Vanishing Theorem there exists a prime ideal \(\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}\) of \(R\) of dimension \(d - 1\) with \(\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\). So, it is clear that \(\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}\).

(2) Let \(\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - 1\) and \(\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\), then Proposition 3.1(2) implies that \(\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p} H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}))\). By (3.1) we deduce that \(\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}))\). On the other hand the epimorphism

\[ H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R) \to H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to 0 \]

implies that \(\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H^{d-1}_\mathfrak{a}(R))\).

\(\Box\)

It is noteworthy to say that in the situation of Theorem 3.2 if \(\mathfrak{a}\) is an ideal of dimension one, the inclusion at (1) will be an equality, see [9, Theorem 8.2.3].
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