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Abstract

We classify real surfaces that contain at least two circles through a general point. Our main Moebius invariant is the Neron-Severi lattice enhanced with an involution coming from the real structure.
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1 Introduction

1.1

Sir Christopher Wren discovered that a one-sheeted hyperboloid contains two lines through each point [34, 1669]. Wren used his discovery for an “engine designed for grinding hyperbolic lenses” [7, page 92]. It is now classically known that a surface in real projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$, that contains at least two straight lines through a general point, is, up to projective equivalence, either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or a hyperboloid of one sheet in $\mathbb{P}^3$. If we consider circles instead of lines, then it is more natural to consider instead of $\mathbb{P}^n$ the $n$-dimensional Möbius quadric, which we define as:

$$S^n := \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} | -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 = 0 \}.$$

The Möbius automorphisms are defined as $\text{Aut}(S^n) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ and a circle is defined as an irreducible conic in $S^n$ that contains real points. In this paper we address the following problem.

**Problem I.** Classify, up to Möbius equivalence, real surfaces in $S^n$ that are not contained in a hyperplane and that contain at least two circles through a general point.

We do not solve Problem I in its full generality. Instead we classify the surfaces up to their Neron-Severi lattices (see Definition 1). We will find 19 different isomorphism classes of Neron-Severi lattices and in some cases these classes represent natural families of Möbius equivalence classes (see Remark 4). Before we can state this classification in Theorem 4 we need some preliminaries. The results stated in the second part of this introduction are less technical, but depend on Theorem 4. Our methods are constructive and implementations can be found at [22, orbital].

The ring cyclide in Figure 1, for example, is a surface in $S^3$ that contains four circles through a general point. We will analyze in Example 1 the Neron-Severi lattice of the ring cyclide.
Figure 1: The ring cyclide in $\mathbb{S}^3$ contains four circles through each point. Two of such circles are called Villarceau circles [32, 1848]. These circles can be found in a sculpture staircase of the Strasbourg cathedral, which was built from 1176 until 1439 [3, Fig. II.7.7].

Algebraically, Problem I is closely related to the following problem where $\circ$ denotes composition of functions:

**Problem II.** Classify $p = (p_i)_{i \in [0, n]} \in \mathbb{R}[y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1]^{n+1}$ such that $p_i$ is bi-homogeneous of bi-degree $(2,2)$ and $q \circ p = 0$ for $q(x) = -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2$, where $p \sim \hat{p}$ if and only if there exists $M \in PGL_\mathbb{R}(n+1)$ such that $M \circ p = \hat{p}$ and $\ker(q \circ M) = \ker(q)$.

Problems I and II are equivalent if we replace “general point” by “each point”, and if we assume that the map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ associated to $p$ in problem II parametrizes a surface. This modification excludes quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$ that do not admit a real parametrization of bi-degree $(2,2)$, but do contain two circles through a general point.

Surfaces that contain two circles through each point have a long history [34, 1669] [11, 1822] [32, 1848] [17, 1863] [8, 1880] [5, 1980] [30, 1987] [31, 1989] [14, 1995] and are weak del Pezzo surfaces [9, Historical Notes, Chapter 8]. Surfaces that contain two conical arcs through each point are classified in [26, 2000] and it is shown that such surfaces must be algebraic. Darboux
cyclides that contain three circles through each point are classified in [25, 2012] with also architectural applications in mind. Such surfaces are of recent interest in geometric modeling [2, 2014]. Surfaces with many circles in $S^3$ are classified in [16, 28, 2015] into three classes, by expressing $p$ in Problem II in terms of quaternions. We may identify $p$ in Problem II as a point in $\text{Map}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, S^n)$. Kollár investigates $\text{Map}_2(\mathbb{P}^2, S^n)$ over any field [15, 2016]. In particular, [15, Theorem 23] states that a surface that contains infinitely many circles through each point is up to Möbius equivalence either $S^2$ or some fixed Veronese surface in $S^4$.

1.2

We define a real algebraic variety $X$ as a complex variety together with an antiholomorphic involution $\sigma : X \to X$ [27, Proposition I.1.3]. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, we assume that maps of varieties are compatible with $\sigma$. We call a surface $\lambda$-circled if it contains $\lambda$ circles through a general point. A celestial surface is a $\lambda$-circled surface $X \subset S^n$ such that $\lambda \geq 2$ and $X$ is not contained in a hyperplane section of $S^n$. The celestial type of a $\lambda$-circled surface $X \subset S^n$ of degree $d$ is defined as $T(X) := (\lambda, d, n)$.

**Theorem 1. (celestial types)**

If $X \subset S^n$ is a celestial surface, then its type $T(X)$ must be in the following table and for each type in the table there exists a celestial surface of that type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S^7$</th>
<th>$S^4$</th>
<th>$S^6$</th>
<th>$S^5$</th>
<th>$S^3$</th>
<th>$S^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(2, 8, 7)$</td>
<td>$(\infty, 4, 4)$, $(2, 6, 4)$, $(3, 6, 4)$, $(2, 8, 4)$</td>
<td>$(2, 8, 6)$</td>
<td>$(2, 6, 5)$, $(3, 6, 5)$, $(2, 8, 5)$</td>
<td>$(\lambda, 4, 3)$ for $2 \leq \lambda \leq 6$, $(2, 8, 3)$</td>
<td>$(\infty, 2, 2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If $T(X)$ is has value either $(\infty, 2, 2)$, $(\infty, 4, 4)$, $(\lambda, 4, 3)$, $(2, 8, n)$ or $(\lambda, 6, n)$ for some $\lambda, n \geq 2$, then $X$ is a 2-sphere, Veronese surface, Darboux cyclide, (projection of) double Segre surface or (projection of) weak sextic del Pezzo surface respectively. See Remark 1 for the names.
The classification of $\lambda$-circled surfaces with $\lambda \geq 3$ in Theorem 1 was posed as a question in [25, Section 5]. From Theorem 1 and [21, Corollary 1] we obtain the following corollary which addresses the Blaschke-Bol problem [4, §3, Aufgabe 1, page 31].

**Corollary 1. (hexagonal webs of circles)**
A hexagonal web of circles in $\mathbb{S}^n$ covers either one of the following surfaces:

1. Two dimensional sphere of type $(\infty, 2, 2)$.
2. Darboux cyclide of type $(\lambda, 4, 3)$ with $3 \leq \lambda \leq 6$.
3. Veronese surface of type $(\infty, 4, 4)$.
4. Del Pezzo surface of type $(3, 6, 4)$.
5. Del Pezzo surface of type $(3, 6, 5)$.

In Figure 4 we see examples of Darboux cyclides, which are quartic celestial surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$ (see Remark 1). Darboux cyclides that have a real isolated singularity can be realized as the inverse stereographic projection of quadric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. See [25, Figure 12] for images of smooth Darboux cyclides that are topologically either a torus, sphere or the union of two spheres. In Figure 3 we see examples of celestial surfaces that are not Darboux cyclides.

**Notation 1.** We use the following shorthand notation for quadric surfaces: $EH1$ (Elliptic Hyperboloid of one sheet), $EH2$ (Elliptic Hyperboloid of two sheets), $E$ (Ellipsoid), $EO$ (Elliptic cOne), $HP$ (Hyperbolic Paraboloid), $EP$ (Elliptic Paraboloid), $CH1$ (Circular Hyperboloid of one sheet), $EY$ (Elliptic cYlinder), $CO$ (Circular cOne), $CY$ (Circular cYlinder).

We use the following notation for isolated surface singularities: $A_1 = \text{node}$, $A_2 = \text{cusp}$, $A_3 = \text{tacnode}$. We underline if the singularity is real (for example $A_1$). A celestial surface $X$ is called normal if it contains at most isolated singularities.
**Theorem 2.** (singular locus of normal celestial surfaces)

If celestial surface $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is normal, then its celestial type and isolated singularities are in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathbf{T}(X)$</th>
<th>singular locus of $X$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2, 8, 7)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (double Segre surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 6, 5)</td>
<td>$A_1$ (weak dP6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3, 6, 5)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (dP6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($\infty$, 4, 4)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (Veronese surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (topologically 1 or 2 spheres), $A_1$ (E or EH2), $A_2$ (EP or HP), $A_1 + A_1 + A_1 + A_1$ (CO), $A_3 + A_1 + A_1$ (CY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$A_1 + A_1$ (EO), $A_1 + A_1 + A_1$ (CH1), $A_3$ (EY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$A_1$ (EH1), $A_1 + A_1 + A_1 + A_1$ (ring cyclide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$A_1 + A_1$ (Perseus cyclide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (Blum cyclide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($\infty$, 2, 2)</td>
<td>$\emptyset$ (2-sphere)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Classification of celestial types and singular loci of normal celestial surfaces (see Theorem 2 and Notation 1).

Celestial surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$ can, via the stereographic projection, be realized as surfaces in Euclidean 3-space, that are the union of circles in two different ways. The *Euclidean type* of a surface is the pair of numbers $(d, c)$, where $d$ and $c$ are the degree and cyclicity of $X$ respectively (see §2). Theorem 3 classifies such pairs for celestial surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$. In Figure 2 we see four stereographic projections of some fixed celestial surface in $\mathbb{S}^3$ together with their Euclidean types.
Theorem 3. *(Euclidean types)*

If \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \) is a surface that contains \( \lambda \) circles through a general point with \( \lambda \geq 2 \), then its Euclidean type is in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( (d, c) )</th>
<th>( 2(d - c) )</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( (1, 0) ), ( (2, 1) )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \infty )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (2, 0) ), ( (3, 1) ), ( (4, 2) )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( [2 - 6] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (4, 0) ), ( (6, 2) ), ( (7, 3) ), ( (8, 4) )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conversely, each Euclidean type in the table is realized by some surface \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \) that contains \( \lambda \) circles through each point. The middle column denotes the degree of the inverse stereographic projection of \( Y \) into \( S^3 \).

*Figure 2:* Euclidean types of stereographic projections of some fixed celestial surface of type \( (2, 8, 3) \). The surface of Euclidean type \( (4, 0) \) is an Euclidean translational surface. The Clifford translational surface in Figure 3 is its analogue in elliptic geometry.
Figure 3: Types of celestial surfaces. The circles on the del Pezzo surface of degree 6 (dP6) and Veronese surface form hexagonal webs of circles, but these surfaces cannot be realized as a union of circles in 3-space.
It follows from Theorem 3 that there do not exist quintic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that are covered by two families of circles.

**Remark 1. (names of celestial surfaces)**

Celestial surfaces of type $(\lambda, 4, 3)$ are called *Darboux cyclides* (see Figure 4). Blum introduced the name *Persues cyclide* in case $\lambda = 5$ [5, 1980]. Dreibelbis introduced the name *Blum cyclide* in case $\lambda = 6$ [10, website]. A Darboux cyclide without real singular points such that $\lambda = 4$ is called a *Clifford*
torus or ring cyclide (Figure 1). For Darboux cyclides that are the inverse stereographic projections of the quadrics we use Notation 1. The celestial surfaces CO and CY are also known as the horn cyclide and spindle cyclide respectively (Figure 4). A Dupin cyclide in $S^3$ is a celestial that is enveloped by a 1-parameter family of spheres in two different ways and is either a ring cyclide, horn cyclide or spindle cyclide [11, 1822]. The Veronese surface is the Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^2$ into $\mathbb{P}^5$. The double Segre surface (or Veronese-Segre surface) is the Veronese-Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ into $\mathbb{P}^8$ [9, Section 8.4.1]. The octic celestial surfaces of Figure 3 and Figure 2 are projections of the double Segre surface in $\mathbb{P}^8$. Celestial surfaces that are (weak) del Pezzo surfaces are denoted by (weak) $dP\mu$ where $\mu$ denotes the degree of the surface (see Definition 2). The name “celestial” is inspired by a model where planetary orbits are described by circles in 4-dimensional space [1]; A “celestial” is anything, which allows multiple ways for planets to move, in other words, a celestial is what carries many circles.

2 Möbius geometry

In order to study surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that are union of circles we consider the largest group of transformations that preserve circles, which are the Möbius transformations. For this purpose we consider the unit-sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is topologically a one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $S^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{p_\infty\}$. In turn we consider $S^n$ embedded into its projective closure $\mathbb{S}^n$. Circles in $\mathbb{S}^n$ are exactly irreducible conics. Thus $\mathbb{S}^n$ is a compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the property that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ are exactly the Möbius transformations. Circles in $\mathbb{S}^n$ that contain $p_\infty$ correspond lines in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Notice how $p_\infty$ can be Möbius transformed inside and outside of $\mathbb{R}^n \subset S^n$.

An alternative compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is its projective closure $\mathbb{P}^n$. In this case, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is the largest group that preserves lines. Since we work with circles we fix a quadric $(n - 2)$-fold in $\mathbb{P}^n$ that does not contain real points.
We may assume without loss of generality that this *Euclidean absolute* is
derived as
\[ U_n := \{ y \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid y_0 = y_1^2 + \ldots + y_n^2 = 0 \}. \]

The *Euclidean similarities* are defined as \( \{ \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n) \mid \varphi(U_n) = U_n \}. \)

Circles in this \( \mathbb{P}^n \)-model correspond to irreducible conics that intersect \( U_n \)
in two points (counted with multiplicity). More generally, \( m \)-spheres corre-
spond to irreducible \( m \)-quadrics that intersect \( U_n \) in an \((m - 1)\)-quadric with
multiplicity one. If \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \) is a surface of degree \( d \), then the multiplicity \( c \)
of the conic \( U_3 \) in \( X \) is called the *cyclicity* of \( X \). The *Euclidean type* of \( X \) is
defined as the tuple \( (d, c) \).

The discussed models \( S^n \) and \( \mathbb{P}^n \) are so called *Cayley-Klein models* for Möbius
geometry and Euclidean geometry respectively. The *stereographic projection*
\( \tau: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \) is a linear projection map with center \( p_\infty \in S^n \) and relates the
Cayley-Klein models. It was known to Hipparchus (190-120 BC) that this
projection preserves circles. We make a choice of coordinates so that
\[
\tau: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, \quad (x_0 : \ldots : x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_0 - x_{n+1} : x_1 : \ldots : x_n),
\]
with inverse \( \tau^{-1}: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow S^n, \)
\[(y_0 : \ldots : y_n) \mapsto (y_0^2 + y_1^2 + \ldots + y_n^2 : 2y_0y_1 : \ldots : 2y_0y_n : -y_0^2 + y_1^2 + \ldots + y_n^2).\]

Notice that \( \tau^{-1} \) is not defined at the Euclidean absolute and its restriction
to \( \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) realizes the embedding \( \mathbb{R}^n \subset S^n \) algebraically.

**Proposition 1. (degree of surfaces in \( S^n \))**

a) If \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \) is of Euclidean type \((d, c)\), then \( \deg \tau^{-1}(X) = 2(d - c) \).

b) If \( X \subset S^n \) is a surface, then the degree of \( X \) is even.

*Proof.* a) Let \( \Gamma \) denote the linear series associated to \( \tau^{-1}: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^3 \). We
denote the Zariski closed image by \( M := \tau^{-1}(X) \). The base locus of \( \Gamma \) is
exactly the Euclidean absolute conic \( U_3 \) and thus the general hyperquadric
in $\Gamma$ is a sphere. By definition, $\deg M$ is the number of intersections of $M \subset S^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ with two general hyperplanes [12, Section I.7]. The pullback of two general hyperplane sections of $S^3$ along $\tau^{-1}$, are general spheres $S$ and $S'$ in $\Gamma$ so that $\deg M = |\{ p \in X \cap S \cap S' | p \notin U_3 \}|$. One has $S \cap S' = C \cup U_3$ where $C$ is a circle. If $U_3 \notin X$, then the degree of $M$ is equal to the intersection product of cycles corresponding to $C$ and $X$ in the Chow ring of $\mathbb{P}^3$ so that $[C] \cdot [X] = 2d$ by Bezout’s theorem. We remark that by generality assumptions, $[C] \cdot [X]$ is equal to the set-theoretic cardinality of $C \cap X$. If $U_3 \subset X$, then $\deg M = [C] \cdot [X] - [C] \cdot [X] \cdot [U_3]$. This concludes the proof of this claim, since $[C] \cdot [X] \cdot [U_3] = 2c$ as we count each of the two intersections of $C$ with $U_3$ with multiplicity $c$.

b) Suppose by contradiction that $X$ is of odd degree. The intersection of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with the hyperplane at infinity is an odd degree curve so that it contains real points. We consider $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ as the projective compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and thus $X$ is not compact in the Euclidean topology. This is a contradiction since $X \setminus U_{n+1}$ is by assumption contained in the compact unit sphere $S^n$.

\[ \Box \]

### 3 Algebro geometric models

A smooth model of a surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a birational morphism $Y \to X$ from a nonsingular surface $Y$, that does not contract exceptional curves. We introduce some notation for the smooth models used in this paper. Let $L_m$ denote $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in $9 - m$ points with $1 \leq m \leq 8$. Let $P_m$ denote $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ blown up in $8 - m$ points with $1 \leq m \leq 7$. Let $F_m$ denote the smooth model of a Hirzebruch surface of degree $m \geq 0$. Notice that $P_8 = F_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $L_8 = F_1$ and $L_9 = \mathbb{P}^2$.

**Definition 1. (Neron-Severi lattice)**

The Neron-Severi lattice $N(X)$ of an algebraic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ consists of the following data:
1. A unimodular lattice defined by divisor classes — modulo numerical equivalence — on the smooth model $Y$ of $X$.

2. Two distinguished classes $h, k \in N(X)$ corresponding to the class of hyperplane sections and the canonical class respectively.

3. A unimodular involution $\sigma^* : N(X) \to N(X)$ such that $\sigma^*(k) = k$ induced by the real structure $\sigma$ of $X$ (see §1.2).

4. A function $h^0 : N(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ assigning the dimension of global sections of the line bundle associated to a class.

In this paper we consider the following generators of Neron-Severi lattices:

$$N(L_{9-r}) = \langle e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{or} \quad N(P_{8-r}) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where the only non-zero intersection numbers between generators are $\ell_0 \cdot \ell_1 = 1, \varepsilon_i^2 = -1, e_0^2 = 1$ and $e_i^2 = -1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r \leq 7$. It is known that $-3e_0 + e_1 + \ldots + e_r$ and $-2(\ell_0 + \ell_1) + \varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_r$ are the canonical classes of $L_{9-r}$ and $P_{8-r}$ respectively. Both $L_{9-r}$ and $P_{8-r}$ are smooth models of weak del Pezzo surfaces if the centers of blowup are in general enough position.

**Definition 2. (weak del Pezzo surface)**

We call $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ a weak del Pezzo surface if its anticanonical class $-k$ is nef and big and if $h = -\alpha k$ is its class of hyperplane sections for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. The canonical degree of $X$ is defined as $k^2$. If $-k$ is also ample, then we say that $X$ is a (non-weak) del Pezzo surface. We denote a weak del Pezzo surface of anticanonical degree $\mu$ by weak $dP_{\mu}$ and a (non-weak) del Pezzo surface of anticanonical degree $\mu$ is denoted by $dP_{\mu}$.

A pair of a surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is defined as $(Y, h)$, where $Y$ is the smooth model of $X$ and $h \in N(X)$ is the class of hyperplane sections. For example, if $X$ has pair $(P_m, -k)$ and $P_m$ is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in $4 \leq 8 - m \leq 0$ points that are in almost general position, then $X$ is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $k^2 = m$. In particular, at most two centers of blowup are allowed.
to lie in a fiber of a projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$, otherwise $-k$ would not be nef.

Surfaces that admit at least two families of conics are classified in [26, Theorem 8 and Proposition 1]. In the following lemma we additionally assume that the surfaces with many conics are embedded in $\mathbb{S}^n$, and therefore do not contain real lines.

Lemma 1. *(pairs of celestial surfaces)*

A celestial surface $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is a weak del Pezzo surface and its pair is either $(L_9, -\frac{2}{3}k)$ [Veronese surface], $(P_8, -\frac{1}{2}k)$ [two-sphere], $(P_8, -k)$ [dP8], $(P_6, -k)$ [weak dP6] or $(P_4, -k)$ [weak dP4].

Proof. By assumption, the surface $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with pair $(X, h)$ contains two conics through a general point. Since $\mathbb{S}^n$ is compact, it follows that $X$ is not geometrically ruled. By Proposition 1 the degree of $X$ is even so that $h^2$ is even. This lemma now follows from [19, Corollary 2].

If $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is weak dP4 or dP8, then $X$ is a Darboux cyclide or a (projection of) a double Segre surface respectively.

4 Divisor classes

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a surface. We use the same notation as in [21, Section 4]. We shall denote the class of curve $C \subset X$ by $[C] \in N(X)$. The class of a family of curves on $X$ is the class of any curve in this family. We call a divisor class $c \in N(X)$ indecomposable if $h^0(c) > 0$ and there do not exist nonzero $a, b \in N(X)$ such that $c = a + b$, $h^0(a) > 0$ and $h^0(b) > 0$. Thus indecomposable classes are effective and cannot be written as a non-trivial sum of effective classes.

We introduce some notation for subsets of classes in $N(X)$:
\[ R(X) := \{ c \in N(X) \mid -k \cdot c = 0 \text{ and } c^2 = -2 \} \quad (\text{\((-2)\)-classes}), \]
\[ E(X) := \{ c \in N(X) \mid -k \cdot c = 1 \text{ and } c^2 = -1 \} \quad (\text{\((-1)\)-classes}), \]
\[ F(X) := \{ c \in N(X) \mid -k \cdot c = 2 \text{ and } c^2 = 0 \}, \]
\[ B(X) := \{ c \in R(X) \mid c \text{ is indecomposable} \}, \]
\[ E_\ast(X) := \{ c \in E(X) \mid c \cdot r \geq 0 \text{ for all } r \in B(X) \}, \]
\[ F_\ast(X) := \{ c \in F(X) \mid c \cdot r \geq 0 \text{ for all } r \in B(X) \}, \]
\[ E_\Re(X) := \{ c \in E_\ast(X) \mid \sigma_\ast(c) = c \}, \]
\[ F_\Re(X) := \{ c \in F_\ast(X) \mid \sigma_\ast(c) = c \}, \]
\[ G(X) := \{ c \in N(X) \mid c \text{ is the class of a family of circles} \}. \]

The incidence graph of \( B(X) \) is a graph whose vertices are defined by the classes in \( B(X) \). There is an edge between classes if and only if their intersection product is nonzero. We call \( G \subseteq B(X) \) a component if its elements define the vertices of an irreducible component of the incidence graph of \( B(X) \).

Suppose that \( Y \) is the smooth model of \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \). The linear normalization \( X_N \subset \mathbb{P}^m \) of \( X \) with \( m \geq n + 1 \) is defined as the image of \( Y \) via the map associated to the complete linear series of hyperplane sections of \( X \). Thus \( X \) is a linear projection of \( X_N \) and \( X_N \) is unique up to projective equivalence. For example, if \( X \) is a weak del Pezzo surface such that \( 3 \leq k^2 \leq 8 \) and \( h = -k \), then \( X_N := \varphi_h(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^{k^2} \) is called the anticanonical model of \( X \).

The class of an isolated singularity of \( X_N \) is the class of a (possibly reducible) curve in the smooth model \( Y \) that is orthogonal to the class of hyperplane sections. This curve is contracted via \( Y \to X_N \) to an isolated singularity.

**Lemma 2. (classes of isolated singularities, lines and circles)**

Suppose that \( X \subset S^n \) is a celestial surface with pair \((P_m,-k)\) for some \( m \in \{4,6,8\} \). We consider classes in \( N(X) \) of curves with respect to the generators specified in (1). We shall denote by \((\ast)\): “up to permutation of the generators \( \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_4 \) and up to switching generators \( \ell_0 \) and \( \ell_1 \)”. 
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a) A class in $R(X)$ is via $(*)$ either

$$\ell_0 - \ell_1, \ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ \pm(\ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \ \text{or} \ \pm(\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4).$$

If $c \in B(X)$, then $h^0(c) = 1$. Moreover, isolated singularities in $X_N$ are in one to one correspondence with the components of $B(X)$.

b) A class in $E(X)$ is via $(*)$ either

$$\varepsilon_1, \ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 \ \text{or} \ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3.$$

We have $E_\mathbb{R}(X) = \emptyset$ and $h^0(c) = 1$ for all $c \in E_*(X)$. Moreover, $c \in E_*(X)$ if and only if $c = [L]$ for a complex line $L \subset X_N$.

c) A class in $F(X)$ is via $(*)$ either

$$\ell_0, \ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \ \text{or} \ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4.$$

We have $G(X) = F_\mathbb{R}(X)$ and $h^0(c) = 2$ for all $c \in F_*(X)$. Moreover, $c \in F_*(X)$ if and only if $c = [C]$ for a (complex) circle $C \subset X$ that is the linear projection of a conic in $X_N$.

Proof. Notice that $N(X) \subseteq \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rangle \mathbb{Z}$. For given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can compute all classes $c \in N(X)$ of curves so that $(-k \cdot c, c^2) = (\alpha, \beta)$ using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and we listed the output of these computations (see [18, Algorithm 1]). This lemma now follows from [21, Proposition 3]. □

5 Real structures

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is a celestial surface. It follows from Lemma 1 that celestial surfaces are weak del Pezzo surfaces. The involution $\sigma_* : N(X) \rightarrow N(X)$ induces an involution on the inner product space $V := N(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$. The eigenvalues of an involution are either 1 or $-1$. The eigenvectors of $-1$ must be orthogonal to $k$, since $\sigma_*(k) = k$. The set $R(X)$ of (-2)-classes forms
a root system in the inner product space \( \{ v \in V \mid k \cdot v = 0 \} \). If \( X \) has pair \((L_9, -\frac{2}{3} k), (P_8, -\frac{1}{2} k), (P_8, -k), (P_6, -k)\) or \((P_4, -k)\), then the Dynkin type of the root system formed by the \((-2)\)-classes is \(A_0 := \emptyset, A_1, A_1, A_1 + A_2\) and \(D_5\) respectively [33, Table 1]. The intersection of such a root system with the eigenspace of \(-1\) of the involution \(V \to V\) is a root subsystem. The type of \(\sigma_*\) is defined as the Dynkin type of this root subsystem. The type of \(\sigma_*\) is invariant under conjugation of \(\sigma_*\) in the group of isomorphisms of \(N(X)\) and is classified in [33, Theorem 2.1].

The following lemma gives, up to conjugacy, an explicit description of all possible real structures of celestial surfaces and classes in \(G(X)\).

**Lemma 3. (real structures of celestial surfaces)**

If \(X \subset S^n\) is a celestial surface, then \(X\) is either:

1. A Veronese surface with pair \((L_9, -\frac{2}{3} k)\), where \(N(L_9) = \langle e_0 \rangle_Z\) and \(\sigma_*(e_0) = e_0\) so that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(A_0\) and \(G(X) = \{ e_0 \}\) with \(h^0(e_0) = 3\).

2. The sphere \(S^2\) with pair \((P_8, -\frac{1}{2} k)\), where \(N(P_8) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1 \rangle_Z\) and \(\sigma_*(\ell_0) = \ell_1\) so that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(A_1\) and \(G(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 \}\) with \(h^0(\ell_0 + \ell_1) = 4\).

3. A del Pezzo surface of degree 8 with pair \((P_8, -k)\), where \(N(P_8) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1 \rangle_Z\) and \(\sigma_*(\ell_i) = \ell_i\) for \(i \in \{0, 1\}\) so that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(A_0\) and \(G(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1 \}\).

4. A weak del Pezzo surface of degree 6 with pair \((P_6, -k)\), where \(N(P_6) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rangle_Z\), \(\sigma_*(\ell_i) = \ell_i\) for \(i \in \{0, 1\}\) and \(\sigma_*(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_2\) so that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(A'_1\) and \(G(X) \subseteq \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}\).

5. A weak del Pezzo surface of degree 4 with pair \((P_4, -k)\), where \(N(P_4) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rangle_Z\) and \(\sigma_*\) maps the generators \((\ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)\) to either

   - \(2A_1\): \((\ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3)\),
   - \(3A_1\): \((\ell_1, \ell_0, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3)\) or
   - \(D_1\): \((\ell_0 + 2\ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \ldots - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_4)\).
Elements of \( G(X) \) depend on the type of \( \sigma_* \) and are either

\[
2A_1: G(X) \subseteq \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, 2\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + 2\ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \},
\]

\[
3A_1: G(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}, \text{ or}
\]

\[
D_4: G(X) = \{ \ell_1, 2\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}.
\]

**Proof.** We make a case distinction on the pairs in Lemma 1. The proof for case 1, 2 and 3 is straightforward and left to the reader.

Suppose that \( X \) has pair \((P_4, -k)\). Recall from (1) that \( N(P_4) \) is generated by \( \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rangle \mathbb{Z} \). We want to determine up to conjugacy all possible unimodular involutions \( \sigma_*: N(P_4) \rightarrow N(P_4) \). The \((-2)\)-classes in \( N(P_4) \) form a root system with Dynkin type \( D_5 \). As discussed at the beginning of this section, we can associate to \( \sigma_* \) a root subsystem of \( D_5 \) and this root subsystem is invariant under conjugation (see also [21, Proposition 1d]). The Dynkin types of root subsystems of \( D_5 \) are \( A_0 \), \( A_1 \), \( 2A_1 \), \( 2A'_1 \), \( A_2 \), \( A_2 + A_1 \), \( A_3 \), \( A'_3 \), \( 4A_1 \), \( 2A_1 \), \( A_3 + A_1 \), \( A_4 \), \( D_4 \), \( A_3 + 2A_1 \) and \( D_4 \) (see for example [9, Section 8.6.3]). Not all of these root subsystems define a unimodular involution [33, Theorem 2.1]. We make a case distinction on each of these root subsystems and construct, if possible, a unimodular involution \( \sigma_* \) such that this involution has the type of the root subsystem.

Let us start with root subsystem \( D_4 \) of the root system \( D_5 \). Up to isomorphism of root subsystems we may assume that the set \( \{ \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \} \), forms a root base for the \( D_4 \) root system. Let \( B \) in Table 3 be the matrix whose four columns correspond to the generators of this root base. These columns correspond to eigenvectors for the eigenvalue \(-1\) of \( \sigma_* \). Let \( J \) in Table 3 define the intersection product with respect to the basis \( \langle \ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rangle \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( V \) in Table 3 be matrix \( B \) appended with two column vectors that generate the kernel of \( B^\top J \). The appended two columns are eigenvectors for the eigenvalue \( 1 \) of \( \sigma_* \). Let \( D \) be the diagonal matrix with eigenvalues \((-1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1)\) on the diagonal. We denote the matrix corresponding
to $\sigma_*$ by $M$. Since $M \cdot V = V \cdot D$ it follows that $V \cdot D \cdot V^{-1}$ is the matrix corresponding to involution $\sigma_*$, that sends the generators $(\ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ to $(\ell_0 + 2\ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_4)$ as stated in this lemma. Since $X$ contains two circles through a point and no real lines, it follows from Lemma 2 that $G(X) = F_\mathbb{R}(X)$, $|F_\mathbb{R}(X)| \geq 2$ and $|E_\mathbb{R}(X)| = 0$. We check all possible classes of (complex) lines and circles as listed in Lemma 2[b,c]. For $F_\mathbb{R}(X)$ we find that $\sigma_*(\ell_1) = \ell_1$ and $\sigma_*(2\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) = 2\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$. Moreover, there exists no class $c \in E_\mathbb{R}(X)$ of a line so that $\sigma_*(c) = c$. This concludes the proof of this lemma for the case that $\sigma_*$ has type $D_4$.

The remaining cases are similar and left to the reader. See also [21, Example 2] for the case when $X$ has pair $(\mathbb{P}_6, -k)$. \qed

6 Singular types

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a celestial surface. Recall from Lemma 1 that celestial surfaces are weak del Pezzo surfaces. The incidence diagram of a component $G \subseteq B(X)$ as defined in §4 is a Dynkin diagram [9, Section 8.2.7]. We define the type of $B(X)$ as the sum of the Dynkin types of its components. If $\sigma_*(G) = G$, then it follows from Lemma 2a that this component corresponds to a real isolated singularity in the linear normalization $X_N$. We will denote this by underlining its type. The singular type of $X$ is defined as $(T_1, T_2)$ where $T_1$ is the type of $\sigma_*$ and $T_2$ is the type of $B(X)$. For example, if $X$ is of singular type $(2A_1, A_1)$, then $\sigma_*$ has type $2A_1$ and $B(X)$ consists of a single class that is send to itself by $\sigma_*$. Recall that Lemma 3.5
gives in this case an explicit coordinate description of $\sigma$. We will see that, a posteriori, the singular type of a celestial determines its Neron-Severi lattice up to isomorphism.

Before we classify singular types of celestial surfaces, we first classify quadric surfaces up to Euclidean similarity.

**Remark 2.** Notice that if we choose a real isolated singularity $p \in X$ of a quartic celestial surface $X \subset S^3$ as the center of stereographic projection $\tau : S^3 \to \mathbb{P}^3$, then $\tau(X)$ is a quadric surface. Circles in $X$ that meet $p$ are projected to lines. If center $p$ is the unique real isolated singularity of $X' \subset S^3$, then $X'$ is Möbius equivalent to $X$ if and only if $\tau(X)$ is Euclidean similar to $\tau(X')$.

The following proposition is probably classically known, but we include a proof because of lack of reference. Moreover, the proof method is in the spirit of this paper and is meant to be instructive.

**Proposition 2.** *(classification of quadric surfaces)*

We consider $\mathbb{P}^3$ as the projective model of Euclidean 3-space (see §2). An irreducible quadric surface $Q := \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid q(x) = 0 \}$ is up to Euclidean similarity defined by exactly one row of Table 5.

**Proof.** The hyperplane at infinity $H_\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is defined as the hyperplane that contains the Euclidean absolute $U_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. The Euclidean similarities act on $H_\infty$ as the orthogonal group. It follows that we can diagonalize the quadratic form associated to $Q \cap H_\infty$. Thus we may assume up to rotations and translations that $q(x)$ is of the form

$$q(x) = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + a_3 x_3^2 + x_0 (b_0 x_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3),$$

for $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

We make a case distinction on the curve $Q \cap H_\infty$ and $U_3 \cap Q$ as illustrated in Table 6. Notice that these intersections are invariant under Euclidean similarities, since the conic $U_3 \subset H_\infty$ is invariant by definition.
Table 5: We include the number of circles and lines through a general point of $Q = \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid q(x) = 0 \}$ and we assume that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\gamma \neq 1$. The $\#f(\mathbb{C})$ column denotes the number of lines and circles in $Q$ through a general point over $\mathbb{C}$. We use Notation 1.
Table 6: Intersections of quadrics with hyperplane at infinity.

Similar as in Lemma 3, we make an additional case distinction on the pair and real structure of $Q$, which are both projective invariants.

(S1) $Q$ is smooth with pair $(F_0, -\frac{1}{2}k)$ and $Q$ has by (1) Neron-Severi lattice $N(Q) = \langle \ell_0, \ell_1 \rangle \mathbb{Z}$. There are two choices for $\sigma_*: N(Q) \rightarrow N(Q)$:
(a) $\sigma_*(\ell_i) = (\ell_i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ or (b) $\sigma_*(\ell_0) = \ell_1$.

(S2) $Q$ is singular with pair $(F_2, -\frac{1}{2}k)$ where $Q$ has Neron-Severi lattice $N(Q) = \langle g_0, g_1 \rangle \mathbb{Z}$ with $g_0^2 = 0$, $g_1^2 = 2$, $g_0 \cdot g_1 = 1$, $k = -2g_1$ and $\sigma_*$ is the identity.

It follows from (2) that up to Euclidean similarity $q(0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$ is either

$$a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + x_3^2, \quad a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 - x_3^2, \quad a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2, \quad a_1 x_1^2 - a_2 x_2^2 \quad \text{or} \quad x_1^2,$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We separated the rows of Table 5 according to these 5 cases. If $a_i \neq 0$ in (2), then $b_i = 0$ after the translation $x_i \mapsto x_i - \frac{b_i}{2a_i} x_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

After an Euclidean similarity we may assume that $b_0 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. If $a_i = 0$ and $b_i \neq 0$ for $i \in \{2, 3\}$, then $b_0 = 0$ after the translation $x_i \mapsto x_i - \frac{1}{b_0} x_0$.

Notice that $a_1 \neq a_2$, $a_1 = a_2 \neq 1$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ are, a priori, treated as distinct cases with respect to (H1-H5). It follows that the first column of Table 5 lists, up to Euclidean similarity, all possible $q(x)$.

Let us consider the row corresponding to EH1. We see from the equation that we are in case (H2) with (S1a). A family of planes, that contain a line spanned by two complex points in $Q \cap U_3$, defines a family of circles on $Q$. There are two pairs of complex conjugate points in $Q \cap U_3$ so that $\# \text{circles} = 2$.

Since $Q$ is smooth it contains two lines through a general point with class...
and \( \ell_1 \) respectively and thus \( \# \text{lines} = 2 \). Over \( \mathbb{C} \) there are \( \binom{4}{2} = 6 \) pairs of points in \( Q \cap U_3 \) and thus \( Q \) contains over \( \mathbb{C} \) six circles and two lines through a general point so that \( \# f(\mathbb{C}) = 8 \).

Consider the row CH2. We see from the equation that we are in case (H3) with (S1b) so that \( \# \text{lines} = 0 \). The family of planes, that contain the real line spanned by the two complex conjugate points in \( Q \cap U_3 \) — or — the two complex conjugate lines that are tangent to both \( Q \) and \( U_3 \), define a family of circles on \( Q \). It follows that \( \# \text{circles} = 1 \) and \( \# f(\mathbb{C}) = 2 + 3 = 5 \), as over \( \mathbb{C} \) there are two families of lines and three families of circles.

We treat the other rows analogously so that we obtain the following table, where we used the following shorthand notation: \( S \)=spheroid, \( M_i \)=empty-\( i \) and \( N_i \)=point-\( i \) for \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \text{(S1a)} )</th>
<th>( \times )</th>
<th>( \text{EH1} )</th>
<th>( \text{CH1} )</th>
<th>( \text{HP} )</th>
<th>( \times )</th>
<th>( \times )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{S1b)} )</td>
<td>( \mathbb{S}^2, M_3 )</td>
<td>( E, M_1, \text{EH2} )</td>
<td>( S, M_2, \text{CH2} )</td>
<td>( \text{EP} )</td>
<td>( \text{CP} )</td>
<td>( \times )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{(S2)} )</td>
<td>( N_3 )</td>
<td>( N_1, \text{EO} )</td>
<td>( N_2, \text{CO} )</td>
<td>( \text{EY, N4, HY} )</td>
<td>( \text{CY, N5} )</td>
<td>( \text{PY} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The \( \times \)-entries indicate that the corresponding case is not possible. We leave the details of the remaining cases to the reader and conclude the proof of this proposition.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4. (classification of quartic celestial surfaces)**

The singular type of a celestial surface \( X \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \) with pair \( (P_4, -k) \), determines its Neron-Severi lattice \( N(X) \) up to isomorphism and is either one of the following 14 cases enumerated below. We include for each case the sets \( B(X), E_*(X), F_*(X) \subset N(X) \), where a listed class is send by \( \sigma_* \) to itself if underlined and is send to its neighbour otherwise. Moreover, if \( X \) is the inverse stereographic projection of a quadric surface, then we include its name up to Euclidean similarity as listed in Proposition 2. See Lemma 2 for a geometric interpretation of the listed classes. See Lemma 3 for an explicit description of \( \sigma_* \) acting on basis \( (1) \).
1. \((D_4, A_0)\). Homeomorphic to the union of two spheres. \(B(X) = \emptyset\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1 - \ell_1, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \\
\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_3, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 \} \). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_0 + 2\ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

2. \((3A_1, A_0)\). Homeomorphic to a sphere. \(B(X) = \emptyset\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_0 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

3. \((3A_1, A_1)\). E and EH2. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_0 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_3 \} \). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

4. \((3A_1, A_2)\). EP. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3 \} \). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 \} \).

5. \((2A_1, A_0)\). Blum cycle. \(B(X) = \emptyset\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \ell_0 - \ell_3, \ell_0 - \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_1, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

6. \((2A_1, A_1)\). EH1. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_0 - \ell_2 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_3, \ell_0 - \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_1, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 - \ell_4 \} \).

7. \((2A_1, 2A_1)\). EO. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_0 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

8. \((2A_1, A_2)\). HP. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_0 - \ell_1, \ell_0 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_2, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).

9. \((2A_1, A_2 + 2A_1)\). CH1. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_0 - \ell_2 - \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 \} \). \(E_*(X) = \{ \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_1 - \ell_3, \ell_1 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 - \ell_4 \} \).
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10. (2A_1, 2A_1 + 2A_1). CO/horn cyclide. \(B(X) = \{ 0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, 0 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(F_*(X) = \{ 0, \ell_1, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \}\).

11. (2A_1, 4A_1). Clifford torus/ring cyclide. \(B(X) = \{ 0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, 0 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \}\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(F_*(X) = \{ 0, \ell_1, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}\).

12. (2A_1, 2A_1). Perseus cyclide. \(B(X) = \{ \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, 0 - \varepsilon_1, 0 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, 2 \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + 2 \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}\).

13. (2A_1, 4A_3). EY. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}\). \(F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, 0 + 2 \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, 0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\).

14. (2A_1, 4A_3 + 2A_1). CY/spindle cyclide. \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(E_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \}\). \(F_*(X) = \{ 0, \ell_1 \}\).

**Proof.** The idea of the proof is to make a case distinction on all possible unimodular involutions \(\sigma_* : N(X) \longrightarrow N(X)\) up to conjugacy, as listed in Lemma 3. By Lemma 1, \(X\) is a weak del Pezzo surface and thus the (complex) blowup of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) in 4 points that lie in almost general position. The position of the centers of blowup is determined by \(B(X) \subset N(X)\). For fixed \(\sigma_*\), we go through all possible choices for \(B(X)\) such that \(\sigma_*(B(X)) = B(X)\). Data 1, 2 and 3 of Neron-Severi lattice \(N(X)\) as specified in Definition 1 is determined by (1), \(h = -k = 2(\ell_0 + \ell_1) - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4\) and Lemma 3 respectively. The set \(B(X) \subset N(X)\) with data 1, 2 and 3 of Definition 1 determines data 4 by [21, Lemma 1]. Thus by classifying all possible \(B(X)\) for each \(\sigma_*\) as listed in Lemma 3, we are in fact classifying all possible Neron-Severi lattices \(N(X)\) up to isomorphism.

By Lemma 3 the induced real structure \(\sigma_* : N(X) \longrightarrow N(X)\) has type either \(2A_1, 3A_1\) or \(D_4\). We make a case distinction.

Suppose that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(D_4\) with \(G(X)\) as stated in Lemma 3.5. We find that \(\sigma_*(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) = \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i\) and \(\sigma_*(\ell_1 - \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) = -\ell_1 + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j\). Moreover, with \(f := 2\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4\) one has \(f \cdot (\ell_0 - \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) < 0\) and
\[ f \cdot (\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) < 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq 4. \] Since we require that \(|G(X)| \geq 2\) it follows that \(B(X) = \emptyset\) so that the singular type of \(X\) can only be \((D_4, A_0)\).

In the remainder of the proof we assume that \(\sigma_*\) is of type \(2A_1\) or \(3A_1\).

We consider \(P_4\) as a complex blow up of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) in basepoints \(p_1, p_2, p_3\) and \(p_4\) such that \(N(X) = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)\) as in (1). We assume that \(\ell_{i-1}\) is the class of the pullback of the general fiber of \(\pi_i: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\) for \(i \in \{1, 2\}\). The remaining generators \((\varepsilon_i)_i\) are the pullback of exceptional curves that contract to \((p_i)_i\). Under these assumptions, it follows from Lemma 2a that if \(r \in B(X)\), then \(r\) must — up to permutation of \((\varepsilon_i)_i\) — be either one of the following cases:

(C1) \(\ell_i - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\): \(p_1\) and \(p_2\) lie in the same fiber of \(\pi_i\) for \(i \in \{1, 2\}\),

(C2) \(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\): \(p_2\) lies infinitely near to \(p_1\),

(C3) \(\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4\): \(p_1, \ldots, p_4\) lie on a curve of bidegree \((1,1)\).

Recall that by Lemma 2, the sets \(F_\star(X)\) and \(E_\star(X)\) are uniquely determined by \(B(X)\) and we have that \(G(X) = F_\mathbb{R}(X)\). We first consider case (C1), then cases (C1) and (C2) and finally all cases: (C1), (C2) and (C3).

In order to list all possible configurations for case (C1) we consider the following restrictions:

- Let \((a, b) := (|\pi_1(P)|, |\pi_2(P)|)\) where \(P := \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}\). We require that \(a, b \geq 2\), since at most two basepoints are allowed to lie in the same fiber. We do not distinguish between \((a, b)\) and \((b, a)\) so that \((a, b) \in \{(4,4), (3,4), (2,4), (3,3), (2,3), (2,2)\}\).

- Fibers that contain two non-conjugate basepoints come in pairs.

- There are no real basepoints, otherwise \(X\) would contain a real line by Lemma 2b.

We can visualize the complex blowup of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) as a square such that horizontal and vertical line segments in the square correspond to the fibers of \(\pi_1\).
and $\pi_2$ respectively. If $\sigma_*$ is of type $2A_1$, then the real structure acts on this square by sending horizontal line segments to horizontal line segments and vertical line segments to vertical line segments. If $\sigma_*$ is of type $3A_1$, then horizontal line segments are send to vertical line segments and vice versa. A pair of complex conjugate basepoints is depicted by either two squares or two circles. The pullback of a fiber that contains two basepoints is contracted via the birational morphism $\mathbf{P}_4 \rightarrow X$ to an isolated singularity. Table 7 lists the possible configurations for case (C1) together with the singular type of $X$. For example, in the 5th diagram of the top row, $\sigma_*$ has type $2A_1$ and $B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $G(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}$, since the remaining candidate classes have negative intersection product with some class in $B(X)$. The singular type can only be $(2A_1, A_1)$ as we require that $\sigma_*(B(X)) = B(X)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4,4)</th>
<th>(3,4)</th>
<th>(2,4)</th>
<th>(3,3)</th>
<th>(2,3)</th>
<th>(2,2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Configurations of four basepoints that are not infinitely near.

We now also include case (C2) so that basepoints are allowed to be infinitely near. The additional cases are listed in Table 8. We denote an infinitely near basepoint as an overlapping square. If the infinitely near basepoint lies additionally on the same fiber, then the overlapping square lies in the horizontal or vertical direction of the basepoint as in the two rightmost diagrams of Table 8. Since none of the basepoints are real, infinitely near basepoints must come in complex conjugate pairs. For example, in the rightmost diagram of
Table 8, $B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}$. Since we require that $\sigma_*(B(X)) = B(X)$ it follows that $X$ has singular type $(3A_1, A_2 + 2A_1)$.

By Lemma 3.5 we have $G(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}$.

We arrived at a contradiction, because $\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$ has negative intersection product with elements in $B(X)$ so that $G(X) = \emptyset$. We discard this configuration by adding the $\times$-symbol.

**Table 8:** Configurations of four basepoints that are infinitely near.

Notice that in both Table 7 and Table 8 there are configurations such that $X$ has singular type $(2A_1, 4A_1)$. These two configurations are the same, in the sense that there exists an isomorphism between their associated Neron-Severi lattices. For the first configuration we have that $B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \}$. We now apply the isomorphism $\mu: N(X) \rightarrow N(X)$ defined by $(\ell_0, \ell_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) \mapsto (\ell_0 + 2\ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1)$.

Via $\mu$ we recover the second configuration with infinitely near basepoints: $\mu(B(X)) = \{ \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_4 \}$. Alternatively, we can determine that the associated Neron-Severi lattices are isomorphic by computing their Cremona invariants [21, Proposition 2]. Similarly, we find that singular types $(2A_1, 2A_1)$ and $(2A_1, 4A_1)$ define $N(X)$ uniquely up to isomorphism.

Finally, we include case (C3) where all four basepoints lie on a curve of bidegree (1,1). We go through each of the configurations for cases (C1) and (C2), but we now assume that $\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$ is an additional element in $B(X)$. As before we consider all possible candidates for elements in $G(X)$ as listed in Lemma 3.5. We find that $|G(X)| < 2$, except if $X$ has
singular type \((2A_1, A_1)\) or \((3A_1, A_1)\). All configurations where \(X\) has singular type \((2A_1, A_1)\) are isomorphic in the sense that their associated Neron-Severi lattices are isomorphic. Thus the only new configuration is of singular type \((3A_1, A_1)\) with \(B(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \} \).

At this point we obtained a list of 14 isomorphism classes of Neron-Severi lattices. It is left to match these isomorphism classes to their names as discusses in Remark 1. Suppose that \(G \subset B(X)\) is a component such that \(\sigma_*(G) = G\). By Lemma 2a, \(X\) has in this case a real isolated singularity and stereographically projects to a quadric surface. We match the families of complex and real circles of \(X\) with the table of Proposition 2 by using Lemma 2. The \#lines-entry denotes the number of classes in \(F_\mathbb{R}(X)\) that have nonzero intersection with some class in component \(G\). The \#circles-entry denotes to the number of classes in \(F_\mathbb{R}(X)\) that do not meet a class in component \(G\). The \#f(\mathbb{C})-entry denotes \(|F_*(X)|\). For example, if \(X \subset \mathbb{S}^3\) has singular type \((3A_1, A_1)\), then

\[
\begin{align*}
&\bullet \ G := B(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \} \text{ and } \\
&\bullet \ F_*(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \\
&\quad \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

The classes in \(F_\mathbb{R}(X)\) have intersection zero with the element in \(G\) so that \#circles=2 and \#lines=0. It follows from Proposition 2 that \(X\) stereographically projects to a quadric with name either EH2, E or EP. Since \#f(\mathbb{C}) = |F_*(X)| = 8 we can exclude EP. The remaining cases are similar so we conclude the proof of this lemma. \(\Box\)

**Theorem 4. (classification of celestial surfaces)**

The singular type of a celestial surface \(X \subset \mathbb{S}^n\) determines its Neron-Severi lattice \(N(X)\) up to isomorphism and is either one of the 19 cases enumerated below. We include for each case the sets \(B(X), E_*(X), F_*(X) \subset N(X)\), where a listed class is send by \(\sigma_*\) to itself if underlined and is send to its neighbour otherwise. See Lemma 2 for a geometric interpretation of the listed classes. See Lemma 3 for an explicit description of \(\sigma_*\) acting on basis (1).
i. The pair of Veronese $X$ is $(L_9, -\frac{2}{3}k)$ and its singular type is $(A_0, A_0)$ with $B(X) = E^*_*(X) = \emptyset$ and $F^*_*(X) = \{ e_0 \}$.

ii. The pair of $X \cong S^2$ is $(P_8, -\frac{1}{2}k)$ and its singular type is $(A_1, A_0)$ with $B(X) = E^*_*(X) = \emptyset$ and $F^*_*(X) = \{ \ell_0 + \ell_1 \}$.

iii. The pair of $X$ is $(P_8, -k)$ and its singular type is $(A_1, A_0)$ with $B(X) = E^*_*(X) = \emptyset$ and $F^*_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1 \}$.

iv. The pair of $X$ is $(P_6, -k)$ and its singular type is either

1. $(A'_1, A_0)$. dP6. $B(X) = \emptyset$. $E^*_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_0 - \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}$. $F^*_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}$.

2. $(A'_1, A_1)$. weak dP6. $B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}$. $E^*_*(X) = \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 \}$. $F^*_*(X) = \{ \ell_0, \ell_1 \}$.

v. The pair of $X$ is $(P_4, -k)$ and its singular type is as in Lemma 4:[1-14].

**Proof.** We make a case distinction on the pair of $X$ as classified in Lemma 1. Cases i, ii and iii are straightforward and left to the reader. Case v follows from Lemma 4. For the proof of case iv we follow the proof of Lemma 4 and use the same notation. Up to conjugacy, the unimodular involution $\sigma_*: N(X) \rightarrow N(X)$ is defined by Lemma 3.4 and is of type $A'_1$. We list all possible configurations for centers of blowup $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. These basepoints must be nonreal complex conjugate, since we require that $E^*_R(X) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2b. It follows that the basepoints are not infinitely near so that we are left with the two possible configurations in Table 9. In the left configuration the basepoints are in general position so that $B(X) = \emptyset$. In the

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

$(A'_1, A_0)$ $(A'_1, A_1)$

**Table 9:** Configurations of two basepoints in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.  
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right configuration both complex conjugate basepoints lie on the same fiber so that 
\[ B(X) = \{ \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \} \]. It follows from Lemma 2 that 
\( E_*(X) \) and \( F_*(X) \) are as asserted for both singular types. It is straightforward to verify that both singular types define a unique isomorphism class for \( N(X) \) such that the action of \( \sigma_* \) on basis (1) is specified by Lemma 3.

\[ \square \]

**Example 1. (ring cyclide)**

The ring cyclide \( X \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \) has pair \((\mathbb{P}_4, -k)\) and its Neron-Severi lattice is specified at Lemma 4.11. In Figure 1 we see its stereographic projection. The ring cyclide contains four complex conjugate lines with classes \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \) and \( \varepsilon_4 \). The lines \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \) meet in an isolated singularity with class \( \ell_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 \). The class of a Villarceau circle is either \( \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \) or \( \ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \). Notice that these classes add up to the class of hyperplane sections \( -k \). The family of circles with class \( \ell_0 \) has basepoints at complex conjugate isolated singularities with classes \( \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4 \) and \( \ell_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \). The fourth family of circles with class \( \ell_1 \) has basepoints as well. A Villarceau circle intersects a non-Villarceau circle in one point, since \( (\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \cdot \ell_i = 1 \) and \( (\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) \cdot \ell_i = 1 \) for \( i \in \{0, 1\} \).

\[ \triangle \]

**Remark 3. (automatic generation of classification)**

The list for Theorem 4v (which refers to Lemma 4) is listed in rows 53, 55, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 66, 70, 71, 72, 74 of [21, Table §8.4]. These are exactly the rows where \( \deg(X) = 4, \#E_\mathbb{R}(X) = 0 \) and \( \#G(X) \geq 2 \). It follows from [21, Theorem 4] that the Neron-Severi lattice of a quartic weak del Pezzo surface in \( \mathbb{P}^4 \) without real lines and at least two families of conics, is isomorphic to the Neron-Severi lattice of some celestial surface in \( \mathbb{S}^3 \). The Neron-Severi lattices of Theorem 4[i,ii,iii,iv1,iv2] correspond to rows i, ii, ii, 9, 11 in [21, Table §8.4] respectively. The classification in the table of [21] is generated automatically and we could have used this result to shorten the proof of Theorem 4. However, our independent proof without computer resulted in an explicit description of centers of blowup in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) (see Table 7, Table 8 and Table 9). This description will allow us in §7 to construct examples of celestial surfaces of given celestial type. A similar proof by hand for weak del
Remark 4. (families of Möbius equivalence classes)
In Proposition 2 we classified quadric surfaces into 24 families of normal forms for Euclidean similarity classes. We know from Theorem 4v that the inverse stereographic projections of any two quadrics in such a family have isomorphic Neron-Severi lattices. Moreover, except for E and EH2 in Lemma 4.3, the inverse stereographic projection of quadrics in different families have non-isomorphic Neron-Severi lattices. It follows from Remark 2 that, in these cases, the isomorphism class of a Neron-Severi lattice represents a natural family of Möbius equivalence classes.

7 Construction

We make a case distinction on the singular types i, ii, iii, iv1, iv2 and v[1-14] in Theorem 4. For each singular type and given \( n \geq 2 \), we present a construction of a celestial surface \( X \subseteq S^n \) with given singular type. An implementation for these constructions can be found at [22, orbital].

- **iv1.** The linear normalization \( X_N \) is in this case the blowup of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) in two complex conjugate basepoints and \( n \leq 6 \). The configuration for the basepoints can be found in Table 9: we require that the complex conjugate points are not infinitely near and do not lie in the same fiber. We use [20, Algorithm 2] to construct a linear series in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) whose class equals \( -k = 2(\ell_0 + \ell_1) - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \). Thus we construct a linear series of curves of bi-degree \((2,2)\) in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) that pass through the basepoints (see [20, Section 4.6] for an explicit example). The resulting linear series defines a parametrization \( \mu: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X_N \subseteq \mathbb{P}^6 \). We compute the ideal \( I(X_N) \subseteq \mathbb{R}[y_0, \ldots, y_6] \) using Gröbner basis implicitization and select all quadratic forms \((q_i)_i\) that are generators of \( I(X_N) \). Next, we
compute quadratic forms

\[ q := \sum_i c_i q_i \in I(X_N), \]

with random coefficients \( c_i \in \mathbb{Q} \), until \( q \) has signature \((n+1, 1)\). If such \( q \) with given signature exists, then we find it within a few minutes. Let \( Q \subset \mathbb{P}^6 \) denote the hyperquadric defined by the zero set \( Z(q) \). We define \( \rho: \mathbb{P}^6 \to \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \) to be the linear projection whose center coincides with the singular locus of \( Q \), or \( \rho \) is the identity map in case \( Q \) is smooth. Thus \( \rho(X) \subset \rho(Q) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \) and \( \rho(Q) \) is a smooth quadric of signature \((n+1, 1)\). We diagonalize the matrix of \( \rho(Q) \) and obtain a projective isomorphism \( \nu: \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \) so that \((\nu \circ \rho)(Q) = S^n\). It follows that \((\nu \circ \rho)(X) \subset S^n\) is a celestial surface with singular type \((A'_{1}, A_{0})\) as required.

• **iv2, v[5-14]**. Celestial surfaces are in these cases blowups of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) in either 2 or 4 points. Thus we can use the same method as we did for case iv1. The configuration of basepoints in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) for given singular type can be found in Table 7, Table 8 and Table 9. See [23] for a kinematic construction of Darboux cyclides.

• **v1 and v2**. The quartic surface \( X \subset S^3 \) is, in these two cases, the inverse stereographic projection of a quartic surface \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \) that contains the Euclidean absolute conic with multiplicity two. If \((c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)\) equals \((8, 2, 2, 2)\) or \((8, 2, 2, -2)\), then

\[
Y := \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2 - x_0^2(c_1x_1^2 - c_2x_2^2 - c_3x_3^2 - c_4x_0^2) = 0 \},
\]

is the stereographic projection of a celestial surface with singularity type \((3A_1, A_0)\) and \((D_4, A_0)\) respectively. See [31] for a systematic overview of implicit equations for cyclides.

• **v3 and v4**. In these cases the quartic surface \( X \subset S^3 \) is the inverse stereographic projection of a quadric surface with name E, EH2 or EP as listed in Proposition 2.
• **i and ii.** See \([15, (23.6), (23.7)]\) for an implicit equation of a Veronese surface \(X \subset S^4\) as stated in case i. For case ii we have \(X \cong S^2\).

• **iii.** For this case we give a parametrization for a surface of celestial type \((2, 8, 7):\)

\[
\mu : [0, 2\pi)^2 \to X_N \subset S^7 \\
(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (1 : \cos \alpha : \sin \alpha : \cos \beta : \sin \beta \\
: \cos(\alpha + \beta) : \sin(\alpha + \beta) : \cos(\alpha - \beta) : \sin(\alpha - \beta)).
\]

We compute the ideal \(I(X_N)\) using Gröbner basis implicitization. After that we proceed as in case iv1 and compute random quadratic forms \(q \in I(X_N)\) until \(q\) has signature \((n+1, 1)\). If \(n = 3\), we can alternatively construct examples for this case, by considering \(S^3\) as the projective closure of the unit quaternions. The pointwise product of two circles in the unit quaternions has celestial type either \((4, 4, 3)\) if both circles are great (see Figure 1) or \((2, 8, 3)\) otherwise (see Figure 3). If we translate a circle along a circle in Euclidean space, or equivalently, if we take the pointwise sum of two circles in \(\mathbb{R}^3\), then we obtain a surface of Euclidean type \((4, 0)\) (see Figure 2). By Proposition 1 the inverse projection of the latter surface has celestial type \((2, 8, 3)\). It is shown in \([28, \text{Theorem 1.1}]\) that all surfaces of celestial type \((2, 8, 3)\) can be constructed as such a pointwise sum or product.

## 8 Celestial types and Euclidean types

Recall that the celestial type of a \(\lambda\)-circled surface \(X \subset S^n\) of degree \(d\) is defined as \(T(X) = (\lambda, d, n)\).

**Lemma 5. (impossible celestial types)**

If \(X \subset S^n\) is a celestial surface, then \(T(X) \notin \{(2, 6, 3), (3, 6, 3), (\infty, 4, 3)\}\).

**Proof.** Suppose by contradiction that \(T(X) \in \{(2, 6, 3), (3, 6, 3)\}\). By Theorem 4 we are in case iv1 or iv2 so that the pair of \(X\) is \((\mathbb{P}_6, -k)\). Notice
that $−k = 2(\ell_0 + \ell_1) − \varepsilon_1 − \varepsilon_2$ is the class of hyperplane sections and thus $\deg X = (−k)^2 = 6$. The geometric genus of a general hyperplane section of $X \subset S^3$ is by the arithmetic genus formula equal to $p_a(−k) = 1$. If $\tau: S^3 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is the stereographic projection with center outside $X$, then $\tau(X)$ has by Proposition 1 Euclidean type $(6,3)$. Thus $\tau(X)$ contains the Euclidean absolute conic $U_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with multiplicity three. A general hyperplane section of $\tau(X)$ is a planar curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of arithmetic genus $10$. The planar section $C$ is by generality and Bertini’s theorem, singular at exactly the singular locus of $\tau(X)$. It follows from the geometric genus formula for planar curves that

$$p_g(C) = p_a(C) - \sum_{p \in C} \delta_p(C) = 1,$$

where the delta invariant $\delta_p(C)$ of a smooth point $p \in C$ is equal to zero. Thus the delta invariants of the singular points in $C$ must add up to $9$. The absolute conic is a component of the singular locus and accounts for an even sum of delta invariants, namely either $2, 4, 6$ or $8$. It follows that that the singular locus of $\tau(X)$ must have a component $D \subset X$ of degree $7, 5, 3$ or $1$ respectively. By Bezout’s theorem, $D$ intersects the hyperplane at infinity — and thus the Euclidean absolute conic $U_3$ — in an odd number of points. We arrived at a contradiction, for $D$ can only intersect $U_3$ in nonreal complex conjugate points.

Suppose by contradiction that $T(X) = (\infty, 4, 3)$. We use the same argument as before. By Theorem 4 the pair of $X$ is $(L_9, −\frac{2}{3}k)$ with $k = −3\varepsilon_0$ and $p_a(−\frac{2}{3}k) = 0$. We choose the center of stereographic projection $\tau$ outside $X \subset S^3$ so that $\tau(X)$ has by Proposition 1 Euclidean type $(4,2)$. The arithmetic genus of a general hyperplane section of $\tau(X)$ is equal to $3$, so the singular locus of $\tau(X)$ contains a component of degree one. We arrived at a contradiction for the same reason as before.

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that celestial surface $X \subset S^n$ has celestial type $T(X) = (\lambda, d, n)$. Let $Y$ denote the smooth model of $X$ and let $h$ be the class
of hyperplane sections. Theorem 4 lists all possible pairs \((Y, h)\) together with 
\(F_{\mathbb{R}}(X)\). The linear normalization \(X_N \subset \mathbb{P}^{h^0(h)-1}\) is not the linear projection of a surface. It follows that \(\lambda = |F_{\mathbb{R}}(X)|, d = h^2\) and \(n \leq h^0(h) - 2\). We compute \(h^0(h)\) using Riemann-Roch theorem and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. If \(X\) has singular type as in case i, ii, iii, iv and v of Theorem 4, then \(h^0(h) - 2\) is 4, 2, 7, 5 and 3 respectively. Theorem 1 lists all possible celestial types for each singular type except for the celestial types \((2, 6, 3)\), \((3, 6, 3)\) and \((\infty, 4, 3)\) that are excluded by Lemma 5. We constructed an example for each of the asserted celestial types using the methods in §7. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

\[\Box\]

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Straightforward consequence of Theorem 4 and Theorem 1.

\[\Box\]

Theorem 3 classifies stereographic projections of celestial surfaces in Euclidean 3-space. For its proof we use the projective model for Euclidean geometry as defined in §2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let \(\tau: \mathbb{S}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3\) denote the stereographic projection so that \(X := \tau^{-1}(Y)\) is a celestial surface. Since \(Y\) has Euclidean type \((d, c)\), it follows from Proposition 1 that \(X\) has celestial type \((\lambda, 2(d - c), 3)\). By Theorem 1 the celestial type of \(X\) is either \((\lambda, 4, 3)\) for \(2 \leq \lambda \leq 6\), \((2, 8, 3)\) or \((\infty, 2, 2)\). If \(\tau_p\) is a stereographic projection with center \(p \in X\) so that \(p\) is of multiplicity \(m\), then \(\deg \tau_p(X) = 2(d - c) - m\). Thus the table of the theorem lists all Euclidean types except for \((5, 1)\). We constructed an example for each listed type by using the methods of §7.

Suppose by contradiction that \(Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3\) has Euclidean type \((5, 1)\). It is sufficient to forget about the real structure of \(Y\) and thus we may assume that \(\sigma_x\) is the identity. It follows from [26, Proposition 1] that \(Y\) is a quintic weak del Pezzo surface and thus the pair of \(Y\) is either \((L_5, -k)\) or \((P_5, -k)\) with \(k^2 = 5\). Over the complex numbers these two pairs are equivalent, so we may assume that the pair of \(Y\) is \((L_5, -k)\) so that \(N(Y) = \langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}\) as in
(1). Using [18, Algorithm 1] we find that $F(Y) = \{ e_0 - e_1, e_0 - e_2, e_0 - e_3, e_0 - e_4, 2e_0 - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 \}$. If $C \subset Y$ is a conic, then $[C] \in F(Y)$ and $h^0([C]) = 2$, by [21, Proposition 3]. In particular, $[U_3] \in F(Y)$ where $U_3 \subset Y$ is the Euclidean absolute. We need two find two different classes $a, b \in F(Y)$ so that $a \cdot [U_3] = b \cdot [U_3] = 2$, since a circle is by definition a conic that intersects the Euclidean absolute in two different points. We arrived at a contradiction, since there does not exists $a, b, u \in F(Y)$ such that $a \cdot u = b \cdot u = 2$.

\[\square\]

9 Conclusion and future work

We classified celestial surfaces up to their Neron-Severi lattice. From this classification we recovered all possible celestial types, singular types and Euclidean types of celestial surfaces. Our methods would also apply if we consider instead of the Möbius quadric a hyperquadric with a different signature. In two subsequent articles we will classify the singular loci and shapes of non-normal celestial surfaces and the automorphism groups of celestial surfaces that consists solely of Möbius transformations.
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