

Integrable Flows for Starlike Curves in Centroaffine Space[★]

Annalisa CALINI^{†‡}, Thomas IVEY[†] and Gloria MARÍ BEFFA[§]

[†] College of Charleston, Charleston SC, USA

E-mail: calinia@cofc.edu, iveyt@cofc.edu

[‡] National Science Foundation, Arlington VA, USA

[§] University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, USA

E-mail: maribeff@math.wisc.edu

Received September 07, 2012, in final form February 27, 2013; Published online March 06, 2013

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2013.022>

Abstract. We construct integrable hierarchies of flows for curves in centroaffine \mathbb{R}^3 through a natural pre-symplectic structure on the space of closed unparametrized starlike curves. We show that the induced evolution equations for the differential invariants are closely connected with the Boussinesq hierarchy, and prove that the restricted hierarchy of flows on curves that project to conics in \mathbb{RP}^2 induces the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy at the curvature level.

Key words: integrable curve evolutions; centroaffine geometry; Boussinesq hierarchy; bi-Hamiltonian systems

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37K10; 53A20; 53C44

In honor of Peter Olver.

1 Introduction

1.1 Integrable evolutions of space curves

Much of the work on integrable curve evolution equations has been guided by the fundamental role played by the differential invariants of the curve (e.g., curvature and torsion in the Euclidean setting) in helping identify the curve evolution as an integrable one. Perhaps the most important example in the case of space curves is that of the Localized Induction Equation (LIE)

$$\gamma_t = \gamma_x \times \gamma_{xx}, \quad (1.1)$$

describing the evolution of a curve with position vector $\gamma(x, t)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , and Euclidean arclength parameter x . The complete integrability of equation (1.1) was uncovered by the realization, due to Hasimoto [9], that the function $\psi = \kappa \exp(i \int \tau dx)$, of the curvature κ and torsion τ of γ , is a solution of the cubic focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2\psi = 0,$$

one of the two best-known integrable nonlinear wave equations (the other being the KdV equation).

In this paper, we also use as a guiding principle the observation that many (but not all) integrable curve evolutions have the property of *local preservation of arclength*, i.e., the associated

[★]This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue “Symmetries of Differential Equations: Frames, Invariants and Applications”. The full collection is available at <http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/SDE2012.html>

vector fields satisfy a *non-stretching* condition. For example, the LIE vector field $W = \gamma_x \times \gamma_{xx}$ satisfies the condition $\delta_W \|\gamma_x\| = 0$, where δ_W denotes the variation in the direction of W . Thus the local arclength parameter x is independent of t , and the compatibility conditions $\gamma_{xt} = \gamma_{tx}$, $\gamma_{xxt} = \gamma_{txx}$, $\gamma_{xxx} = \gamma_{txx}$ (more commonly written as compatibility conditions of the Frenet equations and the evolution equations for the Frenet frame) turn out to be equivalent to the Lax pair of the NLS equation for ψ .

Indeed, many integrable curve evolutions in various geometries have been found by looking for non-stretching vector fields that produce compatible equations for the moving frame of the evolving curve; in the case of space curves, the geometries explored include Euclidean [11], spherical [6], Minkowski [21], affine and centroaffine [4]. (Moreover, integrable curve evolutions *without* preservation of arclength have been found in projective [17], conformal [16] and other parabolic geometries.) The approach in these investigations involves finding suitable choices for the coefficients of the non-stretching vector fields (relative to a Frenet-type frame) and often assuming special relations among the differential invariants; thus it can be challenging to identify integrable hierarchies.

Another approach to investigating the relation between a non-stretching curve evolution and the integrable PDE system satisfied by the differential invariants is to seek a natural Hamiltonian setting for the curve flow. The LIE was shown by Marsden and Weinstein [18] to be a Hamiltonian flow on a suitable phase space endowed with a symplectic form of hydrodynamic origin (see also [1, 2]). In a fundamental paper [14] Langer and Perline used this framework to explore in depth the correspondence between the LIE and NLS equations and, along the way, derived a geometric recursion operator *at the curve level* that made it easy to obtain the integrable hierarchies of both curve and curvature flows, as well as meaningful reductions thereof [12, 13].

In this article we study integrable evolution equations for closed curves in centroaffine \mathbb{R}^3 beginning, as in [14], with a natural pre-symplectic form on an appropriate infinite-dimensional phase space. The Hamiltonian setting allows us to construct integrable hierarchies of curve flows and the associated families of integrable evolution equations for the centroaffine differential invariants (which turn out to be equivalent to the Boussinesq hierarchies). The motivation for addressing the centroaffine case comes from an interesting article by Pinkall [26], who derived a Hamiltonian evolution equation on the space of closed nondegenerate curves in the centroaffine plane. The simple definition of the symplectic form in the planar case (related to the $SL(2)$ -invariant area form) suggests that an analogous description may be possible in the 3-dimensional case, where a parallel could be drawn with the more familiar Euclidean case treated by [14].

Before describing the organization of the paper, we briefly discuss Pinkall's original setting and some results of ours for the planar case.

1.2 Pinkall's flow in \mathbb{R}^2

Centroaffine differential geometry in \mathbb{R}^n refers to the study of submanifolds and their properties that are invariant under the action of $SL(n)$, not including translations¹. For example, a parametrized curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (where I is an interval on the real line) is *nondegenerate* if

$$\det(\gamma(x), \gamma'(x), \dots, \gamma^{(n-1)}(x)) \neq 0$$

for all $x \in I$, and this property is clearly invariant under the action of $SL(n)$. Thus, for these curves the integral

$$\int |\gamma, \gamma', \dots, \gamma^{(n-1)}|^{2/n(n-1)} dx \tag{1.2}$$

¹Some authors [23] refer to this geometry as *centro-equi-affine* due to the choice of the unimodular group $SL(n)$, while using *centro-affine* to refer to geometry invariant under the general linear group $GL(n)$.

is $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ -invariant, and represents the *centroaffine arclength*, where for the sake of convenience we use the notation

$$|X_1, \dots, X_n| := \det(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

for n -tuples of vectors $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (The fractional power in (1.2) is necessary to make the integral invariant under reparametrization.)

In the case where $n = 2$, Pinkall [26] defined a geometrically natural flow for nondegenerate curves in \mathbb{R}^2 , which he referred to as *star-shaped curves*, as follows. Suppose that γ is parametrized by centroaffine arclength s , so that $|\gamma, \gamma'| = 1$ identically. It follows that $\gamma_{ss} = -p(s)\gamma$ where $p(s)$ is defined as the centroaffine curvature. Along a closed curve γ , one defines the skew-symmetric form

$$\omega(X, Y) = \oint_{\gamma} |X, Y| ds, \quad (1.3)$$

where X and Y are vector fields along γ . This pairing is nondegenerate on the space of vector fields that locally preserve arclength. Then the symplectic dual with respect to (1.3) of the functional $\oint_{\gamma} p(s) ds$ is the vector field

$$X = \frac{1}{2}p_s\gamma - p\gamma_s.$$

Pinkall's flow $\gamma_t = \frac{1}{2}p_s\gamma - p\gamma_s$ induces an evolution equation for curvature that coincides with the KdV equation, up to rescaling. In an earlier paper [3], we showed how to use solutions of the (scalar) Lax pair for KdV to generate solutions of Pinkall's flow. In particular, we showed that varying the spectral parameter in the Lax pair for a fixed KdV potential q corresponds to constructing a solution to the flow with curvature given by a Galileian KdV symmetry applied to q . We also derived conditions under which periodic KdV solutions corresponded to smoothly closed loops (for appropriate values of the spectral parameter) and illustrated this using finite-gap KdV solutions.

1.3 Organization of the paper

In Section 2 we introduce basic notions concerning the differential geometry of nondegenerate curves in centroaffine \mathbb{R}^3 , including centroaffine arclength, differential invariants, and non-stretching curve variations. This section also contains a discussion of the relation between nondegenerate curves and parametrized maps into \mathbb{RP}^2 . In Section 3 we generalize Pinkall's setting to \mathbb{R}^3 by introducing a pre-symplectic form on the space of closed unparametrized starlike curves; we also compute Hamiltonian vector fields associated with the total length and total curvature functionals. Flow by these vector fields induces evolution equations for the differential invariants; we discuss these equations in Section 4, including their bi-Hamiltonian formulation, Lax representation, and the connection with the Boussinesq equation. In Section 5 we show that the Poisson operators introduced in Section 4 give rise to the Boussinesq recursion operator, generating a (double) hierarchy of commuting evolution equations for the differential invariants. In Theorem 5.4, we relate the Hamiltonian structure for starlike curves and the Poisson structure for the differential invariants, and obtain a double hierarchy of centroaffine geometric evolution equations. We conclude Section 5, and the paper, by considering which of these flows preserve the property that γ corresponds to a conic under the usual projectivization map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$. We show that the sub-hierarchy of conicity-preserving curve evolutions induces the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy at the curvature level.

2 Centroaffine curve flows in \mathbb{R}^3

2.1 Centroaffine invariants

Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be nondegenerate. We parameterize γ by centroaffine arclength, so that

$$|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

We assume for the rest of this subsection that x is an arclength parameter.

It follows by differentiating (2.1) with respect to x that

$$\gamma''' = p_0\gamma + p_1\gamma' \quad (2.2)$$

for some functions $p_0(x)$ and $p_1(x)$. As explained below, these constitute a complete set of differential invariants for nondegenerate curves.

Remark 2.1. Huang and Singer [10] refer to nondegenerate curves in centroaffine \mathbb{R}^3 as *starlike*. They define invariants κ and τ which correspond to $-p_1$ and p_0 respectively. Labeling p_0 as torsion is appropriate, since nondegenerate curves that lie in a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 (not containing the origin) are exactly those for which p_0 is identically zero.

Remark 2.2. Some insight into the meaning of the centroaffine curve invariants can be gained by considering the relationship between γ and the corresponding parametrized curve $\Upsilon = \pi \circ \gamma$ in \mathbb{RP}^2 , where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ is projectivization. The nondegeneracy condition on γ corresponds to Υ being regular and free of inflection points. Conversely, any such parametrized curve $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ has a unique lift to $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ which is centroaffine arclength-parametrized; we refer to γ as the *canonical lift* of Υ . When written in terms of Υ instead of γ , the invariants p_0 and p_1 are (up to sign) the well-known Wilczynski invariants [30]. Since these invariants define a differential equation whose solution determines the curve uniquely up to the action of the group $\mathrm{SL}(3)$, any other differential invariant must be functionally dependent on p_0 , p_1 and their x -derivatives.

According to Ovsienko and Tabachnikov [25], the cubic differential $(p_0 - \frac{1}{2}p_1')(dx)^3$ has the interesting property that it is invariant under reparametrizations of Υ . Curves in \mathbb{RP}^2 for which this differential vanishes identically are conics. For curves for which the coefficient $p_0 - \frac{1}{2}p_1'$ is nowhere vanishing, one can define the *projective arclength* differential $(p_0 - \frac{1}{2}p_1')^{1/3}dx$. Those parametrized curves in \mathbb{RP}^2 for which $p_0 - \frac{1}{2}p_1' = C$ (a nonzero constant) are parametrized proportional to projective arclength, and we use the same terminology for their canonical lifts into \mathbb{R}^3 . (Note that, in this case, the projective arclength differential is $C^{1/3}$ times the centroaffine arclength differential dx .)

Along a nondegenerate curve, an analogue of the Frenet frame is provided by vectors $\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$. In fact, if we combine them as columns in an $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ -valued matrix $W = (\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')$, then the analogue of the Frenet equations is

$$W_x = W \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p_0 \\ 1 & 0 & p_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, for later use it will be convenient to define a different $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ -valued frame $F(x) = (\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'' - p_1\gamma)$ which satisfies the Frenet-type equation

$$F_x = FK, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k_1 & k_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $k_1 = p_1$, $k_2 = p_0 - p_1'$. Of course, k_1, k_2 also constitute a complete set of differential invariants, and we will come to use these in place of the Wilczynski invariants from Section 4 onwards.

2.2 Non-stretching variations

Suppose that $\Gamma : I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth mapping such that, for fixed t , $\Gamma(x, t)$ is a nondegenerate curve parametrized by x . Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\gamma(x) = \Gamma(x, 0)$ is parametrized by centraffine arclength. Let X denote the variation of γ in the t -direction, and expand

$$X = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \Gamma = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''.$$

(We still use primes to denote derivatives with respect to x , although x is not necessarily an arclength parameter along the curves in the family for $t \neq 0$.)

To compute the variation of the arclength differential $|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''|^{1/3} dx$, we introduce the notation δ for variation in the t -direction along γ . Using the relation (2.2), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\gamma' &= X' = (a' + p_0c)\gamma + (a + b' + p_1c)\gamma' + (b + c')\gamma'', \\ \delta\gamma'' &= X'' = (a'' + 2p_0c' + p_0'c + p_0b)\gamma + (2a' + p_0c + b'' + 2p_1c' + p_1'c + p_1b)\gamma' \\ &\quad + (a + 2b' + p_1c + c'')\gamma''. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\delta|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| = |\delta\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| + |\gamma, \delta\gamma', \gamma''| + |\gamma, \gamma', \delta\gamma''| = 3a + 3b' + c'' + 2p_1c.$$

In particular, the variation X preserves the centraffine arclength differential if and only if

$$b' = -a - \frac{1}{3}(c'' + 2p_1c), \tag{2.4}$$

i.e.,

$$X = a\gamma - \left(\int \left(a + \frac{1}{3}c'' + \frac{2}{3}p_1c \right) dx \right) \gamma' + c\gamma''. \tag{2.5}$$

We refer to vector fields of this form as *non-stretching*, since not only do such variations preserve the overall arclength of, say, a closed loop, but also no small portion of the curve is stretched or compressed.

3 Hamiltonian curve flows

3.1 Symplectic structure on starlike loops

Generalizing Pinkall's setting [26] for planar star-shaped loops to the three-dimensional case, we introduce the infinite-dimensional space

$$\widehat{M} = \{\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : |\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| = 1\},$$

as a subset of the vector space $V = \text{Map}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^3)$ of C^∞ maps from S^1 to \mathbb{R}^3 . Assume that $\gamma \in \widehat{M}$, i.e., γ is a closed starlike curve parametrized by centraffine arclength; then a vector field $X = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''$ is in the tangent space $T_\gamma \widehat{M}$ if and only if X is of the form (2.5), where the coefficients a and c are 2π -periodic functions of x and satisfy the “zero mean” condition

$$\oint_\gamma \left(a + \frac{2}{3}p_1c \right) dx = 0,$$

ensuring that the coefficient of γ' in (2.5) is also periodic.

On V , define the skew-symmetric form

$$\omega_\gamma(X, Y) = \oint_\gamma |X, \gamma', Y| dx, \quad X, Y \in T_\gamma V. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that ω is automatically closed (that is, $d\omega = 0$) since the integrand in (3.1) is a volume form on \mathbb{R}^3 [1, 2].

Letting $X = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''$, $Y = \tilde{a}\gamma + \tilde{b}\gamma' + \tilde{c}\gamma''$, we compute

$$\omega_\gamma(X, Y) = \oint_\gamma (a\tilde{c} - \tilde{a}c) dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Assuming that $\gamma \in \widehat{M}$ and $X, Y \in T_\gamma \widehat{M}$, then $\omega_\gamma(X, Y) = 0$ for all Y if and only if $a = -\frac{2}{3}p_1c$ and c is constant. Thus, the restriction of ω to \widehat{M} is a degenerate closed 2-form (a pre-symplectic form), with kernel given by the subspace $\mathbb{R}Z_0 + \mathbb{R}Z_1$ of constant-coefficient linear combinations of the vector fields $Z_0 = \gamma'$ and $Z_1 = \gamma'' - \frac{2}{3}p_1\gamma$ (corresponding to $c = 0$ and $c = 1$ respectively).

Note that this degeneracy is the result of restricting the 2-form (3.1) to the space of closed curves satisfying the arclength constraint. Degeneracy coming from constraints is common when defining symplectic structures on loop spaces [19] and phase spaces of nonlinear evolution equations [7].

Remark 3.1. The generators Z_0 and Z_1 of the kernel of ω will turn out to be the seeds of the double hierarchy of curve flows discussed in Section 5. A similar situation is encountered for the LIE hierarchy [14], where the seed γ' spans the kernel of the natural pre-symplectic form on loops in Euclidean \mathbb{R}^3 .

One could attempt to remove the degeneracy by constructing a quotient of \widehat{M} with respect to group actions generated by flowing by Z_0 and Z_1 . Flow by Z_0 generates an action of the additive group \mathbb{R} , simply by translation in x . The resulting quotient space $M = \widehat{M}/\mathbb{R}$ can be identified with the space of unparametrized starlike loops. Because of translation invariance, ω descends to give a well-defined closed 2-form on M , with one-dimensional kernel $\mathbb{R}Z_1$. On the other hand, we do not know of a natural geometric interpretation for the quotient of M by flow under Z_1 , on which ω would become non-degenerate.

However, ω can still be used to define a link between vector fields and functionals, and we will see that Z_1 is linked in this way to the arclength functional.

3.2 Examples

Recall that the correspondence between vector fields X_H and (differentials of) Hamiltonians $H \in C^\infty(M)$ on a manifold M with symplectic form ω is defined by the relation

$$dH[X] = \omega_\gamma(X, X_H), \quad \forall X \in T_\gamma M, \quad (3.3)$$

X_H being the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to H . However, when ω is degenerate the correspondence is no longer an isomorphism: for those functionals H for which there is a Hamiltonian vector field, X_H is only defined up to addition of elements in the kernel of ω .

We will use (3.3) to compute Hamiltonian vector fields for a few interesting functionals; to do so, we will initially work in the ambient space V , and use the arclength-preserving condition (2.4) to rewrite the differential in a form suitable for applying (3.3).

We first consider the arclength functional

$$L(\gamma) = \oint_\gamma |\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''|^{1/3} dx. \quad (3.4)$$

on the space V . Given an arbitrary vector field $X = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''$ (not necessarily arclength preserving), the variation of the determinant in (3.4) along X is given by

$$\delta|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| = |X, \gamma', \gamma''| + |\gamma, X', \gamma''| + |\gamma, \gamma', X''| = (3a + 3b' + c'' + 2p_1c)|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''|.$$

Assume now that $\gamma \in \widehat{M}$, so that $|\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''| = 1$ and

$$dL[X] = \oint_{\gamma} (a + \frac{2}{3}p_1c) dx.$$

We now seek a vector field $X_L = \tilde{a}\gamma + \tilde{b}\gamma' + \tilde{c}\gamma'' \in T_{\gamma}\widehat{M}$ such that $dL[X] = \omega_{\gamma}(X, X_L) = \oint_{\gamma} (a\tilde{c} - \tilde{a}c)dx$. Using the non-stretching condition (2.4), we obtain the following Hamiltonian vector field

$$X_L \equiv Z_1 = \gamma'' - \frac{2}{3}p_1\gamma$$

(which is unique only up to adding a constant times Z_0). In Section 4.4 we will see that the associated curve flow $\gamma_t = X_L$ leads to the Boussinesq equation for the curvatures k_1, k_2 .

Next, we introduce the total curvature functional

$$P(\gamma) = \oint_{\gamma} p_1 dx, \quad \gamma \in \widehat{M}. \quad (3.5)$$

From $\gamma''' = p_0\gamma + p_1\gamma'$ and (2.1), it follows that $p_1 = |\gamma, \gamma''', \gamma''|$. Then the variation of p_1 along an arbitrary vector field $X = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta p_1 &= |X, \gamma''', \gamma''| + |\gamma, X''', \gamma''| + |\gamma, \gamma''', X''| \\ &= |X, p_0\gamma + p_1\gamma', \gamma''| + |\gamma, X''', \gamma''| + |\gamma, p_0\gamma + p_1\gamma', X''| \\ &= 3p_1a + 3c'p_0 + 2cp'_0 + 3a'' + b''' + 4c''p_1 + 3c'p'_1 + cp''_1 + 5b'p_1 + bp'_1 + 2cp_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Then, up to perfect derivatives,

$$dP[X] = \oint_{\gamma} \delta p_1 dx = \oint_{\gamma} 3p_1a + 3c'p_0 + 2cp'_0 + 4c''p_1 + 3c'p'_1 + cp''_1 + 4b'p_1 + 2cp_1^2 dx.$$

Assuming X is an arclength-preserving vector field, we set $b' = -a - \frac{1}{3}(c'' + 2p_1c)$ and compute

$$\oint_{\gamma} \delta p_1 dx = \oint_{\gamma} -ap_1 + 3c'p_0 + 2cp'_0 + \frac{8}{3}c''p_1 + 3c'p'_1 + cp''_1 - \frac{2}{3}cp_1^2 dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we arrive at

$$dP(X) = \oint_{\gamma} -p_1a + (-p'_0 + \frac{2}{3}p''_1 - \frac{2}{3}p_1^2)c dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose that $X_P = \tilde{a}\gamma + \tilde{b}\gamma' + \tilde{c}\gamma''$ is also arclength-preserving. Setting the right-hand side of (3.7) equal to $\omega_{\gamma}(X, X_P) = \oint_{\gamma} (a\tilde{c} - \tilde{a}c) dx$, we get $\tilde{a} = p'_0 - \frac{2}{3}p''_1 + \frac{2}{3}p_1^2$ and $\tilde{c} = -p_1$. Using equation (2.4) we compute $\tilde{b}' = -(p'_0 - \frac{2}{3}p''_1 + \frac{2}{3}p_1^2) - \frac{1}{3}(-p''_1 - 2p_1^2) = (p'_1 - p_0)'$, a perfect derivative. Thus, a Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to (3.5) is

$$X_P = (\frac{2}{3}(p_1^2 - p''_1) + p'_0)\gamma + (p'_1 - p_0)\gamma' - p_1\gamma''.$$

(This is only unique up to adding a linear combination of Z_0 and Z_1 .) Again, we will see that the associated curve flow $\gamma_t = X_P$ is also directly related, at the level of the curvatures, to one of the flows in the Boussinesq hierarchy.

4 Integrable centroaffine curve flows

In this section we will examine the evolution of centroaffine curvatures induced by the curve flows defined in Section 3.2. We begin by computing the evolution of invariants under more general curve flows.

4.1 Evolution of invariants

First, we consider how the centroaffine invariants of a starlike curve evolve under a general non-stretching evolution equation

$$\gamma_t = r_0\gamma + r_1\gamma' + r_2\gamma'' \quad (4.1)$$

with $r_0 = -r_1' - \frac{1}{3}(r_2'' + 2p_1r_2)$. (Thus, we assume from now on that $\gamma(x, t)$ is parametrized by arclength x at each time.) Of course, in order for (4.1) to represent a *geometric* evolution equation, r_1 and r_2 should be functions of the invariants p_0, p_1 and their arclength derivatives.

Proposition 4.1. *The evolution equations induced by (4.1) for the Wilczynski invariants are*

$$(p_0)_t = -r_1'''' + p_1r_1'' + 3p_0r_1' + p_0'r_1 - \frac{1}{3}(r_2'''' + p_1r_2''') + ((3p_0 - 2p_1')r_2')' + \frac{2}{3}(p_1^2r_2' + (p_1p_1' - p_1''')r_2) + p_0''r_2, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(p_1)_t = -2r_1''' + 2p_1r_1' + p_1'r_1 - r_2'''' + p_1r_2'' + (3p_0 - p_1')r_2' + (2p_0' - p_1'')r_2. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. The second equation (4.3) follows by substituting $a = -r_1' - \frac{1}{3}(r_2'' + 2p_1r_2)$, $c = r_2$ in the last line of (3.6). Similarly, using $p_0 = |\gamma''', \gamma', \gamma''|$, we obtain (4.2) by computing

$$(p_0)_t = |(\gamma_t)''', \gamma', \gamma''| + |p_0\gamma + p_1\gamma', (\gamma_t)', \gamma''| + p_0|\gamma, \gamma', (\gamma_t)''|. \quad \blacksquare$$

We note that these evolution equations previously appeared in [4].

From now on, we will take $k_1 = p_1$ and $k_2 = p_0 - p_1'$ as fundamental invariants; one reason for doing this is that the evolution equations for these invariants induced by (4.1) take the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{P} \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where \mathcal{P} is the skew-adjoint matrix differential operator

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -2D^3 + Dk_1 + k_1D & -D^4 + D^2k_1 + 2Dk_2 + k_2D \\ D^4 - k_1D^2 + 2k_2D + Dk_2 & \frac{2}{3}(D^5 + k_1Dk_1 - k_1D^3 - D^3k_1) + [k_2, D^2] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

D stands for the derivative with respect to x and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the commutator on pairs of operators². This operator \mathcal{P} , which arises naturally when using k_1, k_2 instead of p_0, p_1 , will play a significant role in the integrable structure of the flows we study.

4.2 Two integrable flows

The vector field X_L induces a non-stretching evolution equation

$$\gamma_t = \gamma'' - \frac{2}{3}k_1\gamma. \quad (4.6)$$

²Note that expressions like Dk_1 and Dk_2 denote composition of D with multiplication by k_1 and k_2 , respectively. The skew-adjointness of \mathcal{P} is easy to check, given that D is skew-adjoint.

(This will be the first non-trivial curve evolution in the hierarchy discussed in Section 5, where the right-hand side is labeled as Z_1 .) By setting $r_1 = 0$, $r_2 = 1$ in (4.4), we obtain the corresponding curvature evolution

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{P} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1'' + 2k_2' \\ \frac{2}{3}(k_1 k_1' - k_1''') - k_2'' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

This PDE system for curvatures is Hamiltonian, since it can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{P} \mathbf{E} k_2,$$

where \mathbf{E} denotes the vector-valued Euler operator

$$\mathbf{E}f = \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (-D)^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_1^{(j)}}, \sum_{j \geq 0} (-D)^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_2^{(j)}} \right)^T \quad (4.8)$$

on scalar functions f of k_1 , k_2 and their higher x -derivatives $k_1^{(j)}$, $k_2^{(j)}$. (One can check that the Poisson bracket defined using the Hamiltonian operator \mathcal{P} on the appropriate function space – see Section 5.2 below – satisfies the usual requirements of skew-symmetry and the Jacobi identity.)

Moreover, (4.7) can also be written in Hamiltonian form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{E} \rho_3, \quad (4.9)$$

for a different Hamiltonian operator and density

$$\mathcal{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_3 := \frac{1}{3}(k_1')^2 + k_2 k_1' + k_2^2 + \frac{1}{9}k_1^3. \quad (4.10)$$

(The notation ρ_3 is explained below.) Since the curvature evolution can be written in Hamiltonian form in two ways (4.7) and (4.9), the integrals $\int k_2 dx$ and $\int \rho_3 dx$ are conserved by the flow (for appropriate boundary conditions).

Remark 4.2. In fact, the curvature evolution here is a *bi-Hamiltonian system*, because \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are a *Hamiltonian pair*, i.e., their linear combinations form a pencil of Hamiltonian operators, and a pencil of compatible Poisson structures. This assertion can be verified mechanically (see, e.g., Section 7.1 in [22] for details), but it also follows from the fact that, at least in the periodic case, the Poisson structures are reductions of a well-known compatible pencil of Poisson brackets on the space of loops in $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. (Indeed, when γ is periodic – or more generally has monodromy – the matrix K in (2.3) provides a lift into this loop space.) The proof of the reduction of these brackets can be found in [8], where \mathcal{P} is linked to the Adler–Gel’fand–Dikii bracket for $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ and \mathcal{Q} is associated to its companion. The brackets were later linked to curve evolutions and differential invariants in [15], where more details are available.

The (negative of the) Hamiltonian vector field X_P of Section 3.2 induces the non-stretching evolution

$$\gamma_t = k_1 \gamma'' + k_2 \gamma' + r_0 \gamma, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$r_0 = -\left(k_2' + \frac{1}{3}(k_1'' + 2k_1^2)\right). \quad (4.12)$$

(The right-hand side of (4.11) is labeled as Z_2 in the hierarchy discussed in Section 5.) We similarly obtain the curvature evolution equations induced by this flow by setting $r_1 = k_2$, $r_2 = k_1$ in (4.4). We remark that the resulting system is also bi-Hamiltonian, since it can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{P} E \rho_2 = \mathcal{Q} E \rho_4 \quad (4.13)$$

for

$$\rho_2 = k_1 k_2, \quad \rho_4 = \frac{1}{3}(k_1'')^2 + k_1''(k_2' - k_1^2) - k_1(k_1')^2 + (k_2')^2 - k_1^2 k_2' + \frac{1}{9}k_1^4 + 2k_1 k_2^2.$$

Thus, $\int \rho_2 dx$ and $\int \rho_4 dx$ are conserved integrals for (4.11). (Because X_P corresponds symplectically to the Hamiltonian $\int k_1 dx$, it is automatic that this integral is also conserved.)

Remark 4.3. The arclength normalization (2.1) is preserved by the simultaneous rescaling $x \mapsto \lambda x$, $\gamma \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \gamma$. Under this rescaling, k_1 and k_2 scale by multiples λ^2 and λ^3 respectively. Thus, we may assign *scaling weights* 2 and 3 respectively to these curvatures, and each x -derivative taken increases weight by one.

It will turn out (see Section 5.1 below) that the conserved densities for evolution equations (4.6) and (4.11) are all of homogeneous weight, with one density for each positive weight not congruent to 1 modulo 3. We will number the densities in order of increasing weight, letting $\rho_0 = k_1$, $\rho_1 = k_2$ and so on; thus, the density in (4.9) is denoted by ρ_3 , since its weight falls between those of ρ_2 and ρ_4 .

The curve flows (4.6) and (4.11) turn out to share the same conservation laws; for example, $\int k_1 dx$ is conserved by (4.6) because (4.7) implies that

$$(k_1)_t = D(k_1' + 2k_2).$$

Similarly, (4.11) conserves $\int k_2 dx$ because (4.13) implies that

$$(k_2)_t = D\left(\frac{2}{3}k_1^{(4)} + k_2''' - 2k_1 k_1'' - (k_1')^2 - 2k_1 k_2' + \frac{4}{9}k_1^3 + 2k_2^2\right).$$

In Section 5 we will show that these flows share an infinite sequence of conservation laws.

4.3 Lax representation

In this subsection we use geometric considerations to derive Lax pairs for curvature evolution equations induced by (4.6) and (4.11).

In [3] we found that the components of the solution $\gamma(x, t)$ of Pinkall's flow satisfied the scalar Lax pair for the KdV equation. In the same spirit, we seek a system of the form

$$\mathcal{L}y = 0, \quad y_t = \mathcal{M}y, \quad (4.14)$$

satisfied by each component of γ , where \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} are differential operators in x with coefficients involving k_1 , k_2 . Using (2.2), we see that every component of γ satisfies the scalar ODE $y''' = (k_1 y)' + k_2 y$, and so we will let

$$\mathcal{L} := D^3 - Dk_1 - k_2$$

and seek operators \mathcal{M}_1 for (4.6) and \mathcal{M}_2 for (4.11).

In the case of (4.6), the components of γ also satisfy $y_t = y'' - \frac{2}{3}k_1 y$, so we choose

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := D^2 - \frac{2}{3}k_1.$$

One can then verify that (4.7) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_t = [\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{L}]. \quad (4.15)$$

In the case of (4.11), the components of γ satisfy $y_t = k_1 y'' + k_2 y' + r_0 y$, with r_0 as given by (4.12). So, we might set $\mathcal{M}_2 = k_1 D^2 + k_2 D + r_0$. However, (4.14) would also be satisfied if we modify \mathcal{M}_2 by adding $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}$, where \mathcal{N} is an arbitrary differential operator. In fact, the system (4.13) actually implies that $\mathcal{L}_t = [\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{L}]$ for

$$\mathcal{M}_2 := (k_1 D^2 + k_2 D + r_0) - 3D\mathcal{L}.$$

Writing these systems in Lax form (4.14) enables us to interpolate a spectral parameter into the linear equations satisfied by the components. Thus, consider solutions of the compatible system

$$\mathcal{L}y = \lambda y, \quad y_t = \mathcal{M}_j y, \quad (4.16)$$

where $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. Of course, the components of the evolving curve satisfy (4.16) only when $\lambda = 0$. When $\lambda \neq 0$, we can construct solutions of the curve flow using solutions of (4.16):

Proposition 4.4. *Let k_1, k_2 satisfy the evolution equation (4.7) for $j = 1$ or (4.13) for $j = 2$. For fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let y_1, y_2, y_3 be linearly independent solutions of (4.16), with Wronskian W . Then W is constant in x and t , and $\gamma = W^{-1/3}(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T$ is arclength-parametrized at each time t , with centroaffine invariants k_1 and $\tilde{k}_2 = k_2 + \lambda$. Furthermore, γ satisfies the evolution equation*

$$\gamma_t = \begin{cases} \gamma'' - \frac{2}{3}k_1\gamma, & j = 1, \\ k_1\gamma'' + (\tilde{k}_2 - 4\lambda)\gamma' + r_0\gamma, & j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If we let $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)^T$ and form the matrix $F = (y, y', y'')$, then F satisfies differential equations of the form

$$F^{-1}F_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & k_2 + k'_1 + \lambda \\ 1 & 0 & k_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F^{-1}F_t = N_j,$$

where both right-hand side matrices have trace zero. For example, when $j = 1$ one can directly calculate, by differentiating $y_t = \mathcal{M}_1 y$, that

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}k_1 & k_2 + \frac{1}{3}k'_1 + \lambda & k'_2 + \frac{1}{3}k''_1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}k_1 & k_2 + \frac{2}{3}k'_1 + \lambda \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{3}k_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the Wronskian W is constant in x and t .

Because $\gamma''' = (k_1\gamma)' + (k_2 + \lambda)\gamma$, the centroaffine invariants of γ are k_1 and \tilde{k}_2 . It is straightforward to compute γ_t in the $j = 1$ case, using $y_t = \mathcal{M}_1 y$. In the $j = 2$ case, we compute

$$y_t = \mathcal{M}_2 y = k_1 y'' + (\tilde{k}_2 - \lambda)y' + r_0 y - 3D\mathcal{L}y = k_1 y'' + (\tilde{k}_2 - 4\lambda)y' + r_0 y. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.4 Connection with Boussinesq equations

In [4] Chou and Qu note that, under the centroaffine curve flow (4.6), the curvatures k_1, k_2 satisfy a two-component system of evolution equations that is equivalent to the Boussinesq equation. This suggests that the other integrable flow (4.11) under discussion may be related to the Boussinesq hierarchy.

Dickson et al. [5] write the (first) Boussinesq equation as a system

$$(q_0)_t + \frac{1}{6}q_1''' + \frac{2}{3}q_1q_1' = 0, \quad (q_1)_t - 2q_0' = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

They embed this in a hierarchy of integrable equations, each of which is written in Lax form as

$$L_t = [P_m, L], \quad L := D^3 + q_1D + \frac{1}{2}q_1' + q_0, \quad (4.18)$$

where P_m is a differential operator of order $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, with coefficients depending on q_0 , q_1 and their x -derivatives. Note that P_m must be chosen so that $[P_m, L]$ has order one. For example, while $P_1 = D$ yields the trivial evolution $(q_1)_t = q_1'$, $(q_0)_t = q_0'$, setting $P_2 = D^2 + \frac{2}{3}q_1$ gives the Boussinesq equation (4.17).

Given the resemblance between (4.15) and (4.18), it is tempting to find substitutions to connect the Boussinesq equation with (4.7). In fact, we can make L and \mathcal{L} coincide by setting

$$k_1 = -q_1, \quad k_2 = \frac{1}{2}q_1' - q_0. \quad (4.19)$$

With this substitution, \mathcal{M}_1 coincides with P_2 , so it follows that (4.7) and (4.17) are equivalent.

In [5] it is shown how the coefficients of the operators P_m can be obtained solving a recursive system of differential equations, and thus these depend on a number of constants of integration. For example, the expression for P_4 is

$$P_4 = [f_1D^2 + (g_1 - \frac{1}{2}f_1')D + (\frac{1}{6}f_1'' - g_1' + \frac{2}{3}q_1f_1)] + \\ + [f_0D^2 + (g_0 - \frac{1}{2}f_0')D + (\frac{1}{6}f_0'' - g_0' + \frac{2}{3}q_1f_0)]L + k_{4,0} + k_{4,1}L,$$

where $f_0 = 0$, $g_0 = 1$, $f_1 = \frac{1}{3}q_1 + c_1$, $g_1 = \frac{1}{3}q_0 + d_1$, and $k_{4,0}$, $k_{4,1}$, c_1 , d_1 are arbitrary constants. For convenience, we will set all these arbitrary constant to zero, so that

$$P_4 = D^4 + \frac{4}{3}q_1D^2 + \frac{4}{3}(q_1' + q_0)D + \frac{5}{9}q_1'' + \frac{2}{3}q_0' + \frac{2}{9}q_1^2.$$

Again, if we use the substitutions (4.19), we find that the operator \mathcal{M}_2 coincides with $-3P_4$. Thus, (4.13) is equivalent to the second nontrivial flow in the Boussinesq hierarchy, provided we also rescale time by $t \rightarrow -3t$.

5 Hierarchies

In [22] the Boussinesq hierarchy is discussed as an example of a bi-Hamiltonian system, in which two sequences of commuting flows (and conservation laws) are generated by applying recursion operators. Thus, given the equivalences established in Section 4.4, it is not surprising that the Poisson operators defined in Section 4 can be combined to give a recursion operator that generates a double hierarchy of commuting evolution equations for k_1 , k_2 . In fact, we will show that our recursion operator is equivalent to the Boussinesq recursion operator as given in Example 7.28 of [22]. The new information we add is that each of these evolution equations is induced by a centroaffine geometric evolution equation for curves, which is itself Hamiltonian relative to the pre-symplectic structure defined in Section 3.1 (see Theorem 5.4 below).

5.1 Recursion operators

We define a sequence of evolution equations for k_1 , k_2

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} = F_j[k_1, k_2], \quad (5.1)$$

via the recursion

$$F_{j+2} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}F_j, \quad (5.2)$$

with initial data given by

$$F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} k_1' \\ k_2' \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} k_1'' + 2k_2' \\ \frac{2}{3}(k_1k_1' - k_1''') - k_2'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Note that F_1 is the right-hand side of (4.7), while for $j = 0$ (5.1) gives a simple transport equation for k_1, k_2 , corresponding to flow in the direction of the tangent vector γ' .)

In order to assert that the F_j defined by (5.2) are local functions of k_1, k_2 and their derivatives – i.e., in calculating each F_j , the operator D^{-1} is only applied to exact x -derivatives of local functions – we cite well-known results on the Boussinesq hierarchy. For example, the version of the first Boussinesq equation used by Olver [22] is

$$u_\tau = v', \quad v_\tau = \frac{1}{3}u''' + \frac{8}{3}uu', \quad (5.3)$$

where τ is the time variable. If one considers linear transformations on the variables, it is necessary to use some imaginary coefficients to make our version (4.7) of the first Boussinesq equation for k_1, k_2 equivalent to (5.3):

$$x = x, \quad \tau = it, \quad k_1 = -2u, \quad k_2 = u' - iv. \quad (5.4)$$

Proposition 5.1. *Under the above change of variables, the recursion operator $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ is equivalent to the Boussinesq recursion operator in [22].*

Proof. The transformation between k_1, k_2 and u, v can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} := \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ D & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, if $\partial/\partial t(u, v)^T = F[u, v]$ is an evolution equation for u, v , the right-hand side of the corresponding evolution for k_1, k_2 is $\mathcal{G} \circ F$. Thus, our recursion operator $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ for flows on the k_1, k_2 variables corresponds to a recursion operator

$$\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{G} \quad (5.5)$$

on flows in the u, v variables. In fact, when one calculates (5.5) and substitutes for k_1, k_2 in terms of u, v using (5.4), the result is exactly $-i$ times the Boussinesq recursion operator given in [22]. ■

Since in [27] (see Section 5.4 in that paper) it is proven that the Boussinesq recursion operator from [22] always produces local flows when applied to the ‘seed’ evolution equations (i.e., the tangent flow and first Boussinesq), it follows that the same is true for our recursion operator.

Remark 5.2. Once one checks that the evolution equations (5.1) for $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ commute, it is automatic from the bi-Hamiltonian structure that all evolution equations in the sequence (5.1) commute in pairs (see, e.g., Theorem 7.24 in [22]).

It is easy to check that the ‘seeds’ F_0, F_1 for the recursion are related to the initial conserved densities by

$$F_0 = \mathcal{P}E\rho_0 = \mathcal{Q}E\rho_2, \quad F_1 = \mathcal{P}E\rho_1 = \mathcal{Q}E\rho_3. \quad (5.6)$$

Table 1.

Non-stretching vector field	Conserved density
$Z_0 = \gamma'$	$\rho_0 = k_1$
$Z_1 = \gamma'' - \frac{2}{3}k_1\gamma'$	$\rho_1 = k_2$
$Z_2 = k_1\gamma'' + k_2\gamma' + \dots$	$\rho_2 = k_1k_2$
$Z_3 = (k_1' + 2k_2)\gamma'' + \left(\frac{1}{3}k_1^2 - \frac{2}{3}k_1'' - k_2'\right)\gamma' + \dots$	$\rho_3 = \frac{1}{3}(k_1')^2 + k_1'k_2 + \frac{1}{9}k_1^3 + k_2^2$
$Z_4 = (-k_1''' - 2k_2'' + 2k_1k_1' + 4k_1k_2)\gamma'' + \left(\frac{2}{3}k_1^{(4)} + k_2'' - 2k_1k_1'' - (k_1')^2 - 2k_1k_2' + \frac{4}{9}k_1^3 + 2k_2^2\right)\gamma' + \dots$	$\rho_4 = \frac{1}{3}(k_1'')^2 + k_1''(k_2' - k_1^2) - k_1(k_1')^2 + (k_2')^2 - k_1^2k_2' + \frac{1}{9}k_1^4 + 2k_1k_2^2$

(The coefficient of γ in some vector fields is omitted for reasons of space, but can be determined from the non-stretching condition.)

- The γ' and γ'' coefficients of Z_j match the components of $\mathbf{E}\rho_j$.
- Densities satisfy the recursion relation $\mathbf{E}\rho_{j+2} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho_j$
- $\gamma_t = Z_j$ induces curvature evolution $\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho_j = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{E}\rho_{j+2}$.
- For $j \geq 2$, Z_j is a Hamiltonian vector field for $-\int \rho_{j-2} dx$.

(The second set of equations was derived in Section 4.2.) While $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ is the recursion operator for commuting flows, it is evident from (5.6) that $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}$ should be the recursion operator for conservation law *characteristics* (i.e., the result of applying the Euler operator \mathbf{E} to a density). In fact, we may define an infinite sequence of conserved densities by

$$\mathbf{E}\rho_{j+2} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho_j, \quad j \geq 0. \quad (5.7)$$

The first few densities calculated using this recursion appear in Table 1.

We now use these densities to define a sequence of flows for centroaffine curves, and relate each of them to a curvature evolution equation in the sequence (5.1). Namely, if f is any local function of k_1 , k_2 and their derivatives, we define

$$X^f := (\mathbf{E}f)_1\gamma' + (\mathbf{E}f)_2\gamma'' + r_0\gamma, \quad (5.8)$$

where the subscripts indicate the components given by (4.8) and r_0 is determined by the non-stretching condition. Then for the sequence of densities defined recursively by (5.7) we define the vector fields

$$Z_j := X^{\rho_j} \quad (5.9)$$

and the corresponding sequence of curve flows

$$\gamma_t = Z_j. \quad (5.10)$$

Proposition 5.3. *For each $j \geq 0$ the curve flow (5.10) induces the curvature evolution $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(k_1, k_2)^T = F_j$.*

Proof. From (5.6) and the recursion relations, it follows by induction that

$$F_j = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho_j, \quad j \geq 0.$$

Then the result follows immediately from (4.4). ■

5.2 Hamiltonian structure at the curve level

We now consider the question of how the Hamiltonian operator \mathcal{P} is related to the Hamiltonian structure defined at the curve level in Section 3.1. Recall from Section 3.2 that X is a Hamiltonian vector field associated to the functional H if

$$dH[Y] = \omega_\gamma(Y, X)$$

for any non-stretching vector field Y .

Theorem 5.4. *Let $H(\gamma) = \oint_\gamma \rho dx$ and assume that*

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{\rho} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho, \quad (5.11)$$

i.e., $\widehat{\rho}$ is next after ρ in the sequence of densities generated by the recursion operator $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}$. Then $-X^{\widehat{\rho}}$ (as defined by (5.8)) is Hamiltonian for H .

Proof. Based on the definition (3.1) of ω , we need to show that $dH[Y]$ equals

$$\oint_\gamma |Y, \gamma', -X^{\widehat{\rho}}| dx = \oint_\gamma |X^{\widehat{\rho}}, \gamma', Y| dx \quad \forall Y \in T_\gamma \widehat{M}.$$

If $X = a\gamma + b\gamma' + c\gamma''$ and $Y = \tilde{a}\gamma + \tilde{b}\gamma' + \tilde{c}\gamma''$, then from (3.2),

$$\oint_\gamma |X, \gamma', Y| dx = \oint_\gamma (a\tilde{c} - \tilde{a}c) dx.$$

However, using (2.4) to eliminate a and \tilde{a} , we obtain

$$\oint_\gamma |X, \gamma', Y| dx = \oint_\gamma \left(-b'\tilde{c} + c\tilde{b}' + \frac{1}{3}(c\tilde{c}'' - c''\tilde{c}) \right) dx = - \oint_\gamma (b'\tilde{c} + c'\tilde{b}) dx,$$

where the last equation follows by integration by parts. Thus,

$$\oint_\gamma |X^{\widehat{\rho}}, \gamma', Y| dx = - \oint_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{E}\widehat{\rho} dx = - \oint_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}\rho dx,$$

using (5.11) in the last step. Then, because \mathcal{P} is skew-adjoint,

$$\oint_\gamma |X^{\widehat{\rho}}, \gamma', Y| dx = \oint_\gamma \mathbf{E}\rho \cdot \mathcal{P} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} \end{pmatrix} dx.$$

On the other hand, using the properties of the Euler operator we have

$$dH[Y] = \oint_\gamma (\mathbf{E}\rho)_1 \delta_Y k_1 + (\mathbf{E}\rho)_2 \delta_Y k_2 dx,$$

where δ_Y denotes the first variation in the direction of Y . Now using (4.4) we have

$$dH[Y] = \oint_\gamma \mathbf{E}\rho \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \delta_Y k_1 \\ \delta_Y k_2 \end{pmatrix} = \oint_\gamma \mathbf{E}\rho \cdot \mathcal{P} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} \end{pmatrix} dx.$$

This concludes the proof. ■

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of the theorem.

Corollary 5.5. *Define the Poisson bracket*

$$\{H, G\} = \oint \mathbf{E}h \cdot \mathcal{P}\mathbf{E}g \, dx,$$

where $G(k_1, k_2) = \oint g \, dx$ and $H(k_1, k_2) = \oint h \, dx$, and g, h are functions of periodic k_1, k_2 and their derivatives. Then $dH[X^g] = \{H, G\}$.

Corollary 5.6. *The vector fields Z_j defined by (5.9) are Hamiltonian for $j \geq 2$.*

Corollary 5.7. *A closed curve γ is critical for the functional $H(\gamma) = \oint_\gamma \rho_j \, dx$ with respect to non-stretching variations if and only if γ is stationary for a constant-coefficient linear combination $Z_{j+2} + c_0 Z_0 + c_1 Z_1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, $-Z_{j+2}$ is Hamiltonian for H . Thus, a curve γ is H -critical if and only if $\omega(Y, Z_{j+2}) = 0$ for all $Y \in T_\gamma \widehat{M}$. This condition is satisfied if and only if Z_{j+2} is in the kernel of ω_γ , i.e., along γ it is equal to a constant-coefficient linear combination of vectors Z_0 and Z_1 . Equivalently, $Z_{j+2} + c_0 Z_0 + c_1 Z_1 = 0$ along γ for some constants c_0, c_1 , expressing the property that γ is stationary for a linear combination of these vector fields. ■

5.3 Projective properties

As stated in Remark 2.2, a centroaffine curve γ projects to give a conic in \mathbb{RP}^2 if and only if the Wilczynski invariants satisfy $p_0 - \frac{1}{2}p'_1 = 0$. (The corresponding condition in terms of k_1, k_2 is $k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k'_1 = 0$.) In this subsection we will investigate flows in the hierarchy having the property that, if γ projects to a conic at time zero, then it continues to have a conical projection at subsequent times. We will show later that the equation of the conic in homogeneous coordinates is fixed in time. We will also discuss flows that preserve a parametrization that is proportional to projective arclength; in that case, the corresponding condition in terms of curvatures is that $k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k'_1$ is a nonzero constant along the curve.

These investigations are much easier if, instead of k_2 , we use an invariant that vanishes precisely when the condition we are investigating holds. Accordingly, we fix a constant C , and define an alternative pair of invariants

$$u = k_1, \quad v = k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k'_1 - C. \tag{5.12}$$

Thus, the curve is a conic if $v = 0$ when $C = 0$, and the curve has a constant-speed parametrization (relative to projective arclength) if $v = 0$ when $C \neq 0$.

We will convert the evolution equations in the hierarchy (at the level of the invariants) to these variables. Suppose that a curve flow causes the invariants to evolve by

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = F[k_1, k_2],$$

where F is a vector-valued function of k_1, k_2 and their derivatives. Then the corresponding evolution equation for the alternative invariants is

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{G} \circ F[u, v - \frac{1}{2}u' + C], \quad \mathcal{G} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}D & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, if \mathcal{R} is the recursion operator generating the hierarchy of evolution equations for k_1, k_2 , then the recursion operator for the corresponding flows on u, v differs by a gauge transformation:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{G}^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{G}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}D & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(It is understood that, in \mathcal{R} on the right-hand side, k_1, k_2 are substituted for in terms of u, v .) Specifically, using $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{PQ}^{-1}$ as defined by (4.5), (4.10), we compute

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \tilde{F}_0 D^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{F}_1 D^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 3(v+C) & 2(u-D^2) \\ \mathcal{N} & 3(v+C) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{F}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{F}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2v' \\ \frac{2}{3}uu' - \frac{1}{6}u''' \end{pmatrix},$$

and \mathcal{N} is the scalar differential operator $\frac{1}{6}D^4 - \frac{5}{6}uD^2 - \frac{5}{4}u'D + \frac{2}{3}u^2 - \frac{3}{4}u''$. One can check that the vectors \tilde{F}_0, \tilde{F}_1 are the time derivatives of u, v , corresponding to the ‘seeds’ Z_0 and Z_1 for the hierarchy of curve flows.

By applying $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to \tilde{F}_0, \tilde{F}_1 , one can generate the right-hand sides of the evolution equations in the hierarchy in terms of u and v . Letting \tilde{F}_j denote these vectors, we compute (for example) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\tilde{F}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} -2v''' + 4(uv)' + 3Cu' \\ \frac{1}{6}u^{(5)} - uu''' - 2u'u'' + \frac{4}{3}u^2u' + (4v + 3C)v' \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{F}_3 = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\tilde{F}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}u^{(5)} + \frac{5}{3}(u^2u' - uu''') - \frac{25}{6}u'u'' + (10v + 6C)v' \\ \frac{1}{3}v^{(5)} - (\frac{5}{6}v + \frac{1}{2}C)u''' + \frac{5}{3}((u^2v)' - uv''' - u''v') - \frac{5}{2}u'v'' + 2Cu'u' \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, when applying D^{-1} to differential polynomials in u, v , the constant of integration is taken to be zero.

Notice in particular that if $v \equiv 0$, then the bottom component of $\tilde{F}_3 - 3C\tilde{F}_1$ vanishes. Thus, the flow $Z_3 - 3CZ_1$ preserves the condition that v is identically zero. In fact, we can calculate two infinite sequences of evolution equations for u, v that preserve this condition; the right-hand sides of these are

$$G_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-3C)^j \tilde{F}_{2(k-j)}, & k \text{ even}, \\ \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-3C)^j \tilde{F}_{2(k-j)+1}, & k \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

While it is routine to verify that any individual curvature evolution equation in these sequences preserves $v \equiv 0$, it is easier to observe that the members of these sequences satisfy the recursion relation $G_{k+2} = (\tilde{\mathcal{R}} - 3C)^2 G_k$. Then the fact that they all preserve $v \equiv 0$ is a consequence of the following:

Proposition 5.8. *If a curvature evolution $(u_t, v_t)^T = G_k[u, v]$ in this sequence preserves $v \equiv 0$, then so does the evolution $(u_t, v_t)^T = G_{k+2}[u, v]$.*

Proof. We assume that $G_k = (D\ell_1, D\ell_2)^T$ for local functions ℓ_1, ℓ_2 of u, v and their derivatives. (This form for G_k is necessary if we are able to apply operator $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to it and produce local functions.)

Within the ring of polynomials in u, v and their derivatives, let \mathcal{V} denote the ideal generated by v, v', v'', \dots . By hypothesis, $D\ell_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, and the same is true for ℓ_2 .

We compute

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{R}} - 3C)G_k = \ell_1 \tilde{F}_1 + \ell_2 \tilde{F}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 3vD\ell_1 + 2(u-D^2)D\ell_2 \\ \mathcal{N}D\ell_1 + 3vD\ell_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.14)$$

Thus, the bottom component of $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}} - 3C)^2 G_k$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{N} + \tilde{F}_{12}D^{-1})(\ell_1\tilde{F}_{11} + \ell_2\tilde{F}_{01} + 3vD\ell_1 + 2(u - D^2)D\ell_2) \\ & + (3v + \tilde{F}_{02}D^{-1})(\ell_1\tilde{F}_{12} + \ell_2\tilde{F}_{02} + \mathcal{N}D\ell_1 + 3vD\ell_2). \end{aligned}$$

(Here, \tilde{F}_{j1} and \tilde{F}_{j2} denote the top and bottom entries in the vector \tilde{F}_j .) The second factor in the top line is the top entry of $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}} - 3C)G_k$. This polynomial lies in \mathcal{V} , and the same is true if we apply \mathcal{N} or D^{-1} to it. On the other hand, because $\tilde{F}_{02} = v' \in \mathcal{V}$, the coefficient in front of the second line also vanishes when $v \equiv 0$. ■

In the special case when $C = 0$, we see that the following curve flows (as defined by (5.9)) preserve conicity:

$$Z_0, \quad Z_3, \quad Z_4, \quad Z_7, \quad Z_8, \quad Z_{11}, \quad Z_{12}, \quad \dots \quad (5.15)$$

However, when $C \neq 0$, some care needs to be taken in matching the evolution equations for u, v that preserve $v \equiv 0$ with the corresponding linear combinations of the curve flows Z_j .

In the proof of Proposition 5.8, we used the fact that if an exact derivative $D\ell$ lies in \mathcal{V} , then by choosing the constant of integration equal to zero, the antiderivative ℓ also lies in \mathcal{V} . However, if we express $D\ell$ in terms of k_1, k_2 instead of u, v , and then take an antiderivative, a particular constant of integration must be chosen in order to belong in \mathcal{V} . Thus, when we compute the k th evolution equation for u, v by using the recursion operator $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ (which involves applying D^{-1}), then convert this to an evolution equation for k_1, k_2 , and finally try to match it with a curve flow in the hierarchy (5.10), we get a linear combination of Z_k with lower-order flows of the same parity. For example, if we substitute (5.12) into \tilde{F}_2 , and then apply the operator \mathcal{G}^{-1} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{-1}\tilde{F}_2[k_1, k_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}k_1' - C] &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -k_1'''' - 2k_2'''' + 2k_1k_1'' + 4(k_1k_2)' + 2(k_1')^2 - Ck_1' \\ \frac{2}{3}k_1'''' + k_2'''' - 2k_1k_1''' - 4k_1'k_1'' + 4k_2k_2' - 2(k_1k_2')' + \frac{4}{3}(k_1')^2k_1' - Ck_2' \end{array} \right) \\ &= F_2 - CF_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \tilde{F}_2 is induced by the curve flow $Z_2 - CZ_0$; similarly, \tilde{F}_3 is induced by $Z_3 - 2CZ_1$, and so on.

Similarly, when we apply the recursion operator $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}} - 3C)^2$ to generate higher-order flows that preserve $v \equiv 0$, these constants of integration accumulate and change the relatively nice pattern of the coefficients exhibited by (5.13). Here is what we get when we compute the first few curve evolutions corresponding to the evolutions G_k :

u, v evolution	centroaffine curve flow
G_0	Z_0
G_1	$Z_3 - 5CZ_1$
G_2	$Z_4 - 7CZ_2 + 14C^2Z_0$
G_3	$Z_7 - 11CZ_5 + 44C^2Z_3 - \frac{220}{3}C^3Z_1$
G_4	$Z_8 - 13CZ_6 + 65C^2Z_4 - \frac{455}{3}C^3Z_2 + \frac{455}{3}C^4Z_0$

5.4 Conical evolutions and the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy

In this section we examine special properties of the conicity-preserving flows (5.15) (hence, from now on we are assuming $C = 0$). These properties will enable us to connect our hierarchy of centroaffine curve flows in \mathbb{R}^3 with the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy and with curve flows in centroaffine \mathbb{R}^2 . We begin with the observation that, when restricted to conical curves, the coefficient of γ'' vanishes for as many of the vector fields in (5.15) as one cares to check. In other words, this coefficient belongs to \mathcal{V} , the ideal within the ring of differential polynomials in k_1, k_2 generated by $k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_1'$ and its derivatives. In fact, this is true in general, as shown in the following:

Proposition 5.9. *If $j \equiv 0$ or $j \equiv -1$ modulo 4, then the bottom component of $E\rho_j$ belongs in \mathcal{V} ; hence, the γ'' coefficient of Z_j vanishes on conical curves.*

Proof. The statement can be verified directly for $j = 0$ and $j = 3$. For higher values, we use the recursion relation between the characteristics, which implies that $E\rho_{j+4} = (\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P})^2 E\rho_j$. From Proposition 5.8 we know that the bottom component of $\tilde{F}_j = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}E\rho_j$ lies in \mathcal{V} . By inserting powers of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}^{-1} into the recursion relation, we get

$$E\rho_{j+4} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}E\rho_j = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{G}^{-1}\tilde{F}_j.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.8, we can assume that $\tilde{F}_j = (D\ell_1, D\ell_2)^T$ where $\ell_2 \in \mathcal{V}$. Taking $C = 0$ in equation (5.14), we see that the top entry of $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{G}^{-1}\tilde{F}_j = \mathcal{G}^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}\tilde{F}_j$ is

$$\ell_1\tilde{F}_{11} + \ell_2\tilde{F}_{01} + 3vD\ell_1 + 2(u - D^2)D\ell_2, \quad (5.16)$$

which clearly is in \mathcal{V} . (Note that $v = k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k'_1$ here.) Noting the form of \mathcal{Q} , we see that the bottom entry of $E\rho_{j+4}$ is D^{-1} applied to (5.16), so it must also belong to \mathcal{V} . ■

Next, we make a connection with curve flows in centraffine \mathbb{R}^2 to show that, for the flows in (5.15), the cone that the curve lies on is preserved by the time evolution.

Proposition 5.10. *If $\gamma(x, t)$ evolves by any of the vector fields in (5.15), and $\gamma(x, 0)$ lies on a cone through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 , then $\gamma(x, t)$ lies on the same cone at later times.*

Proof. Using the action of $\text{SL}(3)$ we can, without loss of generality, assume that the equation of the cone is $y_1y_3 - (y_2)^2 = 0$. We fix a map \mathbf{V} from \mathbb{R}^2 onto this cone:

$$\mathbf{V} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2^{-1/3} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course, when we projectivize on each end this gives the *Veronese embedding* of \mathbb{RP}^1 as a quadric in \mathbb{RP}^2 . The scale factor of $2^{-1/3}$ is chosen so that if $X(x)$ is a parametrized curve in \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying the centraffine normalization $|X, X'| = 1$, then $\Gamma = \mathbf{V} \circ X$ satisfies the normalization $|\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma''| = 1$. Moreover, if $p(x)$ is the curvature of X , then the invariants of Γ are $k_1 = -4p$ and $k_2 = 2p'$. Finally, if X evolves by the non-stretching flow

$$X_t = rX' - \frac{1}{2}r'X, \quad (5.17)$$

then $\Gamma(x, t) = \mathbf{V} \circ X(x, t)$ satisfies $\Gamma_t = r\Gamma' - r'\Gamma$.

By Proposition 5.9 all the flows in (5.15), when restricted to conical curves, are of this form, for some choice of differential polynomial r in k_1 . For any initial data $\gamma(x, 0)$, we can define a curve $X(x, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\gamma(x, 0) = \mathbf{V} \circ X(x, 0)$ and make $X(x, t)$ evolve by (5.17). Because $\Gamma(x, t) = \mathbf{V} \circ X(x, t)$ satisfies the same initial value problem, then $\gamma(x, t) = \Gamma(x, t)$ at all times, and $\gamma(x, t)$ lies on the cone defined by $y_1y_3 - (y_2)^2 = 0$ at all times. ■

In [4] Chou and Qu discovered a non-stretching flow for curves in centraffine \mathbb{R}^3 which preserves the conicity condition $k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k'_1 = 0$ and which causes the curvature k_1 to evolve by the Kaup–Kuperschmidt equation:

$$u_t = u'''' - 5uu''' - \frac{25}{2}u'u'' + 5u^2u'$$

(see Case 3 in Section 3 of their paper, taking $\lambda = 0$). In fact, up to a multiplicative factor of $1/3$, Chou and Qu's flow is the same as the restriction of Z_3 to conical curves.

Not only does flow Z_3 give a geometric realization of the Kaup–Kuperschmidt equation, but the entire sequence (5.15) of flows realizes the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy, when restricted to conical curves. To see this, note that the *square* of the recursion operator $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ relates the evolution of $k_1 = u$ under Z_j to its evolution under Z_{j+4} . (Here, we use the notation of Section 5.3 but with $C = 0$ and $v = 0$ because of conicity.) The resulting recursion operator is

$$-\frac{1}{3}D^6 + 2uD^4 + 6u'D^3 + \left(\frac{49}{6}u'' - 3u^2\right)D^2 + \left(\frac{35}{6}u''' - 10uu''\right)D + \frac{13}{6}u'''' - \frac{41}{6}uu'' \\ - \frac{23}{4}(u')^2 + \frac{4}{3}u^3 + u'D^{-1} \circ \left(\frac{1}{3}u^2 - \frac{1}{6}u''\right) + \frac{1}{3}(u'''' - 5uu''' - \frac{25}{2}u'u'' + 5u^2u')D^{-1}.$$

This agrees with the known recursion operator for symmetries of the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy. (See, e.g., Example 2.20 in [29], where the operator differs by changing u to $-u$ and rescaling time by a factor of $1/3$.) Using this, one can check that the curvature flows induced by (5.15) for conical curves coincide with the commuting flows of the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy.

Remark 5.11. The curve flow discovered by Chou and Qu is in fact also defined for centroaffine curves parametrized proportional to projective arclength (i.e., those for which $k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_1'$ is a constant), and nevertheless still induces Kaup–Kuperschmidt evolution for k_1 . Recently, Musso [20] has extended this to a hierarchy of flows for arclength-parametrized curves in \mathbb{RP}^2 which induces the Kaup–Kuperschmidt hierarchy for curvature evolution. We suspect that these flows coincide with the restrictions of the flows studied in Section 5.3 (for $C \neq 0$) to the centroaffine lifts of such curves in \mathbb{RP}^2 .

Remark 5.12. Schwartz and Tabachnikov [28] showed that certain maps defined on the space of convex polygons preserve the subset of polygons that are inscribed (or circumscribed) on a conic: that is, if the vertices of the polygon (or those of its projective dual) lie on a conic, then the same is true for its image under the map. The building blocks for these maps are elementary maps T_r that associate to a given polygon another polygon obtained from the intersections of diagonals joining each vertex to the vertex located r positions to the left or right of it. In fact, the maps preserving conicity are particular combinations of T_r for certain values of r .

The map corresponding to $r = 2$ is called the *pentagram map* and it is known to be a discretization (in both time and space) of the Boussinesq equation [24]. It is natural to wonder if the maps in [28] are somehow associated to flows in the Boussinesq hierarchy. We are currently investigating this.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the careful and detailed work of the anonymous referees in helping improve this paper. The authors also gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation: A. Calini through grants DMS-0608587 and DMS-1109017, and as a current NSF employee; T. Ivey through grant DMS-0608587; and G. Marí Beffa through grant DMS-0804541. T. Ivey also acknowledges support from the College of Charleston Mathematics Department. G. Marí Beffa also acknowledges the support of the Simons Foundation through their Fellows program.

References

- [1] Arnold V.I., Khesin B.A., Topological methods in hydrodynamics, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [2] Brylinski J.-L., Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization, *Progress in Mathematics*, Vol. 107, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [3] Calini A., Ivey T., Marí Beffa G., Remarks on KdV-type flows on star-shaped curves, *Phys. D* **238** (2009), 788–797, [arXiv:0808.3593](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.3593).

- [4] Chou K.S., Qu C., Integrable motions of space curves in affine geometry, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **14** (2002), 29–44.
- [5] Dickson R., Gesztesy F., Unterkofler K., Algebro-geometric solutions of the Boussinesq hierarchy, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 823–879, [solv-int/9809004](#).
- [6] Doliwa A., Santini P.M., An elementary geometric characterization of the integrable motions of a curve, *Phys. Lett. A* **185** (1994), 373–384.
- [7] Dorfman I., Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations, *Nonlinear Science: Theory and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993.
- [8] Drinfel'd V.G., Sokolov V.V., Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type, *J. Sov. Math.* **30** (1985), 1975–2036.
- [9] Hasimoto R., A soliton on a vortex filament, *J. Fluid Mech.* **51** (1972), 477–485.
- [10] Huang R., Singer D.A., A new flow on starlike curves in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 2725–2735.
- [11] Lamb G.L., Solitons on moving space curves, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977), 1654–1661.
- [12] Langer J., Recursion in curve geometry, *New York J. Math.* **5** (1999), 25–51.
- [13] Langer J., Perline R., Local geometric invariants of integrable evolution equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994), 1732–1737, [solv-int/9401001](#).
- [14] Langer J., Perline R., Poisson geometry of the filament equation, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **1** (1991), 71–93.
- [15] Marí Beffa G., Bi-Hamiltonian flows and their realizations as curves in real semisimple homogeneous manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.* **247** (2010), 163–188.
- [16] Marí Beffa G., Poisson brackets associated to the conformal geometry of curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 2799–2827.
- [17] Marí Beffa G., The theory of differential invariants and KdV Hamiltonian evolutions, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **127** (1999), 363–391.
- [18] Marsden J., Weinstein A., Coadjoint orbits, vortices, and Clebsch variables for incompressible fluids, *Phys. D* **7** (1983), 305–323.
- [19] Mokhov O., Symplectic and Poisson geometry on loop spaces of manifolds and nonlinear equations, in *Topics in Topology and Mathematical Physics*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, Vol. 170, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, 121–151, [hep-th/9503076](#).
- [20] Musso E., Motions of curves in the projective plane inducing the Kaup–Kupershmidt hierarchy, *SIGMA* **8** (2012), 030, 20 pages, [arXiv:1205.5329](#).
- [21] Nakayama K., Motion of curves in hyperboloid in the Minkowski space, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **67** (1998), 3031–3037.
- [22] Olver P.J., Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. 107, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [23] Olver P.J., Moving frames and differential invariants in centro-affine geometry, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **31** (2010), 77–89.
- [24] Ovsienko V., Schwartz R., Tabachnikov S., The pentagram map: A discrete integrable system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **299** (2010), 409–446, [arXiv:0810.5605](#).
- [25] Ovsienko V., Tabachnikov S., Projective differential geometry old and new. From the Schwarzian derivative to the cohomology of diffeomorphism groups, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Vol. 165, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [26] Pinkall U., Hamiltonian flows on the space of star-shaped curves, *Results Math.* **27** (1995), 328–332.
- [27] Sanders J.A., Wang J.P., Integrable systems and their recursion operators, *Nonlinear Anal.* **47** (2001), 5213–5240.
- [28] Schwartz R.E., Tabachnikov S., Elementary surprises in projective geometry, *Math. Intelligencer* **32** (2010), 31–34, [arXiv:0910.1952](#).
- [29] Wang J.P., A list of $1 + 1$ dimensional integrable equations and their properties, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **9** (2002), suppl. 1, 213–233.
- [30] Wilczynski E.J., Projective differential geometry of curves and ruled surfaces, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1906.