About K^o , \bar{K}^o meson oscillations at strangeness violation by weak interactions without and with taking into account meson decays

Kh. M. Beshtoev

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia.

Abstract

This work considers K^0, \bar{K}^0 meson mixings and oscillations via K_1^0, K_2^0 meson states at strangeness violation by weak interactions in two cases - without and with taking into account K_1^0, K_2^0 meson decays. In the first case the oscillation theory correctly describes $K^o, \bar{K}^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ transition processes. In the second case, when we take into account meson decays, the oscillation theory cannot correctly describe this process at long distances since mainly K_2^o mesons remain at long distances therefore the condition for oscillations is absent. So, at long distances in this process only long living K_2^0 mesons are present but not K^o, \bar{K}^o mesons.

PACS: 14.60.Pq; 14.60.Lm

1 Introduction

Oscillations of K^o mesons (i.e., $K^o \leftrightarrow \overline{K}^o$) were theoretically [1] and experimentally [2] investigated in the 50-s and 60-s. Recently an understanding has been achieved that these processes go as a double-stadium process [3, 4, 5, 6]. A detailed study of K^o meson mixing and oscillations is very important since the theory of neutrino oscillations is built in analogy with the theory of K^o meson oscillations.

It is necessary to remark that in literature devoted to this subject K_1^o, K_2^o mesons are seldom mentioned. These mesons appear at violation of strangeness -S (see [7]). However, taking into account these states is very important since the weak interaction process with S violation is faster than the weak interaction process with CP violation, i.e., first the K_1^o, K_2^o mesons are produced and then - the K_S, K_L meson states. It is well seen from a very small probability of CP violation in the system of K^o mesons. We cannot correctly understand the K^o processes if we do not take into account the presence of K_1^o, K_2^o meson states.

A phenomenological analysis of K^o meson processes was done in [8, 9]. In this work another approach is used to consider K^o meson processes. This work is based on the principles of the quantum field theory or particle physics. It is supposed that particles (K^o mesons) while production have no widths for decomposition, i.e., they can only decay in a usual way, as is in the case of particle physics. This remark is important since in this case particles cannot form wave packets and the wave packets then can be formed only from a big number of identical particles (mesons). The assumption that K^o mesons can be considered as wave packets is a hypothesis and has at present neither experimental nor theoretical confirmation. But at the same time, from our experience in particle physics we can make a conclusion that elementary particles have no widths to consider them as wave packets.

At first we consider mixings and oscillations of K^o , \bar{K}^o mesons at violation of strangeness - S in the weak interactions without taking into account the decay widths of K_1^o , K_2^o mesons and then we consider mixings and oscillations of K^o , \bar{K}^o mesons taking into account the decay widths of K_1^o , K_2^o mesons.

2 Vacuum mixings and oscillations of K^o , \overline{K}^o mesons at strangeness violation by weak interactions without taking into account decay widths

At strangeness violation the K^o , \bar{K}^o states are transformed into superposition states of K_1^o, K_2^o meson states:

$$K^{o} = \cos\theta K_{1}^{o} + \sin\theta K_{2}^{o},$$

$$\bar{K}^{o} = -\sin\theta K_{1}^{o} + \cos\theta \bar{K}_{2}^{o}.$$
(3)

and the inverse transformation gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1^o &= \cos\theta K^o - \sin\theta \bar{K}^o, \\
K_2^o &= \sin\theta K^o + \cos\theta \bar{K}^o.
\end{aligned}$$
(4)

For CPT invariance of the weak interactions $m_{K^oK^o} = m_{\bar{K}^o\bar{K}^o}$ then mixing angle θ will be equal to $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Then from expressions (3) and (4) we get the following:

$$K^{o} = \frac{K_{1}^{o} + K_{2}^{o}}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \bar{K}^{o} = \frac{K_{1}^{o} - K_{2}^{o}}{\sqrt{2}}; \qquad (5)$$

$$K_1^o = \frac{K^o - \bar{K}^o}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad K_2^o = \frac{K^o + \bar{K}^o}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (5')

The length of K^o meson oscillations at low velocities v is:

$$L = vt = \frac{2\pi v}{|m_1 - m_2|} = \frac{2\pi p_{K^o}}{2m_{K^o} 2m_{K^o\bar{K}^o}} = \frac{2\pi p_{K^o}}{(m_2^2 - m_1^2)}.$$
(6)

In the standard approach [8], [9] [10] to K^o meson oscillations it is supposed that K^o mesons are produced at once in the form of superposition states (4). It means that while producing K^o , \bar{K}^o mesons their mass matrix has a nondiagonal form. In order to find their eigenstates, we have to diagonalize this matrix. Then we see that their eigenstates are K_1^o -, K_2^o mesons, i.e., this case has to produce K_1^o -, K_2^o mesons but not K^o , \bar{K}^o mesons.

As a matter of fact since K^o mesons are eigenstates of strong interactions they cannot be produced in superposition states of K_1^o -, K_2^o mesons. K^o mesons become superposition states of K_1^o -, K_2^o mesons when weak interactions transform them in a superposition of eigenstates. It is important to note that the weak interactions in contrast to the strong interactions will produce K_1^o , K_2^o meson states. Now we come to a detailed consideration of K^o meson oscillations in the framework of the mass mixing scheme.

The mass matrix of K^o mesons has the following form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{K^o} & 0\\
0 & m_{\bar{K}^o}
\end{array}\right).$$
(7)

Strangeness is violated due to the weak interactions and in this mass matrix nondiagonal terms appear, then it gets the following form (CP is conserved):

$$\begin{pmatrix}
m_{K^o} & m_{K^o\bar{K}^o} \\
m_{\bar{K}^oK^o} & m_{\bar{K}^o}
\end{pmatrix}.$$
(8)

At diagonalization of this matrix we obtain K_1^o, K_2^o meson states and the states K^o, \bar{K}^o are transformed in superposition states of K_1^o, K_2^o states (see expression (4)). Expressions for their angle mixing and masses are given with expressions (10)-(12) in work [11].

The expression for $sin^2 2\theta$ is given by (θ is the angle of mixing):

$$\sin^2 2\theta = \frac{(2m_{K^o\bar{K}^o})^2}{(m_{K^o} - m_{\bar{K}^o})^2 + (2m_{K^o\bar{K}^o})^2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} m_{K_1^o} & 0\\ 0 & m_{K_2^o} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

The evolution of K_1^o, K_2^o meson states with masses m_1, m_2 will be given with the following expression:

$$K_1^o(t) = e^{-iE_1t} K_1^o(0), \qquad K_2^o(t) = e^{-iE_2t} K_2^o(0),$$
 (10)

where

$$E_k^2 = (p^2 + m_k^2), k = 1, 2.$$

If these mesons are moving without interactions, then

$$K^{o}(t) = \cos\theta e^{-iE_{1}t} K^{o}_{1}(0) + \sin\theta e^{-iE_{2}t} K^{o}_{2}(0),$$

$$\bar{K}^{o}(t) = -\sin\theta e^{-iE_{1}t} K^{o}_{1}(0) + \cos\theta e^{-iE_{2}t} K^{o}_{2}(0).$$
(11)

Using expression (3) for K_1^o K_2^o and putting them in (11), we obtain

$$K^{o}(t) = \left[e^{-iE_{1}t}\cos^{2}\theta + e^{-iE_{2}t}\sin^{2}\theta\right]K^{o}(0) + \left[e^{-iE_{1}t} - e^{-iE_{2}t}\right]\sin\theta\cos\theta\bar{K}^{o}(0),$$
(12)

$$\bar{K}^{o}(t) = \left[e^{-iE_{1}t}sin^{2}\theta + e^{-iE_{2}t}cos^{2}\theta\right]\bar{K}^{o}(0) + \left[e^{-iE_{1}t} - e^{-iE_{2}t}\right]sin\theta cos\theta\bar{K}^{o}(0).$$

The probability that meson K^o produced in moment t = 0 will be in moment $t \neq 0$ in state $\bar{K}^o(0)$ meson, is given by a squared absolute value of the amplitude in (12), i.e.,

$$P(K^{o} \to \bar{K}^{o}) = |(\bar{K}^{o}(0) \cdot K^{o}(t))|^{2} =$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta \left[1 - \cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t)\right] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t)\right],$ (13)

where $\theta = \pi/4$. And the probability that meson K^o produced at moment t = 0 will be at moment $t \neq 0$ in the state of K^o meson, is given by expression

$$P(K^{o} \to K^{o}) = |(\bar{K}^{o}(0) \cdot K^{o}(t))|^{2} =$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta \left[1 + \cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t)\right] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t)\right].$ (14)

From expressions (13) and (14) we see that at $(E_2 - E_1)t = 2\pi n$ there will be only K^o state and at $(E_2 - E_1)t = \pi n$ there will be only \bar{K}^o state (where n is an integer number). If there is no K^o meson decay then these oscillations will continue endlessly. Below we will consider the case when mesons will decay.

Then in the ultrarelativistic case the length L_{12} of K^o , \bar{K}^o meson oscillations is as follows:

$$L_{12} = \frac{\gamma}{2\Delta} \equiv \frac{2\pi h c \gamma}{2\Delta}.$$
(15)

And the numerical value for the length of R_{21} of K^0 , \overline{K}^0 oscillations is

$$R_{21} \cong \frac{\gamma}{2\Delta} \equiv \frac{2\pi h c \gamma}{2\Delta} = 0.352 \gamma[m], \tag{16}$$

where γ is a usual relativistic factor.

Figure 1 gives probabilities of primary K^o meson transitions in vacuum without taking K_1^o, K_2^o decays into account.

It is necessary to remark that $CPK_1^o = K_1^o$ and $CPK_2^o = -K_2^o$, i.e., CP parity K_1^o meson is a positive value and it can decay into two π mesons, and CP parity of K_2^o meson is a negative value and it can decay into three π mesons. The ratio between the life time of K_2^o and K_1^o is $\frac{\tau_{K_2}}{\tau_{K_1}} = 580$. The case of CP violation will be considered in another work.



Figure 1. Probabilities of primary K^o meson transitions into K^o, \bar{K}^o in vacuum without taking K_1^o, K_2^o decays into account $\left(t = \frac{t(sec.)}{\tau_{k_2^0}} \cong \frac{t(sec.)}{\tau_{k_s}}\right)$.

3 Vacuum mixings and oscillations of K^o , \bar{K}^o mesons at strangeness violation by weak interactions taking into account decay widths

Taking into account that K_1^o, K_2^o decay and have decay widths - Γ_1, Γ_2 , we get that K_1^o, K_2^o mesons with masses m_1, m_2 will evolve in dependence on time according to the following law [12]:

$$K_1^o(t) = e^{-iE_1t - \frac{\Gamma_1 t}{2}} K_1^o(0), \qquad K_2^o(t) = e^{-iE_2t - \frac{\Gamma_2 t}{2}} K_2^o(0), \tag{17}$$

 $E_2 - E_1$ is given by expression (25) in [11] and it is equal to $\frac{2m_{K^o}\Delta}{E_{K^o}}$

$$E_2 - E_1 \simeq \frac{2m_{K^o}\Delta}{E_{K^o}}.$$
(18)

In this work we suppose that $\Gamma_k = \gamma \Gamma_k^o$, where Γ_k^o is K_k^o meson width in rest and $\gamma = E_k/m_k$ is a usual relativistic factor (k = 1, 2).

Then for K^o K^o we obtain

$$K^{o}(t) = \left[e^{-iE_{1}t - \frac{\Gamma_{1}t}{2}} \cos^{2}\theta + e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}t}{2}} \sin^{2}\theta \right] K^{o}(0) + \\ + \left[e^{-iE_{1}t - \Gamma_{1}/2} - e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}t}{2}} \right] \sin\theta \cos\theta \bar{K}^{o}(0), \tag{19}$$
$$\bar{K}^{o}(t) = \left[e^{-iE_{1}t - \frac{\Gamma_{1}t}{2}} \sin^{2}\theta + e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}t}{2}} \cos^{2}\theta \right] \bar{K}^{o}(0) +$$

+
$$\left[e^{-iE_1t-\frac{\Gamma_1t}{2}}-e^{-iE_2t-\frac{\Gamma_2t}{2}}\right]sin\theta cos\theta \bar{K}^o(0).$$

The probability that meson K^o produced at moment t = 0 will be at moment $t \neq 0$ in the state of \bar{K}^o meson, is given by a squared absolute value of the amplitude in (19), i.e.:

$$P(K^{o} \to \bar{K}^{o}) = |(\bar{K}^{o}(0) \cdot K^{o}(t))|^{2} = \cos^{2}\theta \sin^{2}\theta \cdot [e^{-\Gamma_{1}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{2}t} - 2e^{-\frac{(\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2})t}{2}}\cos((E_{2}-E_{1})t)],$$
(20)

since $\cos^2\theta = \sin^2\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$P(K^{o} \to \bar{K}^{o}) = \frac{1}{4} \left[e^{-\Gamma_{1}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{2}t} - 2e^{-\frac{(\Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2})t}{2}} \cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t) \right],$$
(21)

and

$$P(K^{o} \to K^{o}) = P(\bar{K}^{o} \to \bar{K}^{o}) =$$

= $\frac{1}{4} [e^{-\Gamma_{1}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{2}t} + 2e^{-\frac{(\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2})t}{2}} cos((E_{2} - E_{1})t)],$ (22)

where $E_2 - E_1$ is determined by expression (18).

Figure 2 gives transition probabilities (expr. (22)) of primary K^o meson into K^o ($K^o \to K^o$) and Figure 3 shows transition probabilities (expr. (21)) of primary K^o meson into \bar{K}^o ($K^o \to \bar{K}^o$) in vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays in dependence on time. Presence of K^o, \bar{K}^o mesons at oscillations is detected via their decay into $K^o \to \pi^- \nu_e e^+$ and $\bar{K}^o \to \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$.



Figure 2. Transition probabilities (expr. (22)) of primary K^o meson into K^o ($K^o \rightarrow K^o$) in vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays in dependence on time.



Figure 3. Transition probabilities (expr. (21)) of primary K^o meson into $K^o \to \bar{K}^o$ in vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays in dependence on time.

From expressions (21), (22) and Figures 2, 3 we see that at big distances the long living terms $\frac{1}{4}e^{-\Gamma_2 t}$ remain. The sum of these two terms is $\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma_2 t}$. It means that at long distances this term is present. It is interesting to know how this process arises at oscillations. From expressions (21), (22) we see that since $\Gamma_1 >> \Gamma_2$ then the term $e^{-\Gamma_1 t}$ will vanish much faster than the term $e^{-\Gamma_2 t}$. Since oscillations between K^o, \bar{K}^o can be realized only between equal portions of numbers of $e^{-\Gamma_1 t}$ and $e^{-\Gamma_2 t}$ then residuary without partner K_2^0 mesons cannot participate in oscillations and will slowly decay.

The same result can be obtained using expressions(5) and (17), then

$$K^{o}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-iE_{1}t - \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2}t} K_{1}^{o}(0) + e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2}t} K_{2}^{o}(0) \right),$$

$$\bar{K}^{o}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-iE_{1}t - \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2}t} K_{1}^{o}(0) - e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2}t} K_{2}^{o}(0) \right).$$
(23)

From expressions in (5) we see that at big distances $(t \gg \frac{1}{\Gamma_1})$ since $\Gamma_1 \gg \Gamma_2$ we obtain that

$$K^{o}(t \gg \frac{1}{\Gamma_{1}}) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2}t} K_{2}^{o}(0) \right),$$

$$\bar{K}^{o}(t \gg \frac{1}{\Gamma_{1}}) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-e^{-iE_{2}t - \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2}t} K_{2}^{o}(0) \right).$$
(24)

In reality at big distances the $K_2^o(0)$ states remain and there are no K^o , \bar{K}^o although in the above transition probabilities we have to see these mesons. Therefore we have to come to a conclusion that at big distances the standard expressions for transition probabilities obtained in the framework of the oscillation theory are incorrect in the case when meson decays are present. This is the main result of this work. The author would like to draw the attention to that.

For clearness, as an example, below we give two Figures (4 and 5) for transition probabilities where the expression for a probability of K_2^o decay is included.

Figure 4 gives transition probabilities of primary K^o meson into \bar{K}^o ($K^o \to \bar{K}^o$) together with the expression for K_2^o meson decay probability. And Figure 5 gives transition probabilities of primary K^o meson into K^o ($K^o \to \bar{K}^o$) in vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays in dependence on time and expression for K_2^o meson decay probability. It is implied that a real probability of $K^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ transitions is obtained after subtraction of the long living tail.



Figure 4. Transition probabilities of the primary K^o meson into \bar{K}^o in vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays and expression for K_2^o decay in dependence on time.



Figure 5. Transition probabilities of the primary K^o meson into $K^o \to \overline{K}^o$ in

vacuum taking into account K_1^o, K_2^o decays in dependence on time.

4 Conclusion

This work was devoted to consideration of K^o meson oscillations at strangeness violation in weak interactions via K_1^o, K_2^o mesons in two cases - without taking meson decays into account and with them. It is noted that in the first case the theory of K^o oscillations works well while describing $K^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ and $\bar{K}^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ meson transitions. But in the second case, when we take K_1^o, K_2^o meson decays into account, the expression for transition probabilities $K^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ and $\bar{K}^o \to K^o, \bar{K}^o$ mesons does not work correctly since at long distances indeed very small K_1^o mesons remain and then their oscillations are absent. At long distances mainly K_2^o mesons are present and the condition for oscillations to appear is absent.

So, at long distances in this process long living K_2^0 mesons but not K^o, \bar{K}^o mesons in contrast to standard expressions (20)-(22), are present. That was confirmed by the experiments [8, 9, 13]. The main result of this work is to stress this feature of the theory of oscillations. It is necessary to emphasize that the presence of K^o, \bar{K}^o mesons at oscillations is detected via their decay into $K^o \to \pi^- \nu_e e^+$ and $\bar{K}^o \to \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$. Also it is necessary to stress that for presence of CP violation in the weak interactions K_1^0, K_2^0 mesons transformed into superposition states of K_S, K_L mesons.

The analogues analysis can be also used while considering CP violation in the weak interactions.

References

- Gell-Mann M., Pais A., Phys. Rev., 1955, v.97, p.1387;
 Pais A., Piccioni O., Phys. Rev., 1955, v.100, p.1487;
 Okun L. B., Weak intreactions of elementary particles, Fismatizdat, M, 1963.
- [2] Treiman S. B., Sachs R. S., Phys. Rev., 1956, v.103, p.1545.
- [3] Beshtoev Kh. M., IL Nuovo Cim.A, 1995, v.168, p.275.
- Beshtoev Kh. M., JINR Rapid Communications N3(71)-95;
 The Intern. Sym. on Weak and Electrom. Inter. in Nuclei, 1995, June, Osaka, Japan, p.15.
- [5] Beshtoev Kh. M., Proc. of 24-th Intern. Cosmic ray Conf., Rome, 1995. vol.4, p.1237;

- [6] Beshtoev Kh. M., Proceedings of 4-th Int. School "Particles and Cosmology", Baksan, 1995 (World Scient., Singapoure, P.290)
- [7] Phys. Lett.B, Review of Part. Phys,2008, v. 667, p. 44.
- [8] Commins E.D., Bucksbaum P.H., Weak Interactions of Leptons and Quarks, Cambridge ..., 1983.
- [9] Okun L. B., Lepton and Quarks, M., Nauka, 1990;
- [10] Bilenky S.M., Pontecorvo B.M., Phys. Rep., 1978, v.41, P.226;
- [11] Beshtoev Kh. M., JINR Communications E2-2011-48, Dubna, 2011.
- [12] Gjestal S. et al., Phys. Lett., 1974, v.B52, p.113.
- [13] Gjestal S. et al., Phys. Lett., 1974, v.B52, p.113.