Extended Fourier analysis of signals

Abstract. This summary of the doctoral thesis [8] is created to emphasize the close connection of the proposed spectral analysis method with the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), the most extensively studied and frequently used approach in the history of signal processing. It is shown that in a typical application case, where uniform data readings are transformed to the same number of uniformly spaced frequencies, the results of the classical DFT and proposed approach coincide. The difference in performance appears when the length of the DFT is selected greater than the length of the data. The DFT solves the unknown data problem by padding readings with zeros up to the length of the DFT, while the proposed Extended DFT (EDFT) deals with this situation in a different way, it uses the Fourier integral transform as a target and optimizes the transform basis in the extended frequency set without putting such restrictions on the time domain. Consequently, the Inverse DFT (IDFT) applied to the result of EDFT returns not only known readings, but also the extrapolated data, where classical DFT is able to give back just zeros, and higher resolution are achieved at frequencies where the data has been extrapolated successfully. It has been demonstrated that EDFT able to process data with missing readings or gaps inside or even nonuniformly distributed data. Thus, EDFT significantly extends the usability of the DFT based methods, where previously these approaches have been considered as not applicable [10-45]. The EDFT founds the solution in an iterative way and requires repeated calculations to get the adaptive basis, and this makes it numerical complexity much higher compared to DFT. This disadvantage was a serious problem in the 1990s, when the method has been proposed. Fortunately, since then the power of computers has increased so much that nowadays EDFT application could be considered as a real alternative.

1 Introduction

A Fourier transform is a powerful tool for signal analysis and representation of a real or complex-valued function of time \( x(t) \) (hereinafter referred to as the signal) in the frequency domain

\[
F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt, \quad (1.1)
\]

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega. \quad (1.2)
\]

The Fourier transforms orthogonality property provides a basis for the signal selective frequency analysis

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\omega_0 t} e^{j\omega t} dt = 2\pi \delta (\omega - \omega_0), \quad (2)
\]

where \( \omega, \omega_0 \) are cyclic frequencies, \( j \) is an imaginary number such that \( j^2 = -1 \) and \( \delta (\omega - \omega_0) \) is the Dirac delta function. Unfortunately, the Fourier transforms calculation according to (1.1) requiring knowledge of the signal \( x(t) \) as well as performing of integration operation in the infinite time interval. Therefore, for practical evaluation of (1.1) numerically, the observation period and the interval of integration is always limited by some finite value \( \Theta \) and the signal is known in the time interval \( -\Theta/2 \leq t \leq \Theta/2 \). The same applies to the Fourier analysis of the signal sampled versions - nonuniformly sampled signal \( x(t_k) \) or uniformly sampled signal \( x(kT) \) for \( k=-\infty, \ldots, -1,0,1,\ldots, +\infty \). Only a finite length sequence \( x(t_k) \) or \( x(kT), k=0,1,2,\ldots,K-1, \) are subject of Fourier analysis, where \( K \) is a discrete sequence length, \( T \) is sampling period, and the signal observation period is equal to \( \Theta=t_{K+1}-t_0 \) or \( \Theta=KT \). To avoid aliasing and satisfy the Nyquist limit, uniform sampling of continuous time signals should be performed with the sampling period \( T \leq \pi/\Omega \), where \( \Omega \) is the upper cyclic frequency of a signal \( x(t) \). Although nonuniform sampling has no such a strict limitation on the mean sampling period \( T=\Theta/K \), in the subsequent analysis we suppose that both sequences, \( x(t_k) \) and \( x(kT) \), are derived from a band-limited in \( \Omega \) signal \( x(t) \). Let's write the basic expressions of classical and extended Fourier analysis of continuous time signal \( x(t) \) and its sampled versions \( x(t_k) \) and \( x(kT) \).
2 Problem formulation

“The formulation of a problem is often more essential than its solution which may be merely a matter of mathematical or experimental skill.”

Albert Einstein

2.1 Basic expressions of classical Fourier analysis

The classical Fourier analysis dealing with the following finite time Fourier transforms

\[ F_\Theta(\omega) = \int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} x(t)e^{-j\omega t} dt, \]  
\[ F_\Theta(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(t_k)e^{-j\omega t_k}, \]  
\[ F_\Theta(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(kT)e^{-j\omega kT}, \]  
\[ x_\Theta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} F_\Theta(\omega)e^{j\omega t} d\omega, \]

where (3.2) is the inverse Fourier transform obtained from (1.2) for a band-limited in \( \Theta \) signal. Transforms (3.1b) and (3.1c) are known as Discrete Time Fourier Transforms (DTFT) of the nonuniformly and uniformly sampled signals. The reconstructed signal \( x_\Theta(t) \) outside the observation period \( \Theta \) vanishes quickly reaching values close to zeros. The signal amplitude spectrum is the Fourier transform (3.1) divided by the observation period

\[ S_\Theta(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Theta} F_\Theta(\omega). \]

The frequency resolution of the classical Fourier analysis is inversely proportional to the observation period \( \Theta \), thus, the longer interval of signal analysis, the higher resolution is achieved. Obviously, one can get the formula (3.1a) by truncation of infinite integration limits in (1.1) and the DTFT (3.1a) and (3.1b) in a result of replacement of infinite sums by finite ones. This mean, the classical Fourier analysis supposed that the signal outside \( \Theta \) is zeros. In other words, the Fourier transform calculation by formulas (3.1) is well justified if applied to time-limited within \( \Theta \) signals. On the other hand, a band-limited in \( \Omega \) signal cannot be also time-limited and obviously have nonzero values outside \( \Theta \). Generally, the Fourier analysis results obtained by using the exponential basis tend to the Fourier transform, if \( \Theta \to \infty \), while in any finite \( \Theta \) there may exist another transform basis providing a more accurate estimation of (1.1).

2.2 Basic expressions of extended Fourier analysis

The idea of extended Fourier analysis is finding the transform basis, applicable to a band-limited signals registered in the finite time interval \( \Theta \) and providing the results as close as possible in terms of the \( L^2 \)-norm (or the Euclidean norm) to the Fourier transform (1.1) defined in the infinite time interval. The formulas for proposed extended Fourier analysis could be written as

\[ F_\alpha(\omega) = \int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} x(t)\alpha(\omega,t) dt, \]  
\[ F_\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(t_k)\alpha(\omega,t_k), \]  
\[ F_\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(kT)\alpha(\omega,kT), \]
\[ x_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} F_\alpha(\omega) e^{j\omega t} \, d\omega, \]  

(5.2)

where in general case the transform basis \( \alpha(\omega t), \alpha(\omega t_k) \) and \( \alpha(\omega_k t) \) are not equal to the classical ones (3.1). Note that the inverse Fourier transform (5.2) still preserves the exponential basis and the Parseval-Plancherel formula \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_\alpha(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |F_\alpha(\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \) holds for it.

To ensure that the results of transforms (5.1) are close to the result of the Fourier transform (1.1) for the signal \( x(t) \), the following minimum least square expression will be composed and solved

\[ |F(\omega) - F_\alpha(\omega)|^2 \rightarrow \min. \]  

(6)

Unfortunately, as already stated above, the calculation of \( F(\omega) \) cannot be performed directly for a band-limited signal. So, to compose (6), we should find an adequate substitution. Let's recall that a complex exponent at cyclic frequency \( \omega \) and with a complex amplitude \( S(\omega) \) is defined in the infinite time interval as

\[ x(\omega_0, t) = S(\omega_0) e^{j\omega_0 t}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \]  

(7)

The Fourier transform of a signal (7) can be expressed by the Dirac delta function (2)

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\omega_0, t) e^{-j\omega_0 t} \, dt = 2\pi S(\omega_0) \delta(\omega - \omega_0). \]  

(8)

Now, we will use (7) as a signal model with known amplitude spectrum \( S(\omega_0) \) for frequencies in the range \(-\Omega \leq \omega_0 \leq \Omega\) and, in the expression (6), substitute \( F(\omega) \) by the Fourier transform of the signal model (8) and the signals \( x(t), x(t_k) \) and \( x(kT) \) in (5.1) by the signal models (7), correspondingly. Finally, the integral least square error estimators for all the three signal cases get the form

\[ \Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left| 2\pi S(\omega_0) \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} S(\omega_0) e^{j\omega_0 t} \alpha(\omega, t) \, dt \right|^2 \, d\omega_0. \]  

(9a)

\[ \Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left| 2\pi S(\omega_0) \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} S(\omega_0) e^{j\omega_0 t_k} \alpha(\omega, t_k) \right|^2 \, d\omega_0. \]  

(9b)

\[ \Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left| 2\pi S(\omega_0) \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} S(\omega_0) e^{j\omega_0 kT} \alpha(\omega, kT) \right|^2 \, d\omega_0. \]  

(9c)

The solutions of (9) for a definite signal model (7) provide the basis \( \alpha(\omega t), \alpha(\omega t_k) \) and \( \alpha(\omega_k t) \) for the extended Fourier transforms (5.1). To control how close the selected signal model amplitudes \( S(\omega_0) \) are to the signals \( x(t), x(t_k) \) and \( x(kT) \) amplitude spectrum, we will find the formulas for estimate signal amplitude spectrum \( S_\alpha(\omega) \) in the extended Fourier basis \( \alpha(\omega t), \alpha(\omega t_k) \) and \( \alpha(\omega_k t) \).

The formula (8) is showing the connection between the signal model Fourier transform and its amplitude spectrum, from where \( S(\omega_0) \) could be expressed as signal model Fourier transform divided by \( 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0) \). Taking (8) into account, \( S_\alpha(\omega) \) is calculated as the transforms (5.1) divided by the estimate of \( 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0) \) in the extended Fourier basis, which is determined from (9) in the case \( \Delta = 0 \) and \( \omega = \omega_0 \).

\[ S_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} x(t) \alpha(\omega, t) \, dt}{\int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} e^{j\omega t} \alpha(\omega, t) \, dt}, \]  

(10a)

\[ S_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(t_k) \alpha(\omega, t_k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e^{j\omega kT} \alpha(\omega, t_k)}, \]  

(10b)

\[ S_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x(kT) \alpha(\omega, kT)}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e^{j\omega kT} \alpha(\omega, kT)}. \]  

(10c)
and showing that the amplitude spectrum on the frequency $\omega$ is estimated as ratio of the signal extended Fourier transform to the transform of exponent with a unit amplitude in the same basis. This is true also for the classical Fourier transform. For example, after substituting exponential basis $\alpha(\omega, t) = e^{-j\omega t}$ in (10a), the denominator becomes equal to $\Theta$ as in formula (4) for the classical Fourier analysis. 

The values of the denominator in formulas (10) are in inverse ratio to the frequency resolution of the extended Fourier transform. Before finding the extended basis functions for arbitrary $S(\omega_0)$, it is reasonable to consider a simple signal model having a rectangular form, $S(\omega_0)=1$ for $-\Omega \leq \omega_0 \leq \Omega$ and zeros outside. Then the estimators (9) reduce to

$$\Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left[ 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} e^{j\omega_0 t} \alpha(\omega, t) dt \right]^2 d\omega_0, \quad (11a)$$

$$\Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left[ 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e^{j\omega_0 t_k} \alpha(\omega, t_k) \right]^2 d\omega_0, \quad (11b)$$

$$\Delta = \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} \left[ 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} e^{j\omega_0 kT} \alpha(\omega, kT) \right]^2 d\omega_0. \quad (11c)$$

The solution (11) allows us to establish a relationship between the classical and extended Fourier transforms.

3 Problem solution

In this section the integral least square error estimators (9) and (11) are solved and subsequent analysis of the obtained results is performed to find out only those solutions that can lead to practically realizable algorithms.

3.1 Extended Fourier transform of continuous time signals

The solution of (11a) for continuous time signal $x(t)$ is found as a partial derivation

$$\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \alpha(\omega_0, \tau)} = 0, \quad -\Theta \leq \tau \leq \Theta, \quad \text{and leads to the linear integral equation}$$

$$\int_{-\Theta/2}^{\Theta/2} \frac{\sin(\Omega(t-\tau))}{\pi(t-\tau)} \alpha(\omega, t) dt = e^{-j\omega \tau}. \quad (12)$$

Step by step solution of (12) is given in [4]. Finally, the basis $\alpha(\omega,t)$ are obtained by applying a specific function system - a prolate spheroidal wave functions [1] $\psi_k(t)$, $k=0,1,2,...$, and are written as series expansion

$$\alpha(\omega, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\omega)}{\lambda_k} \psi_k(t). \quad (13)$$

The extended Fourier Transform of continuous time signal $x(t)$ are given by

$$F_\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\omega) \alpha_k, \quad -\Omega \leq \omega \leq \Omega, \quad (14.1)$$

$$x_\alpha(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k(t) \alpha_k, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad (14.2)$$

$$S_\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\omega) \alpha_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |B_k(\omega)|^2}. \quad (14.3)$$
3.2 Extended Discrete Time Fourier Transform

In this subsection the minimum least square error estimators (9b,c) and (11b,c) are solved and the extended Fourier transforms for uniformly and nonuniformly sampled complex-valued signals are obtained. The proposed approaches have been developed in articles [5] and [6], where the derivations for real-valued discrete signals are given.

The following notations are used in the matrix equations: superscripts \(x^1, x^F, x^∗\) and \(X^H\) denote inverse, transpose, complex conjugate and complex conjugate (Hermitian) transpose of the matrix \(X\); \(J\) represents element-by-element division of two matrices with the same size; \(\text{sum}(X)\) means addition of all matrix \(X\) elements and the \(\text{diag}(X)\) forms the row vector by extracting the main diagonal elements from quadratic matrix \(X\) or it puts the elements of vector \(X\) on the main diagonal to form a diagonal matrix.

3.2.1 Particular solution for discrete time signals

The solutions of (11b,c) can be obtained similarly to (11a), as partial derivatives of \(\frac{\partial A}{\partial a(\omega,t_1)} = 0\) and \(\frac{\partial A}{\partial a(\omega,IT)} = 0\) for \(l=0,1,2,...,K-1\), and leads to the systems of linear equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sin(\Omega(t_k - t_l)) \alpha(\omega, t_k) &= e^{-j\omega t_l}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{\sin(\Omega(k - l)T)}{\pi(k - l)T} \alpha(\omega, kT) &= e^{-j\omega t_l}.
\end{align*}
\]

The solution of (15) in the matrix form is expressed as

\[
A_\omega = R^{-1}E_\omega,
\]

where \(A_\omega(Kx1)\) and \(E_\omega(Kx1)\) are the extended Fourier and the exponential basis.

The formulas of Extended Discrete Time Fourier Transform (EDTFT) for signal model \(S(\omega)=1, -\Omega \leq \omega \leq \Omega\), are derived by substituting of transformation basis (16) into expressions (5) and (10)

\[
F_\alpha(\omega) = xR^{-1}E_\omega, \quad -\Omega \leq \omega \leq \Omega,
\]

\[
x_\alpha(t) = xR^{-1}E_t, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,
\]

\[
S_\alpha(\omega) = E_\omega R^{-1}E_\omega.
\]

The matrices for nonuniformly sampled signal \(x(t)\) are composed as follows

\[
x(1xK) = x(t) = e^{-j\omega t_1}R(KxK): r_{i,k} = \frac{\sin(\Omega(t_k-t_i))}{\pi(t_k-t_i)}, \quad E_t(Kx1): e_t = \frac{\sin(\Omega(t-t_i))}{\pi(t-t_i)}.
\]

Uniformly sampled sequence \(x(kT)\) could be considered as a special case of nonuniform sampling at time moments \(t_k = kT, k=0,1,2,...,K-1\), then the matrices in (16, 17) are formed as

\[
x(1xK) = x(kT), \quad E_\omega(Kx1): e^{-j\omega kT}R(KxK): r_{i,k} = \frac{\sin(\Omega(kT-t_i))}{\pi(kT-t_i)}, \quad E_t(Kx1): e_t = \frac{\sin(\Omega(t-t_i))}{\pi(t-t_i)}.
\]
If sampling of signal \( x(kT) \) is done with Nyquist rate, \( T = \pi \Omega \), the matrix \( R \) becomes a unit matrix \( I \) and the formula (17.1) coincide with classical DTFT (3.1c), but the formula (17.3) reduces to the well-known relationship between discrete signal Fourier transform and its amplitude spectrum

\[
F_\omega (\omega) = F_\omega (\omega) = xE_\omega, \quad (18.1)
\]

\[
S_\omega (\omega) = \frac{1}{K}xE_\omega. \quad (18.2)
\]

Whereas for nonuniformly sampled signal \( x(t) \) the matrix \( R \neq I \), even if mean sampling period \( T = \pi \Omega \) and formulas (17) give the results that are close to uniform case and superior to those obtained by the classical nonuniform DTFT (3.1b). The resolution by frequency in both sampling cases equals to \( 1/KT \), which is a normal frequency resolution. While for oversampled signals, \( T \) (or \( T_s < \pi \Omega \)), the EDTFT approach can provide a high frequency resolution and improved spectral estimation quality. Unfortunately an achievement of such results is limited by finite precision in the mathematic calculations and by restrictions on frequency range in the process of signal sampling. The theoretical value of the denominator in (17.3) \( E_\omega^H R^{-1} E_\omega = K \) and the frequency resolution should increase proportionally to the number of samples in the signal observation period \( \Theta \). In the border-case, if the number of samples within \( \Theta \) is increasing infinity, \( K \rightarrow \infty \), and the discrete time signal tends to the continuous time signal \( x(t) \), the EDTFT (17.1) gives the same result as (14.1).

### 3.2.2 Generalized solution for discrete time signals

Now, we will consider the solution of the minimum least square error estimators (9b,c) for arbitrary selected signal model \( S(\omega) \). The derivation formulas for both estimators are like the ones given in the previous section. For example, a partial derivation of (9b) by the basis, \( \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \alpha(\omega, t_k)} = 0 \) for \( l=0,1,2,...,K-1 \), provides the least square solution

\[
\int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} \left( 2\pi S(\omega_0) \delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} S(\omega_0)e^{j\omega_0\alpha(\omega, t_k)} \alpha(\omega, t_k) \right) S^*(\omega_0)e^{-j\omega_0t_1}d\omega_0 = 0, \quad (19)
\]

Equation (19) can be rewritten as

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left( \int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(t_k-t_l)} d\omega_0 \right) \alpha(\omega, t_k) = 2\pi \int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{-j\omega_0t_1} \delta(\omega - \omega_0) d\omega_0. \quad (20)
\]

The filtering feature of the Dirac delta function \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\delta(x-x_0)dx = f(x_0) \) applied to the right part of (20) gives the final form of the system of linear equations

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(t_k-t_l)} d\omega_0 \right) \alpha(\omega, t_k) = |S(\omega)|^2 e^{-j\omega_1t_1}, \quad (21a)
\]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(k-l)\tau} d\omega_0 \right) \alpha(\omega, t_k) = |S(\omega)|^2 e^{-j\omega_\tau}, \quad (21b)
\]

where \( |S(\omega)|^2 \) is the signal model power at \( \omega = \omega \). The system of linear equations (21b) is applicable for uniformly sampled signal \( x(kT) \) and can be derived from (9c) in a similar way as (21a). The EDTFT basis \( A_\omega (Kx1) - \alpha(\omega, t_k) \) or \( \alpha(\omega kT) \) are found as a solution of (21)

\[
A_\omega = |S(\omega)|^2 R^{-1} E_\omega. \quad (22)
\]

Substituting of basis (22) into expressions (5) and (10), yields the formulas for calculation of the EDTFT in the general case

\[
F_\omega (\omega) = xA_\omega = |S(\omega)|^2 xR^{-1} E_\omega, \quad -\Omega \leq \omega \leq \Omega \quad (23.1)
\]

\[
x_\alpha (t) = xR^{-1} E_t, \quad -\infty < t < \infty \quad (23.2)
\]

\[
S_\omega (\omega) = \frac{xA_\omega}{E_\omega^H A_\omega} = \frac{x|S(\omega)|^2 R^{-1} E_\omega}{E_\omega^H |S(\omega)|^2 R^{-1} E_\omega} = \frac{xR^{-1} E_\omega}{E_\omega^H R^{-1} E_\omega}. \quad (23.3)
\]
The elements of the matrices $\mathbf{R}$ ($KxK$) and $\mathbf{E}_i$ ($Kx1$) in the formulas (22, 23) are expressed by integrals

$$r_{l,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(t_k-t_l)} d\omega_0 \quad \text{or} \quad r_{l,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(k-l)T} d\omega_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (24.1)

$$e_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega)|^2 e^{j\omega(t-t_i)} d\omega \quad \text{or} \quad e_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega)|^2 e^{j\omega(t-Tt)} d\omega,$$  \hspace{1cm} (24.2)

for nonuniformly or uniformly sampled signal cases, respectively. If the signal and its model power spectra are close, $|S_{a}(\omega_0)|^2 \approx |S(\omega_0)|^2$, then (24.1) is also an estimate of the autocorrelation function of the sequence $x$. The inverse transform (23.2) calculated on time moments $t=t_k$ or $t=kT$, $k=0,1,2,\ldots,K-1$, returns back the input sequence $x$ undistorted, as the elements of matrices $\mathbf{E}_i$ become equal to $\mathbf{R}$. Case signal model $S(\omega_0)=1$ the formulas (22) and (23) reduce to (16) and (17). The frequency resolution of the EDTFT is in inverse ratio to $K$.

3.3.3 Iterative EDTFT algorithm

Calculation of the EDTFT by formulas (23) requires knowledge of the signal model spectrum which generally is not known. At the same time, the amplitude spectrum obtained in the previous section by the formula (17.3) can be used as a source of such information. This suggests the following iterative algorithm introduced in [5], where the signal model spectrum $S(\omega_0)$ tends to the signal spectrum $S_o(\omega)$:

**Iteration 1:** Calculate $S_{a}^{(1)}(\omega)$ (17.3) applying default signal model - $S(\omega_0)=1$.

**Iteration 2:** Calculate $S_{a}^{(2)}(\omega)$ (23.3) by using the signal model - $S_{a}^{(1)}(\omega_0)$.

**Iteration 3:** Calculate $S_{a}^{(3)}(\omega)$ (23.3) by using the signal model - $S_{a}^{(2)}(\omega_0)$.

... 

**Iteration I:** Calculate $S_{a}^{(i)}(\omega)$ (23.3) by using the signal model - $S_{a}^{(i-1)}(\omega_0)$. 

The iterations are repeated until the given maximum iteration number is reached or the power spectrum does not alter from iteration to iteration - $|S_{a}^{(i)}(\omega)|^2 \approx |S_{a}^{(i-1)}(\omega)|^2$.

The EDTFT output $F_o(\omega)$ (23.1) is calculated for the last performed iteration $I$.

By default, the signal model $S(\omega_0)=1$ is used as input for the EDTFT algorithm. However, additional information about the signal to be analyzed can be applied to create a more realistic signal model for the EDTFT input and to reduce the number of iterations required to reach the stopping iteration criteria.

4 Extended DFT

EDFT considered in the previous section is a function of the continuous frequency ($-\Omega \leq \omega \leq \Omega$), while describing below EDFT algorithm calculate EDTFT on a discrete frequency set $-\Omega \leq \omega_{n} < \Omega$ for $n=0,1,2,\ldots,N-1$. The number of frequency points $N\geq K$ and it should be selected sufficiently large to substitute the integrals (24.1) used for calculation of the matrix $\mathbf{R}$ ($KxK$) in the expressions (22, 23) by the finite sums

$$r_{l,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(t_k-t_l)} d\omega_0 \approx \frac{\Omega}{\pi N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |S(\omega_n)|^2 e^{j\omega_n(t_k-t_l)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (25.1)

$$r_{l,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} |S(\omega_0)|^2 e^{j\omega_0(k-l)T} d\omega_0 \approx \frac{\Omega}{\pi N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |S(\omega_n)|^2 e^{j\omega_n(k-l)T},$$  \hspace{1cm} (25.2)
The matrices composed of (25.1) and (25.2),

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix}
  r_{0,0}(0) & r_{0,1}(t_1 - t_0) & \ldots & r_{0,K-1}(t_{K-1} - t_0) \\
  r_{1,0}(t_0 - t_1) & r_{1,1}(0) & \ldots & r_{1,K-1}(t_{K-1} - t_1) \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  r_{K-1,0}(t_0 - t_{K-1}) & r_{K-1,1}(t_1 - t_{K-1}) & \ldots & r_{K-1,K-1}(0)
\end{bmatrix},$$

(26.1)

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix}
  r_{0,0}(0) & r_{0,1}(T) & \ldots & r_{0,K-1}((K-1)T) \\
  r_{1,0}(-T) & r_{1,1}(0) & \ldots & r_{1,K-1}((K-1)T) \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  r_{K-1,0}(-(K-1)T) & r_{K-1,1}(-(K-2)T) & \ldots & r_{K-1,K-1}(0)
\end{bmatrix},$$

(26.2)

possess Hermitian symmetry, $r_{i,k} = r_{k,i}^*$, but (26.2) for a uniformly sampled signal has also a Toeplitz structure. The matrix elements $r_{i,k}$ represents the autocorrelation function and can be calculated by applying the IDFT to the signal model power spectrum $|S(\omega_n)|^2$. The frequency $\Omega/\pi = 2f_s = f_n$ in (25), where $f_s$ is the signal upper frequency and $f_n$ is the Nyquist rate of a band-limited signal, and it is assumed to be normalized (equal to 1) in DFT calculations. The choice of the frequencies $\{\omega_n\} = \{2\pi f_n\}$ depends on the number of frequencies needed for accurate estimation of (25) as well as for detailed signal spectrum representation, and the limitations on the total amount of computation. Eventually, the uniform set of frequencies in range $[-\Omega; \Omega]$ is preferable in most application cases.

The EDFT may be expressed by the iterative algorithm

$$\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{W}^{(i)} \mathbf{E}^H, \quad (27.1)$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{(i)} = \mathbf{xA}^{(i)} = \mathbf{x(R}^{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{W}^{(i)}, \quad (27.2)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{(i)} = \frac{\mathbf{x(R}^{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{E}}{\text{diag} (\mathbf{E}^H (\mathbf{R}^{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{E})}, \quad (27.3)$$

$$\mathbf{W}^{(i+1)} = \text{diag} \left( |\mathbf{S}^{(i)}|^2 \right), \quad (27.4)$$

for iteration number $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots I$, wherein (27.1) is the sum (25) in matrix form. The matrix $\mathbf{E}$ (KxN) has elements $e^{-j2\pi f_n k t}$ or $e^{-j2\pi f_n k T}$ case sampling of $\mathbf{x}$ done uniformly. By default, the diagonal weight matrix $\mathbf{W}^{(i)}$ (NxN) for the first iteration is a unit matrix, $\mathbf{W}^{(1)} = \mathbf{I}$. If the other diagonal matrix is used as input for the EDFT algorithm, it should have at least $K$ non-zero elements. For the next iterations $\mathbf{W}^{(i+1)}$ is filled with power spectrum values calculated by (27.4). There could be additional criteria for stopping the iterations before the maximum number of iterations $I$ is reached, for example, the iterations could be interrupted, if the relative change in the power spectrum, $|\text{sum}(\mathbf{W}^{(i+1)}) - \text{sum}(\mathbf{W}^{(i)})|/|\text{sum}(\mathbf{W}^{(2)})$ for $i > 1$, is smaller than a given threshold.

The IDFT may be applied to output $\mathbf{F}$ of each iteration and returns original $K$ samples of uniform or nonuniform sequence

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{E}^H. \quad (28)$$

Since the length of the frequency set $N \geq K$, then (28) can be modified to obtain an extrapolated sequence $\mathbf{x}_\alpha$ (1xN) - $x_\alpha(l_m)$ or $x_\alpha(mT)$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$,

$$\mathbf{x}_\alpha = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{E}^H_\alpha, \quad (29)$$

where exponents matrix $\mathbf{E}_\alpha$ (NxN) has elements $e^{-j2\pi f_n k t_m}$ or $e^{-j2\pi f_n k mT}$ case of uniform $\mathbf{x}_\alpha$, and $\mathbf{x}^H \leq \mathbf{x}_\alpha \mathbf{x}_\alpha^H = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^H$ according to Parseval-Plancherel theorem. Reconstructed by the formula (29) sequence is the original sequence plus forward and backward extrapolation of $\mathbf{x}$ to length $N$ and/or interpolation if there are gaps inside of $\mathbf{x}$. The maximum frequency resolution is limited by the length $N$ of frequency set, not by the length $K$ of sequence as in the application of classical DFT. It means, the EDFT can increase the frequency resolution $NK$ times in comparison with the
classical DFT. This can be verified by comparing the diagonal elements of the product of IDFT and DFT basis, \( \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}^H \mathbf{E} \right) \), which are equal to \( K/N \) at all frequencies, with the relationship, \( 0 < \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}^H \mathbf{A} \right) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{F} / \mathbf{S} \leq 1 \), corresponding to the IDFT and EDFT basis \( \mathbf{A} \) (27.2). At the same time there is a restriction on the frequency resolution \( \text{sum}(\mathbf{F} / \mathbf{S}) = NK \), which is satisfied by iteration, and to achieve a high resolution at certain frequencies, the EDFT must decrease the resolution on other frequencies. The deviation \( |\text{sum}(\mathbf{F} / \mathbf{S}) - NK| \) also could be used as an additional criterion for stopping of iterations, because indicates the possible inaccuracy in the obtained results, mainly caused by the finite precision in calculations. If this happens, the result of the previous EDFT iteration should be considered as a final one.

In a border-case \( N=K \), the iterative algorithm output does not depend on weight matrix \( \mathbf{W} \) and the optimal EDFT basis is found in a non-iterative way (in a result of the first iteration) [7].

5 EDFT and other nonparametric approaches

In the previous sections, starting with the Fourier integral (1) and using its orthogonality property (2), by establishing and solving the minimum least square error estimators (9), the Extended DFT is obtained analytically. In the next, a comparison with known nonparametric approaches - Capon filter, Generalized (Weighted) Least Squares (GWLS) solution and High-Resolution Discrete Fourier Transform (HRDFT) introduced by Sacchi, Ulrych and Walker in 1998 are done, and the ways and opportunities of derivation of an iterative EDFT algorithm based on these methods are analyzed briefly.

5.1 Capon filter approach

The Capon filter known also as Minimum Variance spectral estimate (see [3, 10, 11, 24]) can be viewed as the output of a bank of filters with each filter centered at one of the analysis frequencies

\[
y_\omega(nT) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} x((n-k)T) h_\omega(kT) = \mathbf{h}_\omega \mathbf{x}, \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots.
\]

(30)

In the matrix notation \( \mathbf{x} = [x(nT), x((n-1)T), \ldots, x((n-K+1)T)] \) is the filter input signal and \( \mathbf{h}_\omega = [h_\omega(0), h_\omega(T), \ldots, h_\omega((K-1)T)]^T \) is the filter coefficients. Here the subscript \( \omega \) indicate a dependence on the filter’s center frequency.

The Capon filter is designed to minimize the variance on the filter output

\[
\sigma_y^2 = \mathcal{E} \{ |y_\omega(nT)|^2 \} = \mathcal{E} \{ y_\omega^H(nT) y_\omega(nT) \} = \mathcal{E} \{ \mathbf{h}_\omega^H \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{x} \mathbf{h}_\omega \}
\]

\[
= \mathbf{h}_\omega^H \mathcal{E} \{ \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \} \mathbf{h}_\omega = \mathbf{h}_\omega^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{h}_\omega,
\]

subject to the constraint that its frequency response at the frequency of interest \( \omega \) has unity gain

\[
H(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_\omega(kT)e^{-j\omega kT} = \mathbf{E}_\omega^H \mathbf{h}_\omega = 1,
\]

(31)

\[
H(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_\omega^*(kT)e^{j\omega kT} = \mathbf{h}_\omega^H \mathbf{E}_\omega^* = 1,
\]

(32)

Where \( \mathcal{E} \{ \} \) denotes the expectation operator and the matrix \( \mathbf{E}_\omega(K \times 1) \) has elements \( e^{-j\omega kT} \). The constraints (31.1) and (32.2) must be satisfied by filter (30) and Hermitian transpose filter \( y_\omega^H(nT) = \mathbf{h}_\omega^H \mathbf{x}^H \), correspondingly. The matrix \( \mathbf{R} = \mathcal{E} \{ \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \} (K \times K) \) is the sample autocorrelation matrix and it can be composed of the values of the signal autocorrelation function. For example, so called biased estimate is calculated by

\[
r_{xx}(IT) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-l-1} x((k+l)T) x^*(kT), \quad l = 0,1,2,\ldots, K-1,
\]

(33)

and, considering that \( r_{xx}(-IT) = r_{xx}^*(IT) \), the sample autocorrelation matrix is filled as
Mathematically, the Capon filter coefficients can be obtained by minimizing the variance (31) under the constraints given by (32.1) and (32.2)

$$J = h^H_w R_x h_w - \mu (E^H_w h_w - 1) - \lambda (h^H_w E^*_{\omega} - 1) \rightarrow \text{min},$$

where $\mu, \lambda$ are Lagrange multipliers. The conditions $\frac{\partial J}{\partial h_w} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial h^*_w} = 0$ must be fulfilled to determine the minimum of (35). Both requirements lead to the same solution

$$h_w = \frac{R^{-1}_x E^*_\omega}{E^H_w R^{-1}_x E^*_{\omega}},$$

and, traditionally, the Capon power spectrum is computed as

$$P_{\text{Capon}}(\omega) = h^H_w R_x h_w = \frac{1}{E^H_w R^{-1}_x E^*_{\omega}},$$

To obtain an iterative EDFT algorithm from the original Capon filter approach, the sample autocorrelation matrix $R_x$ (34) must be substituted by $R^T = E^* W E^T$. The matrix $R^T (KxK)$ can also be obtained as a transpose of the EDFT matrix $R$ defined by (26). The elements of quadratic diagonal matrix $W$ ($NxN$) represent an estimate of power at time moment $nT=0$, determined from one sample at output of each Capon filter

$$|y_\omega(0)|^2 = |\hat{x}h_w|^2 = \frac{\hat{x}(R^T)^{-1}E^*_{\omega}}{E^H_{\omega}(R^T)^{-1}E^*_{\omega}}^2$$

where the filter input sequence $\hat{x}$ (30) is related to the EDFT input sequence $x$ as $\hat{x}(nT) = x((K + k - 1)T)$ or $\hat{x}(t_k) = x(t_{K+k-1})$, $k=0,-1,-2,...,-(K-1)$, for uniformly or nonuniformly sampled sequence cases, respectively.

Eventually, an iterative algorithm can be formed as follows

$$R^T(i) = E^* W^{(i)} E^T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.1)

$$S^{(i)}_{\text{Capon}} = \frac{\hat{x}(R^T(i))^{-1}E^*}{\text{diag}(E^T(R^T(i))^{-1}E^*)},$$ \hspace{1cm} (39.2)

$$W^{(i+1)} = \text{diag} \left( \left| S_{\text{Capon}}^{(i)} \right|^2 \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (39.3)

with the initial condition for $W^{(0)} = I$ and the iteration number $i=1,2,3,...,I$. The estimate of the power spectrum $|S_{\text{Capon}}^{(i)}|^2$ coincides with the results of the EDFT, while the phase spectrum, definitely, is different. It should be noted that the calculation of the Capon filter output power by (37) is theoretically well justified, whereas the derivation of (39) requires ad hoc assumptions and substitutions, and actually is a measurement of power obtained from just a one sample at the output of the filter. This leads to conclusion that the approach (39) is simply a filter-bank interpretation of the EDFT, similarly to the DFT which can also be considered as a bank of filters. In addition, an iterative algorithm derived based on Capon filter cannot reveal all the EDFT capacity, such as the ability to estimate DFT (27.2) and restore the signal (28, 29).

### 5.2 GWLS solution

The Generalized (Weighted) Least Squares approach (see [3, 15, 18, 34]) in the spectral analysis could be based on the following data model

$$x^T = E^*_\omega S_{\text{GWLS}}(\omega) + e_q,$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)
with \(\mathbf{e}_Q\) denoting the noise and interference (signals at frequencies other than \(\omega\)) component, and \(\mathbf{E}^*_\omega S_{GWLS}(\omega)\) representing the signal component on the frequency of interest with unknown complex amplitude \(S_{GWLS}(\omega)\). The GWLS minimizes
\[
[\mathbf{x}^T - \mathbf{E}^*_\omega S_{GWLS}(\omega)]^H \mathbf{Q}^{-1} [\mathbf{x}^T - \mathbf{E}^*_\omega S_{GWLS}(\omega)],
\]
which is solved by
\[
S_{GWLS}(\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{E}^T_\omega \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{x}^T}{\mathbf{E}^*_\omega (\mathbf{R}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}^*_\omega},
\]
where \(\mathbf{Q}\) (KxK) is the covariance matrix of the data model component \(\mathbf{e}_Q\). There are two special cases of GWLS called Weighted Least Squares (WLS) and ordinary Least Squares (LS). WLS occur when all the off-diagonal entries of \(\mathbf{Q}\) are 0, while LS solution is obtained from the GWLS under the assumption that \(\mathbf{e}_Q\) at (40) is a white noise, hence \(\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}\).

The problem of GWLS estimator is that, in general, the noise covariance matrix \(\mathbf{Q}\) is not known, and must be estimated from the data along with the \(S_{GWLS}(\omega)\). The initial estimate (the 1st iteration) could be equal to LS solution, it is (42) with \(\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}\). Next, to ensure that the GWLS solution works in an iterative way as EDFT do, the covariance matrix should be calculated as \(\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{R}^T=\mathbf{E}^*\mathbf{W}\mathbf{E}^T\) under the assumption \(\mathbf{W}=diag(|S_{GWLS}(\omega)|^2)\). In result, GWLS solution (42) coincides with the EDTFT formula (23.3)
\[
S_{GWLS}(\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{E}^T_\omega (\mathbf{R}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{x}^T}{\mathbf{E}^*_\omega (\mathbf{R}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}^*_\omega} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{E}^*_\omega = S_\alpha(\omega).
\]
and, as shown in the Section 3.3.3, can be successfully used to update of the amplitude spectrum iteratively.

Although substitution of a noise matrix by \(\mathbf{R}^T\) would be easy done, it is not supported by GWLS data model (40), from where the matrix \(\mathbf{Q}\) represents the data model component \(\mathbf{e}_Q\) only and the signal component \(\mathbf{E}^*_\omega S_{GWLS}(\omega)\) must be excluded from it, whereas the matrix \(\mathbf{R}^T\) is calculated for the entire signal \(\mathbf{x}^T\), including \(\mathbf{e}_Q\) and \(\mathbf{E}^*_\omega S_{GWLS}(\omega)\). Furthermore, the derivation of EDFT shows that the signal is restored by applying IDFT to the Extended Fourier transform (28), not as an inverse of the amplitude spectrum (27.3), which is a scaled version of (27.2) by a frequency dependent weight factor, \(x^T = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}^* \mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{E}^* \mathbf{S}\). Using an estimate \(S_{GWLS}(\omega)=S_\alpha(\omega)\) in the data model (40) leads to a predetermined split of overall energy at the frequency \(\omega\) in between both components, where the noise part \(\mathbf{e}_Q\) may be expressed as a difference of EDFT outputs \(\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\omega)\) and \(S_\alpha(\omega)\). The conclusion reached is that making the derivation of the Extended DFT algorithm possible, invalidates GWLS minimization expression (41) which require separation of both data model components.

### 5.3 High-Resolution DFT

The third method considered here is High-Resolution DFT proposed by Sacchi, Ulrych and Walker in [9]. The authors presented an iterative nonparametric approach of spectral estimation, which minimizes the cost function deduced from Bayes’ theorem and, as well as Extended DFT, makes it possible to obtain high-resolution Fourier spectrum. The HRDFT algorithm can be reduced to the following iterative procedure:
\[
\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{W}^{(i)} \mathbf{E}^H, \quad (44.1)
\]
\[
\mathbf{F}_{HRDFT}^{(i)} = \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{R}^{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{W}^{(i)}, \quad (44.2)
\]
\[
\mathbf{W}^{(i+1)} = diag \left( \left| \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{F}_{HRDFT}^{(i)} \right|^2 \right), \quad (44.3)
\]
for iteration number \(i=1,2,3,\ldots I\) and with the initial condition \(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}=\mathbf{I}\).
The IDFT (28) applied to any iteration output (44.2) returns back the sequence x undistorted. The main difference between approaches is that the HRDFT algorithm lack of formula to estimate of amplitude spectrum (27.3). Instead, as input for the next iteration, it uses the Fourier spectrum estimated in the previous iteration. Thus, the results of HRDFT differ from output of EDFT significantly. HRDFT iterates to the solution where the signal is approximated by K frequencies while the power on other N−K frequencies becomes negligible. Each valuable frequency is resolved with maximum resolution restricted by the length of HRDFT. Also, it still obeys the same limit on the sum of resolutions by frequency (Kn) as DFT and EDFT.

The authors [39] investigated algorithms having different from (44.3) weights for adaptation of the correlation matrix (44.1), although only the amplitude spectrum (10) derived accordingly to the minimum least squares expression (9) and calculated by (27.4) fits perfectly to an iterative update of the matrix R and returns results that are closest to the Fourier transform in the L2-norm sense.

6 Computer simulations

The EDFT algorithm is validated on the data which are similar to those that have been used in [5, 7, 8]. The true spectrum of the first test signal consists of a band-limited noise (flat) in the frequency range [−0.5...−0.25] Hz, a rectangular pulse in the range [0...0.25] Hz and two unit power complex exponents at frequencies 0.35 Hz and 0.3985 Hz. These three components represent random, transient pulse and deterministic parts of a composite signal with the upper frequency fo=0.5 Hz. Uniform and nonuniform sequences of the length K=64 samples are derived by simulating 10-bit Analog-to-Digital Converter (ADC). Sampling and mean sampling periods of both sequences are equal to 1 second, T=Ts=1s. Sampling time points for the nonuniform sequence are generated as, 

\[ t_k = kT + \tau_k, \quad k=0,1,2,...,K-1, \]

where \( \{ \tau_k \} \) are uniformly distributed random values in the range [0...0.8s]. Thus, the true spectrum of sequences consists of three non-overlapping in frequency domain components and ADC added floor noise (~60dB), and it is symbolized by red color lines in the Figures 1-4.

The plots in Figures 1 and 2 show the performance of EDFT (black lines) for uniform and nonuniform sequences and allows to compare it with the classical DFT (blue lines). The number of frequencies (the length of DFT) is chosen equal to N=1000, which gives spectral estimates with DFT frequency bin spacing 2f0/N=0.001 Hz. This means that the range [−0.5...0.5] Hz is uniformly covered by frequencies and used for the calculations in (25, 27) and for the signal representation in the frequency domain (spectral plots). Figures 1a and 2a display the power spectra of EDFT calculated as 10log(|S|^2) in a non-iterative way. The input matrix W in this case is composed of values of the true spectrum (red line in the plots), therefore there is no need for further iterations. Non-iterative estimate is very close to the EDFT 15th iteration depicted in Figures 1b and 2b, where the matrix W=I used in the input and confirms the correctness of the iterative algorithm. The Figure 1c (2c) shows the Power Spectral Density (PSD) calculated by the EDFT as 10log(|F|^2/N) and proves the expectations, that PSD estimate on a complex exponent should increase in a value in comparison with the classical DFT if the proposed method achieves a high resolution around this frequency.

Figure 1d and 2d plotting the relative frequency resolution for the EDFT 15th iteration calculated as \( \frac{1}{2f_0T} K \) (1d) or \( \frac{1}{2f_0T} K \) (2d) in respect to the DFT for which, in accordance with (18), it is simply equal to 1 at all frequencies. The value \( 2f_0T=2f_0T=1 \) and this means that the signal is processed in one Nyquist zone. The DFT is showing a normal frequency resolution, whereas the EDFT have ability to increase the resolution (in plot appears values >1) around the powerful signal components and decrease the resolution (in plot appears values <1) at frequencies where the signal has weak power components.

The EDFT is called as a high-resolution method and that is true, but with the following remark - it keeps the same 'summary' resolution as the traditional DFT or, in other words, squares under black
and blue curves in Figure 1d (2d) are equal. The maximum frequency resolution is limited by value of division $N/K$. For example, if $K=64$ and $N=1000$, then the EDFT can potentially improve the frequency resolution $1000/64\approx16$ times. The peak resolution is achieved on a deterministic signal part - at frequency 0.35 Hz. The resolution on 0.3985 Hz exponent does not reach maximum value because of its frequency is not on EDFT grid (0.001 Hz) and the estimate splits between two adjacent frequency bins, which is known artifact of the DFT analysis.

Figure 1. Uniform complex-value sequence - the estimate of:
(a) Power spectrum - True (red), DFT (blue) and non-iterative EDFT (black),
(b) Power spectrum - True (red), DFT (blue) and EDFT (15th iteration, black),
(c) Power Spectral Density - True (red), DFT (blue) and EDFT (15th iteration, black),
(d) Relative frequency resolution - DFT (blue) and EDFT (15th iteration, black).
The extended summary of the doctoral thesis in Computer Science
can handle uniform and nonuniform sequences with the same quality, while the efficiency of classical DFT gets worse in case of nonuniform data.

Figure 3 explains the difference in performance between uniform and nonuniform inputs, where the spectra of uniform and nonuniform sequences are analyzed in the extended frequency range, \([-1...1]\) Hz. The number of frequency points and the upper frequency are increased two times, \(N=2000\) and \(f_u=1\) Hz. This means that the step by frequency remains the same as in the previous plots. The true spectrum of sequences at frequencies above 0.5 Hz consists only of floor noise (\(\approx -60\text{dB}\)) added by ADC. The actual result depicted in Figure 3a shows periodicity of the DFT and EDFT spectral estimates, which cannot be avoided for uniform sequences. In contrast, EDFT applied to the nonuniform sequence returns correct power spectrum in Figure 3b. Relative resolution of the nonuniform DFT in Figure 3c is calculated as \(1/(2f_uT_s)=0.5\) and it is half the normal resolution because of analysis is performed in two Nyquist zones. Nevertheless, squares under blue and black plots in Figure 3c are equal to one's depicted in Figure 2d. The maximum increase in the frequency resolution \(2000/64\approx 31\) times is achieved on a complex exponent at frequency 0.35 Hz by the EDFT. The EDFT also increases resolution in half to process transient and random signal components with the normal frequency resolution equal to 1, as it is indicated by the red dotted lines in Figure 3c. Hence the conclusion that EDFT can handle nonuniformly sampled signals in

![Figure 3](image-url)

Figure 3. The estimates obtained in the extended frequency range:
(a) Power spectrum of uniform sequence - True (red), DFT (blue) and EDFT (black),
(b) Power spectrum of nonuniform sequence - True (red), DFT (blue) and EDFT (black),
(c) Relative frequency resolution of nonuniform sequence - DFT (blue) and EDFT (black).
multiple Nyquist zones only if the overall spectrum of band-limited signal components does not exceed one Nyquist zone. Since the spectrum of uniform sequence (red color line in Figure 1) does not cover the entire Nyquist zone the EDFT should be able to handle it with mean sampling period $T_s > T$ but less than $2T$. The increase of $T_s$ could be achieved by skipping samples from the uniform sequence randomly. The resulting sequence is considered as nonuniformly sampled because the distance between adjacent readings becomes unequal. The power spectra in Figure 4 show an example of the impact of sample skipping on the performance of DFT and EDFT. Input sequences are modeled by removing 16 and 24 samples randomly from the uniform 64-point data and leads to increase $T_s = 64/48T=1.33s$ and $T_s = 64/40T=1.6s$, respectively. The length and rule of DFT frequency selection preserved the same as in Figure 1. The simulation shows that DFT fails to process sequences with missing samples, while EDFT is still applicable (Fig.4.b) if one Nyquist zone limit on the total signal component spectrum is satisfied, otherwise the estimate becomes worse (Fig.4.c). Note that the result depends not only on the number of skipped samples, but also on their distribution within the sequence. The most sensitive to missing samples are transient signals which require dense sampling within their location, whereas deterministic signals appear more resistant, especially if the frequencies of discrete components lie on the EDFT grid. It is expected that the considerably greater increase of mean sampling period $T_s$ can be achieved for pure deterministic signals [7].

![Figure 4. The power spectrum - True (red), DFT (blue) and EDFT (black), of the 64-point sequence without losses (a) and with randomly skipped (b) 16 and (c) 24 samples.](image-url)
Let's validate above expectations for signal consisting only of sinusoids in a white noise and generate a real-value sequence of the length $K=64$ samples as a sum of four sine waves with predefined amplitudes $0.5$, $1$, $2$ and $3$, having arbitrary initial phases and randomly selected frequencies on the EDFT grid (0.001 Hz). Moreover, the signal sampling is also performed on the grid with $T=1$ second lag by choosing 64 time points within $NT=1000$ second interval on a random basis and results in about a 16-fold increase of the average sampling period, $T_s=NT/K=15,675s$. Finally, a white Gaussian noise with SNR=20 dB is added and nonuniform real-value sequence illustrated in Figure 5a.

![Figure 5. Real-value sequence (a) - 64 nonuniform samples, SNR 20 dB, Amplitude spectrum (b) - True values (red cycles) and estimates by DFT (blue), EDFT (black), Relative frequency resolution (c) by DFT (blue line) and EDFT (black), Original (blue cycles) and interpolated sequence (d) by Inverse EDFT - 1000 uniform samples.](image)
The true frequencies and amplitudes (red cycles) as well as the amplitude estimates of DFT (blue line) and EDFT (black line) are depicted in the Figure 5b and showed that DFT cannot recognize weaker power sinusoids while the Extended DFT picks up all of them and estimates their amplitudes and phases precisely. The performance difference is explained in the Figure 5c by comparison of the resolution of both DFTs with respect to the normal frequency resolution (equal to one). The relative resolution of the DFT (blue line) is calculated as $1/(2f_u T_s)=K/N=0.064$ and it is considerably less than it is required for successful signal processing. This causes aliasing and leakage effects, because the spectrum of the sequence spreading in almost 16 Nyquist zones and $N-K$ samples at the input of the DFT could be considered as zeroed by the rectangular windows. The relative resolution of the EDFT (black line) is calculated as $1/(2f_u T_s K F_0/S) = 1/N F_0/S$ and it increases $N/K$ times reaching the value close to one at frequencies of sinusoids. Thus, signal processing with just a normal frequency resolution allows EDFT not only estimate the parameters of the signal components correctly, but also IDFT applied to its output returns a sequence of length $N$ consisting of the original $K$ and $N-K$ interpolated samples (see Figure 5d). It should be noted that only a deterministic part of the signal is interpolated by EDFT, whereas a white Gaussian noise stays localized in time around the sampling points (blue cycles).

The next sequence used in the computer simulations is well-known Marple&Kay data set taken from [3]. It is 64-point real sample sequence of a process consisting of two unit power sine waves with frequencies of 0.2 and 0.21 Hz, a third one with a power of 0.1 (20 dB down) at 0.1 Hz and a

![Figure 6](image-url). The power spectrum obtained for Marple&Kay data set by (a) DFT, (b) EDFT, (c) HRDFT.
colored noise in the frequency range [0.2…0.5] Hz (see red color lines in Figure 6). The signal upper frequency is $f_u=0.5$ Hz and the length of the DFT is selected $N=1000$. Only 500 positive frequencies are displayed, because Marple&Kay sequence is a real-valued and negative frequencies, if they are depicted, gives a symmetrical pattern to zero frequency. The Figure 5 shows the power spectra of the DFT, EDFT and HRDFT approaches in a single picture, while separately, these plots have been presented in [5] and [9]. The performance of other well-known spectral analysis methods for Marple&Kay data set could be found in [3], including Minimum Variance approach, named in the Section 5.1 as a traditional Capon filter (37).

The simulation results in the Figure 6a,b demonstrate that the classical DFT and EDFT can evaluate not only the spectrum of sinusoids, but also the shape of continuous spectrum of other signal components, whereas HRDFT on Figure 6c is suitable mostly for the estimation of a line spectrum. The plot in Figure 6a shows that due to limited frequency resolution the classical DFT cannot resolve sine waves at the frequencies 0.2 and 0.21. Although the first EDFT iteration coincides with DFT, in the further iterations EDFT is able to increase the frequency resolution around the powerful signal components and all three sine waves are clearly distinguished after the 15th iteration in the Figure 6b.

All the three DFTs have one common feature - the ability to get back 64 samples of Marple&Kay data set by applying IDFT to the output of each of these methods. Since the length of the DFT is chosen equal to 1000, the inverse transform (29) returns 1000–64 additional samples, which are
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Figure 7. Marple&Kay sequence (blue) and extrapolated data (black) by inverse (a) DFT, (b) EDFT, (c) HRDFT.
plotted in Figure 7 (black). The samples 65, 66, 67, ... are considered as a forward extrapolation, but samples 1000, 999, 998, ... as a backward extrapolation of known 64-sample sequence (blue). Of course, the Marple&Kay sequence outside of giving data set is unknown, and plots on Figure 6 are just three possible versions of its extrapolation. The classical DFT (Fig.7a) suggests that Marple&Kay sequence outside of given 64 samples will be zeros, HRDFT (Fig.7c) shows that the extrapolated data even will increase in power, while EDFT (Fig.7b) expects that the sequence beyond will have approximately the same power, which only gradually decreases in time.
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**Figure 7.** The extended summary of the doctoral thesis in Computer Science
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**Figure 8.** White Gaussian noise (blue) and extrapolated data (black) by inverse (a) DFT, (b) EDFT, (c) HRDFT.

At the end of the computer modeling, we check extrapolated sequences obtained at the output of IDFT if Marple&Kay input data are replaced by the same size white Gaussian noise (Figure 8). According to the theory the PSD of white Gaussian noise should be constant (flat) across the entire frequency range and the readings in a such sequence are uncorrelated random variables, therefore they cannot be extrapolated. In practice, because of finite length sequences and pseudo-random generators used in the simulations, the above expectations are satisfied only approximately. The classical DFT, as the case Marple&Kay data illustrated in Figure 7a, yields zeros outside of given 64-point sequence also in Figure 8a, that this time is perfectly consistent with the theory. Extrapolate by the EDFT (Fig.8b) vanish quickly, and this still agrees with the theory if practical considerations are taken into account. The HRDFT (Fig.8c) in contrary to DFT and EDFT extends the white Gaussian noise up to a length of 1000 samples showing a strong correlation in the input sequence and this is very unlikely to be true.
Any approach that claims that it is a high frequency resolution method in accordance with the Uncertainty Principle must make certain assumptions about the data outside of the observation period even if by itself it is not able to recover the signal. The advantage of the proposed method over similar ones is that EDFT based on a solution that satisfies the minimum least squares criteria (6), making it an accurate, reliable and stable.

Run MATLAB program EDFT_FIG.m available on mathworks.com to recreate the computer simulations presented in this section.

7 EDFT in MATLAB code

The EDFT package consisting of programs written in a simple MATLAB code and created to demonstrate the Extended DFT capabilities described in the previous sections. Each function contains commented (%) help text section where its syntax, algorithm, usage and features are described.

The programs NEDFT.m and the inverse transform INEDFT.m can be applied for uniform or nonuniform input/output data and frequency sets.

function [F,S,Stopit]=nedft(X,tk,fn,I,W)
% NEDFT Nonuniform Extended Discrete Fourier Transform.
%
% SYNTAX
% [F,S,Stopit]=nedft(X,tk,fn)
% % Function NEDFT returns discrete Fourier transform F(fn) of input sequence X sampled at arbitrary selected time moments tk, where the frequencies fn also may be selected arbitrary.
% % If size of fn is less than size of X, inputs X and tk will be truncated.
% % b. Mandatory and optional inputs/outputs
% [F,S,Stopit]=nedft(X,tk,fn,I,W)
% % I Optional input parameter I can be used for limiting maximum number of iterations.
% % 'Miteration', that is, nedft(X,tk,fn)=nedft(X,tk,fn,Miteration). To complete iterations the value for 'Miteration' should be decreased.
% % W Input weight vector W, if specified, override the default values W=ones(size(fn)).
% % S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E'*inv(R)*E).';
% % W must have at least one nonzero element.
% % W must have at least one nonzero element.
% % S The second output argument S represents the Amplitude spectrum. Peak values of abs(S) can be used for estimate amplitudes of sinusoids in the input sequence X.
% % Stopit is an informative output parameter. The first row of Stopit showing the number of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have the following values:
% % 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed.
% % 1 - Sum of outputs division sum(F:S) is not equal to length(fn)*length(X) within Relative deviation 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% % 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% % ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input sequence
% E - complex exponents matrix (Fourier transform basis) - E=exp(i*2*pi*tk.*fn);
% I - (optional) number of maximum iteration.
% W - (optional) weight vector W. The default values W = ones(size(fn)).
% Output F and S for each NEDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. F=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);%
% 2. Stopit is an informative output parameter. The first row of Stopit showing the number of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have the following values:
% 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed.
% 1 - Sum of outputs division sum(F:S) is not equal to length(fn)*length(X) within Relative deviation 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input sequence
% E - complex exponents matrix (Fourier transform basis) - E=exp(i*2*pi*tk.*fn);
% I - (optional) number of maximum iteration.
% W - (optional) weight vector W. The default values W = ones(size(fn)).
% Output F and S for each NEDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. R=E*diag(W(length(fn)))*E';
% 2. S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);;
% 3. W=S.*conj(S); - the weight vector W for the next iteration.
% A special case: if length(X) is equal to length(fn), the NEDFT output do not depend on selected weight vector W and is calculated in non-iterative way.
% TIPS for selection of mandatory EDFT inputs X(tk) and fn:
% 1. Input sequence X(tk) for EDFT can be sampled uniformly or nonuniformly. Uniform sample can be considered as a special case of nonuniform sampling, where tk=[0,1,...,K-1]*T and T is sampling period.
% 2. Frequencies for fn can be selected arbitrary. This mean, that user can choose not only the length of NEDFT (number of frequencies in fn), but also the way how to distribute frequencies along the
% frequency axis. On other hand, to get adequate sequence X representation, frequencies fn should be
% selected to cover overall range, where the input sequence X spectrum is supposed to be found, and
% otherwise, in result of NEDFT, all components having spectra outside fn will be incorporated.
% Note that fn should contain negative frequencies too, and for a real value X(tk) analysis each
% positive frequency in fn should have corresponding negative one, for example
% fn=[ceil(N/2);floor(N/2);...];(N+T), where N=length(X).
% 3. Frequencies for vector fn can be added in any order. Therefore it is possible to combine different
% frequency sets in one or just add individual frequencies of interest to fn, e.g. fn=[fn1 fn2 f1 f2],
% where fn1 and fn2 are different frequency sets, f1,f2 - specific frequencies. NEDFT outputs will be
% calculated accordingly - F(fn)=[F(fn1) F(fn2) F(f1) F(f2)], S(fn)=[S(fn1) S(fn2) S(f1) S(f2)].
% FEATURES
% 1. NEDFT output F(fn) is the discrete Fourier transform of sequence X(tk). The Power Spectral Density
% function of nonuniform sequence X(tk) can be estimated by the following formula: abs(F(n))^2/N*T.
% 2. In general, the function Y=nedft(F,fn,tn) (see attached program) is used to calculate the reconstructed
% sequence Y(tn). If frequencies fn are selected on the same grid as used by FFT algorithm, then ifft(F)
% can be applied to get uniformly re-sampled and extrapolated to length(fn) version of input sequence X(tk).
% 3. NEDFT output S(fn) estimate amplitudes and phases of sinusoidal components in sequence X(tk).
% 4. NEDFT can increase frequency resolution length(fn)/length(X) times. Division of outputs 1/(S(fn)/S(fn))
% demonstrate the frequency resolution of NEDFT. The following is true for any NEDFT iteration:
% 0<F./S<=length(fn)*length(X).
% 5. If input arguments are matrices, the NEDFT operation is applied to each column.
% See also INEDFT, IFFT, EDFT.

See also INEDFT, IFFT, EDFT.

% Vilnis Liepins (vilnislp@gmail.com)
% Reference: V.Liepins, "A spectral estimation method of nonuniformly sampled
% band-limited signals. Automatic Control and Computer Sciences,
%========================================================================================
% Set default parameters
%========================================================================================
% Miteration=30; % Limit for maximum number of iteration (Stopit 0).
% Rdeviat=0.0005; % Value for relative deviation (Stopit 1).
% Rthresh=0.0001; % Value for relative threshold (Stopit 2).
%========================================================================================
% Check NEDFT input arguments
%========================================================================================
% if nargin<3,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
% if nargin<4,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
% if nargin<5,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
% if nargin<6,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
% if nargin<7,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
% if nargin<8,error('Not enough input arguments. See help nedft.'),end
%========================================================================================
% Calculate ERE=diag(E'*inv(R)*E).'=sum(conj(E.*RE)).
%========================================================================================
% Stopit 0: Set values for default Stopit.
% Stopit 1: Break iterations if sum(F./S) is not equal to N*K.
if abs(ERE*W(l,:)/N-K-1)>Rdeviat,Stopit(:,l)=[];S(l,W(l,:)==0)=zeros(1,sum(W(l,:)==0));break,end;
% Calculate weight (W) for the next iteration.
W(l,:)=S(l,:).*conj(S(l,:));
% Stopit 2: Break iterations if relative threshold reached.
SW(it)=sum(W(l,:));
if it>1,thit=abs(SW(it-1)-SW(it))/SW(1);
if thit<=Rthresh,Stopit(:,l)=[];break,end,end
end
% ... end iterations.
end
%================================ Adjust size of NEDFT output
if trf==1,F=F.';S=S.';end
% Adjust size of NEDFT outputs.

function Y=inedft(F,fn,tn)
%INEDFT Inverse Nonuniform Extended Discrete Fourier Transform.
% Y=inedft(F,fn,tn) is the inverse discrete Fourier transform of the vector F estimated
% by NEDFT function at arbitrary frequency set fn:
% F(fn) -> Y(tn),
% where time moments tn for reconstructed sequence Y can be uniformly or nonuniformly
% spaced in time. In the special case of uniform vectors fn and tn, the INEDFT function
% can be replaced by well-known MATLAB function IFFT.
% If input arguments are matrixes, the INEDFT operation is applied to each column.
% See also IFFT, EDFT, NEDFT.
% Vilnis Liepins (vilnislp@gmail.com)
%
%================================= Check INEDFT input arguments
if nargin<3,error('Not enough input arguments. See help inedft.'),end
if size(F,1)==1,
 trf=1; F=F.'; tn=tn.';
 else
 trf=0; fn=fn.';
end
[N,L]=size(F);
if size(fn,2)~=N, error('Sizes of input arguments F and fn must be equal. See help inedft.'),end
if size(tn,2)~=L, error('Incorrect size of input argument tn. See help inedft.'),end
%================================= Calculate INEDFT for each X column l =========
==============
for l=1:L
 E=exp(i*2*pi*tn(:,l)*fn(l,:));
 Y(:,l)=E*F(:,l)/N;
end
%================================ Adjust size of INEDFT output
if trf==1,Y=Y.';end

From the viewpoint of calculation complexity is reasonable to use the same frequency grid as
Fast Fourier Transform (FFT.m in MATLAB library). This allows to apply the FFT algorithm
in EDFT calculations, which considerably reduce computation time, because each FFT requiring
number of operations proportional to \( N \log(N) \) rather than \( N^2 \) [2], however the efficiency of FFT
algorithm could be in between of these two values since it depends also on the value of \( N \).
EDFT.m program is designed as a faster realization of Extended DFT, where the algorithm
described in [7] is implemented. The code is applicable for uniformly sampled signals or data
with gaps in it. The inverse transform to EDFT.m is MATLAB library program IFFT.m.

function [F,S,Stopit]=edft(X,N,I,W)
% EDFT Extended Discrete Fourier Transform.
% SYNTAX
% [F,S,Stopit]=edft(X,N) for N>length(X) calculate F and S iteratively (see ALGORITHM below).
% If data X do not contain NaN and N<=length(X)or N is not specified, EDFT return
% the same results as fast Fourier transform: F=fft(X,N) or F=fft(X) and S=F/N.
% [F,S,Stopit]=edft(X,N,I) performs edft(X,N) with limit I for maximum number of iterations.
% Default value for I is set by parameter 'Miteration', that is, edft(X,N)=edft(X,N,Miteration).
% To complete iteration process faster, the value for 'Miteration' should be decreased.
% [F,S,Stopit]=edft(X,N,I,W) execute edft(X,N,I) with initial conditions defined by weight
% vector W. Default values for W are ones(size(F)). W must have at least length(X) nonzero elements.
% Stopit is an informative (optional) output parameter. The first row of Stopit showing the number
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% of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have
% the following values:
% 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed. If length(X)\leq N, only one EDFT iteration
% is performed (I=1).
% 1 - Sum of outputs division \text{sum}(F./S) \text{is} \text{not} \text{equal} \text{to} length(X)N \text{within Relative deviation}
% 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% If this occur in the first iteration then outputs F and S are zeros.
% 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value
% for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input data.
% N - length of discrete Fourier transform.
% I (optional) number of maximum iteration. If not specified, I=Miteration.
% W (optional) weight vector W. If not specified, W = ones(1,N) is used for the first iteration.
% E - Fourier transform basis matrix: E=exp(-i*2*pi*(0:length(X)-1)*(0:N-1)
% If part of unknown data in X are replaced by NaN then the time vector (0:length(X)-1)
% is changed to exclude time moments where NaN inserted.
% Output F and S for each EDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. R=E*diag(W/N)*E;
% EDFT using function ifft to calculate R faster.
% 2. F=W.(X*inv(R)*E);
% S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);
% Levinson-Durbin recursion used for inverse of toeplitz R.
% Function ifft applied to speed up matrix multiplications.
% 3. W=S.*conj(S); W used as input to the next EDFT iteration.
% A special case: If length(X) is equal to N, the EDFT output do not depend on selected weight
% vector W and is calculated in non-iterative way.

% FEATURES
% 1. EDFT output F is the N-point Fourier transform of data X.
% The Power Spectral Density (PSD) function can be calculated by the following formula:
% abs(F).^2/(N*T), T - sampling period.
% 2. EDFT can extrapolate input data X to length N. That is, if apply EDFT for
% N=length(X), get the results: F=edft(X,N)=edft(Y)=fft(Y); Y=ifft(F), where Y is input X
% plus non-zero forward and backward extrapolation of X to length N.
% 3. EDFT output S estimate amplitudes and phases of sinusoidal components in input data X.
% 4. EDFT can increase frequency resolution N/length(X) times. Division of outputs
% 1/(T*F./S) demonstrate the frequency resolution of EDFT. The following is true or any EDFT
% iteration: 0<F./S<=N, sum(F./S)=N*length
% divided by number of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have
% the following values:
% 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed. If length(X)=N, only one EDFT iteration
% is performed (I=1).
% 1 - Sum of outputs division \text{sum}(F./S) \text{is} \text{not} \text{equal} \text{to} length(X)N \text{within Relative deviation}
% 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% If this occur in the first iteration then outputs F and S are zeros.
% 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value
% for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input data.
% N - length of discrete Fourier transform.
% I (optional) number of maximum iteration. If not specified, I=Miteration.
% W (optional) weight vector W. If not specified, W = ones(1,N) is used for the first iteration.
% E - Fourier transform basis matrix: E=exp(-i*2*pi*(0:length(X)-1)*(0:N-1)
% If part of unknown data in X are replaced by NaN then the time vector (0:length(X)-1)
% is changed to exclude time moments where NaN inserted.
% Output F and S for each EDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. R=E*diag(W/N)*E;
% EDFT using function ifft to calculate R faster.
% 2. F=W.(X*inv(R)*E);
% S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);
% Levinson-Durbin recursion used for inverse of toeplitz R.
% Function ifft applied to speed up matrix multiplications.
% 3. W=S.*conj(S); W used as input to the next EDFT iteration.
% A special case: If length(X) is equal to N, the EDFT output do not depend on selected weight
% vector W and is calculated in non-iterative way.

% FEATURES
% 1. EDFT output F is the N-point Fourier transform of data X.
% The Power Spectral Density (PSD) function can be calculated by the following formula:
% abs(F).^2/(N*T), T - sampling period.
% 2. EDFT can extrapolate input data X to length N. That is, if apply EDFT for
% N=length(X), get the results: F=edft(X,N)=edft(Y)=fft(Y); Y=ifft(F), where Y is input X
% plus non-zero forward and backward extrapolation of X to length N.
% 3. EDFT output S estimate amplitudes and phases of sinusoidal components in input data X.
% 4. EDFT can increase frequency resolution N/length(X) times. Division of outputs
% 1/(T*F./S) demonstrate the frequency resolution of EDFT. The following is true or any EDFT
% iteration: 0<F./S<=N, sum(F./S)=N*length
% divided by number of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have
% the following values:
% 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed. If length(X)=N, only one EDFT iteration
% is performed (I=1).
% 1 - Sum of outputs division \text{sum}(F./S) \text{is} \text{not} \text{equal} \text{to} length(X)N \text{within Relative deviation}
% 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% If this occur in the first iteration then outputs F and S are zeros.
% 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value
% for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input data.
% N - length of discrete Fourier transform.
% I (optional) number of maximum iteration. If not specified, I=Miteration.
% W (optional) weight vector W. If not specified, W = ones(1,N) is used for the first iteration.
% E - Fourier transform basis matrix: E=exp(-i*2*pi*(0:length(X)-1)*(0:N-1)
% If part of unknown data in X are replaced by NaN then the time vector (0:length(X)-1)
% is changed to exclude time moments where NaN inserted.
% Output F and S for each EDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. R=E*diag(W/N)*E;
% EDFT using function ifft to calculate R faster.
% 2. F=W.(X*inv(R)*E);
% S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);
% Levinson-Durbin recursion used for inverse of toeplitz R.
% Function ifft applied to speed up matrix multiplications.
% 3. W=S.*conj(S); W used as input to the next EDFT iteration.
% A special case: If length(X) is equal to N, the EDFT output do not depend on selected weight
% vector W and is calculated in non-iterative way.

% FEATURES
% 1. EDFT output F is the N-point Fourier transform of data X.
% The Power Spectral Density (PSD) function can be calculated by the following formula:
% abs(F).^2/(N*T), T - sampling period.
% 2. EDFT can extrapolate input data X to length N. That is, if apply EDFT for
% N=length(X), get the results: F=edft(X,N)=edft(Y)=fft(Y); Y=ifft(F), where Y is input X
% plus non-zero forward and backward extrapolation of X to length N.
% 3. EDFT output S estimate amplitudes and phases of sinusoidal components in input data X.
% 4. EDFT can increase frequency resolution N/length(X) times. Division of outputs
% 1/(T*F./S) demonstrate the frequency resolution of EDFT. The following is true or any EDFT
% iteration: 0<F./S<=N, sum(F./S)=N*length
% divided by number of performed iteration, the second row indicate breaking of iteration reason and may have
% the following values:
% 0 - Maximum number of iteration performed. If length(X)=N, only one EDFT iteration
% is performed (I=1).
% 1 - Sum of outputs division \text{sum}(F./S) \text{is} \text{not} \text{equal} \text{to} length(X)N \text{within Relative deviation}
% 'Rdeviat'. The calculations were interrupted because of results could be inaccurate.
% If this occur in the first iteration then outputs F and S are zeros.
% 2 - Relative threshold 'Rthresh' reached. To complete iteration process faster, the value
% for 'Rthresh' should be increased.
% ALGORITHM
% Input:
% X - input data.
% N - length of discrete Fourier transform.
% I (optional) number of maximum iteration. If not specified, I=Miteration.
% W (optional) weight vector W. If not specified, W = ones(1,N) is used for the first iteration.
% E - Fourier transform basis matrix: E=exp(-i*2*pi*(0:length(X)-1)*(0:N-1)
% If part of unknown data in X are replaced by NaN then the time vector (0:length(X)-1)
% is changed to exclude time moments where NaN inserted.
% Output F and S for each EDFT iteration are calculated by following formulas:
% 1. R=E*diag(W/N)*E;
% EDFT using function ifft to calculate R faster.
% 2. F=W.(X*inv(R)*E);
% S=(X*inv(R)*E)./diag(E*inv(R)*E);
% Levinson-Durbin recursion used for inverse of toeplitz R.
% Function ifft applied to speed up matrix multiplications.
% 3. W=S.*conj(S); W used as input to the next EDFT iteration.
% A special case: If length(X) is equal to N, the EDFT output do not depend on selected weight
% vector W and is calculated in non-iterative way.
for \( l = 1 : L \),
\[
\text{Check for a special cases}
\]
if \( KK(l) = NKK(l) = 0 \),
\[
F(:,l) = \text{fft}(X(:,l), N);
\]
\[
S(:,l) = \text{fft}(X(:,l), N);
\]
\[
\text{Stopit(:,l)} = [1, 0];
\]
elseif \( K = 1 \) and \( N \neq 1 \),
\[
F(:,l) = \text{fft}(X(:,l), N);
\]
\[
S(:,l) = \text{fft}(X(:,l), N);
\]
\[
\text{Stopit(:,l)} = [1, 0];
\]
elseif isempty(find(X(:,l))) and \( KK(l) > 0 \),
\[
\text{Stopit(:,l)} = [1; 0];
\]
\[
\text{Elseif KK(l) == K,}
\]
\[
\text{Apply FASTER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{Basic EDFT algorithm started}
\]
\[
\text{For } it = 1:I,
\]
\[
\text{Start iterations...}
\]
\[
\text{Calculate correlation vector } (r).
\]
\[
r = \text{ifft}(W(:,l));
\]
\[
\text{% Perform inverse of correlation matrix } R.
\]
\[
a = [1; \text{toeplitz}\left(\text{conj}(r(1:K-1))\right) \ldots \text{conj}(r(2:K))];
\]
\[
V = a \ast \text{conj}(r(1:K));
\]
\[
\text{% Use Matlab operator for Levinson-Durbin recursion.}
\]
\[
\text{for } n = 1:K-2,
\]
\[
\text{alpha} = [1:1.1 \ast r(n-2:1:2)];\rho = -\text{alpha} / \text{V};
\]
\[
\text{V} = \text{V} + \rho \ast \text{conj(alfa)}
\]
\[
\text{a} = [\text{a} + \rho \ast \text{flipud(a)}; \rho];
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]
\[
\text{a} = [1; \text{a}];
\]
\[
\text{Calculate ERE}=\text{diag}((E'\ast \text{inv}(R)) \ast E)
\]
\[
\text{XR}=\text{zeros}(K,1);\text{RE}=\text{zeros}(K,1);r=a;
\]
\[
\text{for } k=1:K/2,
\]
\[
k_0=K-k+1;k_1=2:K-k+1;k_2=1:K-k;k_3=k:K-1;
\]
\[
\text{RE(1)} = \text{RE(1)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k0-k+1)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k0)} = \text{RE(k0)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k0)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k1)} = \text{RE(k1)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k1)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k2)} = \text{RE(k2)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k2)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k3)} = \text{RE(k3)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k3)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k4)} = \text{RE(k4)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k4)});
\]
\[
\text{END}
\]
\[
\text{End of FASTER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{End of SLOWER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{Apply SLOWER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{Replace NaN by 0 in } X
\]
\[
t = \text{find}(\text{Xnan(:,l)});
\]
\[
\text{Sample numbers vector } (t)
\]
\[
\text{for } it = 1:1,
\]
\[
\text{Calculate correlation matrix } R
\]
\[
\text{by applying ifft and inverse } R \text{ by Matlab backslash operator.}
\]
\[
\text{RT}=\text{ifft}(W(:,l));
\]
\[
\text{INVR}=\text{zeros}(K,1);\text{ER}=\text{zeros}(K,1);
\]
\[
\text{X(find}(-\text{Xnan(:,l)}))=\text{zeros(K-KK(l),1)};
\]
\[
\text{else}
\]
\[
\text{Elseif KK(l) == K,}
\]
\[
\text{Input } X(:,l) \text{ does not contain NaN}
\]
\[
\text{End of SLOWER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{Apply FASTER algorithm}
\]
\[
\text{Start iterations...}
\]
\[
\text{Calculate correlation vector } (r).
\]
\[
r = \text{ifft}(W(:,l));
\]
\[
\text{% Perform inverse of correlation matrix } R.
\]
\[
a = [1; \text{toeplitz}\left(\text{conj}(r(1:K-1))\right) \ldots \text{conj}(r(2:K))];
\]
\[
V = a \ast \text{conj}(r(1:K));
\]
\[
\text{% Use Levinson-Durbin recursion.}
\]
\[
\text{for } n = 1:K-2,
\]
\[
\text{alpha} = [1:1.1 \ast r(n-2:1:2)];\rho = -\text{alpha} / \text{V};
\]
\[
\text{V} = \text{V} + \rho \ast \text{conj(alfa)}
\]
\[
\text{a} = [\text{a} + \rho \ast \text{flipud(a)}; \rho];
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]
\[
\text{a} = [1; \text{a}];
\]
\[
\text{Calculate ERE}=\text{diag}((E'\ast \text{inv}(R)) \ast E)
\]
\[
\text{XR}=\text{zeros}(K,1);\text{RE}=\text{zeros}(K,1);r=a;
\]
\[
\text{for } k=1:K/2,
\]
\[
k_0=K-k+1;k_1=2:K-k+1;k_2=1:K-k;k_3=k:K-1;
\]
\[
\text{RE(1)} = \text{RE(1)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k0-k+1)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k0)} = \text{RE(k0)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k0)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k1)} = \text{RE(k1)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k1)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k2)} = \text{RE(k2)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k2)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k3)} = \text{RE(k3)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k3)});
\]
\[
\text{RE(k4)} = \text{RE(k4)} + 2 * \text{con}j(\text{RE(k4)});
\]
\[
\text{END}
\]
\[
\text{End of SLOWER algorithm}
\]
The next program demonstrates the applicability of the Extended DFT in 2-dimensional signal processing. The EDFT2.m program is based on the MATLAB library program FFT2.m where FFT.m calls are simply replaced by EDFT.m. The inverse transform to EDFT2.m is the MATLAB library program IFFT2.m.

function f = EDFT2(x, mrows, ncols)
% EDFT2 Two-dimensional Extended Discrete Fourier Transform.
% EDFT2(X) returns the two-dimensional Fourier transform of matrix X.
% Before running EDFT2 unknown data (if any) inside of X should be replaced by NaN (Not-a-Number).
% If X is a vector, the result will have the same orientation.
% EDFT2(X,MROWS,NCOLS) performing size MROWS-by-NCOLS Fourier transform without padding of
% matrix X with zeros. Inverse transform is Matlab built-in function ifft2.
% See also EDFT, IFFT2.
% EDFT2 is created on basis of FFT2 (J.N. Little 12/18/1985) by Vilnis Liepins.
% No input.
if nargin==0, error('Not enough input arguments. See help edft2.'), end
[m, n] = size(x);
% Basic algorithm.
if (nargin == 1) & (m > 1) & (n > 1)
    f = fft(fft(x).');
    f = edft(edft(x).');
    return;
end
% Padding for vector input.
if nargin < 3, ncols = n; end
if nargin < 2, mrows = m; end
mpad = mrows; npad = ncols;
if m == 1 & mpad > m, x(2, 1) = 0; m = 2; end
if n == 1 & npad > n, x(1, 2) = 0; n = 2; end
if m == 1, mpad = npad; npad = 1; end  % For row vector.
% Transform.
%f = fft(x, mpad);
%if m > 1 & n > 1, f = fft(f', npad);' end
f = edft(x, mpad);
if m > 1 & n > 1, f = edft(f', npad);' end
The first version of EDFT (file GDFT.m) was submitted to file-exchange server on 10/7/1997 as MATLAB 4.1 code. The renewed code version uploaded on 8/5/2006 and available online mathworks.com and researchgate.net.
Please note that programs have not been tested on the latest MATLAB versions and therefore have opportunities to performance improvements (see for example [25, 28]).
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