

Chaotic inflation in supergravity and cosmic string production

Andrei Linde

Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

We describe a simple model of chaotic inflation in supergravity where one can easily tune not only the basic cosmological parameters such as n_s , r , and f_{NL} , but also a possible (subdominant) cosmic string contribution to the CMB anisotropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent observational data impose very stringent constraints on the possible (subdominant) role of cosmic strings in generation of CMB anisotropy. But even though cosmic strings cannot replace inflation as the main source of perturbations of metric [1], they may induce additional features in CMB maps and serve as a source of non-Gaussian perturbations in the early universe, see e.g. [2–5].

The standard textbook theory of cosmic string production is related to the theory of high temperature phase transitions in the early universe. But the temperature of the universe after post-inflationary reheating may be too small to produce heavy strings. Recent resurgence of interest to cosmic strings was based in part on realization that cosmic strings can be produced after inflation in some versions of string theory [6, 7]. Moreover, cosmic strings are naturally produced at the waterfall stage at the end of hybrid inflation [8]. In the context of supergravity, this can be achieved, for example, in the F-term or D-term hybrid inflation [9, 10]. Unfortunately, in basic versions of these models the contribution of cosmic strings to the CMB anisotropy is unacceptably large, so they require certain modifications for reducing or even eliminating cosmic string effects, see e.g. [11–13] and references therein.

All of these models of cosmic string production *after inflation* are vulnerable with respect to the constraints that can be placed on the cosmic string tension by pulsar timing limits on the gravitational wave background. These constraints may eventually push string tension limits well below $G\mu \sim 10^{-7}$, which would make the impact of cosmic strings on the CMB anisotropy very hard to observe [3, 14–17].

Fortunately, there seems to be a way to overcome this potential problem (in addition to various issues with interpretation of pulsar timing results discussed in [3, 15–17]). Indeed, it was noticed long ago that the cosmological phase transitions with string production may take place not only *after inflation* but also *during inflation* [18–21]. If inflation continues less than 60 e-foldings after the phase transition with string formation, it does not affect the contribution of strings on perturbations of metric on very large scale responsible for large angle CMB anisotropy. Meanwhile the number density of small string loops, giving the dominant contribution to the gravita-

tional wave background affecting the pulsar timing, may be very strongly suppressed. This may allow one to avoid the pulsar timing limits on string tension [21]. The same effect can help to avoid excessive particle production by oscillating cosmic string loops.

This idea was recently implemented in a particular model of chaotic inflation in supergravity [22]. The authors studied F-term strings, which required introduction of 3 new scalar fields interacting with each other, in addition to the fields present in the inflationary model.

In our paper, we will discuss a similar but more general class of models of inflation in supergravity, which allow to easily tune basic cosmological parameters such as n_s , r , and f_{NL} . We will see that in this class of models one can describe Abelian Higgs D-term string production during inflation by adding to the basic inflationary model just one extra scalar field with vanishing superpotential.

II. CHAOTIC INFLATION IN SUPERGRAVITY

The model to be discussed here belongs to the broad class of models of chaotic inflation in supergravity developed in [23–25], which generalized the simplest model of this type proposed in [26]; see also [27–42] for a partial list of related publications.

The class of models developed in [23–25] describes two scalar fields, S and Φ , with the superpotential

$$W = Sf(\Phi), \quad (1)$$

where $f(\Phi)$ can be any real holomorphic function such that $\bar{f}(\bar{\Phi}) = f(\Phi)$. Any function which can be represented by Taylor series with real coefficients has this property. The Kähler potential can be any function of the following general form

$$K = K((\Phi - \bar{\Phi})^2, S\bar{S}). \quad (2)$$

In this case, the Kähler potential does not depend on $\phi = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} \Phi$. Under certain conditions on the Kähler potential, inflation occurs along the direction $S = \operatorname{Im} \Phi = 0$, and the field ϕ plays the role of the inflaton field with the F-term potential

$$V_F = |f(\phi/\sqrt{2})|^2. \quad (3)$$

Note that this expression for the inflaton potential coincides with the expression of the potential of the field ϕ in global SUSY with the superpotential $W = Sf(\Phi)$. All scalar fields have canonical kinetic terms along the inflationary trajectory $S = \text{Im } \Phi = 0$.

Alternatively, one may consider models with the Kähler potential $K = K((\Phi + \bar{\Phi})^2, S\bar{S})$, in which case the role of the inflaton field will be played by $\chi = \sqrt{2} \text{Im } \Phi$, with the inflaton potential $V_F = |f(\chi/\sqrt{2})|^2$.

The functional freedom of choice of the inflaton potential allows to account for *any* set of observational data that can be expressed in terms of two parameters n_s, r . The choice of the Kähler potential controls masses of the fields orthogonal to the inflationary trajectory [23–25]. If mass of some of these fields are smaller than H during inflation, one may use these fields as curvaton fields [43] for generation of non-Gaussian perturbations in this class of models [44]. Alternatively, one may produce non-Gaussian perturbations in this scenario by considering interaction with vector fields $\sim \chi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, see [45] and references therein.

III. COSMIC STRING PRODUCTION

The simplest way to introduce cosmic strings to these models is to add to these models a supersymmetric version of the Abelian Higgs model describing a charged scalar field Q , without any superpotential associated with it, interacting with the Abelian field A_μ with a gauge coupling constant g [46]. It is not necessary to introduce two charged scalars, as in F-term or D-term inflation. In the global SUSY limit, the potential of the field Q is given by the D-term potential

$$V_D = \frac{g^2}{2} (\bar{Q}Q - \xi)^2. \quad (4)$$

For the purposes of the present paper, we will treat ξ as a constant, referring to the extensive recent literature for the discussion of a possible nature of the FI term, see e.g. [47, 48] and references therein.

We will represent the field Q as $Q = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta}$, where h is canonically normalized, and the phase θ , as usual, is eliminated due to the Higgs effect. In global SUSY, D-term potential makes the field h tachyonic at $h = 0$, $m_h^2 = -g^2\xi$, which leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking due to generation of a classical scalar field $h = h_0$, where $h_0 = \sqrt{2\xi}$. Spontaneous symmetry breaking results in creation of the Abelian Higgs D-term strings with tension $G\mu = \xi/4$ [46]. In what follows, it will be convenient for us to express everything alternatively either in terms of h_0 , or ξ , or $G\mu$. In particular the D-term contribution to the mass squared of the field h near $h = 0$ can be represented as $m_h^2 = -\frac{g^2}{2}h_0^2$.

In supergravity, the field h acquires an additional contribution to its mass due to the F-term. This contribution depends on the choice of the Kähler potential and the superpotential. As a simplest example of chaotic inflation with string production, we will consider now a theory with the superpotential

$$W = mS\Phi \quad (5)$$

and the Kähler potential:

$$\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{2}(\Phi - \bar{\Phi})^2 + S\bar{S} + Q\bar{Q}. \quad (6)$$

Under certain conditions, the fields S and $\chi = \sqrt{2} \text{Im } \Phi$ can be stabilized near the inflationary trajectory $S = \chi = 0$ [23, 24]. The strength of stabilization depends on terms like $(S\bar{S})^2$ which can be added to the Kähler potential.

The potential of the fields ϕ and h at the inflationary trajectory $S = \chi = 0$ is given by

$$V = V_F + V_D = e^{h^2/2} \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{g^2}{4} (h^2 - h_0^2)^2. \quad (7)$$

In the regime with $h \ll 1$ which we are going to discuss below, this expression yields

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 \left(1 + \frac{h^2}{2}\right) + \frac{g^2}{4} (h^2 - h_0^2)^2. \quad (8)$$

This means that the effective mass of the field h near $h = 0$ at the inflationary trajectory is given by

$$m_h^2 = \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{g^2}{2} h_0^2. \quad (9)$$

For $m^2 \phi^2 > -g^2 h_0^2$ this potential has a stable minimum at $h = 0$. The point $h = 0$ becomes unstable and spontaneous symmetry breaking accompanied by cosmic string production occurs for $\phi < \phi_c$, where

$$\phi_c^2 = \frac{g^2 h_0^2}{m^2} = \frac{2g^2 \xi}{m^2} = \frac{8g^2 G\mu}{m^2}. \quad (10)$$

One can easily check that during inflation in our scenario, $V_F \gg V_D$ if $m \gg g\xi$. As we will see, the condition $m \gg g\xi$ is satisfied in the realistic version of our model. In this case, the Hubble constant during inflation is given by

$$H^2 = \frac{m^2 \phi^2}{6}, \quad (11)$$

just as in the simplest chaotic inflation scenario with a quadratic potential without any D-term contribution [49,

50]. In this scenario, the number N_c of e-folds of inflation during the process of rolling of the field down from ϕ_c is given by $N = \phi_c^2/4$. This means that if the phase transition with string formation occurs during inflation, the universe expands by the factor of

$$e^N = e^{2g^2 G\mu/m^2} \quad (12)$$

since the moment of the phase transition. In the realistic version of this scenario, COBE normalization requires $m \sim 6 \times 10^{-6}$, so one has

$$e^N = e^{55 \times 10^9 g^2 G\mu}, \quad (13)$$

where $G\mu = \xi/4$. For example, one would have 55 e-folds of inflation since the moment of string production in the model with $10^9 g^2 G\mu = 1$. This would dilute all but very longest strings formed during inflation. This particular regime would require $g = 0.1$ for $G\mu = 10^{-7}$, or $g = 0.05$ for $G\mu = 4 \times 10^{-7}$, which would lead to a small observable contribution of strings to the CMB anisotropy. The consistency condition $m \gg g\xi$ required for the regime $V_F \gg V_D$ is satisfied for these values of parameters.

Following [22], one may argue that if the phase transitions with string production occurs at a sufficiently large ϕ_c , like in the example given above, the stringent pulsar constraints on the string tension may no longer apply. However, a more detailed investigation of this question is warranted since observational consequences of string formation during inflation are less explored than the consequences of the conventional mechanism of string formation after inflation.

In particular, in our discussion we made an implicit assumption that the phase transition occurs at $\phi = \phi_c$. Meanwhile the actual situation is more complicated. The process of string formation first begins at $\phi > \phi_c$ when the mass of the field h becomes smaller than the Hubble constant $\frac{m^2 \phi^2}{6}$. Later on, the tachyonic instability becomes very fast approximately at the time when the absolute value of the tachyonic mass of the field h becomes equal to H . One can easily check that in our model the first of these two moments occurs at $\phi^2 = \frac{3}{2}\phi_c^2$, and the second one occurs at $\phi^2 \sim \frac{3}{4}\phi_c^2$.

This means, for example, that if the universe expands $e^{\phi_c^2/4} = e^{60}$ times after the field passes through the critical point, then the process of string formation begins due to generation of quantum fluctuations of the field h approximately 30 e-folding *earlier*, and it ends approximately 15 e-folding *later* than one could naively expect. This also means that a tiny fraction of cosmic strings may be produced at slightly later times as well, because of rare fluctuations occasionally bringing the field h back to $h = 0$. Thus we deal with a rather smooth and broad

transition process, so in general one may even take the critical point ϕ_c to be well above its value corresponding to 60 e-foldings.

For a more detailed analysis of this process one may use estimates of the type made in [22]. The best way to explore this process in detail is to use lattice simulations, as it was done in our study of symmetry breaking process in hybrid inflation [51, 52]. We hope to return to a discussion of this issue in a separate publication.

Our model of string production allows various generalizations. In particular, instead of studying models with the simplest superpotential $W = mS\Phi$, one may study a more general case with $W = Sf(\Phi)$. After some algebra, one finds that for any holomorphic function $f(\Phi)$ the mass of the field h during inflation is given by

$$m_h^2 = V_F(\phi) - \frac{g^2}{2} h_0^2, \quad (14)$$

where $V_F(\phi) = |f(\phi/\sqrt{2})|^2$. This generalizes our previous result (9). The critical point of the phase transition phase transition with string production corresponds to the moment when $V_F(\phi)$ becomes smaller than $\frac{g^2}{2} h_0^2$. Whereas the description of inflation does depend on the choice of the function $f(\Phi)$, the main qualitative results concerning string production during inflation remain valid for a broad choice of inflationary potentials $V_F(\phi)$.

Further generalizations of this model are possible. For example, by adding extra terms like $(S\bar{S})^2$ to the Kähler potential, one can control the mass of the field S [23, 24], which may play the role of the curvaton field in this scenario [44]. Meanwhile adding an extra term $\kappa S\bar{S}Q\bar{Q}$ to the Kähler potential alters our expression for the Higgs mass, which becomes $m_h^2 = (1 - \kappa) V_F(\phi) - \frac{g^2}{2} h_0^2$ [45]. This modification controls the position of the critical point of the phase transition with string formation, and therefore the duration of inflation after it. As we already mentioned, one can obtain an additional source of non-Gaussianity by considering the Kähler potential $K = K((\Phi + \bar{\Phi})^2, S\bar{S})$, and adding interaction with vector fields $\sim \chi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ [45].

To conclude, in the context of the general class of chaotic inflation models discussed above one can consistently fit *any* set of observational parameters n_s and r , introduce a controllable amount of non-Gaussianity, and also add a small but potentially observable contribution of cosmic strings to the CMB anisotropy.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to R. Kallosh, S. Mooij and E. Pajer for many enlightening discussions. This work was supported by NSF grant PHY-0756174.

-
- [1] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, “Quantum Fluctuation And Nonsingular Universe,” JETP Lett. **33**, 532 (1981) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1981)]; S. W. Hawking, “The Development Of Irregularities In A Single Bubble Inflationary Universe,” Phys. Lett. B **115**, 295 (1982); A. A. Starobinsky, “Dynamics Of Phase Transition In The New Inflationary Universe Scenario And Generation Of Perturbations,” Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982); A. H. Guth and S. Y. Pi, “Fluctuations In The New Inflationary Universe,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1110 (1982); J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, “Spontaneous Creation Of Almost Scale - Free Density Perturbations In An Inflationary Universe,” Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983); V. F. Mukhanov, “Gravitational Instability Of The Universe Filled With A Scalar Field,” JETP Lett. **41**, 493 (1985) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **41**, 402 (1985)]; M. Sasaki, “Large Scale Quantum Fluctuations in the Inflationary Universe,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **76**, 1036 (1986). ”
- [2] C. Ringeval, “Cosmic strings and their induced non-Gaussianities in the cosmic microwave background,” Adv. Astron. **2010**, 380507 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4842 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] M. Hindmarsh, “Signals of Inflationary Models with Cosmic Strings,” Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **190**, 197 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0391 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] B. Shlaer, A. Vilenkin and A. Loeb, “Early structure formation from cosmic string loops,” JCAP **1205**, 026 (2012) [arXiv:1202.1346 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [5] C. Ringeval and F. R. Bouchet, “All Sky CMB Map from Cosmic Strings Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect,” Phys. Rev. D **86**, 023513 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5041 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, “Towards inflation in string theory,” JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003) [hep-th/0308055].
- [7] E. J. Copeland, R. C. Myers and J. Polchinski, “Cosmic F and D strings,” JHEP **0406**, 013 (2004) [hep-th/0312067]. E. J. Copeland, R. C. Myers and J. Polchinski, “Cosmic superstrings II,” Comptes Rendus Physique **5**, 1021 (2004).
- [8] A. D. Linde, “Axions in inflationary cosmology,” Phys. Lett. B **259**, 38 (1991). A. D. Linde, “Hybrid inflation,” Phys. Rev. D **49**, 748 (1994) [astro-ph/9307002].
- [9] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, “False vacuum inflation with Einstein gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994) [astro-ph/9401011].
- [10] P. Binetruy and G. R. Dvali, “D term inflation,” Phys. Lett. B **388**, 241 (1996) [hep-ph/9606342].
- [11] G. Lazarides, “Supersymmetric hybrid inflation,” hep-ph/0011130.
- [12] M. Haack, R. Kallosh, A. Krause, A. D. Linde, D. Lust and M. Zagermann, “Update of D3/D7-Brane Inflation on $K3 \times T^{**2}/Z(2)$,” Nucl. Phys. B **806**, 103 (2009) [arXiv:0804.3961 [hep-th]].
- [13] W. Buchmuller, V. Domcke and K. Schmitz, “Superconformal D-Term Inflation,” arXiv:1210.4105 [hep-ph].
- [14] R. van Haasteren, Y. Levin, G. H. Janssen, K. Lazaridis, M. K. B. W. Stappers, G. Desvignes, M. B. Purver and A. G. Lyne *et al.*, “Placing limits on the stochastic gravitational-wave background using European Pulsar Timing Array data,” arXiv:1103.0576 [astro-ph.CO].
- [15] S. A. Sanidas, R. A. Battye and B. W. Stappers, “Constraints on cosmic string tension imposed by the limit on the stochastic gravitational wave background from the European Pulsar Timing Array,” Phys. Rev. D **85**, 122003 (2012) [arXiv:1201.2419 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [16] S. Kuroyanagi, K. Miyamoto, T. Sekiguchi, K. Takahashi and J. Silk, “Forecast constraints on cosmic strings from future CMB, pulsar timing and gravitational wave direct detection experiments,” Phys. Rev. D **87**, 023522 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2829 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] S. A. Sanidas, R. A. Battye and B. W. Stappers, “Projected constraints on the cosmic (super)string tension with future gravitational wave detection experiments,” Astrophys. J. **764**, 108 (2013) [arXiv:1211.5042 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [18] Q. Shafi and A. Vilenkin, “Spontaneously Broken Global Symmetries And Cosmology,” Phys. Rev. D **29**, 1870 (1984).
- [19] L. A. Kofman and A. D. Linde, “Generation of Density Perturbations in the Inflationary Cosmology,” Nucl. Phys. B **282**, 555 (1987).
- [20] E. T. Vishniac, K. A. Olive and D. Seckel, “Cosmic Strings and Inflation,” Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 717 (1987).
- [21] J. Yokoyama, “Inflation Can Save Cosmic Strings,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 712 (1989).
- [22] K. Kamada, Y. Miyamoto and J. i. Yokoyama, “Evading the pulsar constraints on the cosmic string tension in supergravity inflation,” JCAP **1210**, 023 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3237 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [23] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, “New models of chaotic inflation in supergravity,” JCAP **1011**, 011 (2010) [arXiv:1008.3375 [hep-th]].
- [24] R. Kallosh, A. Linde and T. Rube, “General inflaton potentials in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **83**, 043507 (2011) [arXiv:1011.5945 [hep-th]].
- [25] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, K. A. Olive and T. Rube, “Chaotic inflation and supersymmetry breaking,” Phys. Rev. D **84**, 083519 (2011) [arXiv:1106.6025 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, “Natural chaotic inflation in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3572 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004243].
- [27] M. Yamaguchi, “Natural double inflation in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **64**, 063502 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0103045].
- [28] M. Kawasaki and M. Yamaguchi, “A supersymmetric topological inflation model,” Phys. Rev. D **65**, 103518 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0112093].
- [29] M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, “Chaotic hybrid new inflation in supergravity with a running spectral index,” Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 123520 [arXiv:hep-ph/0307373].
- [30] P. Brax and J. Martin, “Shift symmetry and inflation in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **72**, 023518 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504168].
- [31] R. Kallosh, “On inflation in string theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0702059.
- [32] K. Kadota and M. Yamaguchi, “D-term chaotic inflation in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 103522 (2007) [arXiv:0706.2676 [hep-ph]].

- [33] S. C. Davis and M. Postma, “SUGRA chaotic inflation and moduli stabilisation,” *JCAP* **0803**, 015 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4696 [hep-ph]].
- [34] M. B. Einhorn and D. R. T. Jones, “Inflation with Non-minimal Gravitational Couplings in Supergravity,” *JHEP* **1003**, 026 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2718 [hep-ph]].
- [35] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, A. Marrani and A. Van Proeyen, “Jordan Frame Supergravity and Inflation in NMSSM,” *Phys. Rev.* **D82**, 045003 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0712 [hep-th]].
- [36] H. M. Lee, “Chaotic inflation in Jordan frame supergravity,” *JCAP* **1008**, 003 (2010) [arXiv:1005.2735 [hep-ph]].
- [37] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, A. Marrani and A. Van Proeyen, “Superconformal Symmetry, NMSSM, and Inflation,” arXiv:1008.2942 [hep-th].
- [38] F. Takahashi, “Linear Inflation from Running Kinetic Term in Supergravity,” arXiv:1006.2801 [hep-ph];
- [39] K. Nakayama and F. Takahashi, “Running Kinetic Inflation,” arXiv:1008.2956 [hep-ph].
- [40] E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, “Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 106003 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3085 [hep-th]].
- [41] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, “Gravity Waves and Linear Inflation from Axion Monodromy,” arXiv:0808.0706 [hep-th].
- [42] M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, “New inflation in supergravity with a chaotic initial condition,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 043506 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0007021].
- [43] A. D. Linde and V. Mukhanov, “Nongaussian isocurvature perturbations from inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 535 (1997) [arXiv:astro-ph/9610219]; K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, “Adiabatic CMB perturbations in pre big bang string cosmology,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **626**, 395 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0109214]; D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, “Generating the curvature perturbation without an inflaton,” *Phys. Lett. B* **524**, 5 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110002]; T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, “Effects of cosmological moduli fields on cosmic microwave background,” *Phys. Lett. B* **522**, 215 (2001) [Erratum-ibid. *B* **539**, 303 (2002)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0110096].
- [44] V. Demozzi, A. Linde and V. Mukhanov, “Supercurvature,” *JCAP* **1104**, 013 (2011) [arXiv:1012.0549 [hep-th]].
- [45] A. Linde, S. Mooij and E. Pajer, “Gauge field production in SUGRA inflation: local non-Gaussianity and primordial black holes,” arXiv:1212.1693 [hep-th].
- [46] G. Dvali, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, “D term strings,” *JHEP* **0401**, 035 (2004) [hep-th/0312005].
- [47] P. Binetruy, G. Dvali, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, “Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in supergravity and cosmology,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 3137 (2004) [hep-th/0402046].
- [48] D. Arnold, J. -P. Derendinger and J. Hartong, “On Supercurrent Superfields and Fayet-Iliopoulos Terms in N=1 Gauge Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **867**, 370 (2013) [arXiv:1208.1648 [hep-th]].
- [49] A. D. Linde, “Chaotic Inflation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **129**, 177 (1983).
- [50] A. D. Linde, “Particle physics and inflationary cosmology,” *Contemp. Concepts Phys.* **5**, 1 (1990) [hep-th/0503203].
- [51] G. N. Felder, J. Garcia-Bellido, P. B. Greene, L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and I. Tkachev, “Dynamics of symmetry breaking and tachyonic preheating,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 011601 (2001) [hep-ph/0012142].
- [52] G. N. Felder, L. Kofman and A. D. Linde, “Tachyonic instability and dynamics of spontaneous symmetry breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 123517 (2001) [hep-th/0106179].