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0. Introduction

Hom–Lie algebra structures were introduced and studied in [1–4]. In 2008, Q. Jin and X. Li gave a description of Hom–Lie algebra structures of Lie algebras and determined the isomorphic classes of nontrivial Hom–Lie algebra structures of finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebras [5]. The Hom–Lie algebras have been sufficiently studied in [6, 7].

The theory of Lie superalgebras has seen a significant development. For example, V. G. Kac classified the finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras and the infinite dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero [8, 9]. In 2010, F. Ammar and A. Makhlfouf generalized Hom–Lie algebras to Hom–Lie superalgebras [10]. In 2012, B. T. Cao and L. Luo proved that there is only the trivial Hom–Lie superalgebra structure on a finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra of characteristic zero [11].
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This paper is motivated by the results and methods relative to finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras with characteristic zero (cf. [11]). In Section 1 the notations of infinite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields were introduced. In Section 2 the multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structures on infinite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields were studied. We proved that there is only the trivial multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure on infinite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout \( \mathbb{F} \) is a field of characteristic zero. \( \mathbb{Z}_2 := \{0, 1\} \) is the additive group of two elements. \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathbb{N}_0 \) are the sets of positive integers and nonnegative integers, respectively. \( \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \) denotes the polynomial algebra over \( \mathbb{F} \) in even indeterminates \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \), where \( m > 3 \). For positive integers \( n > 3 \), let \( \Lambda(n) \) be the Grassmann superalgebra over \( \mathbb{F} \) in the \( n \) odd indeterminates \( x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+n} \). Clearly,

\[
\Lambda(m, n) := \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \otimes \Lambda(n).
\]

is an associative commutative superalgebra.

Let \( \partial_r \) be the superderivation of \( \Lambda(m, n) \) defined by \( \partial_r(x_s) = \delta_{rs} \) for \( r, s \in 1, m + n \). The generalized Witt superalgebra \( W(m, n) \) is \( \mathbb{F} \)-spanned by \( \{ f_r \partial_r | f_r \in \Lambda(m, n), r \in 1, m + n \} \).

Note that \( W(1, m) \) is a free \( \Lambda(m, n) \)-module with basis \( \{ \partial_r | r \in 1, m \} \).

For a vector superspace \( V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \), we write \( |x| := \theta \) for the parity of a homogeneous element \( x \in V_0 \), \( \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Once the symbol \( |x| \) appears, it will imply that \( x \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homogeneous element.

The following symbols will be frequently used in this paper:

- \( i^r_H = i^r_K := \begin{cases} 
  i + r, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\
  i - r, & \text{if } r < i \leq 2r \\
  i, & \text{if } i \in m + 1, m + n,
\end{cases} 
\tau(i) := \begin{cases} 
  1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\
  -1, & \text{if } r < i \leq 2r \\
  1, & \text{if } i \in m + 1, m + n,
\end{cases} 
\) for \( m = 2r \) or \( m = 2r + 1 \);

- \( i^r_X := \begin{cases} 
  i + m, & \text{if } i \in \frac{1}{2}m \\
  i - m, & \text{if } i \in \frac{1}{2}m + 1, m, 
\end{cases} \) where \( X = HO, KO, SHO \) and \( SKO \);

- \( \text{div}(f, \partial_r) = (-1)^{[\partial_r]_f} f_r \partial_r(f), \) where \( \text{div} \) is a linear mapping from \( W(m, n) \) to \( \Lambda(m, n) \);

- \( \text{div}_\lambda(f) := (-1)^{|\partial_0| f} 2 \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \partial_{\lambda_{SKO}} f + (D - m\lambda\text{id}_{\Lambda(m,m+1)}) \partial_{2m+1}(f) \right), \) where \( f \in \Lambda(m, m) \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \);

- \( D_\partial(f) := (-1)^{|\partial_0| \partial_1} \partial_1(f) \partial_2 - (-1)^{|\partial_0| + |\partial_1|} |f| \partial_0(f) \partial_1, \) where \( f \in \Lambda(m, m) \);

- \( D_\partial(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \tau(i) (-1)^{|\partial_0| |f|} \partial_i(f) \partial_{i^r_H}, \) where \( m = 2r \) and \( f \in \Lambda(m, m) \);

- \( D_\partial(f) := \sum_{ \alpha \neq 1 }^{m+n} (-1)^{|\partial_0| |f|} (x_\alpha \partial_m f + \tau(i^r_K) \partial_0 f) \partial_1 + (2f - \sum_{ \alpha \neq 1 }^{m+n} x_\alpha \partial_1 f) \partial_m, \) where \( m = 2r + 1 \) and \( f \in \Lambda(m, m) \);
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\[\bullet \ D_{\text{HO}}(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^{i\langle f \rangle} \partial_i(a) \partial_i, \text{ where } f \in \Lambda(m, m);\]

\[\bullet \ D_{\text{KO}}(f) := D_{\text{HO}}(f) + (-1)^{|a|} \partial_{2m+1}(f) \partial_i + (\partial(f) - 2f) \partial_{2m+1}, \quad D := \sum_{i=1}^{2m} x_i \partial_i, \text{ where } f \in \Lambda(m, m);\]

\[\bullet \ \nu := \delta_{X,K}m + \delta_{X,KO}(2m + 1) + \delta_{X,SKO}(2m + 1).\]

The following infinite dimensional Lie superalgebras of vector fields, which are the simple Lie superalgebra contained in \(W(m, n)\), are defined as follows (cf. [9]):

\[\bullet \ S(m, n) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{D_{ij}(f) \mid f \in \Lambda(m, n), i, j \in 1, m + n\};\]

\[\bullet \ H(m, n) := \{D_{ij}(f) \mid f \in \Lambda(m, n)\};\]

\[\bullet \ K(m, n) := \{D_{ij}(f) \mid f \in \Lambda(m, n)\};\]

\[\bullet \ HO(m, m) := \{D_{ij}(f) \mid f \in \Lambda(m, m)\};\]

\[\bullet \ KO(m, m + 1) := \{D_{ij}(f) \mid f \in \Lambda(m, m + 1)\};\]

\[\bullet \ SHO(m, m) := \{SHO'(m, m), SHO'(m, m)\}, \text{ where } SHO'(m, m) := \{D \in HO(m, m) \mid \text{div}(D) = 0\};\]

\[\bullet \ SKO(m, m + 1; \lambda) := \{SKO'(m, m + 1; \lambda), SKO'(m, m + 1; \lambda)\}, \text{ where } SKO'(m, m + 1; \lambda) := \{D_{ij}(f) \mid \text{div}(f) = 0, f \in \Lambda(m, m + 1)\} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}.\]

Hereafter, write \(X\) for \(W, S, H, K, HO, KO, SHO\) or \(SKO\).

2. Multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra

**Definition 2.1.** A multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra is a triple \((g, [\cdot, \cdot], \sigma)\) consisting of a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded vector space \(g\), a bilinear map \([\cdot, \cdot] : g \times g \rightarrow g\) and an even linear map \(\sigma : g \rightarrow g\) satisfying

\[\sigma[x, y] = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)], \tag{2.1}\]

\[\sigma[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|} [\sigma(y), x], \tag{2.2}\]

\[(-1)^{|x||z|} [\sigma(x), [y, z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [\sigma(y), [x, z]] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [\sigma(z), [x, y]] = 0,\]

where \(x, y\) and \(z\) are homogeneous elements in \(g\).

For any simple Lie superalgebra \(g\), denote its Lie bracket by \([\cdot, \cdot]\) and take an even linear map \(\sigma : g \rightarrow g\). We say \((g, \sigma)\) is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure over the Lie superalgebra \(g\) if \([\cdot, \cdot], \sigma\) is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra. Suppose \(\sigma \neq 0\). Eq. (2.1) and the simplicity of \(g\) show that \(\sigma\) is a monomorphism of \(g\). In particularly, if \(\sigma = \text{id}\) or \(\sigma = 0\), the multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure is called trivial. Before consider the multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structures on \(X(m, n)\), we introduce the gradations on them as in [4]. For any \((m, n)\)-tuple \(\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n}\), we may define a gradation on \(W(m, n)\) by letting \(\text{deg} x_i := \alpha_i = -\text{deg} \partial_i\), where \(i \in 1, m + n\). Thus \(W(m, n)\) becomes a graded Lie superalgebra of finite depth, i.e., we have

\[W(m, n) = \bigoplus_{j=-k}^{\infty} W(m, n)_{\alpha_j}.\]
where \( h \) is a positive integer. Put

\[
\gamma := 1 + \delta_{X,K} e_m + \delta_{X,SKO} e_{2m+1} + \delta_{X,SKO} e_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n}
\]

and sometimes omit the subscript \( \gamma \). Putting

\[
X(m,n)_{\gamma,i} := X(m,n) \cap W(m,n)_{\gamma,i},
\]

one sees that \( X(m,n) \) is graded by \( (X(m,n)_{\gamma,i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \). In particular,

- \( X(m,n)_{[-2]} = F \cdot D_X(1) \), where \( X := K, KO \) or \( SKO \);
- \( X(m,n)_{[-1]} = \text{span}_F \{ \partial_i \mid i \in \overline{1,m+n} \} \), where \( X := W \) or \( S \);
- \( X(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ D_X(x_i) \mid \nu \neq i \in \overline{1,2n} \} \), where \( X := H, K, HO, KO, SHO \) or \( SKO \);
- \( W(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ x_i \partial_j \mid i, j \in \overline{1,m+n} \} \);
- \( S(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ x_i \partial_j, x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_j \mid i \neq j \in \overline{1,m+n} \} \);
- \( X(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ D_X(x_i x_j), \delta_{X,K,D_X(x_m),\delta_{X,SKO}}(x_{m+1}) \mid i, j \in \overline{1,m+1} \} \),
  where \( X := H, K, HO \) or \( KO \);
- \( X(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ D_X(x_i x_j), D_X(x_i x_j - x_j x_i), D_X(x_{2m+1} + \delta_{X,SKO} \lambda x_i x_j) \mid i \neq j' \in \overline{1,m+1} \} \), where \( X := SHO \) or \( SKO \).

Next we give an equation and several lemmas needed in the sequel. The verifications are straightforward. The equation will be used without notice: for \( f, g \in A(m,n) \),

\[
[D_X(f), D_X(g)] = D_X\left( D_X(f)(g) - 2 \left( \delta_{X,K} - (-1)^{|f|} \delta_{X,SKO} \right) \partial_\nu (f) g \right).
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** (cf. \([9]\)) The \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded Lie superalgebra \( X(m,n) \) is transitive, that is, if \( x \in g \) with \( i \geq 0 \) and \([x, g_{[-1]}] = 0\), then \( x = 0 \).

**Lemma 2.3.** For \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded Lie superalgebra \( X(m,n) \), we have

\[
\ker(\text{ad} \partial_i) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]} = \text{span}_F \{ x_j \partial_k \mid j, k \in \overline{1,m+n}, i \neq j \} \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}
\]

and

\[
[\ker(\text{ad} \partial_i) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}, \ker(\text{ad} \partial_i) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}] = \ker(\text{ad} \partial_i) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]},
\]

where \( i \in \overline{1,m+n} \setminus \nu \).

**Lemma 2.4.** For \( i, j, k, l \in \overline{1,m+n} \), we have that

\[
x_k \partial_l \in [\ker(\text{ad} x_i \partial_j) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}, \ker(\text{ad} x_i \partial_j) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}],
\]

where \( k \neq j, l \);

\[
D_X(x_i x_j) \in [\ker(\text{ad} D_X(x_i x_j)) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}, \ker(\text{ad} D_X(x_i x_j)) \cap X(m,n)_{[0]}],
\]

where \( k \neq l \in \overline{1,m+n} \setminus \nu, k, l \neq i', j' \).

The next proposition is essential for the main result in this paper.
Proposition 2.5. If \((X(m, n), \sigma)\) is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure and \(\sigma \neq 0\), then
\[
\sigma \mid_{X(m, n)[-1]} = \text{id} \mid_{X(m, n)[-1]}.
\]

Proof. Case 1: \(X := W \) or \(S\). By Eq. (2.2), we have
\[
0 = (-1)^{|\partial_i||x_j\partial k|}|\sigma(\partial_i)|x_j\partial k] + (-1)^{|\partial_i||\partial_j|}[\sigma(\partial_j), [x_j\partial k, \partial_i]] + (-1)^{|\partial_j||x_j\partial k|}|\sigma(x_j\partial k), [\partial_i, \partial_j]]
\]
\[
= (-1)^{|\partial_i||x_j\partial k|}[\sigma(\partial_i), \partial_k],
\]
where \(j \neq k, k \in 1, m + n\). By Lemma 2.2 we have
\[
\sigma(X(m, n)[-1]) = X(m, n)[-1].
\]

Then for any \(i \in 1, m + n\), one may suppose \(\sigma(\partial_i) = \sum_{l=1}^{m+n} a_i \partial_l\), where \(a_l \in \mathbb{F}\). Lemma 2.3 and Eq. (2.2) imply that \(\sigma(\partial_i) = a_i \partial_i\). For distinct \(i, j, k \in 1, m + n\), put \(x = x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k\), \(y = \partial_i\) and \(z = x_i\partial_j\). Then Eq. (2.2) implies that
\[
[\sigma(x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k), \partial_j] + [\sigma(\partial_i), x_i\partial_j] = 0. \tag{2.3}
\]

Suppose \(\sigma^{-1}\) is an left linear inverse of \(\sigma\) (vector space). Then
\[
\sigma^{-1}([\sigma(x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k), \partial_j]) = [x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k, \sigma^{-1}(\partial_j)] = [x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k, a_j^{-1}\partial_j] = -a_j^{-1}\partial_j.
\]

Hence
\[
[\sigma(x_j\partial_j - x_k\partial_k), \partial_j] = -\partial_j.
\]

By Eq. (2.3), we have \(a_i = 1\), where \(i \in 1, m + n\). That is
\[
(\sigma - \text{id}) \mid_{X(m, n)[-1]} = 0.
\]

Case 2: \(X := H, K, HO, KO, SHO\) or \(SKO\). For \(i, j, k \in 1, m + n\), Eq. (2.2) implies that
\[
0 = (-1)^{|D_X(x_i)||D_X(x_j')x_k'|}\sigma(D_X(x_i), [D_X(x_j'), D_X(x_j'x_k')])
\]
\[
+ (-1)^{|D_X(x_i)||D_X(x_j)}\sigma(D_X(x_i), [D_X(x_j', x_k'), D_X(x_i)])
\]
\[
+ (-1)^{|D_X(x_j')x_k'||D_X(x_j')}\sigma[D_X(x_j', x_k'), [D_X(x_i), D_X(x_j')]].
\]

It follows that
\[
[\sigma(D_X(x_i)), D_X(x_j')] = 0, \quad i \neq j, j', k \tag{2.4}
\]
and
\[
[\sigma(D_X(x_i)), D_X(x_j')] = [\sigma(D_X(x_i)), D_X(x_j')], \quad i \neq j, j'. \tag{2.5}
\]

By Eq. (2.1), (2.5) and Lemma 2.2 it is easy to obtain that
\[
\sigma(D_X(x_i)) = a_i D_X(1) + \sum_{\nu \neq (i)}^{m+n} a_i D_X(x_i)
\]
for some $a_i, a_{il} \in \mathbb{F}$.

**Subcase 2.1:** $X := H, HO$, or SHO. Lemma 2.3 and Eq. (2.2) imply that $a_{ik} = 0$ for all $i \neq k \in \{1, m + n \setminus \nu \}$. Thus,

$$(\sigma - \text{id}) |_{X(m, n)[i,j]} = 0.$$

**Subcase 2.2:** $X := K, KO$ or SKO. Put $x = D_X(x_i)$, $y = D_X(x_j)$ and

$$z = D_X(x_k' x_m + \delta_{X,SKO}(1)^{m} x_k' x_j' \nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j).$$

Eq. (2.2) implies that

$$0 = (-1)^{|D_X(x_i)||x_i|} |\sigma(D_X(x_i)), D_X(x_i + \delta_{X,SKO}(1)^{m} x_k x_m' x_j|) X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j).$$

Hence $a_i = 0$. Take $i, j, k \in \{m + n \setminus \nu \}$ and $i \neq j, j' X, k, k' X$. Put $x = D_X(x_j x_k) \in X(m, n)_{[i,j]}, y = D_X(x_i)$ and $z = D_X(x_{ik} x_{jk'}). By Eq. (2.2) again, we have

$$0 = (-1)^{|x_i||x_j|} |\sigma(D_X(x_j x_k)), D_X(x_{ik}' x_{jk}') X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j).$$

Suppose $\sigma^{-1}$ is a left inverse of $\sigma$. Then

$$\sigma^{-1}([\sigma(D_X(x_j x_k)), D_X(x_{ik}') X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j)(x_j x_k)] = [D_X(x_j x_k), \sigma^{-1}(D_X(x_{ik}') X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j)(x_j x_k)] = [D_X(x_j x_k), a^{(-1)}_{j k} D_X(x_{ik}') X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j)(x_j x_k)].$$

Hence

$$-(-1)^{|x_i||x_j|} a_{j k}^{-1} D_X(x_k) = \sigma(D_X(x_j x_k), D_X(x_{ik}')) X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j)(x_j x_k)] = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_j| + |x_i|} \sigma(D_X(x_i), D_X(x_{ik} x_k)) X \in X(\nu = (\mu - 1) x_k x_m x_j)(x_j x_k)].$$

The arbitrariness of $j$ and $k$ implies that $a_{ii} = 1$. Thus,

$$(\sigma - \text{id}) |_{X(m, n)[i,j]} = 0.$$

**Proposition 2.6.** If $(X(m, n), \sigma)$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure and $\sigma \neq 0$, then

$$\sigma |_{X(m, n)[i,j]} = \text{id} |_{X(m, n)[i,j]}.$$

**Proof.** Case 1: $X := W$ or S. Put $x \in X(m, n)[i,j]$. Then by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$[\sigma(x), \partial_i] = [\sigma(x), \sigma(\partial_i)] = \sigma([x, \partial_i]) = [x, \partial_i].$$
for all $i \in 1, m + n$. By Lemma 2.2 we may write

$$\sigma(x_i \partial_j) = x_i \partial_j + \sum_{s=1}^{m+n} a_{ij}s \partial_s,$$

where $a_{ij}s \in \mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Eq. (2.2), we have

$$a_{ijk} \partial_l = \sum_{s=1}^{m+n} a_{ij}s \partial_s, x_k \partial_l = 0$$

for $k, l \in 1, m + n$ and $k \neq j, l$. By the arbitrariness of $l$, we know $a_{ijk} = 0$ for all $j \neq k \in 1, m + n$. Put $x = x_i \partial_j, y = x_j \partial_k, z = x_i \partial_l - (-1)^{(|x_i| + |x_j|)} x_s \partial_s$. By Eq. (2.2) we have that

$$(\sigma(x_i \partial_j), x_j \partial_k) + [\sigma(x_i \partial_l - (-1)^{|x_i| + |x_j|} x_s \partial_s), [x_i \partial_j, x_j \partial_l]] = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$[a_{ijj}, x_j \partial_l] + [a_{ijl}, \partial_l - a_{ssh} \partial_s, x_l \partial_l] = 0.$$

Then $a_{ijj} = 0$. Summarizing, we have $\sigma(x_i \partial_j) = x_i \partial_j$.

**Case 2:** $X := H, K, HO, KO, SHO$ or $SKO$. For $x \in X(m, n)_{[0]}$, by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$[\sigma(x), D_X(x_i)] = [\sigma(x), \sigma(D_X(x_i))] = \sigma([x, D_X(x_i)]) = [x, D_X(x_i)]$$

(2.6)

where $i \in 1, m + n \setminus \nu$. By Lemma 2.2 we may write

$$\sigma(D_X(x_i x_j)) = D_X(x_i x_j) + \sum_{\nu \neq s=1}^{m+n} a_{ij}s \partial_s = a_{ij}(D_X(1),$$

where $D_X(x_i x_j) \in X(m, n)_{[0]}$ and $a_{ij}, a_{ij}s \in \mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Eq. (2.2) we have that

$$\pm a_{ijk} \partial_s D_X(x_i) \pm a_{ij's} \partial_s D_X(x_k) = \sum_{s=1}^{m+n} a_{ij}s \partial_s D_X(x_s), D_X(x_k x_l) = 0,$$

where $k \neq l \in 1, m + n \setminus \nu$ and $k, l \neq i_X, j_X'$. That is $a_{ij}s = 0$ for all $i, j \neq s \in 1, m + n \setminus \nu$. Then

$$\sigma(D_X(x_i x_j)) = D_X(x_i x_j) + a_{ij} D_X(x_i) + a_{ijj} D_X(x_j) + a_{ij} D_X(1).$$

If $k = i_X'$ or $k = j_X'$, by Eq. (2.2) we have

$$\pm a_{ijk} D_X(x_i) = [a_{ijj} D_X(x_i) + a_{ijj} D_X(x_j), D_X(x_k x_l)] = 0$$

Hence

$$\sigma(D_X(x_i x_j)) = D_X(x_i x_j) + a_{ij} D_X(1).$$

(2.7)

**Subcase 2.1:** $X := H$ or $HO$. From Eq. (2.7), we have

$$(\sigma - \text{id})|_{X(m, n)_{[0]}} = 0.$$
Subcase 2.2: \( X := SHO \). By Eq. (2.7) again, for \( i \neq j \in 1, m \setminus \nu \) we can obtain

\[
\sigma(D_X(x_i x'_i - x_j x'_j)) = \sigma([D_X(x_i x_j), D_X(x_i x'_i - x_j x'_j)])
\]

(2.8)

\[
= [\sigma(D_X(x_i x_j)), \sigma(D_X(x_i x'_i - x_j x'_j))]
\]

\[
= [D_X(x_i x_j), D_X(x_i x'_i - x_j x'_j)] = D_X(x_i x'_i - x_j x'_j).
\]

From Eq. (2.7) and (2.8), we know

\[
(\sigma - \text{id})|_{SHO(m,n)[\nu]} = 0.
\]

Subcase 2.3: \( X := K, KO \) or \( SKO \). Take \( x = D_X(x_i x_j), y = D_X(x_k) \) and \( z = D_X(x'_k x'_l) \). Using the method above, we can obtain easily

\[
-2a_{ij} = [\sigma(x), [y, z]] = (-1)^{[i,j]}[D_X(x_i x_j) + a_{ij}D_X(1), D_X(x_j)]\]

\[
\quad \quad \quad = D_X(x_j) + (-1)^{[i,j]}(x_i x_j + (-1)^{[i,j]}x_j x_i) = 0.
\]

Hence

\[
\sigma(D_X(x_i x_j)) = D_X(x_i x_j).
\]

By Eq. (2.20), we can write

\[
\sigma(D_X(x_i + \delta_{X,SKO}x_j x'_j)) = D_X(x_i + \delta_{X,SKO}x_j x'_j)
\]

(2.9)

\[
\quad \quad \quad + \sum_{\nu \neq \nu \neq 1} a_{ij}D_X(x_j) + a_{ij}D_X(1).
\]

Using the method above, we can obtain easily

\[
\sigma(D_X(x_i + \delta_{X,SKO}x_j x'_j)) = D_X(x_i + \delta_{X,SKO}x_j x'_j).
\]

By Eq. (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we have

\[
(\sigma - \text{id})|_{X(m,n)[\nu]} = 0.
\]

The proof is complete.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.7.** There is only the trivial multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure on the infinite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.

**Proof.** Let \( (X(m,n), \sigma) \) be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra structure and \( \sigma \neq 0 \). By Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, we have

\[
\sigma|_{X(m,n)[-1] \oplus X(m,n)[\nu]} = \text{id}|_{X(m,n)[-1] \oplus X(m,n)[\nu]}.
\]

Now let \( x \in X(m,n)[l] \) and \( y, z \in X(m,n)[-1] \oplus X(m,n)[l] \), where \( l \geq 1 \). By Eq. (2.2), we have

\[
[\sigma(x) - x, [y, z]] = 0.
\]

Then \( \sigma(x) - x = 0 \). We get \( \sigma = \text{id} \). The proof is complete.  

\[\square\]
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