CONCENTRATING BOUND STATES FOR KIRCHHOFF TYPE PROBLEMS IN \mathbb{R}^3 INVOLVING CRITICAL SOBOLEV EXPONENTS

YI HE, GONGBAO LI AND SHUANGJIE PENG

ABSTRACT. We study the concentration and multiplicity of weak solutions to the Kirchhoff type equation with critical Sobolev growth

$$\begin{cases} -\Big(\varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \Big) \Delta u + V(z)u = f(u) + u^5 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where ε is a small positive parameter and a, b > 0 are constants, $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ is subcritical, $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous function. We first prove that for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, the above problem has a weak solution u_{ε} with exponential decay at infinity. Moreover, u_{ε} concentrates around a local minimum point of V in Λ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. With minimax theorems and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory, we also obtain multiple solutions by employing the topology construct of the set where the potential V(z) attains its minimum.

Key words : existence; concentration; multiplicity; Kirchhoff type; critical growth. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification** : Primary 35J20, 35J60, 35J92

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we study the Kirchhoff type equation

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\left(\varepsilon^{2}a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u + V(z)u = f(u) + u^{5} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\ u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$(E_{\varepsilon})$$

where ε is a small positive parameter, a, b > 0 are constants and f is a continuous subcritical and superlinear nonlinearity. Such problems are often referred to as being nonlocal because of the presence of the term $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u$ which implies that problem (E_{ε}) is no longer a pointwise identity. Problem (E_{ε}) is a variant type of the following Dirichlet problem of Kirchhoff type

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\Delta u = f(z,u) \text{ in } \Omega,\\ u=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

which is related to the stationary analogue of the equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u = f(z, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

proposed by Kirchhoff in [14] as an existence of the classical D'Alembert's wave equations for free vibration of elastic strings. Kirchhoff's model takes into account the changes in length of the string produced by transverse vibrations. In (E_{ε}) , u denotes the displacement, f(z, u) the external force and b the initial tension while a is related to the intrinsic properties of the string (such as Young's modulus). We have to point out that nonlocal problems also appear in other fields as biological systems, where u describes a process which depends on the average of itself (for example, population density). After the pioneer work of Lions [18], where a functional analysis approach was proposed, Problem (E_{ε}) began to call attention of several researchers. In [2], Arosio and Panizzi studied the Cauchy-Dirichlet type problem related to (1.2) in the Hadamard sense as a special case of an abstract second-order Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space. Ma and Rivera In [19] obtained positive solutions of such problems by using variational methods. A nontrivial solution of (1.1) was obtained via Yang index and critical group by Perera and Zhang in [25]. In [12], He and Zou obtained infinitely many solutions of (1.1) by using local minimum method and the fountain theorem. In [8], (1.1) was studied with concave and convex nonlinearities by using Nehari manifold and fibering map methods, and multiple positive solutions were obtained. For more result, we can refer to [2, 1, 19] and the references therein.

We note that problem (E_{ε}) with b = 0 is motivated by the search for standing wave solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which is one of the main subjects in nonlinear analysis. Different approaches have been taken to deal with this problem under various hypotheses on the potentials and the nonlinearity (see [11, 20, 21, 22, 26, 9, 29, 23] and so on).

For (E_{ε}) without the critical growth, it seems that the first existence result of concentration solutions and multiple solutions for small ε was obtained by He and Zou in [13]. While for the critical growth, in [30], Wang, Tian, Xu and Zhang considered (E_{ε}) with f(u) replaced by $\lambda f(u)$ and obtained some interesting results, where $\lambda > 0$ is a large parameter. It was proved in [30] that ground state solutions and multiple solutions exist for large $\lambda > 0$ under the condition $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) < \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} V(x)$. Moreover, if $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) = \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} V(x) = V^{\infty}$ and $V(v) \neq V^{\infty}$, (E_{ε}) does not has ground state solutions. We point out here that to overcome the obstacle due to the appearance of the critical nonlinearity u^5 , the parameter $\lambda > 0$ should be large enough in [30].

In this paper, we will consider (E_{ε}) (without the parameter λ before f(u)) and study the existence of concentration solutions in the case that V(x) has local minimum points. Our assumptions are as follows.

V is a locally Hölder continuous function satisfying for some positive constant α ,

$$V(z) \ge \alpha > 0$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (V_1)

and

$$\inf_{\Lambda} V < \min_{\partial \Lambda} V \tag{V_2}$$

for some open bounded set Λ .

 $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies: $(f_1) \ f(s) = o(s^3)$ as $s \to 0^+$; (f_2) The function $\frac{f(s)}{s^3}$ is strictly increasing for s > 0; $(f_3) \ \exists \lambda > 0$ such that $f(s) \ge \lambda s^{q_1}$ for some $3 \le q_1 < 5$ (If $q_1 = 3$, we require a sufficiently large λ , otherwise λ can be fixed); $(f_4) \ f(s) \le C(1 + |s|^{q-1})$ for some C > 0 where 4 < q < 6.

It follows from (f_1) , (f_2) that

$$0 < 4F(s) \le f(s)s \text{ for all } s > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$.

As we are interested in positive solutions, we define f(s) = 0 for $s \le 0$. We define

$$H := \Big\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) | \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u^2 < \infty. \Big\},$$

with the norm

$$||u||_{H} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a|\nabla u|^{2} + V(z)u^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We call $u \in H$ a weak solution to (E_{ε}) if for any $\varphi \in H$ it holds that

$$\left(\varepsilon^{2}a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u|^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z) u \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(f(u) + (u^{+})^{5}\right) \varphi.$$

For $I \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$, we say that I satisfies Palais-Smale condition ((P.S.) condition in short) if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H$ with $I(u_n)$ bounded, $I'(u_n) \to 0$, has a convergent subsequence in H.

Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the potential V satisfies (V_1) , (V_2) and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (f_1) - (f_4) . Then there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that problem (E_{ε}) possesses a positive weak solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in H$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. Moreover, u_{ε} possesses a maximum $z_{\varepsilon} \in \Lambda$ such that $V(z_{\varepsilon}) \to \inf_{\varepsilon} V$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and

$$u_{\varepsilon} \leq \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}|z-z_{\varepsilon}|\right), \ z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ and \ \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0],$$
 (1.4)

for some positive constants α , β .

In order to get the multiple solutions for (E_{ε}) , we need one more assumption:

$$M := \left\{ z \in \Lambda | \ V(z) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(\xi) \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$
 (V₃)

We recall that, if Y is a closed set of a topological space of X, $\operatorname{cat}_X(Y)$ is the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y in X, namely the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y. We denote by

$$M_{\delta} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \operatorname{dist}(z, M) \le \delta \right\}$$

the closed δ -neighborhood of M, and we shall prove the following multiplicity result

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the potential V satisfies (V_1) , (V_2) , (V_3) , and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (f_1) - (f_4) . Then, for any $\delta > 0$ given, there exists $\varepsilon_{\delta} > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{\delta})$, the Equation (E_{ε}) has at least $\operatorname{cat}_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ solutions. Furthermore, if u_{ε} denotes one of these solutions and u_{ε} possesses a maximum $z_{\varepsilon} \in \Lambda$, then $(i) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(z_{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{\Lambda} V;$ $(ii) u_{\varepsilon} \leq \alpha \exp(-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}|z - z_{\varepsilon}|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{\delta})$ for some positive constants α, β .

Remark 1.3. If we replace \mathbb{R}^3 by Ω , where Ω is a smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^3 (possibly unbounded), then Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 remain true.

The proof is based on variational method. The main difficulties lie in the appearance of the non-local term and the lack of compactness due to the unboundedness of the domain \mathbb{R}^3 and the nonlinearity with the critical Sobolev growth. As we will see later, the competing effect of the nonlocal term with the nonlinearity f(u) and the lack of compactness of the embedding prevent us from using the variational methods in a standard way.

To complete this section, we outline the sketch of our proof.

Define f(s) = 0 for $s \leq 0$. We will work with the following equation equivalent to (E_{ε})

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(\varepsilon z)u = f(u) + u^5 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$
 (\hat{E}_{ε})

The energy functional corresponding to (\hat{E}_{ε}) is

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(F(u) + \frac{1}{6} (u^+)^6 \right), \ u \in H_{\varepsilon},$$

where $H_{\varepsilon} := \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) | \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u^2 < \infty. \}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\varepsilon} = \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(1.5)

Unlike [13] and [30], where the minimum of V(x) is global and the mountain-pass lemma can be used globally, here in the present paper, the condition (V_2) is local, hence we need to use a local mountain-pass argument introduced in [23], which also helps us to overcome the obstacle caused by the non-compactness due to the unboundedness of the domain. To this end, we should modify the nonlinear terms.

For the bounded domain Λ given in (V_2) , k > 2, a' > 0 such that $f(a') + (a')^5 = \frac{\alpha}{k}a'$ where α is mentioned in (V_1) , we consider a new problem

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u+V(\varepsilon z)u=g(\varepsilon z,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \qquad (\hat{E}'_{\varepsilon})$$

where

$$g(z,s) = \chi(z) \left(f(s) + (s^{+})^{5} \right) + (1 - \chi(z)) \tilde{f}(s)$$

with

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) + (s^+)^5 & \text{if } s \le a', \\ \frac{\alpha}{k}s & \text{if } s > a' \end{cases}$$

and $\chi(z)$ is a smooth function such that $\chi(z) = 1$ on Λ , $0 \leq \chi(z) \leq 1$ on $\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda$, $\chi(z) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda'$, where Λ' is a suitable open set satisfying $\overline{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda'$ and $V(z) > \inf_{\xi \in \Lambda} V(\xi)$ for all $z \in \overline{\Lambda'} \setminus \Lambda$. It is easy to see that under the assumptions $(f_1) \cdot (f_4)$, g(z, s) is a Caratheodory function and satisfies the following assumptions: $(g_1) \ g(z,s) = o(s^3)$ near s = 0 uniformly on $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$; $(g_2) \ g(z,s) \leq f(s) + (s^+)^5$; $(g_3) \ 0 < 4G(z,s) \leq g(z,s)s$ for all $z \in \Lambda$, s > 0 or $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda$, $s \leq a'$; $(g_4) \ 0 < 2\tilde{F}(s) \leq \tilde{f}(s)s \leq \frac{1}{k}\alpha s^2 \leq \frac{1}{k}V(z)s^2$ for all s > 0 with the number k satisfying k > 2, where $\tilde{F}(s) = \int_0^s \tilde{f}(\tau)d\tau$. In particular, $0 < 2G(z,s) \leq g(z,s)s \leq \frac{1}{k}V(z)s^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda', s > 0$, where $G(z,s) = \int_0^s g(z,\tau)d\tau$.

The energy functional corresponding to (\hat{E}'_{ε}) is

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(\varepsilon z, u), \ u \in H_{\varepsilon}.$$
 (1.6)

Using a standard method, we can prove that J_{ε} possesses a mountain-pass energy c_{ε} . To deal with the difficulty caused by the non-compactness due to the the critical growth, we should estimate precisely the value of c_{ε} and give a threshold value (see Lemma 2.1 below) under which the $(P.S.)_{c_{\varepsilon}}$ condition for J_{ε} is satisfied. Moreover, to verify the critical point v_{ε} of J_{ε} at the level c_{ε} is indeed a solution of the original problem (E_{ε}) , we need to establish a uniform estimate on L^{∞} -norm of v_{ε} (with respect to ε) by using the idea introduced by Li in [15]. We should point out that the non-local term makes it much more complicated to estimate the threshold value.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is mainly based on Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory (see [13, 24], for example). Firstly, we apply the penalization method to modify the nonlinearity $f(u) + (u^+)^5$ such that the energy functional of the modified problem satisfies the (*P.S.*) condition on an appropriate manifold. Secondly, using the technique due to Benci and Cerami [4], we establish a relationship between the category of the set *M* and the number of solutions for the modified problem. Finally, we prove that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, the solutions for the modified problem are in fact solutions for the original problem.

Summarily, the novelty of our results lies in two aspects. Firstly, differently from [13] and [30], where only the ground states concentrating at the global minimum point of V(z) were obtained, we can construct a bound state which concentrates exactly at one point of any prescribed set consisting of local minimum points of V(z). Hence the solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 may not be the ground state solution. Secondly, we obtain the precise threshold value under which the (P.S.) condition for J_{ε} is satisfied. So we can get rid of the large factor λ of f(u) in [30].

This paper is organized as follows, in Section 2, we give some preliminary results and obtain a (P.S.) sequence. In Section 3, we will prove that the (P.S.) sequence will converge in H_{ε} to a solution of (E_{ε}) , which can complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we will use the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory to prove Theorem 1.2

2. Preliminaries

Taking $\varepsilon = 1$ for simplicity, we consider the equation

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u+V(z)u=g(z,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(2.1)

The energy functional associated to (2.1) is given by

$$J(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(z, u), \ u \in H$$

and $J \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$.

Clearly J possesses the mountain-pass geometry construct i.e. $\exists e \in H, r > 0$, such that $||e||_H > r$ and

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{H}=r} J(u) > J(0) \ge J(e)$$

Hence, by the mountain pass theorem without (P.S.) condition (see [3]), we obtain a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$J(u_n) \to c > 0, \ J'(u_n) \to 0, \ \text{as } n \to \infty,$$
 (2.2)

where c is the minimax level of functional J given by

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)).$$

Here $\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H) | \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$

Moreover, as in [9, 23, 26], we can prove

$$c = \inf_{u \in H, u \neq 0} \sup_{\tau \ge 0} J(\tau u) = \inf_{u \in H \setminus \{0\}, \langle J'(u), u \rangle = 0} J(u) > 0.$$

For the constant c, we have the following estimate

Lemma 2.1.

$$c < \frac{1}{4}abS^3 + \frac{1}{24}b^3S^6 + \frac{1}{24}\left(b^2S^4 + 4aS\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Without loss of generalities, we assume that $0 \in \Lambda$. Choose R > 0 such that $B_{2R}(0) \subset \Lambda$ and $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{2R}(0))$ satisfying $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_R(0)$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on $B_{2R}(0)$.

Given $\delta > 0$, we set $\psi_{\delta}(z) := \varphi(z) w_{\delta}(z)$, where

$$w_{\delta}(z) = (3\delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\left(\delta + |z|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{\delta}|^6 = S^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(2.3)

We see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} |\nabla \psi_{\delta}|^2 = O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}), \text{ as } \delta \to 0.$$
(2.4)

Let $X_{\delta} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^2$, where $v_{\delta} := \psi_{\delta} / (\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |\psi_{\delta}|^6)^{\frac{1}{6}}$. We find

$$X_{\delta} \le S + O\left(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \text{ as } \delta \to 0.$$
 (2.5)

There exists $t_{\delta} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \ge 0} J(tv_{\delta}) = J(t_{\delta}v_{\delta})$. Hence $\frac{dJ(tv_{\delta})}{dt}|_{t=t_{\delta}} = 0$, that is

$$at_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^2 + t_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) |v_{\delta}|^2 + bt_{\delta}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(f(t_{\delta} v_{\delta}) + (t_{\delta} v_{\delta})^5 \right) v_{\delta} = 0,$$

which implies

$$t_{\delta}^4 - bX_{\delta}^2 t_{\delta}^2 - \left(aX_{\delta} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) |v_{\delta}|^2 \right) \le 0.$$

Hence

$$0 \le t_{\delta}^{2} \le \frac{bX_{\delta}^{2} + \left(b^{2}X_{\delta}^{4} + 4\left(aX_{\delta} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z)|v_{\delta}|^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} := T_{0}.$$

Denote $c_1 = bX_{\delta}^2$, $c_2 = aX_{\delta} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) |v_{\delta}|^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{1}{2} t_{\delta}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(a |\nabla v_{\delta}|^{2} + V(z) |v_{\delta}|^{2} \right) + \frac{b}{4} t_{\delta}^{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^{2} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{6} t_{\delta}^{6} - C\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (t_{\delta} v_{\delta})^{q_{1}+1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} T_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(a |\nabla v_{\delta}|^{2} + V(z) |v_{\delta}|^{2} \right) + \frac{b}{4} T_{0}^{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^{2} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{6} T_{0}^{3} - C\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (t_{\delta} v_{\delta})^{q_{1}+1} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} T_{0} \left(a X_{\delta} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z) |v_{\delta}|^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} T_{0}^{2} b X_{\delta}^{2} - \frac{1}{6} T_{0}^{3} - C\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (t_{\delta} v_{\delta})^{q_{1}+1} \\ & = \frac{1}{24} (c_{1}^{2} + 4c_{2})^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{24} c_{1}^{3} + \frac{1}{4} c_{1} c_{2} - C\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (t_{\delta} v_{\delta})^{q_{1}+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting (2.5) and inequality

$$(a+b)^{\alpha} \le a^{\alpha} + \alpha(a+b)^{\alpha-1}b, \quad \alpha \ge 1, \quad a b > 0,$$

we conclude that

$$J(t_{\delta}v_{\delta}) \leq \frac{1}{24}(b^{2}X_{\delta}^{4} + 4aX_{\delta})^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z)v_{\delta}^{2} + \frac{1}{24}b^{3}X_{\delta}^{6} + \frac{1}{4}abX_{\delta}^{3} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z)v_{\delta}^{2} \\ - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{24} \left(b^{2}\left(S + O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)^{4} + 4a\left(S + O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{24}b^{3}\left(S + O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)^{6} \\ + \frac{1}{4}ab\left(S + O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)^{3} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(z)v_{\delta}^{2} - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{24}(b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{24}b^{3}S^{6} + \frac{1}{4}abS^{3} + O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (CV(z)v_{\delta}^{2} - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1}v_{\delta}^{q_{1}+1}).$$

$$(2.6)$$

We can assume that there is a positive constant t_0 such that $t_{\delta} \geq t_0 > 0$, $\forall \delta > 0$. Otherwise, we could find a sequence $\delta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ such that $t_{\delta_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now up to a subsequence, we have $t_{\delta_n} v_{\delta_n} \to 0$ in H as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$0 < c \le \sup_{t \ge 0} J(tv_{\delta_n}) = J(t_{\delta_n}v_{\delta_n}) \to J(0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

From (2.6), to complete the proof, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} \left(CV(z) v_{\delta}^2 - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_1+1} v_{\delta}^{q_1+1} \right) = -\infty$$
(2.7)

and

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} \left(CV(z) v_{\delta}^2 - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_1+1} v_{\delta}^{q_1+1} \right) \le C.$$
(2.8)

In fact,

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} CV(z) v_{\delta}^2 \le \frac{C}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta + |z|^2} \le C(R)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lambda \int_{B_R(0)} t_{\delta}^{q_1+1} v_{\delta}^{q_1+1} \geq \frac{C\lambda}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} w_{\delta}^{q_1+1} = \frac{C\lambda}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{\delta^{\frac{q_1+1}{4}}}{(\delta+|z|^2)^{\frac{q_1+1}{2}}} \geq C\lambda \delta^{\frac{-q_1+3}{4}}.$$

If $3 < q_1 < 5$, (2.7) holds, while if $q_1 = 3$, we choose $\lambda = 1/\delta$, (2.7) also holds. Since

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} \left(CV(z) v_{\delta}^2 - C\lambda t_{\delta}^{q_1+1} v_{\delta}^{q_1+1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \setminus B_R(0)} CV(z) v_{\delta}^2 \leq C(R),$$

then (2.8) holds.

Lemma 2.2. Every sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfying (2.2) is bounded in H.

Proof. Observing (g_3) and (g_4) , we have

$$\begin{split} J(u_n) &- \frac{1}{4} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(g(z, u_n) u_n - 4G(z, u_n) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda} \left(1 - \chi \right) (\tilde{f}(u_n) u_n - 4\tilde{F}(u_n)) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda'} \left(g(z, u_n) u_n - 4G(z, u_n) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda} \left(1 - \chi \right) \tilde{F}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda'} G(z, u_n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{4k} \int_{\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda} \left(1 - \chi \right) V(z) u_n^2 - \frac{1}{4k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda'} V(z) u_n^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \|u_n\|_H^2. \end{split}$$

By the choice of k, we get the upper bound of $||u_n||_H$.

Lemma 2.3. There is a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and R > 0, $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(z_n)} u_n^2 \geq \beta,$$

where $\{u_n\}$ is the sequence given by Lemma 2.2.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that the lemma does not hold. Then by the Vanishing Theorem (Lemma 1.1 of [16]) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^s \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ for all } 2 < s < 6$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n) \to 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n)u_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(z, u_{n}) \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_{\Lambda \cup \{z \mid u_{n} \leq a'\}} (u_{n}^{+})^{6} + \frac{1}{6} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} \chi(u_{n}^{+})^{6} \\
+ \frac{\alpha}{2k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus \Lambda') \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} u_{n}^{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2k} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} (1 - \chi) u_{n}^{2} + o(1)$$
(2.9)

9

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} g(z, u_{n})u_{n} = \int_{\Lambda \cup \{z \mid u_{n} \leq a'\}} (u_{n}^{+})^{6} + \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} \chi(u_{n}^{+})^{6} \\
+ \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus \Lambda') \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} u_{n}^{2} + \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} (1 - \chi)u_{n}^{2} + o(1).$$
(2.10)

Hence, using $\langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(1)$, we conclude that

$$\|u_{n}\|_{H}^{2} - \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus \Lambda') \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} u_{n}^{2} - \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} (1 - \chi) u_{n}^{2} + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \int_{\Lambda \cup \{z \mid u_{n} \le a'\}} (u_{n}^{+})^{6} + \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_{n} > a'\}} \chi(u_{n}^{+})^{6} + o(1).$$
(2.11)

Let $l_1 \ge 0, l_2 \ge 0$ be such that

$$\|u_n\|_H^2 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda') \cap \{z \mid u_n > a\}} u_n^2 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_n > a\}} (1 - \chi) u_n^2 \to l_1$$
(2.12)

and

$$b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)^2 \to l_2 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (2.13)

It is easy to check that $l_1 > 0$, otherwise $||u_n||_H \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ which contradicts c > 0. From (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), we get

$$\int_{\Lambda \cup \{z \mid u_n \le a\}} (u_n^+)^6 + \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_n > a\}} \chi(u_n^+)^6 \to l_1 + l_2.$$
(2.14)

By (2.9), (2.12), (2.14) and $J(u_n) = c + o(1)$ we have

$$c \ge \frac{1}{3}l_1 + \frac{1}{12}l_2. \tag{2.15}$$

Now, using the definition of the constant S, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_H^2 &- \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda') \cap \{z \mid u_n > a'\}} u_n^2 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_n > a'\}} (1 - \chi) u_n^2 \\ &\ge aS \Big(\int_{\Lambda \cup \{z \mid u_n \le a'\}} (u_n^+)^6 + \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z \mid u_n > a'\}} \chi(u_n^+)^6 \Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2}\Big)^{2} \ge bS^{2}\Big(\int_{\Lambda \cup \{z|u_{n} \le a'\}} (u_{n}^{+})^{6} + \int_{(\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda) \cap \{z|u_{n} > a'\}} \chi(u_{n}^{+})^{6}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Taking the limit in the above two inequalities, as $n \to \infty$, we achieve that

$$l_1 \ge aS(l_1 + l_2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

and

$$l_2 \ge bS^2(l_1 + l_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Hence

$$(l_1 + l_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ge \frac{bS^2 + (b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$$

and

$$c \ge \frac{1}{3}l_1 + \frac{1}{12}l_2 \ge \frac{1}{3}aS(l_1 + l_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{12}bS^2(l_1 + l_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{4}abS^3 + \frac{1}{24}b^3S^6 + \frac{1}{24}(b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

which contradicts Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. The sequence $\{z_n\}$ given in Lemma 2.3 is bounded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof. For each $\rho > 0$ consider a smooth cut-off function $0 \le \psi_{\rho} \le 1$ such that

$$\psi_{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } |z| \le \rho, \\ 1 \text{ if } |z| \ge 2\rho, \end{cases} \quad |\nabla \psi_{\rho}| \le \frac{C}{\rho}.$$

Using $\langle J'(u_n), \psi_{\rho} u_n \rangle = o(1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_\rho + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_\rho + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n \Big) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u_n^2 \psi_\rho \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(z, u_n) u_n \psi_\rho + o(1). \end{split}$$

Choose ρ large enough such that $\Lambda' \subset B_{\rho}(0)$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u_n^2 \psi_\rho$$

$$\leq -a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n - b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n + o(1)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n| |u_n| + \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n| |u_n| + o(1)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\rho} + o(1).$$

Hence we get

$$\int_{|z|\ge 2\rho} u_n^2 \le \frac{C}{\rho} + o(1).$$

If $\{z_n\}$ is unbounded, Lemma 2.3 and the above estimate give that

$$0 < \beta \le \frac{C}{\rho}$$

which leads to a contradiction for large ρ .

Using standard argument, up to a subsequence, we may assume that there is $u \in H$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \to u \text{ in } H, \\ u_n \to u \text{ in } L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for all } 1 \le s < 6, \\ u_n \to u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$
(2.16)

By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, u is nontrivial. Moreover, for any $\varphi \in H$, we get

$$a\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z)u\varphi + bA \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(z,u)\varphi = 0, \qquad (2.17)$$

where $A := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \le A$. Taking $\varphi = u$, we get

 $\langle J'(u), u \rangle \le 0.$

Now, we prove that

$$\langle J'(u), u \rangle = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Assuming the contrary, if $\langle J'(u), u \rangle < 0$, there is a unique 0 < t < 1 such that

$$\langle J'(tu), tu \rangle = 0$$

So,

$$c \leq J(tu) - \frac{1}{4} \langle J'(tu), tu \rangle$$

$$= \frac{t^2}{4} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4} g(z, tu) tu - G(z, tu) \right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{4} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4} g(z, u) u - G(z, u) \right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(z) u_n^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4} g(z, u_n) u_n - G(z, u_n) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ J(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right\} = c,$$
(2.19)

which causes a contradiction. Hence, (2.18) follows and $A = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2$. Using (2.19) again with t = 1, we conclude J(u) = c.

Hence, we indeed prove

Proposition 2.5. The functional J_{ε} possesses a nontrivial critical point $v_{\varepsilon} \in H_{\varepsilon}$ such that $J_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) = \inf_{u \in H_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau \ge 0} J_{\varepsilon}(\tau u) = \inf_{u \in H_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\}, \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u), u \rangle = 0} J_{\varepsilon}(u), \quad (2.20)$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_{\varepsilon}) | \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$

Now, we consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + \overline{V}u = f(u) + u^5 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$
(2.21)

where \overline{V} is a positive constant. The functional corresponding to (2.21) is

$$I_{\overline{V}}(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{V}u^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(F(u) + \frac{1}{6} (u^+)^6 \right).$$

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that f(u) satisfies (f_1) - (f_4) , then (2.21) has a positive groundstate solution $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^{2,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $I_{\overline{V}}(w) = c_{\overline{V}} > 0$, where

$$c_{\overline{V}} = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\overline{V}}} I_{\overline{V}}(u)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\overline{V}} = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) | u \neq 0, \langle I'_{\overline{V}}(u), u \rangle = 0 \}$$

is the Nehari manifold of $I_{\overline{V}}$. Moreover, $I_{\overline{V}}(w) = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau \ge 0} I_{\overline{V}}(\tau u).$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.5, we can get the existence of a $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $I'_{\overline{V}}(w) = 0$ and $I_{\overline{V}}(w) = c_{\overline{V}} > 0$. By elliptic regularity theory, $w \in C^{2,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since f(s) = 0 for $s \leq 0$, $w \geq 0$. By the strong maximum principle, w > 0. Similar to Proposition 2.5, $c_{\overline{V}} = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau \geq 0} I_{\overline{V}}(\tau u)$.

For $V_0 := \min_{\Lambda} V$, let w be a ground-state solution to the equation

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2\right) \Delta w + V_0 w = f(w) + (w^+)^5$$
(2.22)

satisfying

$$I_{V_0}(w) = \inf_{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau \ge 0} I_{V_0}(\tau v) := c_{V_0}.$$
(2.23)

Lemma 2.7.

$$J_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) \le c_{V_0} + o(1). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to what was done in [23]. Let $z_0 \in \Lambda$ be such that $V(z_0) = V_0$ and $u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \eta(\frac{\varepsilon z - z_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})w(\frac{\varepsilon z - z_0}{\varepsilon})$ where η is a smooth cut-off function with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \eta = 1$ on $B_1(0), \eta = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_2(0), |\nabla \eta| \leq C$. Since w > 0, by the arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, there is a unique $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t>0} J_{\varepsilon}(tu_{\varepsilon}) = J_{\varepsilon}(t_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})$ and $\frac{dJ_{\varepsilon}(tu_{\varepsilon})}{dt}|_{t=t_{\varepsilon}} = 0$, i.e.

$$at_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + t_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u_{\varepsilon}^2 + bt_{\varepsilon}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(f(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon}^5 u_{\varepsilon}^6 \right) = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

We claim that there exist $t_0, T_0 > 0$ such that $0 < t_0 \le t_{\varepsilon} \le T_0$ which will be proved later. Let $z' = \frac{\varepsilon z - z_0}{\varepsilon}$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} at_{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} |\nabla w(z')|^2 + t_{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} V(\varepsilon z' + z_0) (w(z'))^2 + bt_{\varepsilon}^3 \Big(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} |\nabla w(z')|^2 \Big)^2 \\ - \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} f(t_{\varepsilon} w(z')) w(z') - t_{\varepsilon}^5 \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} (w(z'))^6 = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < t_0 \le t_{\varepsilon} \le T_0$, going if necessary to a subsequence, $t_{\varepsilon} \to T > 0$, then

$$aT \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w(z')|^2 + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0(w(z'))^2 + bT^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t |\nabla w(z')|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(Tw(z'))w(z') - T^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (w(z'))^6 = 0.$$
(2.26)

Since w is a weak solution to (2.22), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{T^2} - 1\right) \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 w^2 \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^4 \left(\frac{f(Tw)}{(Tw)^3} - \frac{f(w)}{w^3} \right) + (T^2 - 1)w^6.$$

By $(f_2), t_{\varepsilon} \to T = 1$. Direct calculations show that

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t>0} J_{\varepsilon}(tu_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= J_{\varepsilon}(t_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{at_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla w|^{2} + \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{0}w^{2} + \frac{bt_{\varepsilon}^{4}}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla w|^{2} \right)^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(t_{\varepsilon}w) - \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{6}}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} w^{6} + o(1) \\ &= \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla w|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{0}w^{2} + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla w|^{2} \right)^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(w) - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} w^{6} + o(1) \\ &= c_{V_{0}} + o(1). \end{split}$$

Thus (2.24) follows.

At last, we prove the claim that $0 < t_0 \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_0$. Assuming the contrary that $t_{\varepsilon} \to 0$, then by (f_1) , (f_4) , we get that

$$at_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + t_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} + bt_{\varepsilon}^{3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} \leq Ct_{\varepsilon}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{\varepsilon}^{4} + Ct_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{\varepsilon}^{6}.$$
 (2.27)

Direct computations yield

$$t_{\varepsilon} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 w^2 + o(1) \right) + t_{\varepsilon}^3 \left(b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 \right)^2 + o(1) \right)$$

$$\leq C t_{\varepsilon}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^4 + o(1) \right) + C t_{\varepsilon}^5 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^6 + o(1) \right),$$

which leads to a contradiction.

If $t_{\varepsilon} \to \infty$, then

$$at_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + t_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} + bt_{\varepsilon}^{3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{\varepsilon}^{6} \ge t_{\varepsilon}^{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{\varepsilon}^{6}.$$
 (2.28)

Hence,

$$a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 w^2 + t_{\varepsilon}^2 b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 \right)^2 \ge t_{\varepsilon}^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^6 + o(1) \right),$$

which is a contradiction.

Since $\langle J'_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}), v_{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0$, we have, from (2.24) that

$$\begin{split} \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \right)^{2} \\ \leq c_{V_{0}} + o(1) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) \\ \leq C + \int_{\Lambda/\varepsilon} G(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) + \int_{(\Lambda'/\varepsilon) \setminus (\Lambda/\varepsilon)} G(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus (\Lambda'/\varepsilon)} G(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) \\ \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Lambda/\varepsilon} g(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{(\Lambda'/\varepsilon) \setminus (\Lambda/\varepsilon)} \chi(\varepsilon z) (f(v_{\varepsilon}) + v_{\varepsilon}^{5}) v_{\varepsilon} \\ + \int_{(\Lambda'/\varepsilon) \setminus ((\Lambda/\varepsilon)} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \frac{1}{2k} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus (\Lambda'/\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{2k} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \\ \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} g(\varepsilon z, v_{\varepsilon}) v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2k} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \right) \\ \leq C + \frac{1}{4} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \right)^{2} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2k} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \right), \end{split}$$

which gives that

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2k}\right) \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) v_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \le C.$$
(2.29)

Consider the following equation

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u+V_n(z)u=f_n(z,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3$$
(2.30)

where $\{V_n\}$ $(n = 1, \dots)$ satisfies

$$V_n(z) \ge \alpha > 0$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

and $f_n(z,t)$ is a Carathedory function such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ and

$$|f_n(z,t)| \le \varepsilon |t| + C_\varepsilon |t|^5, \ \forall (z,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$
(2.31)

Lemma 2.8. Assume that v_n are weak solutions to (2.30) satisfying $||v_n||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{|v_n|^6\}$ is uniformly integrable near ∞ , i.e. $\forall \delta > 0$, $\exists R > 0$, for any r > R, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r(0)} |v_n|^6 < \delta$, then

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty} v_n(z) = 0 \text{ uniformly for } n.$$
(2.32)

Proof. Following [15], for any $R > 0, 0 < r \leq \frac{R}{2}$, let $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N), 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ with

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } |z| \geq R, \\ 0 \text{ if } |z| \leq R - r, \end{cases}$$

 $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{C}{r}$. Set $(v_n)_L = \min(v_n, L)$ where L > 0. Taking $\bar{v} = \eta^2 v_n (v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)}$ for $\beta \geq 1$ as a test function in (2.30). Considering (2.31), we see that for $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists C_{\varepsilon} > 0$, such that

$$\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v_n|^2\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla v_n\nabla\bar{v}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}V_n(z)v_n\bar{v}\leq\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_n\bar{v}+C_\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_n^5\bar{v}.$$

Taking $\varepsilon = \alpha$, we get

$$\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla v_n \nabla \bar{v} \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^5 \bar{v}.$$

For simplicity, we denote by $A_n := \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2\right)$. We rewrite the above inequality as

$$A_n \Big(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \eta) \eta v_n(v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2(v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} + 2(\beta-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla (v_n)_L|^2 \eta^2(v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} \Big) \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 \eta^2(v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} .$$

By Young's inequality ,we have

$$A_n \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 (v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} + C(\beta-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla (v_n)_L|^2 \eta^2 (v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} \Big)$$

$$\leq C A_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \eta|^2 v_n^2 (v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 \eta^2 (v_n)_L^{2(\beta-1)}.$$

It is clear that $a \leq A_n \leq a^*$ for some $a^* > 0$. Therefore We can rewrite the above inequality as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla v_{n}|^{2} \eta^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} + C(\beta-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla (v_{n})_{L}|^{2} \eta^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{n}^{6} \eta^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}.$$
(2.33)

Let $W_L = \eta v_n(v_n)_L^{(\beta-1)}$, by Sobolev's inequality and (2.33), we have

$$\begin{split} \|W_{L}\|_{L^{6}}^{2} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla W_{L}|^{2} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \eta^{2} |\nabla v_{n}|^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} \\ &+ C(\beta-1)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \eta^{2} |\nabla (v_{n})_{L}|^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} \\ &\leq C \beta^{2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{n}^{6} \eta^{p}(v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{n}^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} (v_{n})_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} \Big). \end{split}$$

$$(2.34)$$

We claim that there exists R > 1, independent of n, such that

$$v_n \text{ is bounded in } L^{18}\{|z| \ge R\}.$$

$$(2.35)$$

In fact, let $\beta = 3$ and use (2.34), we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_{|z|\geq R-r} v_{n}^{6}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla \eta|^{2} v_{n}^{2}(v_{n})_{L}^{4} \\ - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{6}\{|z|\geq R/2\}}^{4} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^{6} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\eta v_{n}(v_{n})_{L}^{2}\right)^$$

Since v_n^6 is uniformly integrable near infinity, $\exists \bar{R} > 1$, such that for any $R > \bar{R}$,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^6\{|z|\ge R/2\}}^4 \le \frac{1}{2C}.$$

Hence we get

$$\left(\int_{|z|\ge R} \left(v_n(v_n)_L^2\right)^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\eta v_n(v_n)_L^2\right)^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \eta|^2 v_n^2(v_n)_L^4 \le \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 v$$

Taking $r = \frac{R}{2}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{|z|\geq R} \left(v_n(v_n)_L^2\right)^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6.$$

Letting $L \to \infty$, we get that

$$\int_{|z|\ge R} v_n^{18} \le C,$$

which gives (2.35).

Let $t = \frac{9}{2}$, suppose $v_n \in L^{2\beta t/(t-1)}\{|z| \ge R - r\}$ for some $\beta \ge 1$, (2.34), (2.35) give that

$$\|W_L\|_{L^6}^2 \leq C\beta^2 \Big(\int_{|z|\geq R-r} (\eta^2 v_n^{2\beta})^{t/(t-1)}\Big)^{1-1/t} \Big(\int_{|z|\geq R-r} (v_n^{18})\Big)^{1-1/t}$$

$$+C\beta^{2} \frac{\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}-(R-r)^{3}\right)^{1/t}}{r^{2}} \left(\int_{|z|\geq R-r} \left(v_{n}^{2\beta t/(t-1)}\right)\right)^{1-1/t} \\ \leq C\beta^{2} \left(1+\frac{R^{3/t}}{r^{2}}\right) \left(\int_{|z|\geq R-r} \left(v_{n}^{2\beta t/(t-1)}\right)\right)^{1-1/t}.$$

Letting $L \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{6\beta}\{|z|\geq R\}}^{2\beta} \le C\beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{R^{3/t}}{r^2}\right) \|v_n\|_{L^{2\beta t/(t-1)}\{|z|\geq R\}}^{2\beta}$$

If we set $\chi = 3(t-1)/t$, s = 2t/(t-1), then

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{\beta\chi s}\{|z|\geq R\}} \le C^{1/\beta}\beta^{1/\beta}(1+\frac{R^{3/t}}{r^2})^{1/2\beta}\|v_n\|_{L^{\beta s}\{|z|\geq R-r\}}.$$

Let $\beta = \chi^m, m = 1, 2, ...$, then we get

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{\chi^{m+1}s}\{|z|\ge R\}} \le C^{\chi^{-m}} \chi^{m\chi^{-m}} \left(1 + \frac{R^{3/t}}{r^2}\right)^{1/(2\chi^m)} \|v_n\|_{L^{\chi^{ms}}\{|z|\ge R-r\}}.$$
(2.36)

If $r_m = 2^{-(m+1)}R$, then (2.36) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_{L^{\chi^{m+1}s}\{|z|\geq R\}} &\leq \|v_n\|_{L^{\chi^{m+1}s}\{|z|\geq R-r_{m+1}\}} \\ &\leq C^{\sum_{i=1}^m \chi^{-i}} \chi^{\sum_{i=1}^m i\chi^{-i}} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \ln(2^{2(i+1)})/(2\chi^i)\right) \|v_n\|_{L^{\chi s}\{|z|\geq R-r_1\}} \\ &\leq C\|v_n\|_{L^6\{|z|\geq R/2\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \to \infty$, we get

$$||v_n||_{L^{\infty}\{|z|\geq R\}} \leq C ||v_n||_{L^6\{|z|\geq R/2\}}.$$

Since $\{v_n^6\}$ is uniformly integrable near infinity, (2.32) follows.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let v_{ε} be the mountain-pass solution to (\hat{E}'_{ε}) given by Proposition 2.5. For any sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$, denote by $v_n := v_{\varepsilon_n}$, $J_n := J_{\varepsilon_n}$ and $H_n := H_{\varepsilon_n}$. Then v_n satisfies

$$\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right) \Delta v_n + V(\varepsilon_n z) v_n = g(\varepsilon_n z, v_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

$$(3.1)$$

Hence v_n is a critical point of the following functional J_n , and by (2.29), v_n is bounded in H_n .

Similar to Lemma 2.3, we have

Lemma 3.1. There is a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and R > 0, $\beta > 0$ such that $\int v_n^2 \ge \beta$.

$$\int_{B_R(y_n)} v_n^2 \ge \beta$$

Lemma 3.2. $\varepsilon_n y_n$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^3 . Moreover, $dist(\varepsilon_n y_n, \Lambda') \leq \varepsilon_n R$.

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, define $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \operatorname{dist}(z, \Lambda') \leq \delta\}$. We set $\phi_{\varepsilon_n}(z) = \phi(\varepsilon_n z)$ where $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ is such that

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1, \ z \notin K_{\delta}, \\ 0, \ z \in \Lambda', \end{cases} \quad |\nabla \phi| \le \frac{C}{\delta}.$$

Taking $v_n \phi_{\varepsilon_n}$ as a test function in (3.1), using (g_4) and the fact that $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{\varepsilon_n} \cap (\Lambda' / \varepsilon_n) = \emptyset$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^2 \phi_{\varepsilon_n} \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n z) v_n^2 \phi_{\varepsilon_n} \\ &\le - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n (\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \nabla v_n) \\ &\le C \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n| |\nabla v_n| \le C \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

If there is a subsequence $\varepsilon_{n_i} \to 0^+$ such that

$$B_R(y_{n_j}) \cap \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^3, \varepsilon_{n_j} z \in K_\delta \} = \emptyset_{\underline{s}}$$

then

$$\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{B_R(y_{n_j})} v_{n_j}^2 \le C \frac{\varepsilon_{n_j}}{\delta},$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.1. Thus, for all small ε_n there is a y'_n such that $\varepsilon_n y'_n \in K_\delta$ and $|y'_n - y_n| \leq R$. It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{dist}(\varepsilon_n y_n, \Lambda') \leq \varepsilon_n R + \delta$ and by the arbitrariness of δ , we complete the proof.

From Lemma 3.2, we can assume that $\varepsilon_n y_n \in \overline{\Lambda'}$ for all ε_n small enough. Otherwise, we can replace y_n by $\varepsilon_n^{-1} x_n$ where $x_n \in \overline{\Lambda'}$ and $|y_n - \varepsilon_n^{-1} x_n| \leq R$. Thus

$$0 < \beta \le \int_{B_R(y_n)} v_n^2 \le \int_{B_{2R}(\varepsilon_n^{-1}x_n)} v_n^2$$

and if we replace R by 2R in Lemma 3.1, we have our claim.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} V(\varepsilon_n y_n) = V_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Since $\varepsilon_n y_n \in \overline{\Lambda'}$, up to a subsequence, $\varepsilon_n y_n \to x_0 \in \overline{\Lambda'}$, we shall prove that $V(x_0) = V_0$. We have already known that $V(x_0) \ge V_0$. Let we set $w_n(z) = v_n(z+y_n)$, from (3.1) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_{B_R(0)} w_n^2 \ge \beta > 0 \text{ for all } n,$$
$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2\right) \Delta w_n + V(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n) w_n = g(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n, w_n)$$

and $||w_n||_{H^1} = ||v_n||_{H^1}$ is bounded. Up to a subsequence, $\exists w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$\begin{cases} w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ w_n \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for all } 1 \le p < 6, \\ w_n \rightarrow w \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and denote by $A := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2$, it is clear that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 \leq A$. Taking $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as a test function in (3.1), by (3.3), we have

$$(a+bA)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla w\nabla\varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}V(x_0)w\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\overline{g}(w)\varphi \;\forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $\overline{g}(w) = \chi(x_0)(f(w) + w^5) + (1 - \chi(x_0))\tilde{f}(w)$. By density, we get

$$(a+bA)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla w\nabla\varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}V(x_0)w\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\overline{g}(w)\varphi \;\forall\varphi\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Choose $\varphi = w$, then

$$\langle \bar{J}'_{x_0}(w), w \rangle \le 0,$$

where, $\overline{G}(s) = \int_0^s \overline{g}(\tau) d\tau$ and

$$\bar{J}_{x_0}(w) = \frac{1}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x_0)w^2 + \frac{1}{4}b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2\Big)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{G}(w), \ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Moreover, with the same argument to prove (2.19), we conclude

$$\langle \bar{J}'_{x_0}(w), w \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 = A := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2,$$

which implies that w > 0 is a critical point of \overline{J}_{x_0} . Now we prove $V(x_0) = V_0$. Assuming to the contrary that $V(x_0) > V_0$. Denote by

$$c_{V(x_0)} := \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau \ge 0} I_{V(x_0)}(\tau u).$$

Let c_{x_0} be the mountain-pass energy of \bar{J}_{x_0} . Then $c_{x_0} \ge c_{V(x_0)}$ since $\bar{J}_{x_0}(u) \ge I_{V(x_0)}(u)$. Hence

$$c_{V(x_{0})} \leq c_{x_{0}} \leq \bar{J}_{x_{0}}(w) - \frac{1}{4} \langle \bar{J}'_{x_{0}}(w), w \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a |\nabla w|^{2} + V(x_{0})w^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4}\bar{g}(w)w - \bar{G}(w)\right)$$

$$\leq \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a |\nabla w_{n}|^{2} + V(\varepsilon_{n}z + \varepsilon_{n}y_{n})w_{n}^{2}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4}g(\varepsilon_{n}z + \varepsilon_{n}y_{n}, w_{n})w_{n} - G(\varepsilon_{n}z + \varepsilon_{n}y_{n}, w_{n})\right)$$

$$= \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a |\nabla v_{n}|^{2} + V(\varepsilon_{n}z)v_{n}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4}g(\varepsilon_{n}z, v_{n})v_{n} - G(\varepsilon_{n}z, v_{n})\right)$$

$$= \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} J_{n}(v_{n}) - \frac{1}{4} \langle J'_{n}(v_{n}), v_{n} \rangle \leq c_{V_{0}}.$$

$$(3.4)$$

Denote w' be a critical point of $I_{V(x_0)}$ with minimal energy, there exists a t' > 0 such that

$$I_{V_0}(t'w') = \sup_{t>0} I_{V_0}(tw').$$

Since $V(x_0) > V_0$, we have

$$\sup_{t>0} I_{V_0}(tw') = I_{V_0}(t'w') < I_{V(x_0)}(t'w') \le \sup_{t>0} I_{V(x_0)}(tw') = I_{V(x_0)}(w') = c_{V(x_0)},$$

then $c_{V_0} < c_{V(x_0)}$, which contradicts (3.4), thus (3.2) follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $V(x_0) = V_0$, then $c_{V_0} = c_{V(x_0)}$. Combining with (3.4), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2.$$

From Sobolev's inequality, $\{|w_n|^6\}$ is uniformly integrable near infinity. Lemma 2.8 yields

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty} w_n(z) = 0 \text{ uniformly for } n.$$
(3.5)

which implies that there is a ρ such that $w_n(z) < a'$ for all $|z| \ge \rho$ and large n, that is

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2\right) \Delta w_n + V(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n) w_n = f(w_n) + w_n^5 \text{ in } |z| \ge \rho.$$

On the other hand, if $|z| \leq \rho$, by Lemma 3.3, we get $B_{\varepsilon_n\rho}(\varepsilon_n y_n) \subset \Lambda$ for all ε_n small enough. So $g(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n, w_n) = f(w_n) + w_n^5$ and

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2\right) \Delta w_n + V(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n) w_n = f(w_n) + w_n^5 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(3.6)

Combining with the arbitrariness of $\{\varepsilon_n\}$, we have obtained the existence of solutions v_{ε} for (\hat{E}_{ε}) , which is equivalent to the existence of solutions u_{ε} for problem (E_{ε}) .

Now we claim that if P_n is a maximum of w_n , then

$$w_n(P_n) \ge a$$

for all n.

Indeed, if $w_n(P_n) < a'$, taking w_n as a test function for (3.6), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n) w_n^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(w_n) w_n + w_n^6,$$

which gives

$$\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_n^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(w_n) w_n + w_n^6 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_n^2 \Big(\frac{f(w_n)}{w_n} + w_n^4 \Big)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_n^2 \Big(\frac{f(a')}{a'} + (a')^4 \Big) = \frac{\alpha}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_n^2,$$

where k > 2. Hence we got a contradiction.

By (3.5), P_n must be bounded. Denote $z_n = \varepsilon_n P_n + \varepsilon_n y_n$, it is clear that z_n is a maximum of u_{ε_n} . Combining with Lemma 3.3 and the arbitrariness of $\{\varepsilon_n\}$, we have obtained the concentration result in Theorem 1.1.

To complete the proof, we only need to prove the exponential decay of u_{ε} . Since the proof is standard (see [23, 30], for example), we omit it here.

4. Multiplicity of solutions to (E_{ε})

Suppose that V be a Banach space, \mathcal{V} be a C^1 -manifold of V and $I: V \to R$ a C^1 -functional. We say that $I|_{\mathcal{V}}$ satisfies the (P.S.) condition at level c $((P.S.)_c$ in short) if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $I(u_n) \to c$ and $\|I'(u_n)\|_* \to 0$ contains a convergent subsequence. Here $\|I'(u)\|_*$ denotes the norm of the derivative of I restricted to \mathcal{V} at the point $u \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proposition 4.1. The functional restricted to $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies $(P.S.)_c$ condition for each $c \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}abS^3 + \frac{1}{24}b^3S^6 + \frac{1}{24}(b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$, where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon} := \{ u \in H_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\} | \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u), u \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ be such that

$$J_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \to c \text{ and } \|J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n)\|_* \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4.1)

There exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset R$ such that

$$J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n) = \lambda_n \phi'_{\varepsilon}(u_n) + o(1)$$

where

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(u) = \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u), u \rangle.$$

Since $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, we have that

$$0 = \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle = \lambda_n \langle \phi'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle + o(1) \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}.$$

Direct calculations show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H_{ε} , we have that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_{\varepsilon}, \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^s_{\text{loc}} \ 1 \le s < 6, \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

(4.2) and the fact $(\frac{f(s)}{s^3})' > 0, (\frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{s})' \ge 0$ for all $s \ge 0$ imply that $\langle \phi'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2) + 4b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Big)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (g'(\varepsilon z, u_n)u_n^2 + g(\varepsilon z, u_n)u_n) \\ &= -2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (3g(\varepsilon z, u_n)u_n - g'(\varepsilon z, u_n)u_n^2) \\ &= -2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(\varepsilon z) \Big(3f(u_n)u_n - f'(u_n)u_n^2 - 2(u_n^+)^6 \Big) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \Big(3\tilde{f}(u_n)u_n - \tilde{f}'(u_n)u_n^2 \Big) \\ &\leq -2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 2(1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)))\tilde{f}(u_n)u_n \\ &\leq -2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 + \frac{2}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 \\ &\leq -2\Big(1 - \frac{1}{k}\Big) \Big[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z)u_n^2 \Big] \\ &= -2\Big(1 - \frac{1}{k}\Big) \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We may suppose that $\langle \phi'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle \to l < 0$. Hence the above expression shows that $\lambda_n \to 0$ and therefore we conclude that $J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ in the dual space of H_{ε} . Now, we claim that, for each $\delta > 0$, there exists R > 0 such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} \left(a |\nabla u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon z) u_n^2 \right) < \delta.$$
(4.3)

In fact, first, we may assume that R is chosen so that $(\Lambda'/\varepsilon) \subset B_{R/2}(0)$. Let η_R be a smooth cut-off function such that $\eta_R = 0$ on $B_{R/2}(0)$, $\eta_R = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)$, $0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded (*P.S.*) sequence, we have

$$\langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), \eta_R u_n \rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla u_n \right) \cdot \left(\nabla (\eta_R u_n) \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u_n^2 \eta_R + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \nabla u_n \right|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla u_n \right) \cdot \left(\nabla (\eta_R u_n) \right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u_n) u_n \eta_R + o(1) \le \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) u_n^2 \eta_R + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus B_{R}(0)} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus B_{R}(0)} V(\varepsilon z) u_{n}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{R} \|\nabla u_{n}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \|u_{n}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \frac{C}{R} \|\nabla u_{n}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{3} \|u_{n}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + o(1),$$

and (4.3) follows.

We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u_n) u_n \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u) u. \tag{4.4}$$

In fact, we can use (4.2) and Dominated Convergence Theorem to show that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \chi(\varepsilon z) f(u_n) u_n \to \int_{B_R(0)} \chi(\varepsilon z) f(u) u_n$$

and

$$\int_{B_R(0)} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \tilde{f}(u_n) u_n \to \int_{B_R(0)} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \tilde{f}(u) u_n$$

In order to get (4.4), we just need to prove that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \chi(\varepsilon z) (u_n^+)^6 \to \int_{B_R(0)} \chi(\varepsilon z) (u^+)^6.$$
(4.5)

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we may suppose that

$$|\nabla u_n^+|^2 \rightharpoonup |\nabla u^+|^2 + \mu$$
 and $|u_n^+|^6 \rightharpoonup |u^+|^6 + \nu$,

where μ and ν are bounded nonnegative measure in \mathbb{R}^3 . By the Concentration Compactness Principle II (Lemma 1.1 of [17]), we obtain an at most countable index set Γ , sequence $\{x_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\{\mu_i\}, \{\nu_i\} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mu \ge \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}, \nu = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \nu_i \delta_{x_i} \text{ and } S(\nu_i)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le \mu_i.$$

$$(4.6)$$

It suffices to show that $\{x_i\}_{i\in\Gamma} \cap \{z|\chi(\varepsilon z) > 0\} = \emptyset$. Suppose, by contradiction, that $\chi(\varepsilon x_i) > 0$ for some $i \in \Gamma$. Define, for $\rho > 0$, the function $\psi_{\rho}(z) := \psi(\frac{z-x_i}{\rho})$ where ψ is a smooth cut-off function such that $\psi = 1$ on $B_1(0)$, $\psi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_2(0)$, $0 \le \psi \le 1$ and $|\nabla \psi| \le C$. we suppose that ρ is chosen in such a way that the support of ψ_{ρ} is contained in $\{z|\chi(\varepsilon z) > 0\}$. We see

$$\langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), \psi_{\rho} u_n^+ \rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

i.e.

$$a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n^+|^2 \psi_\rho + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n^+ \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n^+ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) (u_n^+)^2 \psi_\rho$$
$$+ b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Big) \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n^+|^2 \psi_\rho \Big) + b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Big) \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n^+ \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n^+ \Big) \qquad (4.7)$$
$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u_n) u_n^+ \psi_\rho = o(1).$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_n^+ \cdot \nabla \psi_\rho) u_n^+ \Big| &\leq \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2 |\nabla \psi_\rho|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2 |\nabla \psi_\rho|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \Big(\int_{B_{2\rho}(x_i)} u^6 \Big)^{\frac{1}{6}} \Big(\int_{B_{2\rho}(x_i)} |\nabla \psi_\rho|^3 \Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{B_{2\rho}(x_i)} u^6 \Big)^{\frac{1}{6}} \to 0 \text{ as } \rho \to 0, \\ \\ &\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n^+|^2 \psi_\rho \geq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u^+|^2 \psi_\rho + a\mu_i \to a\mu_i \text{ as } \rho \to 0, \end{split}$$

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n^+|^2 \psi_\rho\right) \ge \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n^+|^2 \psi_\rho\right)^2$$
$$\ge b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u^+|^2 \psi_\rho + \mu_i\right)^2 \to b\mu_i^2 \text{ as } \rho \to 0,$$

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) (u_n^+)^2 \psi_\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon z) (u^+)^2 \psi_\rho \to 0 \text{ as } \rho \to 0,$$

and similarly,

$$\frac{\overline{\lim}}{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u_n) u_n^+ \psi_\rho$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(\varepsilon z) f(u) u \psi_\rho + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \tilde{f}(u) u \psi_\rho + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(\varepsilon z) (u^+)^6 \psi_\rho + \chi(\varepsilon x_i) \nu_i$$

$$\to \chi(\varepsilon x_i) \nu_i \text{ as } \rho \to 0,$$

we obtain from (4.7) that

$$a\mu_i + b\mu_i^2 \le \chi(\varepsilon x_i)\nu_i.$$

Combining with (4.6), we have

$$(\nu_i)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ge \frac{bS^2 + \sqrt{b^2 S^4 + 4aS}}{2\chi(\varepsilon x_i)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} c + o(1) \\ &= J_{\varepsilon}(u_{n}) - \frac{1}{4} \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u_{n}), u_{n} \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4} g(\varepsilon z, u_{n}) u_{n} - G(\varepsilon z, u_{n}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(\varepsilon z) \left(\frac{1}{4} f(u_{n}) u_{n} - F(u_{n}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{6} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \left(\frac{1}{4} \tilde{f}(u_{n}) u_{n} - \tilde{F}(u_{n}) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{6} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon z)) \tilde{F}(u_{n}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{6} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}^{+}|^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(\varepsilon z)(u_{n}^{+})^{6} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} a \mu_{i} + \frac{1}{12} \chi(\varepsilon x_{i}) \nu_{i} + o(1), \end{split}$$

and hence

$$c \geq \frac{1}{4}aS(\nu_{i})^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{12}\chi(\varepsilon x_{i})\nu_{i}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4}aS\frac{bS^{2} + \sqrt{b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS}}{2\chi(\varepsilon x_{i})} + \frac{1}{12}\chi(\varepsilon x_{i})\left(\frac{bS^{2} + \sqrt{b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS}}{2\chi(\varepsilon x_{i})}\right)^{3}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4}aS\frac{bS^{2} + \sqrt{b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS}}{2} + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{bS^{2} + \sqrt{b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS}}{2}\right)^{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}abS^{3} + \frac{1}{24}b^{3}S^{6} + \frac{1}{24}\left(b^{2}S^{4} + 4aS\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

This leads to a contradiction, hence (4.5) holds, then (4.4) follows.

Since $\langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n), u_n \rangle \to 0$, then

$$\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\varepsilon z, u_n)u_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(4.8)

By (4.2), u satisfies

$$-(a+bA)\Delta u + V(\varepsilon z)u = g(\varepsilon z, u),$$

where $A := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2$. Then

$$\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} + bA \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} g(\varepsilon z, u)u = 0.$$

$$(4.9)$$

Combining (4.4), (4.8) with (4.9), we get

$$u_n \to u$$
 in H_{ε} as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 4.2. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\delta} > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{\delta})$, the Equation (\hat{E}'_{ε}) has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ solutions.

Before proving this proposition, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. (See Chapter II, 3.2. of [7]) Let I be a C^1 -functional defined on a C^1 -Finsler manifold \mathcal{V} . If I is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS) condition, then I has at least $cat_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V})$ distinct critical points.

Lemma 4.4. (See Lemma 4.3 of [4]) Let Γ , Ω^+ , Ω^- be closed sets with $\Omega^- \subset \Omega^+$. Let $\Phi: \Omega^- \to \Gamma$, $\beta: \Gamma \to \Omega^+$ be two continuous maps such that $\beta \circ \Phi$ is homotopically equivalent to the embedding $Id: \Omega^- \to \Omega^+$. Then $cat_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) \geq cat_{\Omega^+}(\Omega^-)$.

From Proposition 2.6, denote by $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $I'_{V_0}(w) = 0$ and $I_{V_0}(w) = c_{V_0}$, where I_{V_0} , c_{V_0} have been mentioned in (2.23).

Let us consider $\delta > 0$ such that $M_{\delta} \subset \Lambda$ and a smooth cut-off function η with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on $B_1(0)$, $\eta = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_2(0)$, $|\nabla \eta| \leq C$. For any $y \in M$, we define the function

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,y}(z) = \eta \Big(\frac{\varepsilon z - y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big) w \Big(\frac{\varepsilon z - y}{\varepsilon}\Big)$$

and $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ satisfying $\max_{t \ge 0} J_{\varepsilon}(t\psi_{\varepsilon,y}) = J_{\varepsilon}(t_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon,y})$ and $\frac{dJ_{\varepsilon}(t\psi_{\varepsilon,y})}{dt}|_{t=t_{\varepsilon}>0} = 0$. Define $\Phi_{\varepsilon} : M \to \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y) := t_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon,y}.$$

As we prove Lemma 2.7, we have

Lemma 4.5. Uniformly for $y \in M$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} J_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y)) = c_{V_0}.$$
(4.10)

Consider $\delta > 0$ such that $M_{\delta} \subset \Lambda$ and choose $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ satisfying $M_{\delta} \subset B_{\rho}(0)$. Let $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined as $\Upsilon(z) := z$ for $|z| \leq \rho$ and $\Upsilon(z) := \rho z/|z|$ for $|z| \geq \rho$, and consider the map $\beta_{\varepsilon} : \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\beta_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Upsilon(\varepsilon z) u^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2}.$$

Moreover, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y)) = y \text{ uniformly for } y \in M.$$
(4.11)

In fact, Let $z' = \frac{\varepsilon z - y}{\varepsilon}$, we see

$$\beta_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y)) = y + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon z' + y) - y\right) \eta^2(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z') w^2(z')}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta^2(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z') w^2(z')}.$$

Direct calculations show that,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta^2(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z')w^2(z') \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2 > 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Since $y \in M$ and M is compact,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon z' + y) - y \right) \eta^2(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z') w^2(z') \right| \\ & \leq \int_{B_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} |\Upsilon(\varepsilon z' + y) - \Upsilon(y)| w^2(z') \\ & \leq o(1) \int_{B_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}(0)} w^2(z') \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0 \text{ uniformly for } y \in M. \end{split}$$

Hence (4.11) holds.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ and $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \to c_{V_0}$. Then there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the sequence $u_n(z+y_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $\varepsilon_n y_n \to y \in M$.

Proof. Direct calculations show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the same arguments employed in Lemma 2.3 provides a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and positive constants R, β such that

$$\int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^2 \ge \beta > 0.$$

Denote by $\tilde{u}_n(z) = u_n(z+y_n)$, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\widetilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup \widetilde{u} \neq 0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
(4.12)

Let $t_n > 0$ be such that $t_n \tilde{u}_n \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{V_0} := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} | \langle I'_{V_0}(u), u \rangle = 0\}$. By the definition of I_{V_0} , c_{V_0} , we obtain

$$c_{V_0} \leq I_{V_0}(t_n \tilde{u}_n) = I_{V_0}(t_n u_n) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0} + o(1),$$

from which it follows that $I_{V_0}(t_n \tilde{u}_n) \to c_{V_0}$.

We claim, up to a subsequence, that $t_n \to t_0 > 0$. Direct computations show that $\{t_n \tilde{u}_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since \tilde{u}_n does not converge to 0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a $\delta' > 0$ such that $\|\tilde{u}_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ge \delta' > 0$. Therefore, $0 < t_n \delta' \le \|t_n \tilde{u}_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C$. Thus $\{t_n\}$ is bounded and we can suppose that $t_n \to t_0 \ge 0$. If $t_0 = 0$, in view of the boundedness

of $\{\tilde{u}_n\}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have $t_n\tilde{u}_n \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence $I_0(t_n\tilde{u}_n) \to 0$, which contradicts $c_{V_0} > 0$.

Denote by $\hat{u}_n := t_n \tilde{u}_n, \ \hat{u} := t_0 \tilde{u}$, we have

$$I_{V_0}(\hat{u}_n) \to c_{V_0}, \ \hat{u}_n \rightharpoonup \hat{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (4.13)

In fact, by the Ekeland's Variational Principle in [10], there exists a sequence $\{\hat{w}_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ satisfying

$$\hat{w}_n - \hat{u}_n \to 0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ I_{V_0}(\hat{w}_n) \to c_{V_0}, \ \|I'_{V_0}(\hat{w}_n)\|_* \to 0.$$
 (4.14)

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we get that

$$I'_{V_0}(\hat{w}_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4.15)

By (4.13), (4.14),

$$\hat{w}_n \rightharpoonup \hat{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (4.16)

Using the same arguments as in the proof of (2.18), we conclude that $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$. Hence

$$c_{V_{0}} \leq I_{V_{0}}(\hat{u}) = I_{V_{0}}(\hat{u}) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_{V_{0}}(\hat{u}), \hat{u} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (a |\nabla \hat{u}|^{2} + V_{0}(\hat{u})^{2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4} f(\hat{u}) \hat{u} - F(\hat{u}) \right) + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (\hat{u}^{+})^{6}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (a |\nabla \hat{w}_{n}|^{2} + V_{0}(\hat{w}_{n})^{2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{4} f(\hat{w}_{n}) \hat{w}_{n} - F(\hat{w}_{n}) \right) + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (\hat{w}_{n}^{+})^{6}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{V_{0}}(\hat{w}_{n}) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_{V_{0}}(\hat{w}_{n}), \hat{w}_{n} \rangle = c_{V_{0}}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla \hat{w}_n|^2 + V_0(\hat{w}_n)^2) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla \hat{u}|^2 + V_0(\hat{u})^2) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

which combined with (4.14) and (4.16) yields

$$\tilde{u}_n \to \tilde{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (4.17)

Now, we are going to prove that $\varepsilon_n y_n \to y \in M$. First, as we prove Lemma 3.2, we can prove that $\{\varepsilon_n y_n\}$ is bounded and $\varepsilon_n y_n \to y \in \overline{\Lambda}$. Hence it suffices to show that $V(y) = V_0 := \inf_{\Lambda} V$. Arguing by contradiction again, we assume that $V(y) > V_0$. Recalling (4.17), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &= I_{V_0}(\hat{u}) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(y)(\hat{u})^2 + \frac{1}{4}b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 \Big)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(F(\hat{u}) + \frac{1}{6}(\hat{u}^+)^6 \Big) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n z + \varepsilon_n y_n)(\hat{u}_n)^2 + \frac{1}{4}b \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^2 \Big)^2 \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(F(\hat{u}_n) + \frac{1}{6}(\hat{u}_n^+)^6 \Big) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which does not make sense, thus $V(y) = V_0$ and the proof is completed.

Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} := \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon} | J_{\varepsilon}(u) \le c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon) \},\$$

where $h(\varepsilon) := \sup_{y \in M} |J_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y)) - c_{V_0}|$. we can deduce from Lemma 4.5 that, $h(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. By the definition of $h(\varepsilon)$, we know that, for any $y \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.7. For any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{dist}(\beta_{\varepsilon}(u), M_{\delta}) = 0$$

Proof. Let
$$\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset R$$
 be such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$. By definition, there exists $u_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that
 $\operatorname{dist}(\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), M_{\delta}) = \sup_{u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}} \operatorname{dist}(\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u), M_{\delta}) + o(1).$

Thus it suffices to find a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset M_\delta$ such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - \tilde{y}_n| = o(1).$$
(4.18)

Since $u_n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we can use the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ to obtain

$$c_{V_0} \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}} J_{\varepsilon_n}(u) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n),$$

therefore, $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \to c_{V_0}$. By Lemma 4.6, we can get a sequence $\{y_n\}$ and $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$u_n(z+y_n) \to \tilde{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (4.19)

Moreover, up to a subsequence,

$$\tilde{y}_n := \varepsilon_n y_n \to y \in M \subset M_\delta$$

By direct computations,

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \tilde{y}_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + \tilde{y}_n) - \tilde{y}_n\right) \tilde{u}_n^2(z + y_n)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2(z + y_n)}.$$

By (4.19), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2(z+y_n) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{u}^2 > 0$$

and $\{u_n^2(z+y_n)\}$ is uniformly integrable near ∞ , i.e. $\forall \delta' > 0, \exists R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} u_n^2(z+y_n) < \delta'/4\rho.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + \tilde{y}_n) - \tilde{y}_n) \tilde{u}_n^2(z + y_n) \right| \le 2\rho \cdot (\delta'/4\rho) = \delta'/2.$$

Since $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset M_\delta$ and M_δ is compact, then

$$\left| \int_{B_R(0)} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + \tilde{y}_n) - \tilde{y}_n) \tilde{u}_n^2(z + y_n) \right| \\ = \left| \int_{B_R(0)} \left(\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + \tilde{y}_n) - \Upsilon(\tilde{y}_n) \right) \tilde{u}_n^2(z + y_n) \right| \\ \le o(1) \int_{B_R(0)} \tilde{u}_n^2(z + y_n) \le o(1) < \delta'/2$$

for all n large enough. Hence (4.18) follows, the lemma is proved.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Given $\delta > 0$ such that $M_{\delta} \subset \Lambda$, we can use Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.7 and (4.11) to obtain $\varepsilon_{\delta} > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{\delta})$, the diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\varepsilon}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\varepsilon}} M_{\delta}$$

is well defined. In view of (4.11), for ε small enough, we can denote by $\beta_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y)) = y + \theta(y)$ for $y \in M$, where $|\theta(y)| < \delta'/2$ uniformly for $y \in M$. Define $S(t, y) = y + (1 - t)\theta(y)$. Thus $S : [0, 1] \times M \to M_{\delta}$ is continuous. Obviously, $S(0, y) = \beta_{\varepsilon}(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(y))$ and S(1, y) = yfor all $y \in M$. That is, $\beta_{\varepsilon} \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is homotopically equivalent to $Id : M \to M_{\delta}$. By Lemma 4.4, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{cat}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}) \geq \operatorname{cat}_{M_{\delta}}(M).$$

Since $c_{V_0} < \frac{1}{4}abS^3 + \frac{1}{24}b^3S^6 + \frac{1}{24}(b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{\frac{3}{2}}$, we can use the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ and Proposition 4.1 to conclude that J_{ε} satisfies the (P.S.) condition in $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ for all small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, Lemma 4.3 proves at least $\operatorname{cat}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon})$ critical points of J_{ε} restricted to $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can conclude that a critical point of the functional J_{ε} on $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, is in fact, a critical point of the functional J_{ε} in H_{ε} and therefore a weak solution for the problem (\hat{E}'_{ε}) , the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset R$ satisfying $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$, denote $v_{\varepsilon_n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ by a weak solution of $(\hat{E}'_{\varepsilon_n})$, we can use the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon}$ to obtain

$$c_{V_0} \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}} J_{\varepsilon_n}(u) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(v_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n),$$

therefore, $J_{\varepsilon_n}(v_{\varepsilon_n}) \to c_{V_0}$. By Lemma 4.6, we can get a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\tilde{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$v_{\varepsilon_n}(z+y_n) \to \tilde{v} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (4.20)

Moreover, up to a subsequence,

$$\varepsilon_n y_n \to y \in M.$$

(4.20) and the Sobolev's Theorem show that

$$v_{\varepsilon_n}(z+y_n) \to \tilde{v} \text{ in } L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

Thus, $\{|v_{\varepsilon_n}(z+y_n)|^6\}$ is uniformly integrable near ∞ . By Lemma 2.7, we get that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} v_{\varepsilon_n}(z+y_n) = 0 \text{ uniformly for } n.$$

Proceeding as we prove Theorem 1.1, we can complete the proof.

References

- P. D'Ancona, S. Spagnolo, Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, Invent. Math. 108 (1992) 247-262.
- [2] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996) 305-330.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical points theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [4] V. Benci and G. Cerami, Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 2(1994), 29-48.
- [5] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations, I existence of a ground state, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82(1983) 313-345.
- [6] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations, II existence of infinitely many solutions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82(1983) 347-375.
- [7] K. C. Chang, Infinite dimensional morse theory and multiple solution problems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [8] C. Y. Chen, Y. C. Kuo, T. F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problem involving sign-changing weight functions, J. Differential Equations 250 (2011) 1876-1908.
- [9] W. Y. Ding, W. M. Ni, On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 91 (1986) 283-308.
- [10] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974) 324C353.
- [11] A. Floer, A.Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential. J. Funct. Anal. 69 (1986) 397-408.
- [12] X. He, W. Zou, Infinitely many positive solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 1407-1414.
- [13] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a kirchhoff equation in R³, J. Differential Equations 2 (2012) 1813-1834.
- [14] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [15] G. Li, Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I Math. 15 (1990) 27-36.
- [16] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The locally compact case, part 2, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non. Lineaire 2 (1984) 223-283.
- [17] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The limit case, part 1, Rev. Mat. H. Iberoamericano 1.1 (1985) 145-201.
- [18] J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, in: Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, Proceedings of International Symposium, Inst. Mat., Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1977, in: North-Holland Math. Stud. vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978, pp. 284-346.
- [19] T. F. Ma, J.E. Munoz Rivera, Positive solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal elliptic transmission problem, Appl. Math. Lett. 16 (2003) 243-248.
- [20] Y. J. Oh, Existence of semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential on the class $(V)_a$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 13 (1988) 1499-1519.
- [21] Y. J. Oh, Corrections to existence of semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential on the class $(V)_a$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 14 (1989) 833-834.

- [22] Y. J. Oh, On positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under multiple well potential, Comm. Math. Phys. 131 (1990) 223-253.
- [23] M. del Pino, P. L. Felmer, Local mountain pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 4 (1996) 121-137.
- [24] A. Pomponio, S. Secchi, On a class of singularly perturbed elliptic equations in divergence form: existence and multiplicity results, J. Differential Equations 207 (2004) 229-266.
- [25] K. Perera, Z. Zhang, Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index, J. Differential Equations 221 (2006) 246-255.
- [26] P. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43 (1992) 270-291.
- [27] W. A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Commun. Math. Phys. 55 (1977) 149-162.
- [28] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations 51 (1984) 126-150.
- [29] X. Wang, On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Commun. Math. Phys. 153 (1993) 229-244.
- [30] J. Wang, L. Tian, J. Xu, F. Zhang, Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth, J. Differential Equations 253 (2012) 2314-2351.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: heyi19870113@163.om

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: ligb@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: sjpeng@mail.ccnu.edu.cn