

# Remarks on the asymptotically discretely self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes and the Euler equations

Dongho Chae  
 Chung-Ang University  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea  
 e-mail: dchae@cau.ac.kr

## Abstract

We study scenarios of self-similar type blow-up for the incompressible Navier-Stokes and the Euler equations. The previous notions of the discretely (backward) self-similar solution and the asymptotically self-similar solution are generalized to the locally asymptotically discretely self-similar solution. We prove that there exists no such locally asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations if the blow-up profile is a time periodic function belonging to  $C^1(\mathbb{R}; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . For the 3D Euler equations we show that the scenario of asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up is excluded if the blow-up profile satisfies suitable integrability conditions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification(2000):** 76B03, 35Q31

## 1 The main theorems

### 1.1 Navier-Stokes equations

We consider the Cauchy problem of the 3D Navier-stokes equations.

$$(NS) \begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v = -\nabla p + \Delta v, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where  $v(x, t) = (v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t), v_3(x, t))$  is the velocity,  $p = p(x, t)$  is the pressure, and  $v_0(x)$  is the initial data satisfying  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ . We study the possibility of finite time blow-up of smooth solution of (NS). By translation of time we may assume that the solution is smooth for  $t < 0$ , and the blow-up happens at  $t = 0$ . We say a solution

$v(x, t)$  to (NS) is a (backward) self-similar blowing up solution at  $t = 0$  if there exists  $(V, P)$  such that

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}}V\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{-t}}\right), \quad p(x, t) = -\frac{1}{t}P\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{-t}}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

For such solution we have  $\lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) = v(x, t)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (-\infty, 0)$ , The nonexistence of nontrivial solution given by (1.6) was shown by Nečas-Ražička-Šverák([15]), and Tsai([20])(see also [14]). It can also be deduced from the result of [8]. These results are generalized by introduction of the more general notion of the asymptotically self-similar blow-up solutions, and their exclusion in [2], which was motivated by earlier study of asymptotically self-similar solutions for the nonlinear heat equation by Giga and Kohn[9, 10](see also [12]). A different direction of generalization of the notion can be done by considering the discretely (backward) self-similar blow-up at  $(x, t) = (0, 0)$  for a solution of (NS) is a solution  $v(x, t)$ , which means that there exists  $\lambda \neq 1$  such that  $\lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) = v(x, t)$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (-\infty, 0)$ . We note that the notion of forward discretely self-similar solutions to (NS) was studied earlier by Tsai in [19](see also [7]). If we make a self-similar transform,  $(v, p) \rightarrow (V, P) \in [C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})]^2$  by the formula,

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}}V(y, s), \quad p(x, t) = -\frac{1}{t}P(y, s), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y = x/\sqrt{-t}$  and  $s = -\log(-t)$ , then,  $(V, P)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} V_s + \frac{1}{2}V + \frac{1}{2}(y \cdot \nabla)V + (V \cdot \nabla)V = -\nabla P + \Delta V, \\ \operatorname{div} V = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

which has the periodicity in time,  $V(y, s) = V(y, s + S_0)$  for all  $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3+1}$  with  $S_0 = -2\log \lambda$ . We first prove the nonexistence of discretely self-similar blow-up for the  $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$  solution to (NS), which is an easy consequence of [18], as is shown in the next section.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $v \in C(-\infty, 0; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$  a solution to (NS), which blows up at  $t = 0$ , then  $t = 0$  is not a time for discretely self-similar blow up.*

Next we consider more general possibility of locally asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up. Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $v \in C(-\infty, 0; L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be a solution of (NS), which blows up at  $t = 0$ . We say that  $(x, t) = (0, 0)$  is a space-time point of *locally asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up in the sense of  $L^q$*  if there exist  $R > 0$  and a solenoidal vector field  $\bar{V}(y, s) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $\bar{V}(y, s) = \bar{V}(y, s + S_0)$  for some  $S_0 \neq 0$  and for all  $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} (-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t < \tau < 0} \left\| v(\cdot, \tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tau}} \bar{V}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{-\tau}}, -\log(-\tau)\right) \right\|_{L^q(B(0, R\sqrt{-t}))} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that this is much more general notion than the discrete self-similarity, which corresponds to the equality inside of the norm in (1.4) for all  $R > 0$ . The following is a generalization of Theorem 1.2 of [2] and Theorem 1.1 above.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $v \in C(-\infty, 0; L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be a solution to (NS), which blows up at  $t = 0$ , then it is not a time for locally asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up in the sense of  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  if  $q \in [2, \infty]$ , and the blow-up profile satisfies  $\bar{V} \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .*

*Remark 1.1* Although we considered possible blow-up at  $(x, t) = (0, 0)$  in the above, the result holds also for any  $(x, t)$  by translation.

## 1.2 The Euler equations

The question of the finite time blow-up for the 3D Euler equations is also one of the most outstanding problem in the mathematical fluid mechanics(see [13] for the physical and mathematical backgrounds on the problem and [1, 6] for well-known partial results in this direction). Here we study the possibility of existence of self-similar type blow-up for a solution to the 3D Euler equations.

$$(E) \begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v = -\nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \end{cases}$$

We say a solution  $v$  is a self-similar blowing up solution at  $t = 0$  if there exists  $(V, P)$  such that

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{(-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} V \left( \frac{x}{(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \right), \quad p(x, t) = \frac{1}{(-t)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} P \left( \frac{x}{(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

For physical motivation on this type scenarios we refer [16]. For such  $(v, p)$  we have the following scaling invariance  $v(x, t) = \lambda^\alpha v(\lambda x, \lambda^{\alpha+1} t)$  and  $p(x, t) = \lambda^{2\alpha} p(\lambda x, \lambda^{\alpha+1} t)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (-\infty, 0)$ . The nonexistence of nontrivial self-similar blowing up solution under suitable assumption on the blow-up profile  $V$  was obtained in [4]. A discretely self-similar solution  $v$  is a solenoidal vector field, for which there exist  $\lambda > 0, \alpha > -1$  such that  $v(x, t) = \lambda^\alpha v(\lambda x, \lambda^{\alpha+1} t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (-\infty, 0)$ . If we represent  $(v, p)$  by

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{(-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} V(y, s), \quad p(x, t) = \frac{1}{(-t)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} P(y, s), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $y = x/(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$  and  $s = -\log(-t)$ . then the discrete self-similarity of the solution  $(v, p)$  is equivalent to that  $(V, P)$  is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} V_s + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} V + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} (y \cdot \nabla) V + (V \cdot \nabla) V = -\nabla P, \\ \operatorname{div} V = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

which satisfies  $V(y, s) = V(y, s + S_0)$  for all  $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3+1}$  with  $S_0 = -(\alpha + 1) \log \lambda$ . The following result on the nonexistence of nontrivial discretely self-similar blow-up for the 3D Euler equations is proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $V(y, s) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  be a time periodic solution of (1.7) with period  $S_0 > 0$ .

(i) Let  $V$  satisfies either one of the following conditions:

(a)  $V(y, s) \in C_y^2 C_s^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$ , and

$$\Omega := \operatorname{curl} V \in \cup_{r>0} \cap_{0<q<r} L^q(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, S_0]).$$

(b)  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s < S_0} |\nabla V(y, s)| = 0$ , and  $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, S_0])$  for some  $q \in (0, \frac{3}{1+\alpha})$ .

Then,  $\Omega = 0$ . If we further assume  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} V(y, s) = 0 \forall s \in [0, S_0]$ , then  $V = 0$ .

(ii) Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 3/p$  or  $3/2 < \alpha < \infty$ , and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3 \times ([0, S_0]))$  for some  $3 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We also assume that the pressure is given by  $-\Delta_y P(\cdot, s) = \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (V_i V_j(\cdot, s))$ . Then,  $V = 0$ .

In the next section we will prove following theorem, which is an extension of the part (i)(b) of the above theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $V(y, s) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  be a time periodic solution of (1.7) with period  $S_0 > 0$  satisfying

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s < S_0} |\nabla V(y, s)| = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega(y, s)|^q (1 + |y|)^\eta dy ds < \infty, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $q \in (0, \frac{3+\eta}{1+\alpha})$  with  $\alpha > -1, \eta > -3$ . Then,  $\Omega = 0$ . Therefore if we further assume  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} V(y, s) = 0 \forall s \in [0, S_0]$ , then  $V = 0$ .

Note that case of  $\eta = 0$  of the above theorem corresponds to the part (i)(b) of Theorem 1.3. We now introduce more general notion of the asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up for the 3D incompressible Euler equations. Let  $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  be a solution to (E), which blows up at  $t = 0$ . We say that  $t = 0$  is a time for *asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up* if there exist  $\alpha > -1$  and a solenoidal vector field  $\bar{V} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$ , which is a time periodic solution to (1.7), and satisfies the following convergence conditions:

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} (-t) \left\| \nabla v(\cdot, t) + \frac{1}{t} \nabla \bar{V} \left( \frac{\cdot}{(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}, -\log(-t) \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

and there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{-\varepsilon_0 < t < 0} (-t)^{\frac{3-\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \left\| v(\cdot, t) - \frac{1}{(-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} \bar{V} \left( \frac{\cdot}{(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}, -\log(-t) \right) \right\|_{L^1} < \infty. \quad (1.11)$$

The following is a generalization of the corresponding results in [2, 3].

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $v \in C([-T, 0]; H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))$ ,  $m > 5/2$ , be a classical solution to (E), which blows up at  $t = 0$ . Then,  $t = 0$  is not a time for asymptotically discretely self-similar blow-up if the blow-up profile  $\bar{V} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  satisfies either the conditions (i) (a) or (i)(b) of Theorem 1.3, or the conditions (1.8)-(1.9) of Theorem 1.4, together with the condition  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |V(y, s)| = 0$ .*

## 2 Proof of the main theorems

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** We recall the main result in [18], saying that  $t = 0$  is the blow-up time for the solution  $v \in C(-\infty, 0; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$  only if

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3} = \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

By the discrete self-similarity there exists  $0 < \lambda \neq 1$  such that

$$\lambda^k v(\lambda^k x, \lambda^{2k} t) = v(x, t) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence,

$$\|v(\cdot, \lambda^{2k} t)\|_{L^3} = \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3} \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Passing  $k \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , while passing  $k \rightarrow -\infty$  if  $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$ , one has for  $t_k = \lambda^{2k} t$ ,

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3} = \lim_{t_k \rightarrow 0} \|v(\cdot, t_k)\|_{L^3} = \infty \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, 0),$$

which is contradiction to the fact that  $v \in C(-\infty, 0; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we shall use the following result, which is Theorem 1.1 of [11].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q \in [3/2, \infty]$ . Suppose  $v$  is a suitable weak solution of (NS) in a cylinder, say  $Q = B(0, r_1) \times (-r_1^2, 0)$  for some  $r_1 > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $\eta = \eta(q) > 0$  such that if*

$$\limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \left\{ r^{\frac{q-3}{q}} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{-r^2 < t < 0} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(B(0, r))} \right\} \leq \eta, \quad (2.3)$$

then  $v$  is Hölder continuous both in space and time variables near  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{3+1}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** We use the self-similar variables  $y = x/\sqrt{-t}$ ,  $s = -\log(-t)$ , and transform  $(v, p) \rightarrow (V, P)$  as previously,

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}} V\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{-t}}, -\log(-t)\right), \quad p(x, t) = -\frac{1}{t} P\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{-t}}, -\log(-t)\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Then, substituting  $(v, p)$  into (NS), we find that  $(V, P)$  satisfies (1.3). We observe that the condition (1.4) for some  $R \in (0, \infty)$  is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} (-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t < \tau < 0} \left\| v(\cdot, \tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tau}} \bar{V}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{-\tau}}, -\log(-\tau)\right) \right\|_{L^q(B(0, R\sqrt{-\tau}))} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $R \in (0, \infty)$  (see e.g. the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.5, pp. 446, [2]), which implies, in terms of  $V$ , that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|V(\cdot, s) - \bar{V}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^q(B(0, R))} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $R \in (0, \infty)$ . We now show that this implies that  $\bar{V} \in C_t^1 C_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  is a solution to (1.3). Let  $\xi \in C_0^1(0, S_0)$  and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} \phi = 0$ . We multiply the first equations of (1.3) by  $\xi(s - S_0 n)\phi(y)$ , and integrate it over  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [n, n + S_0]$ , and then we integrate by parts to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi_s(s)\phi(y) \cdot V(y, s + S_0 n) dy ds - \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(s)V(y, s + S_0 n) \cdot \phi(y) dy ds \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(s)V(y, s + S_0 n) \cdot (y \cdot \nabla)\phi(y) dy ds \\ & - \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(s)[V(y, s + S_0 n) \cdot (V(y, s + S_0 n) \cdot \nabla)\phi(y)] dy ds \\ & = \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(s)V(y, s + S_0 n) \cdot \Delta\phi(y) dy ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly we multiply the second equations of (1.3) by  $\xi(s - S_0 n)\psi(y)$  with  $\psi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and integrate it over  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [n, n + S_0]$ , we have

$$\int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(s + S_0 n) \cdot \nabla\psi(y)\xi(s) dy ds = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Passing to the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.7)-(2.8), and observing that  $V(\cdot, s + S_0 n) \rightarrow \bar{V}(\cdot, s)$  in  $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $q \in [2, \infty]$ , we find that  $\bar{V} \in C_s^1 C_y^2(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$  satisfies (2.7) and (2.8). Integrating by part in (2.7) and (2.8) for  $\bar{V}$  respectively, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{S_0} \left[ \bar{V}_s + \frac{1}{2}\bar{V} + \frac{1}{2}(y \cdot \nabla)\bar{V} + (\bar{V} \cdot \nabla)\bar{V} - \Delta\bar{V} \right] \cdot \phi(y)\xi(s) dy ds = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

for all vector test function  $\phi \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} \phi = 0$ , and  $\xi \in C_0^1(0, S_0)$ , and also for all  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  we have

$$\int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\operatorname{div} \bar{V}]\psi(y)\xi(s) dy ds = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore there exists  $\bar{P} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, S_0])$  such that

$$\bar{V}_s + \frac{1}{2}\bar{V} + \frac{1}{2}(y \cdot \nabla)\bar{V} + (\bar{V} \cdot \nabla)\bar{V} - \Delta\bar{V} = -\nabla\bar{P}, \quad \operatorname{div} \bar{V} = 0.$$

Since  $\bar{V}$  is a  $C(\mathbb{R}^3; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$  solution of (1.3) satisfying the periodic condition,  $\bar{V}(y, s) = \bar{V}(y, s + S_0)$ , we find that  $\bar{V} = 0$  by Theorem 1.1. Therefore, the assumption (1.4) is reduced to

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} \left\{ (-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t < \tau < 0} \|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^q(B(3, R\sqrt{-t}))} \right\} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $R \in (0, \infty)$ . We set  $R = 1$  and  $\sqrt{-t} = r$  in (2.11), then we obtain

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left\{ r^{\frac{q-3}{q}} \sup_{-r^2 < \tau < 0} \|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^q(B(0,r))} \right\} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we find that the space-time point  $(0, 0)$  is not a blow-up space-time point.  $\square$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we recall the following blow-up criterion for the Euler equations, which corresponds to Lemma 2.1 for the Navier-Stokes equations.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $m > 5/2$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $v \in C((-\varepsilon, 0); H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be a classical solution to (E). Suppose the following inequality holds*

$$\limsup_{t \uparrow 0} (-t) \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} < 1, \quad (2.13)$$

then there exists no blow-up at  $t = 0$ .

For the proof see the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [3](see also [12] for similar result with different approach).

**Proof of Theorem 1.5** We transform the solution  $(v, p) \rightarrow (V, P)$  as in (1.6). Then,  $(V, P)$  satisfies (1.7). The convergence conditions (1.10)-(1.11) can be written in the self-similar form as

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla V(\cdot, s) - \nabla \bar{V}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\sup_{-\log(\varepsilon_0) < s < \infty} \|V(\cdot, s) - \bar{V}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1} < \infty \quad (2.15)$$

respectively. From (2.14) and (2.15), using the interpolation for the  $L^p$  spaces, we have that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|V(\cdot, s) - \bar{V}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2(B_R)} = 0 \quad \forall R > 0, \quad (2.16)$$

and repeating the argument of the proof of Theorem 1.2 word by word, we find that  $\bar{V}$  is  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, S_0))$  solution of (1.7), satisfying the time periodicity and one of the conditions (i) (a) or (i)(b) of Theorem 1.3, or the conditions (1.8)-(1.9) of Theorem 1.4, together with the condition  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} |V(y, s)| = 0$ . Therefore  $\bar{V} = 0$ , and the condition (1.10) reduces to

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 0} (-t) \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

Thanks Lemma 2.2 we can conclude that  $t = 0$  is not a blow-up time.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** We consider the vorticity equation of (1.7),

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_s + \Omega + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} (y \cdot \nabla) \Omega + (V \cdot \nabla) \Omega - (\Omega \cdot \nabla) V = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} V = 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} V = \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

We introduce a cut-off function  $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\sigma(|x|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

and  $0 \leq \sigma(x) \leq 1$  for  $1 < |x| < 2$ . For each  $R > 0$ , we define  $\sigma_R(x) := \sigma\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)$ . Given  $\rho > 0$ , we also define a function  $\psi = \psi_\rho(y)$  as follows.

$$\psi_\rho(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |y| > \rho + \pi \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(|y| - \rho - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \rho < |y| \leq \rho + \pi \\ 0, & \text{if } |y| \leq \rho. \end{cases}$$

We take  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, S_0])$  inner product the first equations of (2.17) by  $\Omega|\Omega|^{q-2}|y|^\eta\psi_\rho\sigma_R$ , and integration by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(1 - \frac{3 + \eta}{q(\alpha + 1)}\right) \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho \sigma_R dy ds - \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta (y \cdot \nabla) \psi_\rho \sigma_R dy ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho (y \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dy ds - \frac{\eta}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q V \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} |y|^{\eta-1} \psi_\rho \sigma_R dy ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta (V \cdot \nabla) \psi_\rho \sigma_R dy ds - \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho (V \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dy ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Omega \cdot \nabla) V \cdot \Omega |\Omega|^{q-2} |y|^\eta \psi_\rho \sigma_R dy ds \\ &:= I_1 + \cdots + I_7, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where the periodicity of  $V(y, s)$  and  $\Omega(y, s)$  in  $s$  was used. We first observe that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \leq \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q (1 + |y|)^\eta dy ds < \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem in the following.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{R(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{R \leq |y| \leq 2R\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho |y| dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{R \leq |y| \leq 2R\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$



as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_6| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{qR} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{R \leq |y| \leq 2R\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho |V| dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{qR} \sup_{R \leq |y| \leq 2R} \sup_{s \in [0, S_0]} \frac{|V(y, s)|}{|y|} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{R \leq |y| \leq 2R\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho |y| dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{2\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{q} \sup_{R \leq |y| \leq 2R} \sup_{s \in [0, S_0]} \frac{|V(y, s)|}{|y|} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{R \leq |y| \leq 2R\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Here we used the following simple observation: Let  $0 \neq y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then,

$$V(y, s) = V(y_1, s) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\tau} V(\tau y + (1-\tau)y_1) d\tau = V(y_1, s) + \int_0^1 y \cdot \nabla V(\tau y + (1-\tau)y_1) d\tau,$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{|V(y, s)|}{|y|} \leq \frac{|V(y_1, s)|}{|y_1|} + \sup_{0 < \tau < 1} |\nabla V(\tau y + (1-\tau)y_1, s)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty,$$

which follows from the hypothesis (1.8). Therefore, passing  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and applying the dominated convergence theorem to the other terms of (2.19), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(1 - \frac{3+\eta}{q(\alpha+1)}\right) \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta (y \cdot \nabla) \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\quad - \frac{\eta}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q V \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} |y|^{\eta-1} \psi_\rho dy ds - \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta (V \cdot \nabla) \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Omega \cdot \nabla) V \cdot \Omega |\Omega|^{q-2} |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&:= J_1 + \dots + J_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Under our hypothesis we have  $J_1 \leq 0$ . Since  $\psi_\rho(y)$  is radially non-decreasing, we also have  $J_2 \leq 0$ , and

$$J_2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{\rho \leq |y| \leq \rho+\pi\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^{\eta+1} \psi'_\rho dy ds. \tag{2.24}$$

We have

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{|\eta|}{q} \sup_{|y| \geq \rho, s \in [0, S_0]} \frac{|V(y, s)|}{|y|} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \leq \frac{1}{4} |J_1| \tag{2.25}$$

for sufficiently large  $\rho$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_4| &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta |V| \psi'_\rho dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q} \sup_{|y| \geq \rho, s \in [0, S_0]} \frac{|V(y, s)|}{|y|} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\{\rho \leq |y| \leq \rho+\pi\}} |\Omega|^q |y|^{\eta+1} \psi'_\rho dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} |J_2|
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

for sufficiently large  $\rho$ . For  $J_5$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_5| &\leq \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla V| |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\leq \sup_{|y| \geq \rho, s \in [0, S_0]} |\nabla V(y, s)| \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} |J_1|
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

for sufficiently large  $\rho$ . Taking into account the estimates (2.25)-(2.27) in (2.23), we find that there exists  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3 + \eta}{q(\alpha + 1)} - 1 \right) \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta \psi_\rho dy ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^{S_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Omega|^q |y|^\eta (y \cdot \nabla) \psi_\rho dy ds
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

for all  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ . Hence,  $\Omega(y, s) = 0$  for all  $(y, s) \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |y| > \rho_0\} \times [0, S_0]$ . Applying Theorem 1.3 (i)(a), we conclude  $\Omega = 0$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to the anonymous referees for useful suggestions. This research is supported partially by NRF Grant 2006-0093854 and 2009-0083521.

## References

- [1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **94**, (1984), pp. 61-66.
- [2] D. Chae, *Nonexistence of asymptotically self-similar singularities in the Euler and the NavierStokes equations*, Math. Ann., **338**, (2007), pp. 435-449.
- [3] D. Chae, K. Kang and J. Lee, *Notes on the asymptotically self-similar singularities in the Euler and the Navier-stokes equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. , **25**, no. 4, (2009), pp. 1181-1193.
- [4] D. Chae and R. Shyvdkoy *On formation of a locally self-similar collapse in the incompressible Euler equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **209**, no. 3, (2013), pp. 999-1017.
- [5] D. Chae and T-P. Tsai, *On discretely self-similar solutions of the Euler equations*, Math. Res. Lett., **21**, no.3 (2014), pp. 1-11.
- [6] P. Constantin, C. Fefferman and A. Majda, *Geometric constraints on potential singularity formulation in the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. P.D.E., **21**, (3-4), (1996), pp. 559-571.

- [7] J. Eggers and M. A. Fontelos, *The role of self-similarity in singularities of partial differential equations*, Nonlinearity, **22**, (2009), pp. 1-44.
- [8] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin and V. Šverák,  *$L_{3,\infty}$ -Solution to the Navier-Stokes Equations and Backward Uniqueness*, Russ. Math. Survey, **58**, no. 2, (2003), pp. 211-250.
- [9] Y. Giga and R.V. Kohn, *Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38**, (1985), pp. 297-319.
- [10] Y. Giga and R.V. Kohn, *Characterizing blow-up using similarity variables*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **36**, (1987), pp. 1-40.
- [11] S. Gustafson, K. Kang and T-P. Tsai, *Regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary*, J. Diff. Eqns, **226**, no. 2, (2006), pp. 594-618.
- [12] T. Hou and R. Li, *Nonexistence of locally self-similar blow-up for the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. , **18**, no. 4, (2007), pp. 637-642. Math. Z., **187**, (1984), pp. 471-480.
- [13] A. Majda and A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge Univ. Press. (2002).
- [14] J. R. Miller, M. O’Leary and M. Schonbeck, *Nonexistence of singular pseudo-self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*, Math. Ann., **319**, no. 4, (2001), pp. 809-815.
- [15] J. Nečas, M. Ružička and V. Šverák, *On Leray’s self-similar solution of the Navier-stokes equations*, Acta Math., **176**, no. 2, (1996), pp. 283-294.
- [16] R. Pelz, *Symmetry and hydrodynamic blow-up problem*, J. Fluid Mech., **444**, pp. 299-320.
- [17] M. Schonbek, *Nonexistence of pseudo-self-similar solutions to incompressible Euler equations*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B, Engl. Ed. **31**, no. 6, (2011), pp. 2305-2312.
- [18] G. Seregin, *A certain necessary condition of potential blow-up for Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **312**, no.3, (2012), pp. 833-845.
- [19] T-P. Tsai, *Forward Discrete Self-Similar Solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **328**, no. 1, (2014), pp. 29-44.
- [20] T-P. Tsai, *On Leray’s self-similar solution of the Navier-stokes equations satisfying local energy estimates*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **143**, no. 1, (1998), pp. 29-51.