

# ON CHARACTERIZATION OF POISSON INTEGRALS OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH BMO TRACES

XUAN THINH DUONG, LIXIN YAN AND CHAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Schrödinger operator of the form  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$  acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where the nonnegative potential  $V$  belongs to the reverse Hölder class  $B_q$  for some  $q \geq n$ . Let  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the BMO space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  associated to the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . In this article we will show that a function  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the trace of the solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = -u_{tt} + \mathcal{L}u = 0$ ,  $u(x, 0) = f(x)$ , where  $u$  satisfies a Carleson condition

$$\sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-n} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq C < \infty.$$

Conversely, this Carleson condition characterizes all the  $\mathbb{L}$ -harmonic functions whose traces belong to the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This result extends the analogous characterization founded by Fabes, Johnson and Neri in [11] for the classical BMO space of John and Nirenberg.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the main result	1
2. Basic properties of the heat and Poisson semigroups of Schrödinger operators	4
3. Proof of the Main Theorem	9
3.1. Existence of boundary values of $\mathbb{L}$ -harmonic functions	9
3.2. The characterization of $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of Carleson measure	17
4. The spaces $\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and their characterizations	21
References	24

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Consider the Laplace operator  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}^2$  on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A basic tool in harmonic analysis to study a (suitable) function  $f(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is to consider a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  which has the boundary value as  $f(x)$ . A standard choice for such a harmonic function is the Poisson integral  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(x)$  and one recovers  $f(x)$  when letting  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . In other words, one obtains  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(x)$  as the solution of the equation  $u_{tt} + \Delta u = 0$ ,  $u(x, 0) = f(x)$ . This approach is intimately related to the study of singular integrals. For the classical case  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we refer the reader to Chapter 2 of the standard textbook [24].

At the end-point space  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the study of singular integrals has a natural substitution, the BMO space, i.e. the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. A celebrated theorem of Fefferman and Stein [14] states that a BMO function is the trace of the solution of  $\partial_{tt}u + \Delta u =$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B35, 42B37, 35J10, 47F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Poisson integrals, Schrödinger operators, BMO space, Lipschitz space, Carleson measure, reverse Hölder inequality, Dirichlet problem.

0,  $u(x, 0) = f(x)$ , whenever  $u$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-n} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq C < \infty,$$

where  $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \partial_t)$ . Expanding on this result, Fabes, Johnson and Neri [11] showed that condition (1.1) characterizes all the harmonic functions whose traces are in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The study of this topic has been widely extended to more general operators such as elliptic operators (instead of the Laplacian) and for domains other than  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such as Lipschitz domains. See for examples [4, 12, 13, 18].

The main aim of this article is to study a similar characterization to (1.1) for the Schrödinger operators with appropriate conditions on its potentials. Let us consider the Schrödinger operator

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V(x) \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 3.$$

As to the nonnegative potential  $V$ , we assume that it is not identically zero and that  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n/2$ , which by definition means that  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $V \geq 0$ , and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy,$$

holds for all balls  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}$  generates the  $\mathcal{L}$ -Poisson semigroup  $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}_{t>0}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since the potential  $V$  is nonnegative, the semigroup kernels  $\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)$  of the operators  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$  satisfy

$$0 \leq \mathcal{P}_t(x, y) \leq p_t(x - y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t > 0$ , where

$$p_t(x - y) = c_n \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \quad c_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}}$$

is the kernel of the classical Poisson semigroup  $\{P_t\}_{t>0} = \{e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}\}_{t>0}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , it is well known that the Poisson extension  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a solution to the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{L}u = -u_{tt} + \mathcal{L}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$$

with the boundary data  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see Remark 3.3 for  $p = \infty$  below). The equation  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  is understood in the weak sense, that is,  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  is a weak solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  if it satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi dY + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} Vu\psi dY = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}).$$

In the sequel, we call such a function  $u$  an  $\mathbb{L}$ -harmonic function associated to the operator  $\mathbb{L}$ .

As mentioned above, we are interested in deriving the characterization of the solution to the equation  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  having boundary values with BMO data. Following [10], a locally integrable function  $f$  belongs to  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever there is constant  $C \geq 0$  so that

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - f_B| dy \leq C$$

for every ball  $B = B(x, r)$ , and

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \leq C$$

for every ball  $B = B(x, r)$  with  $r \geq \rho(x)$ . Here  $f_B = |B|^{-1} \int_B f(x) dx$  and the critical radii above are determined by the function  $\rho(x; V) = \rho(x)$  which takes the explicit form

$$(1.7) \quad \rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We define  $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  to be the smallest  $C$  in the right hand sides of (1.5) and (1.6). Because of (1.6), this  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  space is in fact a proper subspace of the classical BMO space of John and Nirenberg, and it turns out to be a suitable space in studying the case of the end-point estimates for  $p = \infty$  concerning the boundedness of some classical operators associated to  $\mathcal{L}$  such as the Littlewood-Paley square functions, fractional integrals and Riesz transforms (see [1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 17, 19]).

The following theorem is the main result of this article.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n$ . We denote by  $\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  the class of all  $C^1$ -functions  $u(x, t)$  of the solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  such that*

$$(1.8) \quad \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 = \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-n} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt < \infty,$$

where  $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \partial_t)$ . Then we have

(1) *If  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , then there exists some  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $u = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f$ , and*

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}$$

*with some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $u$  and  $f$ .*

(2) *If  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the function  $u = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f$  satisfies estimates (1.8) with  $\|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \approx \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .*

We should mention that for the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.2), an important property of the  $B_q$  class, proved in [15, Lemma 3], assures that the condition  $V \in B_q$  also implies  $V \in B_{q+\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and that the  $B_{q+\epsilon}$  constant of  $V$  is controlled in terms of the one of  $B_q$  membership. This in particular implies  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $q$  strictly greater than  $n/2$ . However, in general the potential  $V$  can be unbounded and does not belong to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . As a model example, we could take  $V(x) = |x|^2$ . Moreover, as noted in [21], if  $V$  is any nonnegative polynomial, then  $V$  satisfies the stronger condition

$$\max_{x \in B} V(x) \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy,$$

which implies  $V \in B_q$  for every  $q \in (1, \infty)$  with a uniform constant.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary results including the kernel estimates of the heat and Poisson semigroups of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  spaces associated to the Schrödinger operators and certain properties of  $\mathbb{L}$ -harmonic functions. In Section 3, we will prove our main result, Theorem 1.1. The proof of part (1) follows a similar method to that of [11], which depends heavily on three non-trivial results: Alaoglu's Theorem on the weak-\* compactness of the unit sphere in the dual of a Banach space, the duality theorem asserting that the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the dual space of  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and some specific properties of the Hardy space  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the Carleson measure. For part (2), we will prove it by making use of the full gradient

estimates on the kernel of the Poisson semigroup in the  $(x, t)$  variables under the assumption on  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n$ . This improves previously known results (see [6, 10, 17, 19]) which characterize the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of Carleson measure which are only related to the gradient in the  $t$  variable. In Section 4, we will extend the method for the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in Section 3 to obtain some generalizations to Lipschitz-type spaces  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Throughout the article, the letters “ $c$ ” and “ $C$ ” will denote (possibly different) constants that are independent of the essential variables.

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE HEAT AND POISSON SEMIGROUPS OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

In this section, we begin by recalling some basic properties of the critical radii function  $\rho(x)$  under the assumption (1.3) on  $V$  (see Section 2, [10]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . There exist  $C > 0$  and  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1}\rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-k_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq C\rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}}.$$

*In particular,  $\rho(x) \sim \rho(y)$  when  $y \in B(x, r)$  and  $r \leq c\rho(x)$ .*

It follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.8 in [21] that there is a constant  $C_0$  such that for a nonnegative Schwartz class function  $\varphi$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_t(x-y)V(y)dy \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\delta} & \text{for } t \leq \rho(x)^2, \\ C \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}\right)^{C_0+2-n} & \text{for } t > \rho(x)^2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n/2}\varphi(x/\sqrt{t})$ , and  $\delta = 2 - \frac{n}{q} > 0$ .

Let  $\{e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\}_{t>0}$  be the heat semigroup associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$(2.3) \quad e^{-t\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

From the Feynman-Kac formula, it is well-known that the kernel  $\mathcal{K}_t(x, y)$  of the semigroup  $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$  satisfies the estimate

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{K}_t(x, y) \leq h_t(x-y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t > 0$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad h_t(x-y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}$$

is the kernel of the classical heat semigroup  $\{T_t\}_{t>0} = \{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This estimate can be improved in time when  $V \not\equiv 0$  satisfies the reverse Hölder condition  $B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . The function  $\rho(x)$  arises naturally in this context.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [10]). *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . For every  $N > 0$  there exist the constants  $C_N$  and  $c$  such that for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$ ,*

(i)

$$0 \leq \mathcal{K}_t(x, y) \leq C_N t^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N} \quad \text{and}$$

(ii)

$$|\partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)| \leq C_N t^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N}.$$

Kato-Trotter formula (see for instance [9]) asserts that

$$h_t(x - y) - \mathcal{K}_t(x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_s(x - z) V(z) \mathcal{K}_{t-s}(z, y) dz ds.$$

Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [9]). *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . There exists a nonnegative Schwartz function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$|h_t(x - y) - \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)| \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta \varphi_t(x - y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n/2} \varphi(x/\sqrt{t})$  and  $\delta = 2 - \frac{n}{q} > 0$ .

The Poisson semigroup associated to  $\mathcal{L}$  can be obtained from the heat semigroup (2.2) through Bochner's subordination formula (see [23]):

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4u}\mathcal{L}} f(x) du \\ (2.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s}}}{s^{3/2}} e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), the semigroup kernels  $\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)$ , associated to  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$ , satisfy the following estimates. For its proof, we refer to [19, Proposition 3.6].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . For any  $0 < \beta < \min\{1, 2 - \frac{n}{q}\}$  and every  $N > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C = C_N$  such that*

$$(i) \quad |\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)| \leq C \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N};$$

(ii) For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|t^m \partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(x, y)| \leq C \frac{t^m}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+m}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N};$$

$$(iii) \quad |t \partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^\beta \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-N}.$$

Recall that a Hardy-type space associated to  $\mathcal{L}$  was introduced by J. Dziubański et al. in [8, 9, 10], defined by

$$(2.7) \quad H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{P}^* f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\mathcal{P}^* f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the above class of potentials,  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  admits an atomic characterization, where cancellation conditions are only required for atoms with small supports. It can be verified that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , for fixed  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(x, \cdot) \in H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(x, \cdot)\|_{H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct^{-m}.$$

Indeed, from (ii) of Lemma 2.4 we have that for a fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s>0} |e^{-s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(t^m \partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(\cdot, y))(x)| &\leq C \sup_{s>0} \left( \frac{t^m}{(t+s)^m} \frac{(t+s)^m}{(t+s+|x-y|)^{n+m}} \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{t}{(t+|x-y|)^{n+1}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx), \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with the fact that  $\partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(x, \cdot) = \partial_t^m \mathcal{P}_t(\cdot, x)$ , shows estimate (2.8).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n/2$ . Then the dual space of  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.,*

$$(H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

*Proof.* For the proof, we refer to [10, Theorem 4]. See also [6, 17].  $\square$

In the sequel, we may sometimes use capital letters to denote points in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , e.g.,  $Y = (y, t)$ , and set

$$\nabla_Y u(Y) = (\nabla_y u, \partial_t u) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_Y u|^2 = |\nabla_y u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2.$$

For simplicity we will denote by  $\nabla$  the full gradient  $\nabla_Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We now recall a Moser type local boundedness estimate (see for instance, [17]) and include a proof here for the sake of self-containment.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose  $0 \leq V \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $q > n/2$ . Let  $u$  be a weak solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  in the ball  $B(Y_0, 2r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then for any  $p \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(n, p) > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{B(Y_0, r)} |u(Y)| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \int_{B(Y_0, 2r)} |u(Y)|^p dY \right)^{1/p}.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $u^2$  is a subharmonic function. Since for any  $\varphi \in C_0^1(B(Y_0, 2r))$  with  $\varphi \geq 0$ , we have that  $\int V \varphi u^2 dY \leq C_r \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(B(Y_0, 2r))} < \infty$  (see for instance, [16, Lemma 3.3]). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \nabla u^2 \cdot \nabla \varphi dY \\ &= 2 \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u\varphi) dY - 2 \int \varphi |\nabla u|^2 dY \\ &= -2 \int V \varphi u^2 dY - 2 \int \varphi |\nabla u|^2 dY \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows readily.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n/2$ . Assume that  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a weak solution of  $(-\Delta + V)u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also assume that there is a  $d > 0$  such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+d}} dx \leq C_d < \infty.$$

Then  $u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Fix an  $R \geq 10$ , we let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 3R/4))$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi = 1$  on  $B(0, 5R/8)$ , and  $|\nabla\varphi| \leq C/R$ ,  $|\nabla^2\varphi| \leq C/R^2$ . Following [22, Lemma 6.1], one writes

$$(-\Delta + V)(u\varphi) = -2\nabla u \cdot \nabla\varphi - u\Delta\varphi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then we have

$$u(x)\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_V(x, y) \{-2\nabla u \cdot \nabla\varphi - u\Delta\varphi\} dy,$$

where  $\Gamma_V(x, y)$  denotes the fundamental solution of  $-\Delta + V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, for any  $x \in B(0, R/2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \frac{C}{R} \int_{5R/8 \leq |y| \leq 3R/4} |\Gamma_V(x, y)| \left( |\nabla u(y)| + \frac{|u(y)|}{R} \right) dy \\ (2.10) \quad &\leq \frac{C}{R^2} \left\{ \int_{5R/8 \leq |y| \leq 3R/4} |\Gamma_V(x, y)|^2 dy \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{B(0, R)} |u(y)|^2 dy \right\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality and Caccioppoli's inequality.

From the upper bound of  $\Gamma_V(x, y)$  in [21, Theorem 2.7], we have that for every  $k > d$  and every  $x \in B(0, R/2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{5R/8 \leq |y| \leq 3R/4} |\Gamma_V(x, y)|^2 dy &\leq C_k \int_{5R/8 \leq |y| \leq 3R/4} \left| \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^k} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \right|^2 dy \\ (2.11) \quad &\leq C_k \rho(x)^{2k} R^{-2k-n+4}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the condition  $B_{n/2}$  implies  $V \in B_{q_0}$  for some  $q_0 > n/2$ . It follows from Lemma 2.9 of [22] that  $|u(y)| \leq |B|^{-1} \int_B |u(z)| dz$  if  $B = B(y, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (see also Lemma 2.6). Then we have

$$\int_{B(0, R)} |u(y)|^2 dy \leq CR^n \left( \frac{C}{R^n} \int_{B(0, 2R)} |u(y)| dy \right)^2 \leq CR^{n+2d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y)|}{1 + |y|^{n+d}} dy \right)^2 \leq CC_d^2 R^{n+2d}.$$

This, in combination with (2.10) and (2.11), yields that for every  $x \in B(0, R/2)$ ,

$$|u(x)| \leq C'_k C_d \rho(x)^k R^{d-k}.$$

Letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain that  $u(x) = 0$  and therefore,  $u = 0$  in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** For any  $d \geq 0$ , one writes

$$\mathcal{H}_d(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{L}f = 0 \text{ and } |f(x)| = O(|x|^d) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigcup_{d: 0 \leq d < \infty} \mathcal{H}_d(\mathcal{L}).$$

By Lemma 2.7, it follows that for any  $d \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{H}_d(\mathcal{L}) = \{0\}.$$

See also Proposition 6.5 of [6].

At the end of this section, we establish the following characterization of Poisson integrals of Schrödinger operators with functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq (n+1)/2$ . If  $u$  is a continuous weak solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and there exist a constant  $C > 0$  and a  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , such that*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C < \infty$$

for all  $t > 0$ , then

- (1) when  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $u(x, t)$  is the Poisson integral of a function  $f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (2) if  $p = 1$ ,  $u(x, t)$  is the Poisson-Stieltjes integral of a finite Borel measure; if, in addition,  $u(\cdot, t)$  is Cauchy in the  $L^1$  norm as  $t > 0$  then  $u(x, t)$  is the Poisson integral of a function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of Proposition 2.9 is standard (see for instance, Theorem 2.5, Chapter 2 in [24]). We give a brief argument of this proof for completeness and the convenience of the reader.

If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = 0$ , and a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $u(\cdot, t_k)$  converges weakly to  $f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, for each  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y, t_k) g(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) g(y) dy.$$

If  $p = 1$  there exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  that is the weak-\* limit of a sequence  $\{u(\cdot, t_k)\}$ . That is, for each  $g$  in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y, t_k) g(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) d\mu(y).$$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t > 0$ , we take  $g(y) = p_t(x, y) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 \leq p' \leq \infty$  and also belongs to  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have, in particular,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x, y) u(y, t_k) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy$$

when  $1 < p < \infty$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x, y) u(y, t_k) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

when  $p = 1$ .

Since  $u$  is continuous,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(x, t + t_k) = u(x, t_k)$ . It is well known that if  $u(\cdot, t_k) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}(u(\cdot, t_k)) = u(x, t_k)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Set  $w(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}(u(\cdot, t_k))(x) - u(x, t + t_k)$ . The function  $w$  satisfies  $\mathbb{L}w = 0$ ,  $w(x, 0) = 0$ . Define,

$$\bar{w}(x, t) = \begin{cases} w(x, t), & t \geq 0, \\ -w(x, -t), & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{w}$  satisfies

$$\bar{\mathbb{L}}\bar{w}(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{L}}$  is an extension operator of  $\mathbb{L}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Observe that if  $V(x) \in B_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $q \geq (n+1)/2$ , then it can be verified that  $V(x, t) = V(x) \in B_q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . To apply Lemma 2.7, we need to verify (2.9). Indeed,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{|\bar{w}(x, t)|}{1 + |(x, t)|^{n+3}} dx dt \leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(x, t)|}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx \right) dt$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+t^2} \left( \sup_{t>0} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_p \right) dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{t>0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so (2.9) holds. By Lemma 2.7, we have that  $\bar{w} \equiv 0$ , and then  $w = 0$ , that is,

$$u(x, t + t_k) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, t_k))(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$u(x, t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t + t_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, t_k))(x) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x)$$

when  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_t(x, y) d\mu(y)$  when  $p = 1$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

#### 3.1. Existence of boundary values of $\mathbb{L}$ -harmonic functions.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a constant  $C_k > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, 1/k)|^2}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} dx \leq C_k < \infty,$$

hence  $u(x, 1/k) \in L^2((1+|x|)^{-2n} dx)$ . Therefore for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)$  exists everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , it reduces to show that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{|u(x, 1/k) - u(x/|x|, 1/k)|^2}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} dx \leq C_k \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 < \infty.$$

To do this, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &u(x, 1/k) - u(x/|x|, 1/k) \\ &= [u(x, 1/k) - u(x, |x|)] + [u(x, |x|) - u(x/|x|, |x|)] + [u(x/|x|, |x|) - u(x/|x|, 1/k)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{|u(x, 1/k) - u(x, |x|)|^2}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} dx, \\ II &= \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{|u(x, |x|) - u(x/|x|, |x|)|^2}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$III = \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{|u(x/|x|, |x|) - u(x/|x|, 1/k)|^2}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} dx.$$

Set  $Y_0 = (x, t)$  and  $r = t/4$ . We use Lemma 2.6 for  $\partial_t u$  and Schwarz's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t u(x, t)| &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \int_{B(Y_0, 2r)} |\partial_s u(y, s)|^2 dY \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \int_{B(x, t/2)} \int_{t/2}^{3t/2} |\partial_s u(y, s)|^2 ds dy \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq Ct^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, 2t)|} \int_0^{2t} \int_{B(x, 2t)} s |\partial_s u(y, t)|^2 dy ds \right)^{1/2} \\
(3.2) \quad &\leq Ct^{-1} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.3) \quad |u(x, |x|) - u(x, 1/k)| = \left| \int_{1/k}^{|x|} \partial_t u(x, t) dt \right| \leq C \log(k|x|) \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I + III &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^{2n}} \log^2(k|x|) dx \\
&\leq C_k \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term  $II$ , we have that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$u(x, |x|) - u(x/|x|, |x|) = \int_1^{|x|} D_r u(r\omega, |x|) dr, \quad x = |x|\omega.$$

Let  $B = B(0, 1)$  and  $2^m B = B(0, 2^m)$ . Note that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{2^m B \setminus 2^{m-1} B} \left| \int_1^{|x|} |D_r u(r\omega, |x|)| dr \right|^2 dx &= \int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \int_{|\omega|=1} \left| \int_1^\rho D_r u(r\omega, \rho) dr \right|^2 \rho^{n-1} d\omega d\rho \\
&\leq 2^{mn} \int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \int_{|\omega|=1} \int_1^{2^m} |D_r u(r\omega, \rho)|^2 dr d\omega d\rho \\
&\leq 2^{mn} \int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \int_{2^m B \setminus B} |\nabla_y u(y, t)|^2 |y|^{1-n} dy dt \\
&\leq 2^{mn} \int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \int_{2^m B} |\nabla_y u(y, t)|^2 dy dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{2^m B \setminus 2^{m-1} B} |u(x, |x|) - u(x/|x|, |x|)|^2 dx &\leq C 2^{m(2n-1)} \left( \frac{1}{|2^m B|} \int_0^{2^m} \int_{2^m B} |t \nabla_y u(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t} \right) \\
&\leq C 2^{m(2n-1)} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$II \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2mn}} \int_{2^m B \setminus 2^{m-1} B} |u(x, |x|) - u(x/|x|, |x|)|^2 dx \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2.$$

Combining estimates of  $I$ ,  $II$  and  $III$ , we have obtained (3.1).

Note that by Lemma 2.4, if  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n/2$ , then the semigroup kernels  $\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)$ , associated to  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$ , decay faster than any power of  $1/|x-y|$ . Hence, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)$  exists everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , we have that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$u(x, t + 1/k) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $u(x, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(x, t + 1/k) = u(x, 1/k)$ . Let us first show that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x) = u(x, 1/k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

One writes

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x) &= e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k)\mathbb{1}_{|x-\cdot|>1})(x) \\ &\quad + (e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} - e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}})(u(\cdot, 1/k)\mathbb{1}_{|x-\cdot|\leq 1})(x) + e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(u(\cdot, 1/k)\mathbb{1}_{|x-\cdot|\leq 1})(x) \\ &= I(x, t) + II(x, t) + III(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have that  $u(x, 1/k) \in L^1((1 + |x|)^{-2n}dx)$ . From Lemma 2.4, estimates of the semigroup kernels  $\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)$ , associated to  $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$ , show that

$$I(x, t) \leq Ct\rho(x)^n \int_{|x-y|>1} \frac{1}{1 + |x-y|^{2n+1}} |u(y, 1/k)| dy \leq Ct\rho(x)^n (1 + |x|^{2n}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{2n}} |u(y, 1/k)| dy,$$

hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} I(x, t) = 0$ .

For the term  $II(x, t)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists a nonnegative Schwartz function  $\varphi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} II(x, t) &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{t}{s^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) |\mathcal{K}_s(x, y) - h_s(x-y)| |u(y, 1/k)| dy ds \\ &\leq C \|u(\cdot, 1/k)\|_{L^\infty(B(x, 1))} \left[ \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t}{s^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta \varphi_s(x-y) dy ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\rho(x)^2}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t}{s^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) h_s(x-y) dy ds \right] \\ &\leq Ct\rho(x)^{-1} \|u(\cdot, 1/k)\|_{L^\infty(B(x, 1))}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} II(x, t) = 0$ . Finally, we can follow a standard argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.25, Chapter 1 of [24] to show that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(u(\cdot, 1/k)\mathbb{1}_{|x-\cdot|\leq 1})(x) = u(x, 1/k)$ , and so (3.4) holds.

Next, we follow an argument as in Proposition 2.9 to set  $w(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x) - u(x, t + 1/k)$ . The function  $w$  satisfies  $\mathbb{L}w = 0$ ,  $w(x, 0) = 0$ . Define,

$$\bar{w}(x, t) = \begin{cases} w(x, t), & t \geq 0, \\ -w(x, -t), & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{w}$  satisfies

$$\bar{\mathbb{L}}\bar{w}(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{L}}$  is an extension operator of  $\mathbb{L}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Observe that if  $V(x) \in B_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $q \geq n$ , then it can be verified that  $V(x, t) = V(x) \in B_q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Next, let us verify (2.9). One writes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{|\bar{w}(x, t)|}{1 + |(x, t)|^{4(n+1)}} dx dt &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)|}{1 + (|x|^2 + t^2)^{2(n+1)}} dx dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{|u(x, 1/k)|}{1 + (|x|^2 + t^2)^{2(n+1)}} dx dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{|u(x, t + 1/k) - u(x, 1/k)|}{1 + (|x|^2 + t^2)^{2(n+1)}} dx dt = IV + V + VI. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if  $t \geq 1$ , then by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)|}{(1+|x|^2)^{2n}} dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{4n}} \frac{t\rho(x)^{2n}}{(t+|x-y|)^{3n+1}} dx \right) |u(y, 1/k)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{2n}} \frac{t}{(t+|x-y|)^{3n+1}} dx \right) |u(y, 1/k)| dy \\ &\leq C(t+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y, 1/k)|}{1+|y|^{2n}} dy \leq C_k(t+1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$IV \leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)|}{(1+|x|^2)^{4n}} dx \right) dt \leq C_k \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} dt \leq C'_k.$$

If  $t \leq 1$ , then  $IV \leq C_k$  can be verified by using condition  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4. By Lemma 3.1, we have that  $V \leq C_k$ . For term VI, we use (3.2) to obtain that  $|\partial_t u(x, t)| \leq C/t$ , and then

$$|u(x, t + 1/k) - u(x, 1/k)| = \left| \int_{1/k}^{t+1/k} \partial_s u(x, s) ds \right| \leq C \log(1+kt),$$

which gives

$$VI \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{\log(1+kt)}{1+(|x|^2+t^2)^{2(n+1)}} dx dt \leq C_k.$$

Estimate (2.9) then follows readily.

By Lemma 2.7, we have that  $\bar{w} \equiv 0$ , and then  $w = 0$ , that is,

$$u(x, t + 1/k) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n/2$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ . Using a similar argument as in (3.4), we have that for every  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

- (i)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f(x) = f(x)$ , a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) = f(x)$ , a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For the heat and Poisson integrals of the harmonic oscillator  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + |x|^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we refer to Remarks 2.9-2.11, [25]. See also [20].

From (ii), it follows from an argument as in Proposition 2.9 that for  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq (n+1)/2$ , if  $u$  is a continuous weak solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  with  $\sup_{t>0} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C < \infty$ , then  $u(x, t)$  is the Poisson integral of a function  $f$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

From now on, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$u_k(x, t) = u(x, t + 1/k).$$

Following an argument as in [11, Lemma 1.4], we have

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  (depending only on  $n$ ) such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-n} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\partial_t u_k(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B = B(x_B, r_B)$ . If  $r_B \geq 1/k$ , then letting  $s = t + 1/k$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_B} \int_B t |\partial_t u(x, t + 1/k)|^2 dx dt &\leq C \int_0^{2r_B} \int_{2B} s |\partial_s u(x, s)|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C |B| \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If  $r_B < 1/k$ , then it follows from Lemma 2.6 for  $\partial_t u(x, t + 1/k)$  and a similar argument as in (3.2) that

$$|\partial_t u(x, t + 1/k)| \leq C(t + k^{-1})^{-1} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |B|^{-1} \int_0^{r_B} \int_B t |\partial_t u(x, t + 1/k)|^2 dx dt &\leq C |B|^{-1} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 \int_0^{r_B} \int_B t(t + k^{-1})^{-2} dx dt \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 (k^2 \int_0^{r_B} t dt) \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $r_B < 1/k$ .

By taking the supremum over all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we complete the proof of (3.5).  $\square$

Letting  $f_k(x) = u(x, 1/k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$u_k(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f_k)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

Recall that a measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  is said to be a Carleson measure if there is a positive constant  $c$  such that for each ball  $B$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \mu(\widehat{B}) \leq c|B|,$$

where  $\widehat{B}$  is the tent over  $B$ . The smallest bound  $c$  in (3.6) is defined to be the norm of  $\mu$ , and is denoted by  $\|\mu\|_{\text{car}}$ .

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\mu_{\nabla_t, f_k}(x, t) = |t \partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f_k)(x)|^2 \frac{dx dt}{t}$$

is a Carleson measure with  $\|\mu_{\nabla_t, f_k}\|_{\text{car}} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$  such that*

$$\|f_k\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C < \infty, \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_k$  is uniformly bounded in  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The proof of Lemma 3.5 was given in [10, Theorem 2]; see also [6, 17, 19]. These arguments depend on three non-trivial results: the duality theorem that  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ , Carleson inequality on tent spaces (see [3, Theorem 1]) and some special properties of the space  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In the sequel, we are going to give a direct proof of Lemma 3.5 which is independent of these results such as the duality of  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  mentioned above (we thank Jie Xiao for this observation).

To prove Lemma 3.5, we need to establish the following Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.

Given a function  $f \in L^2((1 + |x|)^{-2n} dx)$  and an  $L^2$  function  $g$  supported on a ball  $B = B(x_B, r_B)$ , for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , set

$$(3.7) \quad F(x, t) = t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G(x, t) = t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g(x).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose  $f, g, F, G$  are as in (3.7). If  $f$  satisfies*

$$\|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car}^2 = \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-n} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t|\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 dx dt < \infty,$$

then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |F(x, t)G(x, t)| \frac{dx dt}{t} \leq C|B|^{1/2} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)}.$$

*Proof.* To prove (3.8), let us consider the square function  $\mathcal{G}f$  given by

$$\mathcal{G}(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the spectral theory, the function  $\mathcal{G}(f)$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now, given a ball  $B = B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with radius  $r_B$ , we put

$$T(B) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : x \in B, 0 < t < r_B\}.$$

We then write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |F(x, t)G(x, t)| \frac{dx dt}{t} \\ &= \int_{T(2B)} |F(x, t)G(x, t)| \frac{dx dt}{t} + \sum_{k=2}^\infty \int_{T(2^k B) \setminus T(2^{k-1} B)} |F(x, t)G(x, t)| \frac{dx dt}{t} \\ &= A_1 + \sum_{k=2}^\infty A_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality and  $L^2$ -boundedness of  $\mathcal{G}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2r_B} |t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2B)} \|\mathcal{G}(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq Cr_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq Cr_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate  $A_k$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &\leq \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} |t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2^k B)} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} |t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g(x)\chi_{T(2^{k+1}B) \setminus T(2^k B)}|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2^k B)} \\ &\leq C(2^k r_B)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \times B_k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_k = \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} |t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g(x)\chi_{T(2^{k+1}B) \setminus T(2^k B)}|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2^k B)}.$$

To estimate  $B_k$ , we set

$$\Psi_{t,s}(\mathcal{L})h(y) = (t+s)^2 \left( \frac{d^2 e^{-r\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=t+s} h \right)(y).$$

Note that

$$(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g = \int_0^{r_B} s \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} e^{-s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} g \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Let  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4)$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &\leq C \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} \left| \int_0^{r_B} \frac{ts}{(t+s)^2} \Psi_{t,s}(\mathcal{L})g(x) \chi_{T(2^{k+1}B) \setminus T(2^k B)} \frac{ds}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2^k B)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} \left| \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} \frac{ts}{(t+s)^2} \frac{(t+s)^\epsilon}{(t+s+|x-y|)^{n+\epsilon}} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times |g(y)| \chi_{T(2^{k+1}B) \setminus T(2^k B)}(x) \frac{dys}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2(2^k B)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $x \in T(2^{k+1}B) \setminus T(2^k B)$  and  $y \in B$ , we have that  $|x-y| \geq 2^k r_B$ . The inequality

$$\frac{ts(t+s)^\epsilon}{(t+s)^2} \leq C \min((ts)^{\epsilon/2}, t^{-\epsilon/2} s^{3\epsilon/2}),$$

together with Hölder's inequality and elementary integration, produces a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &\leq C(2^k r_B)^{-(n+\epsilon)+\frac{n}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(B)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} \left| \int_0^{r_B} \min((ts)^{\epsilon/2}, t^{-\epsilon/2} s^{3\epsilon/2}) \frac{ds}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(2^k r_B)^{-(n+\epsilon)+\frac{n}{2}} r_B^{\frac{n}{2}+\epsilon} \|g\|_{L^2(B)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_0^{2^k r_B} \left| \int_0^{r_B} \min((ts)^{\epsilon/2}, t^{-\epsilon/2} s^{3\epsilon/2}) \frac{ds}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{r_B} \left| \int_0^{r_B} (ts)^{\epsilon/2} \frac{ds}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} + \left\{ \int_{r_B}^\infty \left| \int_0^{r_B} t^{-\epsilon/2} s^{3\epsilon/2} \frac{ds}{s} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq Cr_B^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$A_k \leq C2^{-k\epsilon} r_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |F(x, t)G(x, t)| \frac{dxdt}{t} &\leq Cr_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)} + C \sum_{k=2}^\infty 2^{-k\epsilon} r_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_f\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)} \\ &\leq Cr_B^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \|g\|_{L^2(B)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose  $B, f, g, F, G$  are defined as in Lemma 3.6. If  $\|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} < \infty$ , then we have the equality:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)(I - e^{-r_B\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}})g(x)dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} F(x, t)G(x, t) \frac{dxdt}{t}.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows by making minor modifications to that of [7, Proposition 4], and so we skip it here. See also [6, 10, 19].  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.5.* First, we have an equivalent characterization of  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f \in L^2((1 + |x|)^{-(n+\epsilon)} dx)$  and

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_B \left( |B|^{-1} \int_B |f(x) - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C < \infty.$$

This can be obtained by making minor modifications to that of [6, Proposition 6.11] (see also [5, 17]) corresponding to the case in which the function  $e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f$  is replaced by  $e^{-r_B^2 \mathcal{L}} f$ , and we omit the detail here.

Now if  $\|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty$ , then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_k(x)|^2}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx \leq C_k < \infty.$$

Given an  $L^2$  function  $g$  supported on a ball  $B = B(x_B, r_B)$ , it follows by Lemma 3.7 that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x) (I - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}) g(x) dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t \partial_t e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f_k(x) t \partial_t e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} (I - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}) g(x) \frac{dx dt}{t}.$$

By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x) (I - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}) g(x) dx \right| &\leq C |B|^{1/2} \|\mu_{\nabla_t, f_k}\|_{\text{car}} \|g\|_{L^2(B)} \\ &\leq C |B|^{1/2} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \|g\|_{L^2(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the duality argument for  $L^2$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( |B|^{-1} \int_B |f_k(x) - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f_k(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} &= |B|^{-1/2} \sup_{\|g\|_{L^2(B)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}) f_k(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &= |B|^{-1/2} \sup_{\|g\|_{L^2(B)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x) (I - e^{-r_B \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}) g(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$ .

It then follows that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_k$  is uniformly bounded in  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1.* Letting  $f_k(x) = u(x, 1/k)$ , it follows by Lemma 3.2 that  $u(x, t + 1/k) = e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f_k)(x)$  and so

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}u(x, t + 1/k) = \mathcal{L}e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f_k)(x).$$

Then we have the following facts:

- (i)  $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space;
- (ii) For each  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial_t^2 \mathcal{P}_t(x, \cdot) \in H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|\partial_t^2 \mathcal{P}_t(x, \cdot)\|_{H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C/t^2$  (see (2.8));
- (iii) The duality theorem that  $(H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Lemma 2.5).

From (i), we use Lemma 3.5 and pass to a subsequence, we have that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  (in weak-\* convergence) for some  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}$ . Then by (ii) and (iii), we conclude that, for each  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , the right-hand side of (3.10) converges to  $\mathcal{L}e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the left-hand side of (3.10) converges pointwisely to  $\mathcal{L}u(x, t)$ . Hence, for a fixed  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}w(x, t) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$



where  $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)$ . Next, let us verify (2.9). Letting  $k$  small enough such that  $t > 2/k$ , one writes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w(x, t)|}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, 1/k)|}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(x, 1/k)|}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)|}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx \leq I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have that  $I \leq C_k$ . For term  $II$ , we use (3.2) to obtain that  $|\partial_t u(x, t)| \leq C/t$ , and then

$$|u(x, t) - u(x, 1/k)| = \left| \int_{1/k}^t \partial_s u(x, s) ds \right| \leq C \log(kt),$$

which gives

$$II \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\log(kt)}{1 + |x|^{2n}} dx \leq C \log(kt).$$

Consider the term  $III$ . For a fixed  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set  $f_B = t^{-n} \int_{B(x,t)} f(y) dy$ . It can be verified by a standard argument (see [10, Theorem 2]) that  $|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |f_B|$ . By Lemma 2 of [10], we have that  $|f_B| \leq C(1 + \log[\rho(x)/t]) \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  if  $t < \rho(x)$ ;  $|f_B| \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  if  $t \geq \rho(x)$ . It then follows by Lemma 2.1 that there is a constant  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left( 1 + \log \left[ 1 + \frac{C\rho(0)}{t} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|}{\rho(0)} \right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \right] \right)$$

for every  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which yields

$$III \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{2n}} \left( 1 + \log \left[ 1 + \frac{C\rho(0)}{t} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|}{\rho(0)} \right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \right] \right) dx \leq C_t < \infty.$$

Estimate (2.9) then follows readily.

By Lemma 2.7, we have that  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)$  with  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1 is complete.  $\square$

**3.2. The characterization of  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of Carleson measure.** To prove part (2) of Theorem 1.1, we need the following Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n$ . Let  $\beta = 1 - \frac{n}{q}$ . For every  $N > 0$ , there exist constants  $C = C_N > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t > 0$ , the semigroup kernels  $\mathcal{K}_t(x, y)$ , associated to  $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ , satisfy the following estimates:*

$$(3.11) \quad |\nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)| + |\sqrt{t} \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)| \leq C t^{-(n+1)/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)} \right)^{-N},$$

and for  $|h| < |x - y|/4$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad |\nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x + h, y) - \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x, y)| \leq C \left( \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^\beta t^{-(n+1)/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 3.8 is inspired by ideas developed in [21]. Let  $\Gamma_0(x, y)$  denote the fundamental solution for the operator  $-\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well-known that

$$\Gamma_0(x, y) = -\frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega(n)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

where  $\omega(n)$  is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix  $t > 0$  and  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $\partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = 0$ . Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 2R))$  such that  $\eta = 1$  on  $B(x_0, 3R/2)$ ,  $|\nabla\eta| \leq C/R$  and  $|\nabla^2\eta| \leq C/R^2$ . Following an argument as in Lemma 4.6 of [21], we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x)\eta(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_0(x, y) \{-\Delta\}(u\eta)(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_0(x, y) \{-Vu\eta - \eta\partial_t u - 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla\eta - u\Delta\eta\} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_0(x, y) \{-Vu\eta - \eta\partial_t u - u\Delta\eta\} dy \\ (3.13) \quad &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla\Gamma_0(x, y) \cdot (\nabla\eta) u dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $x \in B(x_0, R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x u(x)| &\leq C \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} \frac{V(y)|u(y)||\eta(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \\ &\quad + C \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} \frac{|\partial_t u(y)||\eta(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy + \frac{C}{R^{n+1}} \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} |u(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.8 in [21] that there exist  $C$  and  $m_0 > 0$  such that for all  $R > 0$ ,

$$\int_{B(x_0, 2R)} \frac{V(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \leq \frac{C}{R^{n-1}} \int_{B(x_0, 2R)} V(y) dy \leq \frac{C}{R} \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{m_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\nabla_x u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{R} \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |u(y)| \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{m_0} + 1 \right\} + CR \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |\partial_t u(y)|, \quad \forall x \in B(x_0, R).$$

Let us prove (3.11). We take  $u = \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)$  and  $R = |x_0 - y_0|/8$ . If  $x \in B(x_0, 2R)$ , then  $|x - y_0| \sim |x_0 - y_0|$ . Using Lemma 2.2, we have that for any  $N > m_0 + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x_0, y_0)| &\leq \frac{C}{R} \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |\mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)| \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{m_0} + 1 \right\} + R \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |\partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)| \\ &\leq \frac{C_N}{R} t^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - y_0|^2}{ct}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x_0)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y_0)}\right)^{-N} \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{m_0} + 1 + \frac{R^2}{t} \right\} \\ (3.14) \quad &\leq C'_N t^{-(n+1)/2} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - y_0|^2}{c_1 t}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x_0)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y_0)}\right)^{-N+m_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired result. Now, letting  $u = \sqrt{t}\partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)$ , we use a similar argument as in (3.14) to obtain estimate for the term  $|\sqrt{t}\nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x_0, y_0)|$  in (3.11).

To prove (3.12), we follow an argument as in Remark 4.10 and (6.6) of [21]. It follows from (3.13) that

$$\|\nabla_x^2(u\eta)\|_q \leq CR^{(n/q)-2} \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{m_0} + 1 \right\} \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |u| + CR^{(n/q)} \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |\partial_t u|.$$

To see (3.12), we fix  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|h| < |x_0 - y_0|/4$ . Let  $u = \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)$  and  $R = |x_0 - y_0|/8$ . It then follows from the imbedding theorem of Morrey that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x_0 + h, y_0) - \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_t(x_0, y_0)| &\leq C|h|^{1-(n/q)} \left( \int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla_x^2 \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{|h|}{R} \right)^{1-(n/q)} \frac{1}{R} \left\{ \left( \frac{R}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^m + 1 \right\} \left( \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |\mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)| + \frac{R^2}{t} \sup_{B(x_0, 2R)} |t \partial_t \mathcal{K}_t(x, y_0)| \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{|h|}{R} \right)^{1-(n/q)} t^{-(n+1)/2} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - y_0|^2}{ct}} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{1-(n/q)} t^{-(n+1)/2} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - y_0|^2}{ct}}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (3.12) follows readily.  $\square$

Turning to the Poisson semigroup  $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}_{t>0}$ , we have

**Lemma 3.9.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q > n$ . Let  $\beta = 1 - \frac{n}{q}$ . For every  $N > 0$ , there exist constants  $C = C_N > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad |t \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_t(x, y)| &\leq C \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left( 1 + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(y)} \right)^{-N}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{For all } |h| \leq |x - y|/4, \end{aligned}$$

$$|t \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_t(x + h, y) - t \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_t(x, y)| \leq C \left( \frac{|h|}{t} \right)^\beta \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}};$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{There is some } \delta > 1 \text{ such that } |t \nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)| \leq C \min \left\{ \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x)} \right)^\delta, \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-N} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The proofs of (i) and (ii) follow from the subordination formula (2.6) and Lemma 3.8. To prove (iii), we consider two cases.

*Case 1:*  $t > \rho(x)$ . We use (i) to obtain

$$|t \nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)| \leq C \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-N}.$$

*Case 2:*  $t \leq \rho(x)$ . In this case, it follows from the subordination formula (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |t \nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)| &\leq C \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4u}\right) |\sqrt{u} \nabla_x e^{-u\mathcal{L}}(1)(x)| du \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4u}\right) |\sqrt{u} \nabla_x e^{-u\mathcal{L}}(1)(x)| du \\ &\quad + C \int_{\rho(x)^2}^\infty \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4u}\right) |\sqrt{u} \nabla_x e^{-u\mathcal{L}}(1)(x)| du \\ &= I_1(x) + I_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$I_2(x) \leq C \int_{\rho(x)^2}^\infty \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^2 du \leq C \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x)} \right)^2.$$

Consider the term  $I_1(x)$ . We apply Kato-Trotter formula to obtain

$$h_u(x-y) - \mathcal{K}_u(x,y) = \int_0^u \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_s(x-z)V(z)\mathcal{K}_{u-s}(z,y)dzds,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{u}\nabla_x e^{-u\mathcal{L}}(1)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^u \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{u}\nabla_x h_s(x-z)V(z)\mathcal{K}_{u-s}(z,y)dzdsdy \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^u \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-z|^2}{cs}\right)V(z)dzds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.2) that for  $s \leq \rho(x)^2$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cs}\right)V(y)dy \leq \frac{C}{s} \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta,$$

where  $\delta = 2 - \frac{n}{q} > 1$ . This implies that

$$|\sqrt{u}\nabla_x e^{-u\mathcal{L}}(1)(x)| \leq C \int_0^u \left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^{1/2} s^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta ds \leq C \left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1(x) \leq C \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4u}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta du \leq C \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta.$$

Combining the estimates of *Case 1* and *Case 2*, we obtain (iii).  $\square$

To prove part (2) of Theorem 1.1, we recall that the Carleson measure is closely related to the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We note that for every  $f \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$(3.15) \quad \mu_{\nabla_t, f}(x, t) = t|\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)|^2 dxdt$$

is a Carleson measure with  $\|\mu_{\nabla_t, f}\|_{car} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$  (see [6, 19]).

*Proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1.* Recall that the condition  $V \in B_n$  implies  $V \in B_{q_0}$  for some  $q_0 > n$ . From Lemmas 2.4 and 3.9, we see that  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ . Let us fix a ball  $B = B(x_B, r_B)$ . From (3.15), it suffices to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{r_B} \int_B |t\nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} \leq C|B|\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

To do this, we split the function  $f$  into local, global, and constant parts as follows

$$f = (f - f_{2B})\chi_{2B} + (f - f_{2B})\chi_{(2B)^c} + f_{2B} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3,$$

where  $2B = B(x_B, 2r_B)$ .

Since the Riesz transform  $\nabla\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_B} \int_B |t\nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f_1(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} &= \int_0^{r_B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_x \mathcal{L}^{-1/2} t\mathcal{L}^{1/2} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f_1(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t\mathcal{L}^{1/2} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f_1(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} \\ &\leq C\|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &= C \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_{2B}|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C|B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

To estimate the global term, we use (i) of Lemma 3.9 and then the standard argument as in Theorem 2 of [10] shows that for  $x \in B$  and  $t < r_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |t\nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f_2(x)| &\leq C \int_{(2B)^c} |f(y) - f_{2B}| \frac{t}{|x_B - y|^{n+1}} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{t}{(2^k r_B)^{n+1}} \left[ \int_{2^k B \setminus 2^{k-1} B} |f(y) - f_{2^k B}| dy + (2^k r_B)^n |f_{2^k B} - f_{2B}| \right] \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{t}{r_B} \right) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \left[ \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + k \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \leq C \left( \frac{t}{r_B} \right) \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_0^{r_B} \int_B |t\nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f_2(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} \leq C|B| r_B^{-2} \int_0^{r_B} t dt \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C|B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

It remains to estimate the constant term  $f_3 = f_{2B}$ , for which we make use of (i) and (iii) of Lemma 3.9. Assume first that  $r_B \leq \rho(x_B)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\rho(x) \sim \rho(x_B)$  for  $x \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_B} \int_B |t\nabla_x e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f_3(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} &\leq |f_{2B}|^2 \int_0^{r_B} \int_B (t/\rho(x))^{2\delta} \frac{dxdt}{t} \\ &\leq C|B| |f_{2B}|^2 (r_B/\rho(x_B))^{2\delta} \\ &\leq C|B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{\rho(x_B)}{r_B}\right)^2 (r_B/\rho(x_B))^{2\delta} \\ (3.16) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq C|B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose finally that  $r_B > \rho(x_B)$ , we use an argument as in Theorem 2 of [10] to select a finite family of critical balls  $\{Q_k\}$  such that  $B \subset \cup Q_k$  and  $\sum |Q_k| \leq |B|$ . Then, using the fact that  $|f_{2B}| \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ , we can bound the left hand side of (3.16) by

$$\begin{aligned} C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}}^2 \sum_k \left( \int_0^{\rho(x_k)} \int_{Q_k} \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x_k)} \right)^{2\delta} \frac{dxdt}{t} + \int_{\rho(x_k)}^{\infty} \int_{Q_k} \left( \frac{t}{\rho(x_k)} \right)^{-2N} \frac{dxdt}{t} \right) \\ \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \sum_k |Q_k| \leq C|B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

#### 4. THE SPACES $\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ AND THEIR CHARACTERIZATIONS

In this section we will extend the method for the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in Section 3 to obtain some generalizations to Lipschitz-type spaces  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (see [1]).

Let us recall that a locally integrable function  $f$  in  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \qquad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

and

$$(4.2) \qquad |f(x)| \leq C\rho(x)^{\alpha}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The norm of  $f$  in  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\rho(x)^{-\alpha} f(x)|.$$

Because of (4.2), this space  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is in fact a proper subspace of the classical Lipschitz space  $\Lambda^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [1, 11, 19]). Following [1], a locally integrable function  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is in  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_B |f(y) - f_B| dy \leq Cr^{n+\alpha}$$

for every ball  $B = B(x, r)$ , and

$$(4.4) \quad \int_B |f(y)| dy \leq Cr^{n+\alpha}$$

for every ball  $B = B(x, r)$  with  $r \geq \rho(x)$ . Define

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \{C : C \text{ satisfies (4.3) and (4.4)}\}.$$

It is proved in [1, Proposition 4] that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We denote by  $\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  the class of all  $C^1$ -functions  $u(x, t)$  of the solution of  $\mathbb{L}u = -u_t + \mathcal{L}u = 0$  such that*

$$(4.5) \quad \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 = \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-(n+2\alpha)} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt < \infty.$$

Then we have

- (1) *If  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ , then there exist some  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)$ , and  $\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}$ .*
- (2) *If  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  with  $\|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \approx \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .*

Part (2) of Theorem 4.1 is a straightforward result from the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $V \in B_q$  for some  $q \geq n$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $f$  is a function such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 + |x|)^{n+\alpha+\varepsilon}} dx < \infty$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (2) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|t \nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct^\alpha;$$

- (3)  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  with  $\|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \approx \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . One writes

$$\begin{aligned} t \nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t \nabla \mathcal{P}_t(x, z) (f(z) - f(x)) dz + f(x) t \nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x) \\ &= I(x) + II(x). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(x)| &\leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t \nabla \mathcal{P}_t(x, z)| |x - z|^\alpha dz \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t |x - z|^\alpha}{(t + |x - z|)^{n+1}} dz \\ &\leq C t^\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term  $II(x)$ , we consider two cases.

*Case 1:*  $\rho(x) \leq t$ . In this case we use Lemma 3.9 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |II(x)| &\leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rho(x)^\alpha |t \nabla e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)| \\ &\leq C t^\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2:*  $\rho(x) > t$ . By Lemma 3.9, there exists a  $\delta > 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |II(x)| &\leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rho(x)^\alpha \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rho(x)^\alpha \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^\alpha \\ &= C t^\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with estimate of  $I(x)$ , yields  $\|t \nabla e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C t^\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). For every ball  $B = B(x_B, r_B)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_B} \int_B |t \nabla e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x)|^2 dx dt &\leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \int_0^{r_B} \int_B t^{2\alpha-1} dt dx \\ &\leq C r_B^{n+2\alpha} \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $u(x, t) = e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ .

The proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is a direct consequence of [19, Theorem 1.3]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

To prove part (1) of Theorem 4.1, we need some preliminary results.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a constant  $C_{k,\alpha} > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, 1/k)|^2}{1 + |x|^{2n+1}} dx \leq C_{k,\alpha} < \infty,$$

and so  $u(x, 1/k) \in L^2((1 + |x|)^{-(2n+1)} dx)$ . Hence for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e^{-t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)$  exists everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . For every  $u \in \text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$  such that*

$$\|u(\cdot, 1/k)\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

Hence for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u(x, 1/k)$  is uniformly bounded in  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The proof of Lemma 4.3 is analogous to that of Lemma 3.1. For Lemma 4.4, similar arguments as in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 show that  $u(x, t + 1/k) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)$  satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{x_B, r_B} r_B^{-(n+2\alpha)} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{B(x_B, r_B)} t |\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(u(\cdot, 1/k))(x)|^2 dx dt \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This, together with [19, Theorem 1.3], shows that  $u(x, 1/k) \in \text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and then the norm of  $u(x, 1/k)$  in the space  $\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is less than the left hand side of (4.6). We omit the detail here.

*Proof of part (1) Theorem 4.1.* By using Lemma 2.6 for  $\partial_t u(x, t + 1/k)$  and a similar argument as in (3.2) we show that

$$|\partial_t u(x, t)| \leq C t^{\alpha-1} \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})},$$

and hence if  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)| &= \left| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \partial_s u(x, s) ds \right| \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \left| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} s^{\alpha-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq C |t_1^\alpha - t_2^\alpha| \leq C |t_1 - t_2|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The family  $\{u(x, t)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence as  $t$  tends to zero and hence converges to some function  $f(x)$  everywhere.

Now we apply Lemma 4.4, and note that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|u(\cdot, 1/k)\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty.$$

Letting  $k$  tend to  $\infty$ , we conclude

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{\text{HMO}_{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})},$$

and hence  $u(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x)$ . This completes the proof of part (1) of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** X.T. Duong was supported by Australia Research Council (ARC). L. Yan was supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 10925106 and 11371378), Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Computational Science and Grant for Senior Scholars from the Association of Colleges and Universities of Guangdong. C. Zhang was supported by the General Financial Grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2013M531883). L.X. Yan would like to thank S. Hofmann, Z.W. Shen and J. Xiao for helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Weighted inequalities for negative powers of Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348** (2008), 12–27. [3](#), [21](#), [22](#)
- [2] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators acting on BMO type spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **357**(2009), 115–131. [3](#)
- [3] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E.M. Stein, Some new functions and their applications to harmonic analysis. *J. Funct. Analysis*, **62**(1985), 304–335. [13](#)
- [4] M. Dindos, C. Kenig and J. Pipher, BMO solvability and the  $A_\infty$  condition for elliptic operators. *J. Geom. Anal.* **21** (2011), 78–95. [2](#)
- [5] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation and applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **58** (2005), 1375–1420. [3](#), [16](#)
- [6] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**(2005), 943–973. [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [13](#), [15](#), [16](#), [20](#)



- [7] X. Duong, J. Xiao and L. Yan, Old and new Morrey spaces with heat kernel bounds. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **13** (2007), 87–111. [15](#)
- [8] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, Hardy space  $H^1$  associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **15** (1999), 279–296. [5](#)
- [9] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz,  $H^p$  spaces for Schrödinger operators, in: *Fourier Analysis and Related Topics* **56**, Banach Center Publ., Inst. Math., Polish Acad. Sci., Warszawa, 2002, 45–53. [5](#)
- [10] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz,  $BMO$  spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality. *Math. Z.* **249** (2005), 329–356. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [13](#), [15](#), [17](#), [21](#)
- [11] E. Fabes, R. Johnson and U. Neri, Spaces of harmonic functions representable by Poisson integrals of functions in  $BMO$  and  $L_{p,\lambda}$ . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 159–170. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [12](#), [22](#)
- [12] E. Fabes and U. Neri, Characterization of temperatures with initial data in  $BMO$ . *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 725–734. [2](#)
- [13] E. Fabes and U. Neri, Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains with  $BMO$  data. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 33–39. [2](#)
- [14] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137–195. [1](#)
- [15] F.W. Gehring, The  $L^p$ -integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping. *Acta Math.*, **130** (1973), 265–277. [3](#)
- [16] C.E. Guitierrez, Harnack’s inequality for degenerate Schrödinger operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **312** (1989), 403–419. [6](#)
- [17] S. Hofmann, G.Z. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L.X. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **214** (2011), no. 1007. [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [13](#), [16](#)
- [18] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and M. Mourgoglou,  $L^p$  and endpoint solvability results for divergence form elliptic equations with complex  $L^\infty$  coefficients, preprint. [2](#)
- [19] T. Ma, P. Stinga, J. Torrea and C. Zhang, Regularity properties of Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **388** (2012), 817–837. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [13](#), [15](#), [20](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#)
- [20] B. Muckenhoupt, Poisson integrals for Hermite and Laguerre expansions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 231–242. [12](#)
- [21] Z. Shen,  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45** (1995), 513–546. [3](#), [4](#), [7](#), [18](#)
- [22] Z. Shen, On fundamental solution of generalized Schrödinger operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **167** (1999), 521–564. [7](#)
- [23] E.M. Stein, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **63**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970. [5](#)
- [24] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970. [1](#), [8](#), [11](#)
- [25] K. Stempak and J.L. Torrea, Poisson integrals and Riesz transforms for Hermite function expansions with weights, *J. Funct. Anal.* **202** (2003), 443–472. [12](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* xuan.duong@mq.edu.au

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHONGSHAN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510275, PR CHINA

*E-mail address:* mcsylx@mail.sysu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG GONGSHANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310018, PR CHINA

*E-mail address:* zaoyangzhangchao@163.com