# SOME UNIFORME ESTIMATES FOR SCALAR CURVATURE TYPE EQUATIONS.

#### SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We consider the prescribed scalar curvature equation on an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Delta u = Vu^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + u^{n/(n-2)}$  with  $V \in C^{1,\alpha}$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) and we prove the inequality  $\sup_K u \times \inf_\Omega u \leq c$  where K is a compact set of  $\Omega$ .

In dimension 4, we have an idea on the supremum of the solution of the prescribed scalar curvature if we control the infimum. For this case we suppose the scalar curvature  $C^{1,\alpha}$ ,  $(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In our work, we denote  $\Delta = -\nabla^i \nabla_i$  the Laplace-Beltrami operator in dimension  $n \geq 2$ .

Here, we study some a priori estimates of type  $\sup \times \inf$  for prescribed scalar curvature equations in dimensions 4 and 5, also for perturbed scalar curvature equations in all dimension  $n \ge 3$ .

The  $\sup \times \inf$  inequality is caracteristic of those equations like the usual harnack inequalities for harmonic functions.

Note that, the prescribed scalar curvature equation was studied lot of. We can find, see for example, [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13] and [14], lot of results about uniform estimates in dimensions n=2 and  $n\geq 3$ .

In dimension 2, the corresponding equation is:

$$\Delta u = Ve^u \qquad (E_0)$$

Note that, Shafrir, see [14], have obtained an inequality of type  $\sup u + C \inf u < c$  with only  $L^{\infty}$  assumption on V.

To obtain exactly the estimate  $\sup u + \inf u < c$ , Brezis, Li and Shafrir gave a lipschitzian condition on V, see [3]. Later, Chen and Lin have proved that if V is uniformly hölderian we can obtain a  $\sup + \inf$  inequality, see [7].

In dimension  $n \geq 3$ , the prescribed curvature equation on general manifold M, is:

$$\Delta u + R_q u = V u^{(n+2)/(n-2)}$$
  $(E_0')$ 

When  $M = \mathbb{S}_n$ , Li, has proved a priori estimates for the solutions of the previous equation. He use the notion of simple isolated points and some flatness conditions on V, see [9] and [10].

If we suppose n=3,4, we can find in [12] and [13] uniforme estimates for the energy and a  $\sup \times \inf$  inequality. Note that, in [13], Li and Zhu have proved the compactness of the solutions of the Yamabe Problem by using the positive mass theorem.

In [2], we can see (on a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ) that we have an uniform estimate for the solutions of the equation  $(E_0')$  ( n=4 and euclidian case) if we control the infimum of those functions, with only Lipschitzian assumption on the prescribed scalar curvature V.

Here we extend some result of [2] to equations with nonlinear terms or with minimal condition on the prescribed scalar curvature.

For the eulidian case, Chen and Lin gave some a priori estimates for general equations:

1

$$\Delta u = V u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + g(u), \qquad (E_0'')$$

with some assumption on g and the Li-flatness conditions on V, see [6].

Here, we give some a priori estimates with some minimal conditions on the precribed curvature. First, for perturbed scalar curvature equation, in all dimensions  $n \geq 3$ . Second, for prescribed scalar curvature equation in dimensions 4 and 5.

Note that, we have no assumption on energy. In our work, we use the *blow-up* analysis, the *moving-plane* method. The moving-plane method was developed by Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg, see [8].

#### 2. MAIN RESULTS.

We consider the prescribed scalar curvature equation perturbed by a non linear term:

$$\Delta u = V u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + u^{n/(n-2)} \text{ on } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \qquad (E_1).$$
 Where  $V \in C^{1,\alpha}, \ 0 < \alpha \le 1, \ 0 < a \le V(x) \le b \text{ and } ||V||_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le A.$ 

We have,

**Theorem 1.** For all  $a, b, A, \alpha > 0$  ( $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ) and all compact set K of  $\Omega$ , there is a positive constant  $c = c(a, b, A, \alpha, K, \Omega, n)$  such that:

$$\sup_{K} u \times \inf_{\Omega} u \le c.$$

If we suppose  $V \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $V \ge a > 0$ , we have,

**Theorem 2.** For all a > 0, V and all compact K of  $\Omega$ , there is a positive constant  $c = c(a, V, K, \Omega, n)$  such that:

$$\sup_{K} u \times \inf_{\Omega} u \le c,$$

for all solution u of  $(E_1)$  relatively to V.

Now, we suppose n=4, and we consider the following equation (prescribed scalar curvature equation):

$$\Delta u = V u^3 \text{ on } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \qquad (E_2)$$

with 
$$0 < a \le V(x) \le b$$
 and  $||V||_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le A$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ .

We have:

**Theorem 3.** For all  $a, b, m, A, \alpha > 0$ ,  $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$  and all compact K of  $\Omega$ , there is a positive constant  $c = c(a, b, m, A, \alpha, K, \Omega)$  such that:

$$\sup_{K} u \le c \text{ if } \min_{\Omega} u \ge m.$$

If we suppose n=4 and  $V\in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $V\geq a>0$  on  $\Omega$ , we have:

**Theorem 4.** For all a, m > 0,  $V \in C^1(\Omega)$  and all compact  $K \in \Omega$ , there is a positive constant  $c = c(a, m, V, K, \Omega)$  such that:

$$\sup_{K} u \le c \text{ if } \min_{\Omega} u \ge m,$$

for all u solution of  $(E_2)$  relatively to V.

### 3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS.

### Proof of the Theorems 1 and 2.

#### Proof of the Theorem 1

Without loss of generality, we suppose  $\Omega = B_1$  the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We want to prove an a priori estimate around 0.

Let  $(u_i)$  and  $(V_i)$  be a sequences of functions on  $\Omega$  such that:

$$\Delta u_i=V_iu_i^{(n+2)/(n-2)}+u_i^{n/(n-2)},\ u_i>0,$$
 with  $0< a\leq V_i(x)\leq b$  and  $||V_i||_{C^{1,\alpha}}\leq A.$ 

We argue by contradiction and we suppose that the  $\sup \times \inf$  is not bounded.

We have:

 $\forall c, R > 0 \exists u_{c,R}$  solution of  $(E_1)$  such that:

$$R^{n-2} \sup_{B(0,R)} u_{c,R} \times \inf_{M} u_{c,R} \ge c, \qquad (H)$$

## **Proposition**:(blow-up analysis)

There is a sequence of points  $(y_i)_i$ ,  $y_i \to 0$  and two sequences of positive real numbers  $(l_i)_i$ ,  $(L_i)_i$ ,  $l_i \to 0$ ,  $L_i \to +\infty$ , such that if we set  $v_i(y) = \frac{u_i(y+y_i)}{u_i(y_i)}$ , we have:

$$0 < v_i(y) \le \beta_i \le 2^{(n-2)/2}, \ \beta_i \to 1.$$

$$v_i(y) \to \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$$
, uniformly on all compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . 
$$l_i^{(n-2)/2} u_i(y_i) \times \inf_{B_1} u_i \to +\infty,$$

# **Proof of the proposition:**

We use the hypothesis (H), we take two sequences  $R_i > 0$ ,  $R_i \to 0$  and  $c_i \to +\infty$ , such that,

$$R_i^{(n-2)} \sup_{B(0,R_i)} u_i \times \inf_{B_1} u_i \ge c_i \to +\infty,$$

Let  $x_i \in B(x_0, R_i)$  be a point such that  $\sup_{B(0,R_i)} u_i = u_i(x_i)$  and  $s_i(x) = (R_i - |x - x_i|)^{(n-2)/2} u_i(x), x \in B(x_i, R_i)$ . Then,  $x_i \to 0$ .

We have:

$$\max_{B(x_i, R_i)} s_i(x) = s_i(y_i) \ge s_i(x_i) = R_i^{(n-2)/2} u_i(x_i) \ge \sqrt{c_i} \to +\infty.$$

We set:

$$l_i = R_i - |y_i - x_i|, \ \bar{u}_i(y) = u_i(y_i + y), \ v_i(z) = \frac{u_i[y_i + (z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)})]}{u_i(y_i)}.$$

Clearly we have,  $y_i \to x_0$ . We also obtain:

$$L_i = \frac{l_i}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}} [u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)} = \frac{[s_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}} \ge \frac{c_i^{1/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}} = c_i^{1/2(n-2)} \to +\infty.$$

If 
$$|z| \le L_i$$
, then  $y = [y_i + z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}] \in B(y_i, \delta_i l_i)$  with  $\delta_i = \frac{1}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}}$  and  $|y - y_i| < R_i - |y_i - x_i|$ , thus,  $|y - x_i| < R_i$  and,  $s_i(y) \le s_i(y_i)$ . We can write:

$$u_i(y)(R_i - |y - y_i|)^{(n-2)/2} \le u_i(y_i)(l_i)^{(n-2)/2}.$$

But,  $|y-y_i| \le \delta_i l_i$ ,  $R_i > l_i$  and  $R_i - |y-y_i| \ge R_i - \delta_i l_i > l_i - \delta_i l_i = l_i (1-\delta_i)$ . We obtain,

$$0 < v_i(z) = \frac{u_i(y)}{u_i(y_i)} \le \left[\frac{l_i}{l_i(1-\delta_i)}\right]^{(n-2)/2} \le 2^{(n-2)/2}.$$

We set, 
$$\beta_i = \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta_i}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$$
, clearly, we have,  $\beta_i \to 1$ .

The function  $v_i$  satisfies:

$$\Delta v_i = \tilde{V}_i v_i^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + \frac{v_i^{n/(n-2)}}{[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}}$$

where,  $\tilde{V}_i(y) = V_i \left[ y + y/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)} \right]$ . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $\tilde{V}_i \rightarrow V(0) = n(n-2).$ 

We use the elliptic estimates, Ascoli and Ladyzenskaya theorems to have the uniform convergence of  $(v_i)$  to v on compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The function v satisfies:

$$\Delta v = n(n-2)v^{N-1}, \ v(0) = 1, \ 0 \le v \le 1 \le 2^{(n-2)/2},$$

By the maximum principle, we have v>0 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If we use Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck result, ( see [5]), we obtain,  $v(y)=\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$ . We have the same properties that in [2].

**Remark.** When we use the convergence on compact sets of the sequence  $(v_i)$ , we can take an increasing sequence of compact sets and we see that, we can obtain, a sequence  $(\epsilon_i)$  such that  $\epsilon_i \to 0$  and after we choose  $(\tilde{R}_i)$  such that  $\tilde{R}_i \to +\infty$  and finaly:

$$\tilde{R}_i^{n-2}||v_i - v||_{B(0,\tilde{R}_i)} \le \epsilon_i.$$

We can say that we are in the case of the step 1 of the theorem 1.2 of [6].

## **Fundamental Point:**(a consequence of the blow-up)

According to the work of Chen-Lin, see step 2 of the proof of the theorem 1.3 in [6], in the blow-up point, the prescribed scalar curvature V is such that:

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} |\nabla V_i(y_i)| = 0 \qquad (P_0)$$

# Polar Coordinates (Moving-Plane method)

Now, we must use the same method than in the Theorem 1 of [2]. We will use the movingplane method.

We must prove the lemma 2 of [2].

We set  $t \in ]-\infty, -\log 2]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ :

$$w_i(t,\theta) = e^{(n-2)t/2}u_i(y_i + e^t\theta), \text{ and } \bar{V}_i(t,\theta) = V_i(y_i + e^t\theta).$$

We consider the following operator  $L = \partial_{tt} - \Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ , with  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  the Laplace-Baltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ .

The function  $w_i$  satisfies the following equation:

$$-Lw_i = \bar{V}_i w_i^{N-1} + e^t \times w_i^{n/(n-2)}.$$

For  $\lambda < 0$ , we set :

$$t^{\lambda}=2\lambda-t\;w_i^{\lambda}(t,\theta)=w_i(t^{\lambda},\theta)\; {\rm and}\; \bar{V}_i^{\lambda}(t,\theta)=\bar{V}_i(t^{\lambda},\theta)$$

First, like in [2], we have the following lemma:

### Lemma 1:

Let  $A_{\lambda}$  be the following property:

$$A_{\lambda} = \{ \lambda \le 0, \ \exists \ (t_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}) \in ]\lambda, t_{i}] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}, \ \bar{w}_{i}^{\lambda}(t_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}) - \bar{w}_{i}(t_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}) \ge 0 \}.$$

Then, there is  $\nu \leq 0$ , such that for  $\lambda \leq \nu$ ,  $A_{\lambda}$  is not true.

**Remark:** Here we choose  $t_i = \log \sqrt{l_i}$ , where  $l_i$  is chooses as in the proposition.

Like in the proof of the Theorem 1 of [2], we want to prove the following lemma: Lemma 2:

For  $\lambda \leq 0$  we have :

$$w_i^{\lambda} - w_i \le 0 \Rightarrow -L(w_i^{\lambda} - w_i) \le 0,$$

on 
$$]\lambda, t_i] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$$
.

Like in [2], we have:

### A useful point:

$$\xi_i = \sup\{\lambda \leq \bar{\lambda}_i = 2 + \log \eta_i, w_i^{\lambda} - w_i < 0, \text{ on } |\lambda, t_i| \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}\}$$
. The real  $\xi_i$  exists.

First:

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = w_i[(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \log \eta_i - 2) + (\log \eta_i + 2)],$$

# **Proof of the Lemma 2:**

In fact, for each i we have  $\lambda = \xi_i \le \log \eta_i + 2$ ,  $(\eta_i = [u_i(y_i)]^{(-2)/(n-2)})$ .

Note that,

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = w_i[(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \log \eta_i - 2) + (\log \eta_i + 2)],$$

if we use the definition of  $w_i$  then for  $\xi_i \leq t$ :

$$w_i(2\xi_i-t,\theta) = e^{[(n-2)(\xi_i-t+\xi_i-\log\eta_i-2)]/2}e^{n-2}v_i[\theta e^2e^{(\xi_i-t)+(\xi_i-\log\eta_i-2)}] \leq 2^{(n-2)/2}e^{n-2} = \bar{c}.$$
 We know that,

$$-L(w_i^{\xi_i}-w_i) = [\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i}(w_i^{\xi_i})^{(n+2)/(n-2)} - \bar{V}_i w_i^{-(n+2)/(n-2)}] + [e^{t^{\xi_i}}(w_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} - e^t w_i^{-n/(n-2)}],$$

We denote by  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  the following terms:

$$Z_1 = (\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{V}_i)(w_i^{\xi_i})^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + \bar{V}_i[(w_i^{\xi_i})^{(n+2)/(n-2)} - w_i^{(n+2)/(n-2)}],$$

and,

$$Z_2 = e^{t^{\xi_i}} [(w_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} - w_i^{n/(n-2)}] + w_i^{n/(n-2)} (e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t).$$

Like in the proof of the Theorem 1 of [2], we have:

$$w_i^{\xi_i} \le w_i$$
 and  $w_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta) \le \bar{c}$  for all  $(t,\theta) \in [\xi_i, -\log 2] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ ,

where,  $\bar{c}$  is a positive constant independent of i and  $w_i^{\xi_i}$  for  $\xi_i \leq \log \eta_i + 2$ .

# The $(P_0)$ hypothesis:

Now we use  $(P_0)$ . We write:

$$|\nabla V_i(y_i + e^t \theta) - \nabla V_i(y_i)| \le Ae^{\alpha t},$$

Thus,

$$|V_i(y_i + e^{t^{\xi_i}}\theta) - V_i(y_i + e^t\theta) - \langle \nabla V_i(y_i) | \theta \rangle (e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t)| \le \frac{A}{1+\alpha} [e^{(1+\alpha)t^{\xi_i}} - e^{(1+\alpha)t}],$$

Then,

$$|V_i^{\xi_i} - V_i| \le |o(1)|(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}),$$

Thus.

$$Z_1 \le |o(1)|(w_i^{\xi_i})^{(n+2)/(n-2)}(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}})$$
 and  $Z_2 \le (w_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} \times (e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t)$ .

Then.

$$-L(w_i^{\xi_i} - w_i) \le (w_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} [(|o(1)| w_i^{\xi_i^{2/(n-2)}} - 1)(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}})] \le 0.$$

The lemma is proved.

We set:

$$\xi_i = \sup\{\mu \le \log \eta_i + 2, w_i^{\mu}(t,\theta) - w_i(t,\theta) \le 0, \forall (t,\theta) \in [\mu_i,t_i] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}\},$$
 with  $t_0$  small enough.

Like in the proof of the Theorem 1 of [2], the maximum principle imply:

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}} w_i(t_i, \theta) \le \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}} w_i(2\xi_i - t_i).$$

But,

$$w_i(t_i, \theta) = e^{t_i} u_i(y_i + e^{t_i}\theta) \ge e^{t_i} \min u_i \text{ and } w_i(2\xi_i - t_i) \le \frac{c_0}{u_i(y_i)},$$

thus,

$$l_i^{(n-2)/2}u_i(y_i) \times \min u_i \le c.$$

The proposition is contradicted.

## **Proof of the Theorem 2.**

The proof of the Theorem 2 is similar to the proof of the Theorem 1. Only the "Fundamental point" change. We have:

According to the work of Chen-Lin, see step 2 of the proof of the theorem 1.1 in [6], in the blow-up point, the prescribed scalar curvature V is such that:

$$\nabla V(0) = 0.$$

The function  $\nabla V$  is continuous on  $B_r(0)$  (r small enough ), then it is uniformly continuous and we write (because  $y_i \to 0$ ):

$$|\nabla V(y_i + y) - \nabla V(y_i)| \le \epsilon$$
, for  $|y| \le \delta << r \ \forall i$ 

Thus,

$$|V^{\xi_i} - V| \le o(1)(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}),$$

We see that we have the same computations than in the section "Polar Coordinates" in the proof of the Theorem 1.

#### Proof of the Theorems 3 and 4.

Here, only the section "Polar coordinates" change, the proposition of the first theorem stay true. First, we have:

# **Fundamental Point:**(a consequence of the blow-up)

According to the work of Chen-Lin, see step 2 of the proof of the theorem 1.3 in [6], in the blow-up point, the prescribed scalar curvature V is such that:

Case 1: Theorem 3.

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} |\nabla V_i(y_i)| = 0.$$

We write:

$$|\nabla V_i(y_i + e^t \theta) - \nabla V_i(y_i)| \le Ae^{\alpha t}$$

Thus,

$$|V_i^{\xi_i} - V_i| \le |o(1)|(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}).$$

## Case 2: Theorem 4.

$$\nabla V(0) = 0.$$

The function  $\nabla V$  is continuous on  $B_r(0)$  (r small enough ), then it is uniformly continuous and we write (because  $y_i \to 0$ ):

$$|\nabla V(y_i + y) - \nabla V(y_i)| \le \epsilon$$
, for  $|y| \le \delta << r \ \forall i$ 

Thus,

$$|V^{\xi_i} - V| \le o(1)(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}),$$

### Conclusion for Theorems 3 and 4.

Finaly, we can note that we are in the case of the Theorem 2 of [2]. We have the same computations if we consider the following function:

$$\bar{w}_i(t,\theta) = w_i(t,\theta) - \frac{m}{2}e^t.$$

We set,  $L = \partial_{tt} - \Delta_{\sigma} + 1$ , where  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}_3$  and  $\bar{V}_i(t,\theta) = V_i(y_i + e^t\theta)$ .

Like in [2], we want to prove the following lemma:

Lemma.

$$\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{w}_i \le 0 \Rightarrow -L(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \le 0.$$

Proof of the Lemma.

$$-L(\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{w}_i) = \bar{V}_i^{\xi_i} (w_i^{\xi_i})^3 - \bar{V}_i w_i^3.$$

Then,

$$-L(\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{w}_i) = (\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{V}_i)(w_i^{\xi_i})^3 + [(w_i^{\xi_i})^3 - w_i^3]\bar{V}_i.$$

For  $t \in [\xi_i, t_i]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}_3$ :

$$|\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta) - \bar{V}_i(t,\theta)| = |V_i(y_i + e^{2\xi_i - t}\theta) - V_i(y_i + e^t\theta)| \le |o(1)|(e^t - e^{2\xi_i - t}).$$

The real  $t_i = \log \sqrt{l_i} \to -\infty$ , where  $l_i$  is chooses as in the proposition of the theorem 1.

But, if  $\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{w}_i \leq 0$ , we obtain:

$$w_i^{\xi_i} - w_i \le \frac{m}{2} (e^{2\xi_i - t} - e^t) < 0.$$

We use the fact that  $0 < w_i^{\xi_i} < w_i$ , we have:

$$(w_i^{\xi_i})^3 - w_i^3 = (w_i^{\xi_i} - w_i)[(w_i^{\xi_i})^2 + w_i^{\xi_i}w_i + (w_i)^2] \le 3(w_i^{\xi_i} - w_i) \times (w_i^{\xi_i})^2.$$

Thus, we have for  $t \in [\xi_i, t_i]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}_3$ :

$$(w_i^{\xi_i})^3 - w_i^3 \le 3 \frac{m}{2} (w_i^{\xi_i})^2 (e^{2\xi_i - t} - e^t).$$

We can write,

$$-L(\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{w}_i) \le (w_i^{\xi_i})^2 \left(\frac{3m}{2}\bar{V}_i - |o(1)|w_i^{\xi_i}\right) \left(e^{2\xi_i - t} - e^t\right). \tag{**}$$

We know that for  $t \leq \log(l_i) - \log 2 + \log \eta_i$ , we have,

$$w_i(t,\theta) = e^t \times \frac{u_i \left( y_i + \frac{e^t \theta}{u_i(y_i)} \right)}{u_i(y_i)} \le 2e^t.$$

We find.

$$w_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta) \le 2e^2\sqrt{\frac{8}{a}},$$

because,  $\xi_i - \log \eta_i \le 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{8}{V(0)}$  and  $\xi_i \le t \le t_i$ .

Finaly, (\*\*) is negative and the Lemma is proved.

Now, if we use the Hopf maximum principle, we obtain,

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^3} \tilde{w}_i(t_i, \theta) \le \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^3} \tilde{w}_i(2\xi_i - t_i, \theta).$$

Which imply that,

$$l_i u_i(y_i) \le c$$
.

It is a contradiction.

# **References:**

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998.
- [2] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type  $\sup u \times \inf u \le c$  pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ . J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.
- [3] H. Brezis, Yy. Li Y-Y, I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [4] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up bihavior for solutions of  $-\Delta u = Ve^u$  in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [5], L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [6] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.
- [7] A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [8] B. Gidas, W-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle. Commun. Math. Phys. 68, 209-243 (1979).
- [9] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [10] YY. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on  $\mathbb{S}_n$  and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.
- [11] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up Analysis for Solutions of  $-\Delta u = Ve^u$  in Dimension Two. Indiana University Mathematics Journal. Vol. 43, No 4, (1994) 1255-1270.
- [12] YY. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133–151.

- [13] YY.Li, M. Zhu. Yamabe Type Equations On Three Dimensional Riemannian Manifolds. Commun.Contem.Mathematics, vol 1. No.1 (1999) 1-50.
- [14] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation  $-\Delta u=Ve^u$ . C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.

6, RUE FERDINAND FLOCON, 75018 PARIS, FRANCE. E-mail address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, samybahoura@gmail.com