

A gradient estimate for harmonic functions sharing the same zeros

Dan Mangoubi

Abstract

Let u, v be two harmonic functions in $\{|z| < 2\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ which have exactly the same set Z of zeros. We observe that $|\nabla \log |u/v||$ is bounded in the unit disk by a constant which depends on Z only. In case $Z = \emptyset$ this goes back to Li-Yau's gradient estimate for positive harmonic functions. The general boundary Harnack principle gives Hölder estimates on $\log |u/v|$.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and statement

Consider a positive harmonic function u in the disk of radius two $B_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$. The Harnack inequality gives a bound on $|\log u(z_1) - \log u(z_2)|$ where z_1, z_2 run in the unit disk. This bound is independent of u . If we let $v = 1$ be the constant function then this is the same as saying that

$$\left| \log \frac{u(z_1)}{v(z_1)} - \log \frac{u(z_2)}{v(z_2)} \right| \quad (1.1)$$

is bounded by a constant independent on u when $z_1, z_2 \in B_1$.

More generally, it is natural to ask what can be said about the quotient u/v in case u, v are harmonic functions in B_2 which do change sign in B_2 and have exactly the same set of zeros. The aim of this short note is to give an answer to this question in two dimensions and to pose two related natural questions.

Let us assume for clarity of the introduction that $u = f \cdot v$ for some smooth function $f > 0$ (in fact, below we show that this is always the case). The

boundary Harnack principle (BHP) ([Anc78, Wu78, CFMS81, JK82, BV96, PV98]) applied to our situation shows that if Ω is a connected component of $\{u \neq 0\}$ then $\log u/v$ is a C^α -function near $\partial\Omega \cap B_1$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this note we make the observation, maybe known to experts, that in fact we have a C^1 -bound. Namely, we show that $|\nabla \log \frac{u}{v}|$ is bounded in B_1 . In the case v is the constant function and u is a positive harmonic function this goes back to Li-Yau's gradient estimate ([LY86]).

Another point of view of our observation is to say that if in the BHP the harmonic functions can be extended across the boundary of the domain, then one has a C^1 -bound on $\log u/v$. In fact, an example due to Carlos Kenig (§8.2) shows that one cannot in general obtain a C^1 -bound even when the boundary of the domain is composed of straight lines. The precise result we show is

Theorem 1.2. *Let $Z \subset B_2$. Let*

$$\mathcal{F}(Z) = \{u \in C^2(B_2) \mid \Delta u = 0 \text{ and } \{u = 0\} = Z\}$$

Then for all $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ u/v extends to a smooth nowhere vanishing function in B_2 and there exists a constant $C_Z > 0$ such that

$$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{F}(Z) \quad |\nabla \log |u/v|| \leq C_Z \text{ in } B_1.$$

1.2 Two questions

We pose two natural questions which arise naturally from Theorem 1.2.

Deformations of the zero set. A related result close in spirit to Theorem 1.2 is proved in [Nad99]. In this paper Nadirashvili considers a variable-sign harmonic function in B_2 , and proves the existence of a bound on $|u|$ in B_1 in terms of the first $3k$ derivatives of u at 0, where k is the number of connected components of $B_2 \setminus Z$. Nadirashvili's result hints that it may be true that the constant C_Z in Theorem 1.2 depends only on the number of components of $B_2 \setminus Z$. Equivalently, we ask whether it is true that the constant C_Z depends only on the number of intersection points of Z with the circle $\{|z| = 3/2\}$.

Higher dimensions. We ask whether Theorem 1.2 is a coincidence of dimension two or stays true in dimension three. It seems that even the case where Z is the zero set of a quadratic harmonic polynomial in three variables is not known.

We hope to investigate both questions in the near future.

1.3 Idea of proof

We give two proofs of Theorem 1.2. Both proofs are built upon the cases where Z is in normal form (say, $Z = \{\Im z^k = 0\}$). This is the reason why our method is restricted to two dimensions.

The first proof we give is based on the maximum principle in a similar spirit to [LY86]. In a normal case we find a certain positive definite quadratic form which leads to a Bochner type estimate. In the case where Z is empty (the Li-Yau case) the positivity of the quadratic form we define is evident. Then, we use the Bochner type estimate to get a gradient estimate.

The second (shorter) proof, due to Misha Sodin, is based on the Poisson formula for sectors of the plane.

We decided to keep both proofs in this note since the first proof makes almost no use of explicit formulas, and we hope it may be useful to extend Theorem 1.2 to situations where no explicit formulas exist.

1.4 Organization of the paper

The proof of the normal form case of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 6. The reduction to the normal form case is done in Section 7. In Section 9 we give an alternative proof using Poisson formulas due to Misha Sodin. In sections 2-5 we develop different ingredients of the proof: In Section 2 we calculate the singular elliptic equation satisfied by the quotient of two harmonic functions. We also find a Bochner type formula. In Section 3 we define and prove the positivity of a certain quadratic form needed in the proof of a Bochner type Inequality proved in Section 4. In Section 5 we treat several expressions which involve logarithmic singularities. Finally, in Section 8 we give several examples which illustrate Theorem 1.2 and clarify it.

1.5 Acknowledgements

I am happy to thank Jozef Dodziuk for preliminary discussions on Li-Yau's gradient estimates. I am grateful to David Kazhdan and Leonid Polterovich for asking me questions which led me to the present work. I thank Benji Weiss for an interesting example (§8). I thank Gady Kozma and Fedja Nazarov for their interest in this work and for referring me to the BHP. I especially thank Misha Sodin for his encouragement and for finding a second simple proof (§9).

I am grateful to Carlos Kenig for his interest and for an illuminating example (§8.2) clarifying the relation of this note to the BHP. I am grateful to Charlie Fefferman for his advice and interest. I thank S.-T. Yau for his support. This research was supported by ISF grant 225/10 and by BSF grant 2010214.

2 A semi-linear singular elliptic equation

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f > 0$ be a smooth function. Let v be harmonic. If fv is harmonic then $h := \log f$ satisfies the following second order quasilinear degenerate elliptic equation*

$$v\Delta h + 2\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle + v|\nabla h|^2 = 0 . \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. By the chain rule,

$$0 = \Delta(e^h v) = 2e^h (v\Delta h + \langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle + v|\nabla h|^2) .$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let v be a smooth function and let h satisfies equation (2.2). Let $F = |\nabla h|^2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} v^2 \Delta F &= 2v^2 |\text{Hess } h|^2 + 4\langle \nabla h, \nabla v \rangle^2 - 4v(\text{Hess } v)(\nabla h, \nabla h) \\ &\quad - 2v\langle \nabla F, \nabla v \rangle - 2v^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla h \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. It follows immediately from (2.2) that at points where $v = 0$ equation (2.4) is also satisfied. Therefore we can assume $v \neq 0$. We differentiate

$$F_{,k} = 2\langle \nabla h, \nabla h_{,k} \rangle \quad F_{,kk} = 2\langle \nabla h_{,k}, \nabla h_{,k} \rangle + 2\langle \nabla h, \nabla h_{,kk} \rangle .$$

Hence,

$$\Delta F = 2 \sum_{k,l} |h_{,kl}|^2 + 2\langle \nabla h, \nabla \Delta h \rangle = 2|\text{Hess } h|^2 + 2\langle \nabla h, \nabla \Delta h \rangle .$$

By equation (2.2)

$$\langle \nabla h, \nabla \Delta h \rangle = -\langle \nabla h, \nabla \left(\frac{2\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle}{v} \right) \rangle - \langle \nabla h, \nabla F \rangle .$$

It remains only to simplify the expression on the right hand side:

$$\nabla \left(\frac{2\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle}{v} \right) = -\frac{2\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle}{v^2} \nabla v + \frac{2\nabla(\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle)}{v},$$

and an easy computation shows

$$\langle \nabla h, 2\nabla(\langle \nabla v, \nabla h \rangle) \rangle = 2(\text{Hess } v)(\nabla h, \nabla h) + \langle \nabla F, \nabla v \rangle.$$

□

3 A non-negative quadratic form

Let $v_k(z) = \Im z^k$, where k is a non-negative integer. In this section we define a quadratic form related to v_k and to equation (2.4) and show it is non-negative. This will play a key role in the proof of the Bochner type inequality in Lemma 4.1

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth vector field on B_2 . We define*

$$Q_k(X) := (Xv_k)^2 - v_k(\text{Hess } v_k)(X, X).$$

Proposition 3.2. *For all vector fields X on B_2 the function $Q_k(X)$ is non-negative. Moreover, we have*

$$(Q_k)(X) \geq \frac{1}{k}(Xv_k)^2.$$

More precisely, we show

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{k}(dv_k)^2 + k(k-1)r^{2k}(d\theta)^2.$$

Proof. Let X, Y be two vector fields on B_2 , and let

$$\tilde{B}(X, Y) := \frac{k-1}{k}(Xv_k)(Yv_k) - v_k \text{Hess}(v_k)(X, Y).$$

We compute in polar coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r v_k &= kr^{k-1} \sin k\theta, & \partial_{rr}^2 v_k &= k(k-1)r^{k-2} \sin k\theta, \\ \partial_\theta v_k &= kr^k \cos k\theta, & \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 v_k &= -k^2 r^k \sin k\theta \\ \partial_{r\theta}^2 v_k &= k^2 r^{k-1} \cos k\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$\nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_r = 0, \quad \nabla_{\partial_r} \partial_\theta = \nabla_{\partial_\theta} \partial_r = \frac{\partial_\theta}{r}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_\theta} \partial_\theta = -r \partial_r .$$

Recall that $(\text{Hess } f)(X, Y) = X(Yf) - (\nabla_X Y)f$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}(v_k)(\partial_r, \partial_r) &= k(k-1)r^{k-2} \sin k\theta, \\ \text{Hess}(v_k)(\partial_r, \partial_\theta) &= k(k-1)r^{k-1} \cos k\theta, \\ \text{Hess}(v_k)(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta) &= -k(k-1)r^k \sin k\theta . \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\tilde{B}(\partial_r, \partial_r) = \tilde{B}(\partial_r, \partial_\theta) = 0, \quad \tilde{B}(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta) = k(k-1)r^{2k} .$$

We conclude that

$$Q_k(X) - \frac{1}{k}(Xv_k)^2 = \tilde{B}(X, X) = k(k-1)r^{2k} d\theta(X)^2 \geq 0.$$

□

4 An inequality à la Bochner

In this section we prove an inequality of Bochner's type. This will be crucial to prove Theorem 1.2.

Let $v_k(z) = \Im z^k$ and let h satisfy equation (2.2) with $v = v_k$. Let $F = |\nabla h|^2$. Then

Lemma 4.1. *F satisfies*

$$v_k^2 \Delta F + 2v_k \langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle + 2v_k^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla h \rangle \geq v_k^2 \frac{F^2}{k+1}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} &v_k^2 \Delta F + 2v_k \langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle + 2v_k^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla h \rangle \\ &= 2v_k^2 |\text{Hess } h|^2 + 4 \langle \nabla h, \nabla v_k \rangle^2 - 4v_k \text{Hess}(v_k)(\nabla h, \nabla h) \\ &\geq 2v_k^2 |\text{Hess } h|^2 + \frac{4}{k} \langle \nabla h, \nabla v_k \rangle^2 \geq v_k^2 (\Delta h)^2 + \frac{4}{k} \langle \nabla h, \nabla v_k \rangle^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k+1} (v_k \Delta h + 2 \langle \nabla v_k, \nabla h \rangle)^2 = v_k^2 \frac{F^2}{k+1} , \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $2|\text{Hess } f|^2 \geq (\Delta f)^2$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , that $a^2 + \frac{b^2}{k} \geq \frac{(a+b)^2}{k+1}$ for real numbers a, b and equation (2.2). □

5 Study of $\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle / v_k$

We would like to show that $\frac{1}{v_k} \langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle$ extends to a smooth function in B_2 . We will apply the following standard division lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $l(x, y) = ax + by$. Let $f \in C^\infty(B_2)$ be such that $f(x, y) = 0$ whenever $l(x, y) = 0$. Then there exists $q \in C^\infty(B_2)$ such that $f(x, y) = q(x, y)l(x, y)$.*

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $b = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Define

$$q(x, y) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 (\partial_1 f)(tx, y) dt .$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f \in C^\infty(B_2)$ be such that $f = 0$ whenever $v_k = 0$. Then, there exists $q \in C^\infty(B_2)$ such that $f = qv_k$.*

Proof. v_k can be expressed as a product of k linear factors. In fact

$$v_k(x, y) = a_k \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(y \cos \frac{l\pi}{k} - x \sin \frac{l\pi}{k} \right)$$

for some $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the lemma follows by induction from Lemma 5.1. □

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a smooth function G_k such that*

$$\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle = G_k v_k .$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 it is enough to show that $\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle$ vanishes whenever v_k does. This will follow from equation (2.2). Indeed, let $p \in B_2$, $p \neq 0$ be such that $v_k(p) = 0$. Then

$$\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle(p) = F_{,r}(p)(v_k)_{,r}(p) + \frac{1}{|p|^2} F_{,\theta}(p)v_{k,\theta}(p) = \frac{1}{|p|^2} F_{,\theta}(p)v_{k,\theta}(p) .$$

We will show that $F_{,\theta}(p) = 0$.

$$F = h_{,r}^2 + \frac{h_{,\theta}^2}{r^2} .$$

So,

$$F_{,\theta}(p) = 2h_{,r}(p)h_{,r\theta}(p) + \frac{2}{|p|^2}h_{,\theta}(p)h_{,\theta\theta}(p) .$$

By equation (2.2),

$$0 = \langle \nabla h, \nabla v_k \rangle(p) = h_{,r}(p)v_{k,r}(p) + \frac{1}{|p|^2}h_{,\theta}(p)v_{k,\theta}(p) = \frac{1}{|p|^2}h_{,\theta}(p)v_{k,\theta}(p) .$$

Since $v_{k,\theta}(p) \neq 0$ we conclude that $h_{,\theta}(p) = 0$. Since, $h_{,\theta}(p) = 0$ on the line passing through 0 and p , we also see that $h_{,r\theta}(p) = 0$. \square

The next lemma shows that the expression $\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle / v_k$ has the role of a second derivative of F on $v_k = 0$. This will be important in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f, g \in C^\infty(B_2)$ be such that*

$$\langle \nabla f, \nabla v_k \rangle = gv_k .$$

Let $p \in B_2$ be a local maximum point of f . Then $g(p) \leq 0$.

Proof.

$$\langle \nabla f, \nabla v_k \rangle = kr^{k-2}(rf_r \sin k\theta + f_\theta \cos k\theta) .$$

$$g(p) = \lim_{(r,\theta) \rightarrow (r_p,\theta_p)} k \frac{f_r}{r} + k \frac{f_\theta \cos k\theta}{r^2 \sin k\theta} .$$

Assume first that $p \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow p} k \frac{f_r(q)}{r_q} = 0$$

since p is a critical point. If $\sin k\theta_p \neq 0$ then

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow p} k \frac{f_\theta(q) \cos k\theta_q}{r_q^2 \sin k\theta_q} = 0 ,$$

since p is a critical point. Otherwise, by L'Hôpital's rule we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow p} k \frac{f_\theta(q) \cos k\theta_q}{r_q^2 \sin k\theta_q} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_p} k \frac{f_{\theta\theta}(r_p, \theta) \cos k\theta - k f_\theta(q) \sin k\theta}{kr_p^2 \cos k\theta} = \frac{f_{\theta\theta}(p)}{r_p^2} \leq 0 ,$$

since p is a maximum point.

If $p = 0$, let $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2k}$, then

$$g(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} k \frac{f_r(r, \theta_0)}{r} \leq 0 ,$$

since 0 is a maximum point. \square

6 Proof of the Theorem 1.2 in normal form

We prove Theorem 1.2 for the case $Z = Z_k = \{\Im z^k = 0\} \cap B_2$. We first need to construct a suitable cutoff function. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty([0, 2])$ be such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and χ is non-increasing. Let $\varphi(x, y) := \chi(x^2 + y^2)$. Observe that

$$\frac{\langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} = 2k\chi'(r^2). \quad (6.1)$$

Let $A > 0$ be such that

$$\chi' \geq -A, \quad \Delta \varphi \geq -A, \quad |\nabla \varphi|^2 \leq A\varphi. \quad (6.2)$$

Without loss of generality we can assume $v = v_k$. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}(Z_k)$.

Existence of a positive quotient. We first show that $|u/v_k|$ defines a positive smooth function in B_2 . By Lemma 5.2 there exists $f \in C^\infty(B_2)$ such that $u = fv_k$. It is clear that $f \neq 0$ on $B_2 \setminus Z_k$. Let $p \in Z_k$, $p \neq 0$. If $f(p) = 0$ then $u(p) = 0$ and $(\nabla u)(p) = 0$. Hence, u has a zero of order $d \geq 2$ at p , but since u is harmonic in a small ball $B_\varepsilon(p)$ centered at p this implies that $Z \cap B_\varepsilon(p)$ is homeomorphic to the zero set of $\Im z^d$. This is a contradiction. If $p = 0$ and $f(p) = 0$ then $u(p)$ has a zero of order $d \geq k + 1$ at 0 with Z_k as a zero set which is impossible since u is harmonic.

A gradient estimate. We now proceed to proving the gradient estimate on $\log f$. Let $h = \log f$. $h \in C^\infty(B_2)$ and $u = e^h v_k$. We let $F = |\nabla h|^2$. At points $q \in B_2$ where $v_k(q) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(q) \neq 0$ we have by Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\varphi F) &= (\Delta \varphi)F + 2\langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla F \rangle + \varphi \Delta F \\ &\geq (\Delta \varphi)F + 2\langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla F \rangle + \frac{\varphi F^2}{k+1} - 2\varphi \frac{\langle \nabla F, \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} - 2\varphi \langle \nabla F, \nabla h \rangle \\ &= (\Delta \varphi)F + \frac{2}{\varphi} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla(\varphi F) \rangle - 2F \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\varphi} + \frac{\varphi F^2}{k+1} - 2 \frac{\langle \nabla(\varphi F), \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} \\ &\quad + 2F \frac{\langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} - 2\langle \nabla(\varphi F), \nabla h \rangle + 2F \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla h \rangle \\ &\geq (\Delta \varphi)F + \frac{2}{\varphi} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla(\varphi F) \rangle - 2F \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\varphi} + \frac{\varphi F^2}{k+1} - 2 \frac{\langle \nabla(\varphi F), \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} \\ &\quad + 2F \frac{\langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla v_k \rangle}{v_k} - 2\langle \nabla(\varphi F), \nabla h \rangle - 2F^{3/2} |\nabla \varphi| \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

The last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

Let $p \in B_2$ be a point where φF attains its maximum. Observe that by Lemma 5.3 and (6.1) there exists $G \in C_c^\infty(B_2)$ such that

$$\langle \nabla(\varphi F), \nabla v_k \rangle = G v_k . \quad (6.4)$$

It follows from (6.3), (6.4) and Lemma 5.4 that at the point p the following inequality is satisfied

$$0 \geq (\Delta \varphi) F - 2F \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\varphi} + \frac{\varphi F^2}{k+1} + 4kF\chi'(|p|^2) - 2F(\varphi F)^{1/2} \frac{|\nabla \varphi|}{\varphi^{1/2}} .$$

Dividing by $F(p)$ and using (6.2) we get the following quadratic inequality in $(\varphi F)^{1/2}$:

$$0 \geq \frac{\varphi F}{k+1} - 2\sqrt{A}(\varphi F)^{1/2} - (4k+3)A ,$$

from which we conclude that $(\varphi F)^{1/2}(p) \leq 4(k+1)\sqrt{A}$. Since p is a maximum point, the same inequality is true for all $q \in B_2$. In particular, in B_1 we get

$$|\nabla h| \leq 4(k+1)\sqrt{A} .$$

□

7 Proof of Theorem 1.2 - the general case

In this case we reduce the general case to the $Z = Z_k$ proved in Section 6.

Proof. Existence of a positive quotient. Fix $v \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ be arbitrary. We will first show that $|u/v|$ extends to a positive smooth function in B_2 . Let $p \in Z$. There exist $k(p) \in \mathbb{N}$, a neighborhood $N_p \ni p$, an injective conformal map $\alpha_p : N_p \rightarrow B_1$ such that $\alpha_p(p) = 0$ and $v \circ \alpha_p^{-1}(w) = \Im w^{k(p)}$ for all $w \in \alpha_p(N_p)$. Let N'_p be a neighborhood of p such that $\overline{N'_p} \subset N_p$. Let $W = \alpha_p(N_p)$ and $W' = \alpha_p(N'_p)$. $v \circ \alpha_p^{-1}$ and $u \circ \alpha_p^{-1}$ are harmonic functions both vanish exactly on $Z_{k(p)} \cap W$. By Section 6 we know that $|(u \circ \alpha_p^{-1}) / (v \circ \alpha_p^{-1})|$ defines a positive smooth function f_0 on W . Let $f(z) := f_0(\alpha_p(z))$ be defined in N_p . Then $|f| > 0$ and $u = f v$ in N_p . This shows that $|u/v|$ extends to a smooth positive function in N_p . Since p is arbitrary we conclude that $|u/v|$ extends to a smooth positive function in B_2 .

A bound on $\nabla \log |u/v|$. Let $p \in Z \cap \overline{B_1}$. Let $\alpha_p, k(p), N_p, N'_p$ be defined as above. Let us write $u = e^h v$ where $h \in C^\infty(B_2)$. By Section 6 we know that

$|\nabla(h \circ \alpha_p^{-1})| \leq C_p$ in W' . By the chain rule it follows that $|\nabla h| \leq C_p |\alpha_p'|$ in N'_p . Since we can cover $Z \cap \overline{B}_1$ by a finite number of open sets of the form N'_p we get that

$$|h| \leq C_v \text{ in a neighborhood } N_Z \text{ of } Z \cap \overline{B}_1. \quad (7.1)$$

Since u, v do not vanish in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}_1 \setminus N_Z$, by [LY86] (or by the case $Z = \emptyset$ in section 6) we know that

$$|\nabla h| \leq C \text{ in a neighborhood of } \overline{B}_1 \setminus N_Z. \quad (7.2)$$

From inequalities (7.1) and (7.2) we get that $|\nabla h| \leq C_Z$ in \overline{B}_1 . \square

8 Examples

8.1 Harmonic functions sharing the same zeros

We give a few examples of harmonic functions with common zeros.

- (i) (Due to Benji Weiss) Let $\alpha \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Let $u_\alpha(x, y) = e^{\alpha x} \sin |\alpha| y$. The zero set of u_α in B_2 is the x -axis.
- (ii) Let $F : B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Suppose $|F| < \pi$. The zero set of $\Im e^F$ is the same as the zero set of $\Im F$.
- (iii) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < |\alpha| \leq 1$. Let $f(z)$ be the branch of z^α in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ which admits positive values on the positive real axis. Define $u(z) = \Im f(z + 2)$. The zero set of u in B_2 is the x -axis.
- (iv) Let $F : B_2 \rightarrow B_2$ be holomorphic. Let $u = \Im \frac{aF}{cF+d}$ where $a, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $F \neq -d/c$ in B_2 . Then, the zero set of u in B_2 coincides with the zero set of $\Im F$.
- (v) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $S_k = \{0 < \arg z < \pi/k\} \cap B_2$. Let u be a positive harmonic function in S_k , which is continuous on ∂S_k and vanishes on $\partial S_k \cap B_2$. One can extend u by reflections to a harmonic function in B_2 . The zero set of u coincides with the zero set of $\Im z^k$.
- (vi) (Due to Charlie Fefferman) Let $u(x, y) = xy$. Let $v(x, y) = x^3 y - xy^3$. Then u and $u + \varepsilon v$ have the same zero set in B_2 if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

8.2 An example clarifying the relation to the BHP

(Due to Carlos Kenig) Let $t > 1$ and let $S = \{0 < \arg z < 2\pi/t\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $v = \Im z^{t/2}$. Observe that v is positive in S and $v|_{\partial S} = 0$. Let $p \in S$ be such that $|p| > 2$. Let G_p be the Green function of S with singularity at p . We consider G_p/v in $S \cap B_2$. Unless t is an integer, G_p/v cannot be extended as a C^1 -function in a neighborhood of 0. Moreover, we note that $|\nabla \log(G_p/v)|$ is bounded in $S \cap B_1$ if and only if $t \geq 2$.

A little simpler, we let $u = \Im z^{t/2} + \varepsilon \Im z^t$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\log u/v$ has no bounded gradient in $S \cap B_1$ unless $t \geq 2$.

These families of examples (for $1 < t < 2$) show that the BHP alone is not enough to obtain gradient estimates even if the boundary of the domain is nice (straight lines).

9 A second proof of the normal form case using Poisson's formula

This section is due to Misha Sodin. Let

$$S_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1, 0 < \arg z < \pi/k\}.$$

Let u be a positive harmonic function in S_k , continuous on $\overline{S_k}$ such that $u = 0$ on $\partial S_k \setminus \{|z| = 1\}$. We have the following integral representation for u :

$$\begin{aligned} u(re^{i\theta}) &= \frac{k(1-r^{2k})}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/k} \left(\frac{1}{|e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{ik\theta}|^2} - \frac{1}{|e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{-ik\theta}|^2} \right) u(e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi \\ &= \frac{2kr^k(1-r^{2k}) \sin(k\theta)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/k} \frac{\sin(k\varphi)u(e^{i\varphi})}{|e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{ik\theta}|^2 |e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{-ik\theta}|^2} d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{u(re^{i\theta})}{r^k \sin k\theta} = \frac{2k(1-r^{2k})}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/k} \frac{\sin(k\varphi)u(e^{i\varphi})}{|e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{ik\theta}|^2 |e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{-ik\theta}|^2} d\varphi$$

and

$$\log \left(\frac{u(re^{i\theta})}{r^k \sin k\theta} \right) = \log \frac{2k}{\pi} + \log(1-r^{2k}) + \log g(z) \quad (9.1)$$

where

$$g(re^{i\theta}) = \int_0^{\pi/k} K(re^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) \sin(k\varphi) u(e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi ,$$

with

$$K(re^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{|e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{ik\theta}|^2 |e^{ik\varphi} - r^k e^{-ik\theta}|^2}$$

Now,

$$\min\{K(z, \zeta) \mid z \in S_k, |z| < 1/2, |\zeta| = 1, 0 \leq \arg \zeta \leq \pi/k\}$$

is a positive number C_1 , and

$$\max\{|\nabla_z K(z, \zeta)| \mid z \in S_k, |z| < 1/2, |\zeta| = 1, 0 \leq \arg \zeta \leq \pi/k\} < C_2 < \infty .$$

Consequently, for $|z| < 1/2$,

$$g(z) \geq C_1 \int_0^{\pi/k} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin(k\varphi) d\varphi ,$$

and

$$|\nabla g(z)| \leq C_2 \int_0^{\pi/k} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin(k\varphi) d\varphi .$$

So we get $|\nabla \log g| \leq C_2/C_1$ in $S_k \cap B_{1/2}$, and then from (9.1)

$$|\nabla \log \frac{u}{r^k \sin k\theta}| \leq Ck$$

in $S_k \cap B_{1/2}$. Finally we use reflection to get the stated result in the unit ball.

References

- [Anc78] A. Ancona, *Principe de Harnack à la frontière et théorème de Fatou pour un opérateur elliptique dans un domaine lipschitzien*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28** (1978), no. 4 (French, with English summary).
- [BV96] Z. Balogh and A. Volberg, *Boundary Harnack principle for separated semihyperbolic repellers, harmonic measure applications*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **12** (1996), no. 2, 299–336.
- [CFMS81] L. Caffarelli, E. Fabes, S. Mortola, and S. Salsa, *Boundary behavior of nonnegative solutions of elliptic operators in divergence form*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), no. 4, 621–640.

- [JK82] D. S. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, *Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in nontangentially accessible domains*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), no. 1, 80–147.
- [LY86] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. **156** (1986), no. 3-4, 153–201.
- [Nad99] N. S. Nadirashvili, *Harmonic functions with bounded number of nodal domains*, Appl. Anal. **71** (1999), no. 1-4, 187–196.
- [PV98] I. Popovici and A. Volberg, *Boundary Harnack principle for Denjoy domains*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **37** (1998), no. 1-4, 471–490.
- [Wu78] J. M. G. Wu, *Comparisons of kernel functions, boundary Harnack principle and relative Fatou theorem on Lipschitz domains*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28** (1978), no. 4, 147–167, vi (English, with French summary).

Dan Mangoubi,
Einstein Institute of Mathematics,
Hebrew University, Givat Ram,
Jerusalem 91904,
Israel
mangoubi@math.huji.ac.il