arXiv:1306.0632v1 [math.FA] 4 Jun 2013

BEURLING SPECTRA OF FUNCTIONS ON LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

B. Basit and A.J. Pryde

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact abelian topological group. For locally bounded measurable functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ we discuss notions of spectra for φ relative to subalgebras of $L^1(G)$. In particular we study polynomials on G and determine their spectra. We also characterize the primary ideals of certain Beurling algebras $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ on the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. This allows us to classify those elements of $L^1_w(G)$ that have finite spectrum. If φ is a uniformly continuous function whose differences are bounded, there is a Beurling algebra naturally associated with φ. We give a condition on the spectrum of φ relative to this algebra which ensures that φ is bounded. Finally we give spectral conditions on a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$ that ensure that its indefinite integral is bounded.

§1. Introduction, notation and preliminaries

Throughout this paper G will denote a locally compact abelian group equipped with Haar measure. We consider certain unbounded functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and discuss various notions of spectrum for φ. Our aim is to deduce properties of φ from a knowledge of its spectrum and conversely.

Firstly, the spaces $L^p(G)$ with corresponding norms $\|.\|_p$ are defined as usual using the Haar measure (see [20]). We will always identify functions on G which agree almost everywhere (see [14, p. 36]). In particular, $L^1(G)$ is a Banach algebra under the convolution product and carries an involution defined by

(1.1) $f^*(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$ for $x \in G, f \in L^1(G)$.

By $L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ we mean the space of functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi_K \varphi \in L^\infty(G)$ for every compact set $K \subset G$, χ_K denoting the characteristic function of K.

The action of the dual group $\widehat{G}$ on G will be denoted $\gamma(x) = (x, \gamma)$ where $x \in G$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ and the Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(G)$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\gamma) =$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A15; secondary 43A45,46J20.
Key words and phrases. Spectrum, weight, polynomial, Beurling algebra, indefinite integral.

$\int_G f(x)(-x,\gamma)\,dx$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Unless otherwise stated, the group operation on G will be addition and on $\widehat{G}$ multiplication. The unit in $\widehat{G}$ is then $\hat{e}$. The translate φ_y and difference $\Delta_y\varphi$ by $y \in G$ of a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

(1.2) $\varphi_y(x) = \varphi(x+y)$ and $\Delta_y\varphi(x) = \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x)$ for $x \in G$.

In what follows, F will denote a dense subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ satisfying

(1.3) F is translation invariant,

(1.4) F is closed under pointwise multiplication by characters $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$,

(1.5) for each $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, the ideal of F defined by $I(\gamma, F) = \{f \in F : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0\}$ is dense in the maximal ideal of $L^1(G)$ defined by $I(\gamma) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0\}$.

For $f \in F$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ we will say that the convolution $f^* * \varphi$ is well-defined if $f_y\varphi$ and $f\varphi_y \in L^1(G)$ for almost every $y \in G$. Then $f^* * \varphi(x) = \int_G f^*(x-y)\varphi(y)\,dy = \int f^*(y)\varphi(x-y)\,dy$ almost everywhere (a.e) in G.

If $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ and $f^* * \varphi$ is well-defined for all $f \in F$ then $I_F(\varphi) = \{f \in F : f^* * \varphi = 0\}$ is an ideal of the algebra F. We define the spectrum of φ relative to F to be the set

(1.6) $sp_F(\varphi) = \text{hull } I_F(\varphi) = \{\gamma \in \widehat{G} : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I_F(\varphi)\}$.

As each such function $\hat{f}$ is continuous on $\widehat{G}$, $sp_F(\varphi)$ is a closed subset of $\widehat{G}$.

The paper is written in five sections. In section 2, we firstly give the basic properties of the general spectrum $sp_F(\varphi)$. Secondly, we deal with a large class of examples, namely the Beurling algebras $F = L^1_w(G) = L^1(\mu)$ where $d\mu(x) = w(x)dx$ is a weighted Haar measure on G. These algebras were introduced by Beurling [2] for the case $G = \mathbb{R}$ and studied in the general case by Domar [5]. Finally, we consider a smaller dense subalgebra of $L^1(G)$, namely $F = C_c(G)$ the space of continuous complex valued functions on G with compact support. We give a new definition of polynomials on G, in section 3, and prove the equivalence of our definition with one of Domar [5]. If $\varphi = \gamma p$ where $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ and p is a polynomial on G, we show that $sp_c(\varphi) = \{\gamma\}$. In section 4 we again consider spectra with respect to Beurling algebras, but with additional conditions on the weights w. In particular we describe explicitly the closed primary ideals of $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ with cospectrum $\{\hat{e}\}$. This

enables us to characterize those $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$ for which $sp_w(\varphi)$ is finite. They are precisely the generalized trigonometric polynomials $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k p_k$ where $\gamma_k \in \widehat{G}$ and p_k is a polynomial in $L^\infty_w(G)$. In section 5 we consider uniformly continuous functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ whose differences $\Delta_y\varphi, y \in G$, are all bounded. Such functions have a corresponding weight function w_φ with which we may define a spectrum $sp_{w_\varphi}(\varphi)$.

Before ending this introduction, we make a few historical comments on spectra. For $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, Beurling introduced in [3] and [4] the spectral set $\Lambda_\varphi = \hat{\mathbb{R}} \cap \overline{[\varphi]}_{w^*}$, where $\overline{[\varphi]}_{w^*}$ is the weak-star closure of the smallest translation invariant subspace of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ containing φ. Godement [9] extended the definition of Λ_φ from $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$ and proved that $\Lambda_\varphi = sp_F(\varphi)$, where $F = L_1(G)$. The approach of Godement was pursued by Kaplansky [11] to find the elements of $L^\infty(G)$ whose spectrum is a singleton, and by Helson[10] to give a new proof of Kaplansky's result. Domar [5, ch.1] introduced an abstract setting for the definition of spectra, using a class of Banach algebras F more general than Beurling algebras. However, for a given $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$, the problem of finding an algebra F for which $sp_F(\varphi)$ is defined was not discussed.

§2. Spectra relative to subalgebras

In this section we establish the main properties of the spectra $sp_F(\varphi)$ relative to subalgebras F of $L^1(G)$ satisfying (1.3)-(1.5). We also give examples of such subalgebras. For $F = L^1(G)$, most parts of the following theorem can be found scattered in the literature ([5], [6], [19], [20]). We include them here for reference purposes.

Theorem 2.1. *Let F and F_1 be dense subalgebras of $L^1(G)$ satisfying (1.3)-(1.5). Let $\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ and suppose $f^* * \varphi$ and $f^* * \psi$ are well-defined for each $f \in F$. Then*

(a) $sp_F(\varphi_y) = sp_F(\varphi)$ for all $y \in G$.

(b) $sp_F(h\varphi) = sp_F(\varphi)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{C}, h \neq 0$.

(c) $sp_F(\varphi+\psi) \subset sp_F(\varphi) \cup sp_F(\psi)$.

(d) $sp_F(\gamma\varphi) = \gamma sp_F(\varphi)$ *for all* $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$.

(e) $sp_F(\Delta_y\varphi) \subset sp_F(\varphi) \subset \{\hat{e}\} \cup_{z\in G} sp_F(\Delta_z\varphi)$ *for all* $y \in G$.

(f) $sp_F(\varphi) = \{\hat{e}\}$ *if* φ *is a non-zero constant, and* $sp_F(\varphi) = \emptyset$ *if* $\varphi = 0$.

(g) $sp_F(\varphi) \subset sp_{F_1}(\varphi)$ *if* $F_1 \subset F$.

Proof. Since $I_F(\varphi_y) = I_F(k\varphi) = I_F(\varphi)$ for $y \in G$ and $k \neq 0$, (a) and (b) follow. For (c), assume $\gamma \notin sp_F(\varphi) \cup sp_F(\psi)$. Then there exist $f \in I_F(\varphi)$ and $g \in I_F(\psi)$ such that $\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$ and $\hat{g}(\gamma) \neq 0$. If $h = f * g$ then $h \in I_F(\varphi+\psi)$ and, since $\hat{h}(\gamma) \neq 0$, we conclude $\gamma \notin sp_F(\varphi+\psi)$. Next, if $f \in F$ and $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ then $f^* * \gamma\varphi = \gamma(\gamma^{-1}f^* * \varphi) = \gamma((\gamma^{-1}f)^* * \varphi)$. So $I_F(\gamma\varphi) = \gamma I_F(\varphi)$ which gives (d).

Let $y \in G$. Since F is translation invariant, $I_F(\varphi) \subset I_F(\Delta_y\varphi)$. Hence $sp_F(\Delta_y\varphi) \subset sp_F(\varphi)$. Conversely, suppose $\gamma \notin sp_F(\Delta_z\varphi)$ for all $z \in G$, and $\gamma \neq \hat{e}$. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that $\gamma(z) \neq 1$ and $f \in I_F(\Delta_z\varphi)$ such that $\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$. If $g = \Delta_{-z}f$ then $\hat{g}(\gamma) = (\gamma(-z)-1)\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$ whereas $g^* * \varphi = f^* * \Delta_z\varphi = 0$. So $g \in I_F(\varphi)$ which implies $\gamma \notin sp_F(\varphi)$. This proves (e).

For (f), let φ be constant and $f \in F$. Then $f^* * \varphi = \varphi\overline{\hat{f}(\hat{e})}$. So, if $\varphi \neq 0$ then $I_F(\varphi) = I(\hat{e}, F)$, which by condition (1.5) is dense in $I(\hat{e})$. Hence $sp_F(\varphi) = \operatorname{hull} I_F(\varphi) = \operatorname{hull} I(\hat{e}) = \{\hat{e}\}$, the last equality by Wiener's tauberian theorem [20,theorem 7.2.4]. Similarly, if $\varphi = 0$ then $I_F(\varphi) = F$ which is dense in $L^1(G)$. We conclude that $sp_F(\varphi) = \operatorname{hull} L^1(G) = \emptyset$.

Finally, $I_{F_1}(\varphi) \subset I_F(\varphi)$ from which we get (g).

Following Reiter[19,p.83] we call a function $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ a weight function on G if

(2.1) $w(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in G$, and

(2.2) $w(x+y) \leq w(x)w(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Given a weight on G we define

(2.3) $L^1_w(G) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \|f\|_{1,w} = \int_G |f(x)|w(x)\,dx < \infty\}$.

Then $L^1_w(G)$ is a subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ which is a Banach algebra under the norm $\|\ \|_{1,w}$. The Banach space dual of $L^1_w(G)$ is

(2.4) $L^\infty_w(G) = \{\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G) : \|\varphi\|_{\infty,w} = \text{ ess sup }_{x\in G} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{w(x)} < \infty\}$.

As noted by Reiter [19,p.84] we may assume that w is upper semicontinuous, and so each continuous function $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$ satisfies

(2.5) $|\varphi(x)| \le \|\varphi\|_{\infty,w} w(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F = L^1_w(G)$ or $C_c(G)$, where w is a weight function on G. Then F is a dense subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ satisfying (1.3) - (1.5).*

Proof. Since $C_c(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$ ([19,p.68]), so is $L^1_w(G)$. Property (1.3) is clear for $F = C_c(G)$ and follows from (2.2) for $F = L^1_w(G)$. Property (1.4) is obvious. For (1.5), let $f \in I(\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in $C_c(G)$ converging to f in $L^1(G)$. Take $u \in C_c(G)$ satisfying $\int_G u(t)\,dt = 1$, and set $g_n = f_n - \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma u$. Then $g_n \in I(\gamma, C_c(G)) \subset I(\gamma, L^1_w(G))$ and $\{g_n\}$ converges to f in $L^1(G)$, proving (1.5).

Let $F = L^1_w(G)$ for a weight function w. If $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$ and $f \in F$ then, for $y \in G$ $\|f_y\varphi\|_1 \le w(-y)\|f\|_{1,w}\|\varphi\|_{\infty,w}$ and $\|f\varphi_y\|_1 \le w(y)\|f\|_{1,w}\|\varphi\|_{\infty,w}$. So $f^* * \varphi$ is well-defined for all $f \in F$. In fact, $f^* * \varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$. We will write

(2.6) $I_w(\varphi) = I_F(\varphi)$ and $sp_w(\varphi) = sp_F(\varphi)$.

If in addition w is bounded, so that $F = L^1(G)$, we will write

(2.7) $I(\varphi) = I_F(\varphi)$ and $sp(\varphi) = sp_F(\varphi)$.

An important additional condition satisfied by some weights is the following condition of Beurling-Domar ([19,p.132], [5,theorem1.53]):

(2.8) $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{\log w(mx)}{m^2} < \infty$ for all $x \in G$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let w be a weight function on G satisfying the condition (2.8) and let $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$.*

(a) $sp_w(f^* * \varphi) \subset$ supp $\hat{f} \cap sp_w(\varphi)$ for all $f \in L^1_w(G)$.

(b) Given a neighbourhood V of a compact set W in $\widehat{G}$, there exists $f \in L^1_w(G)$ such that $\hat{f} = 1$ on W and supp $\hat{f} \subset V$.

(c) If $f \in L^1_w(G)$ and $\hat{f} = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $sp_w(\varphi)$ then $f^* * \varphi = \varphi$.

(d) $sp_w(\varphi) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$.

Proof. Since $L^1_w(G)$ is a Wiener algebra ([19,p.132]) the proofs can be carried out in the same manner as for $L^1(G)$. See [6,p.988] or [19,p.140-141].

The following example shows that the last conclusion in Proposition 2.3 is false without the Beurling-Domar condition.

Example 2.4. *Define a symmetric weight function w on the discrete group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ by $w(n) = 2^n + 2^{-n}$. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{Z})$ be given by $\varphi(n) = 2^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $sp_w(\varphi) = \emptyset$. Indeed, define $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(-1) = 2$, $f(1) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $f(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 1, -1$. It is easy to check that $f \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f^* * \varphi = 0$, and hence $f \in I_w(\varphi)$. Now $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = T = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and for $t \in T$, $\hat{f}(t) = 2e^{it} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-it}$, which is never 0. So $sp_w(\varphi) = \emptyset$.*

Remarks 2.5.

(a) Let w_1 and w_2 be two weight functions on G satisfying the condition (2.8). If $\varphi \in L^\infty_{w_1}(G) \cap L^\infty_{w_2}(G)$ then $sp_{w_1}(\varphi) = sp_{w_2}(\varphi)$. Indeed, $w = w_1 w_2$ is also a weight function on G satisfying the (2.8). Since $w_1 \leq w$ and $w_2 \leq w$ it follows from [19, p.138] that $sp_{w_1}(\varphi) = sp_w(\varphi) = sp_{w_2}(\varphi)$.

(b) If $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$ and w is a weight function satisfying (2.8), then $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$ and so $sp_w(\varphi) = sp(\varphi)$.

Now we relate spectra relative to Beurling algebras with supports of Schwartz distributions. Let $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable complex valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the dual space of tempered distributions. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $T_\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)\varphi(t)\,dt$ defines a distribution $T_\varphi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\widehat{T}_\varphi(g) = T_\varphi(\hat{g})$ for $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defines the Fourier transform $\widehat{T}_\varphi$ of T_φ. (See [22, p.146-152]). As is customary, we identify $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ becomes an additive group with unit 0.

Proposition 2.6. *Let w be a weight function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the Beurling-Domar condition (2.8) and suppose $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $sp_w(\varphi) =$ supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus sp_w(\varphi)$. Let V be a neighbourhood of λ with $V \cap sp_w(\varphi) = \emptyset$

By Proposition 2.3 there exists $f \in I_w(\varphi)$ such that $\hat{f} = 1$ on a neighbourhood W of λ_0 and supp $\hat{f} \subset V$. Let $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $g \subset W$. We show $\widehat{T}_\varphi(g) = 0$. Indeed $\overline{\hat{f}}g = g$ so $\overline{\hat{g}}^* = \overline{\hat{g}}^* * f^*$. Hence $\widehat{T}_\varphi(g) = T_\varphi(\hat{g}) = \overline{\hat{g}}^* * \varphi(0) = \overline{\hat{g}}^* * f^* * \varphi(0) = 0$, showing $\lambda_0 \notin$ supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi$.

Conversely, suppose $\lambda_0 \notin$ supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi$. Let V be a neighbourhood of λ_0 with $V \cap$ supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi = \emptyset$ and let $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $g \subset V$ and $g(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Let $f = \overline{\hat{g}} \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for a given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ set $h(\lambda) = e^{ix\lambda}g(\lambda)$. Then $f^* * \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{g}(y-x)\varphi(y)\,dy = T_\varphi(\hat{h}) = \widehat{T}_\varphi(h) = 0$. Hence $f \in I_w(\varphi)$ and $\hat{f}(\lambda_0) = \overline{g}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. So $\lambda_0 \notin sp_w(\varphi)$.

Next, for a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define an indefinite integral $P\varphi$ by

(2.9) $P\varphi(x_1, ..., x_n) = \int_0^{x_1} \varphi(t, x_2, ..., x_n)\,dt$.

It follows that $|P\varphi(x_1, ..., x_n)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty |x_1|$ (a.e.). Moreover, $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = 1 + |x_1|$ defines a weight function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (2.8). Clearly $\varphi, P\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we prove

Proposition 2.7. *If $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = 1 + |x_1|$ then $sp_w(\varphi) \subset sp_w(P\varphi) \subset sp_w(\varphi) \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Consider the tempered distributions T_φ and $T_{P\varphi}$. We have $\frac{\partial T_{P\varphi}}{\partial x_1} = T_\varphi$ and hence supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi \subset$ supp $\widehat{T}_{P\varphi} \subset$ supp $\widehat{T}_\varphi \cup \{0\}$. Applying Proposition 2.6, we get the required result.

Thirdly, we discuss spectra relative to the algebra $F = C_c(G)$ and make comparisons with the spectra determined by Beurling algebras. If $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(G)$ then $f^* * \varphi$ is well-defined and continuous for all $f \in F$ and we will write

(2.10) $I_c(\varphi) = I_F(\varphi)$ and $sp_c(\varphi) = sp_F(\varphi)$.

Proposition 2.8. *If G is compact then $sp_c(\varphi) = sp(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$.*

Proof. By theorem 2.1(g) we have $sp(\varphi) \subset sp_c(\varphi)$. Conversely, if $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \setminus sp(\varphi)$ then there exists $f \in I(\varphi)$ with $\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$. Let $g = f * \gamma$ so that $g \in C_c(G)$ and $g^* * \varphi = 0$. Hence $g \in I_c(\varphi)$ and $\hat{g}(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\gamma)\hat{\gamma}(\gamma) \neq 0$. So $\gamma \notin sp_c(\varphi)$.

Example 2.4 above shows also that $sp_c(\varphi)$ may be empty for non-zero φ.

Next, we examine $sp_c(\varphi)$ when $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.9. *If $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ then $sp_c(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}$ or D, where D is a discrete set such that $\sum \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\alpha}$ converges for all $\alpha > 1$, the sum being over $\lambda \in D \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. If $I_c(\varphi) = \{0\}$ then $sp_c(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, if $f \in I_c(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$ then $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $\hat{f}$ is an entire function of exponential type whose zero set $Z(\hat{f})$ is such that $\sum \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\alpha}$ converges for all $\alpha > 1$, the sum being over $\lambda \in Z(\hat{f}) \setminus \{0\}$. (See Young [23, p.63]). As $sp_c(\varphi) \subset Z(\hat{f})$, the result follows.

Similarly, one can show that if $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{Z})$ then either $sp_c(\varphi) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = T$ or $sp_c(\varphi)$ is finite. In contrast, we provide the following example of a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$ with $sp_c(\varphi)$ neither discrete nor $\widehat{G}$.

Example 2.10. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z} \times K$ where K is a compact abelian group. Define $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi(n,k) = \eta(n)\psi(k)$, where $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\psi : K \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Then $sp_c(\varphi) = sp_c(\eta) \times sp(\psi)$. In particular, we may choose η and ψ so that $sp_c(\eta) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $sp(\psi) \neq \widehat{K}$*

As an application of results in this section we prove two lemmas needed in section 3. Firstly, we define another indefinite integral Kf, this time for $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, by

(2.11) $Kf(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)\,dt$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, and let the derivatives $\hat{f}^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\hat{f}^{(n)}(0) \neq 0$ where $n \geq 1$. Then $K^n f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $\widehat{K^n f}(0) \neq 0$ and $(K^n f)^{(n)} = f$.*

Proof. From the assumptions, $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \lambda^n g(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, where g is entire and $g(0) \neq 0$. Now $(Kf)' = f$ and so $(\widehat{Kf})(\lambda) = -i\lambda^{n-1}g(\lambda)$. Since $\hat{f}(0) = 0$ we get $Kf \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Arguing the same way, we obtain $K^n f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $(\widehat{K^n f})(\lambda) = (-i)^n g(\lambda)$ and $(K^n f)^{(n)} = f$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with $sp_c(\varphi)$ discrete. If $\lambda \in sp_c(\varphi)$ then there exists $h \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h^* * \varphi = \gamma_\lambda p$, where p is a non-zero polynomial and $\gamma_\lambda(t) = e^{i\lambda t}$.*

Proof. By theorem 2.1(d), $0 \in sp_c(\gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi)$. Let $f \in I_c(\gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi)$ where $f \neq 0$. So 0 is a zero of $\hat{f}$ of finite order n say. Let $g = K^n f$. From lemma 2.11, $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, $\hat{g}(0) \neq 0$

and $g^{(n)} = f$. Hence $g \notin I_c(\gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi)$ and therefore $p = g^* * \gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi \neq 0$. However, $p^{(n)} = (g^*)^{(n)} * \gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi = (-1)^n f^* * \gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi = 0$, showing that p is a polynomial of degree at most n. Setting $h = \gamma_\lambda g$ we obtain $h^* * \varphi = \gamma_\lambda g^* * \varphi = \gamma_\lambda(g^* * \gamma_\lambda^{-1}\varphi) = \gamma_\lambda p$, as required.

§3. Polynomials and their Spectra

In this section we define polynomials on G and prove the equivalence of our definition with that of Domar [5, definition 2.3.2]. We explore the properties of polynomials, and prove in particular that $sp_c(p) = \{\hat{e}\}$ for each non-zero polynomial p.

A continuous function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ will be called a polynomial of degree n if

(3.1) $\Delta_{y_1}...\Delta_{y_{n+1}}\varphi = 0$ for all $y_1, ...y_{n+1} \in G$, and

(3.2) $\Delta_{y_1}...\Delta_{y_n}\varphi \neq 0$ for some $y_1, ...y_n \in G$.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the semigroup of non-negative integers with the discrete topology . Set $\mathbb{Z}_+^k = (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k$, $k \geq 1$. For arbitrary topological semigroups H denote by $C(H)$ the continuous complex valued functions on H. The following two propositions will be found useful. The first is proved by induction and the second, a simple chain rule for difference operators, is obvious.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\varphi \in C(G)$ then $\varphi(x+my) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j}\Delta_y^j\varphi(x)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x, y \in G$.*

Proposition 3.2. *Given $\Phi \in C(G^n)$, define $\Psi \in C(\mathbb{Z}_+^n \times G^n)$ by*

$\Psi(t_1, ..., t_n, y_1, ..., y_n) = \Phi(t_1y_1, ..., t_ny_n)$, *where $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y_j \in G$.*

If $\Delta_{y,j}$ denotes difference by y in position j only, then $\Delta_{y_j,j}\Phi(t_1y_1, ..., t_ny_n) = \Delta_{1,j}\Psi(t_1, ..., t_n, y_1, ..., y_n)$.

Recall that a function $\varphi \in C(G)$ is a polynomial of degree n in the sense of Domar if $\varphi(x + ty)$ is a polynomial in $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of degree at most n for each $x, y \in G$ and of degree n for some $x, y \in G$.

Proposition 3.3. *For a function $\varphi \in C(G)$ the following are equivalent:*

(a) $\Delta_{y_1}...\Delta_{y_{n+1}}\varphi = 0$ *for all* $y_1, ...y_{n+1} \in G$

(b) $\Delta_y^{n+1}\varphi = 0$ *for all* $y \in G$.

(c) $\varphi(x+ty)$ *is a polynomial in* $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *of degree at most* n *for all* $x, y \in G$.

(d) $\varphi(x+t_1y_1+...+t_ky_k)$ *is a polynomial in* $(t_1,...,t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ *of degree at most* n *for all* $x, y_1, ..., y_k \in G$, *and* $k > 0$.

Proof. That (a) implies (b) is obvious. If (b) holds then by Proposition 3.1 we have $\varphi(x+ty) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{t}{j} \Delta_y^j \varphi(x)$, showing that (b) implies (c). Given (c) and $x, y_1, ..., y_k \in G$, define $\psi(t) = \varphi(x + t_1y_1 + ... + t_ky_k)$ for $(t_1, ..., t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$. Then ψ is a polynomial in each t_j of degree at most n. So ψ is a polynomial in t of degree at most kn. Hence

$\psi(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq kn} a_\alpha t^\alpha$, where $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_k$. Moreover, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the function $\psi(\lambda t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq kn} a_\alpha \lambda^{|\alpha|} t^\alpha = \varphi(x + \lambda t_1 y_1 + ... + \lambda t_k y_k)$ is again a polynomial in λ of degree at most n. Hence $a_\alpha = 0$ for $|\alpha| > n$, showing that (c) implies (d). Finally, given (d) and $x, y_1, ..., y_{n+1} \in G$, define $\psi(t) = \varphi(x + t_1y_1 + ... + t_{n+1}y_{n+1})$ for $(t_1, ..., t_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$. Then ψ is a polynomial in t of degree at most n. So all (n+1)th differences of ψ are zero. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, $\Delta_{y_1} ... \Delta_{y_{n+1}} \varphi(x) = \Delta_{1,1} ... \Delta_{1,n+1} \psi(0) = 0$, showing that (d) implies (a).

Theorem 3.4. *For a function* $\varphi \in C(G)$ *the following are equivalent:*

(a) φ *is a polynomial of degree* n.

(b) $\Delta_y^{n+1}\varphi = 0$ *for all* $y \in G$ *and* $\Delta_y^n \varphi \neq 0$ *for some* $y \in G$.

(c) φ *is a polynomial of degree* n *in the sense of Domar.*

(d) $\varphi(x+t_1y_1+...+t_ky_k)$ *is a polynomial in* $(t_1,...,t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ *of degree at most* n *for all* $x, y_1, ..., y_k \in G$, $k > 0$ *and of degree* n *for some* $x, y_1, ..., y_k \in G$, $k > 0$.

Proof. Given (d), we have Proposition 3.3 (c). Moreover we can choose $x, y_1, ..., y_k$ such that $\varphi(x + t_1y_1 + ... + t_ky_k)$ is a polynomial in $(t_1, ..., t_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ of degree n. So $\varphi(x + t_1y_1 + ... + t_ky_k) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha t^\alpha$, where $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha t^\alpha$ is not identically zero. Choose t so that $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha t^\alpha \neq 0$ and set $y = t_1y_1 + ... + t_ky_k$. Then $\varphi(x+\lambda y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha t^\alpha \lambda^{|\alpha|}$ is a polynomial in $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of degree n, showing that (d) implies (c). Given(c), we have Proposition 3.3(b). Moreover, there exist $x, y \in G$

such that $\varphi(x+ty)$ is of degree n in $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. So $\varphi(x+ty) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^j$ where $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_n \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.2, $\Delta_y^n \varphi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j \Delta_1^n t^j = a_n n! \neq 0$, showing that (c) implies (b). That (b) implies (a) is obvious. Finally, if (a) holds then Proposition 3.3(d) holds. Moreover, there exist $x, y_1, ..., y_n \in G$ such that $\Delta_{y_1} ... \Delta_{y_n} \varphi(x) \neq 0$. So $\varphi(x+t_1 y_1 + ... + t_n y_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha t^\alpha$ and by Proposition 3.2 $\Delta_{y_1} ... \Delta_{y_n} \varphi(x) = a_\beta$ where $\beta = (1, 1, ..., 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. So $a_\beta \neq 0$ and $\varphi(x + t_1 y_1 + ... + t_n y_n)$ is a polynomial in $(t_1, ..., t_n)$ of degree n, showing that (a) implies (d).

Remarks 3.5.

(a) On any abelian group G the polynomials of degree 0 are precisely the constant functions.

(b) Polynomials of degree larger than 0 are unbounded. So the only polynomials on a compact group are the constant functions.

(c) The definition of polynomials and the results proved so far in this section require only that G be an abelian topological semigroup.

(d) Any polynomial φ on G of degree 1 is of the form $\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) + \alpha(x)$, where $\alpha(x + y) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y)$ for $x, y \in G$. So φ is uniformly continuous on G.

(e) From theorem 3.4 we see that any polynomial φ of degree N satisfies $\lim_{|n| \to \infty} \frac{|\varphi(nx)|}{|n|^{N+\alpha}} = 0$ *for all $x \in G$ and all $\alpha > 0$.*

Conditions similar to this will be needed in section 4.

We turn now to the investigation of spectra of polynomials.

Proposition 3.6. *Let p be a non-zero polynomial on G. Suppose $f^* * p$ is well-defined for all $f \in F$, where F is a dense subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ satisfying (1.3) - (1.5). Then $sp_F(\gamma p) = \{\gamma\}$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$.*

Proof. Let p have degree n. By theorem 3.4, $\Delta_{y_0}^n p \neq 0$ for some $y_0 \in G$. Applying theorem 2.1(e) n times we obtain $sp_F(\Delta_{y_0}^n p) \subset sp_F(p) \subset \{\hat{e}\} \cup_{y \in G} sp_F(\Delta_y^n p)$. But by theorem 2.1 (f), $sp_F(\Delta_{y_0}^n p) = \{\hat{e}\}$ and $sp_F(\Delta_y^n p) \subset \{\hat{e}\}$ for each $y \in G$. Hence $sp_F(p) = \{\hat{e}\}$ and by theorem 2.1(d), $sp_F(\gamma p) = \{\gamma\}$.

Immediately we obtain

Corollary 3.7. *Let p be a non-zero polynomial on G and suppose $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Then*

(a) $sp_c(\gamma p) = \{\gamma\}$,

(b) $sp_w(\gamma p) = \{\gamma\}$ for any weight w such that $p \in L^\infty_w(G)$.

As an application of the above corollary we show

Theorem 3.8. *Let w be a weight function on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\varphi \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. If $sp_c(\varphi)$ is dicrete then $sp_w(\varphi) = sp_c(\varphi)$.*

Proof. By theorem 2.1(g) we have $sp_w(\varphi) \subset sp_c(\varphi)$. Conversely, let $\lambda \in sp_c(\varphi)$. If $\lambda \notin sp_w(\varphi)$ then there exists $g \in I_w(\varphi)$ such that $\hat{g}(\lambda) \neq 0$. By lemma 2.12 there exists $h \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h^* * \varphi = \gamma_\lambda p$, where p is a non-zero polynomial. Now $g^* * h^* * \varphi = 0$ so $g \in I_w(h^* * \varphi)$, but by corollary 3.7 $sp_w(h^* * \varphi) = \{\lambda\}$. Hence $\hat{g}(\lambda) = 0$, a contradiction showing $\lambda \in sp_w(\varphi)$.

The following example shows that for the conclusion of theorem 3.8 it is not enough to know that $sp_w(\varphi)$ is discrete.

Example 3.9. *Consider the function φ on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2} e^{i\,tn^{1/2}}$. Then φ is almost periodic and it is known ([15] or [12,V.5]) that $sp(\varphi) = \{n^{1/2} : n \in N\}$. We prove that $sp_c(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, $sp(\varphi) \subset sp_c(\varphi)$ and if $sp_c(\varphi) \neq \mathbb{R}$ then by Proposition 2.9, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-\alpha/2} < \infty$ for all $\alpha > 1$. As this is false, $sp_c(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}$.*

§4. Primary ideals and functions with finite spectrum

In this section we study Beurling algebras $L^1_w(G)$ when the weight function w satisfies the following two conditions for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$:

(4.1) $\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{w(mx)}{1+|m|^{N+\alpha(x)}} < \infty$ for all $x \in G$ and some $\alpha(x) < 1$;

(4.2) $\inf_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{w(mx)}{|m|^N} > 0$ for some $x \in G$.

It should be noted that condition (4.1) implies the Beurling-Domar condition (2.8). Using conditions (4.1) and (4.2) we characterize those functions $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$ for which $sp_w(\varphi)$ is finite.

We begin by studying the primary ideals of $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ when w satisfies (4.1) and (4.2) with $G = \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that a primary ideal is one that is contained in exactly

one maximal ideal, and the cospectrum of an ideal J of $L^1_w(G)$ is the set $\{\gamma \in \widehat{G} : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in J\}$.

When $G = \mathbb{Z}$, conditions (4.1) and (4.2) are equivalent to the existence of $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that

(4.3) $c_1(1+|m|^N) \leq w(m) \leq c_2(1+|m|^{N+\alpha})$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this case, $\{\hat{f} : f \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})\}$ is a subalgebra of $C^N(T)$, the algebra of continuous functions on $T = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ whose derivatives up to order N are also continuous on T. We then define

(4.4) $I_k = \{f \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z}) : \hat{f}^{(j)}(\hat{e}) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq k\}$, where $0 \leq k \leq N$.

So I_k is a closed primary ideal of $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ with cospectrum $\{\hat{e}\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let w be a weight function on $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.3). Then $I_0, I_1, ..., I_N$ are the only closed primary ideals of $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ with cospectrum $\{\hat{e}\}$.*

Proof. Let $e_m(n) = \delta_{m,n}$ denote the Kronecker function, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then e_1 and e_{-1} generate the algebra $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\hat{e_1}(t) = e^{it}$ and $\hat{e_{-1}}(t) = e^{-it}$ for $t \in T$. Moreover, $e_1^m = e_m$ so $\alpha_m = \|e_1^m\|_{1,w} = w(m)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from (4.3) that $\lim_{r\to 1-}(1-r)^{N+2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha_m r^m = \lim_{r\to 1-}(1-r)^{N+2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\alpha_{-m}r^{-m} = 0$. By a theorem of Gelfand [8] or [16, p.209], $L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ has exactly N+1 ideals with cospectrum $\{\hat{e}\}$, namely $I_0, I_1, ..., I_N$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let w be a weight function on $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.3). If $0 \leq k \leq N$ then $\Delta_m^{k+1} f \in I_k$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $f \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. If $g = \Delta_m^{k+1} f$ then $\hat{g}(t) = (e^{itm} - 1)^{k+1}\hat{f}(t)$. Hence $g \in I_k$ as claimed.

Corollary 4.3. *Let w be a weight function on $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.3). If $\varphi \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ and $sp_w(\varphi) = \{\hat{e}\}$, then φ is a polynomial of degree at most N.*

Proof. Since $I_w(\varphi)$ is a closed ideal with cospectrum $\{\hat{e}\}$, we conclude from theorem 4.1 that $I_w(\varphi) = I_k$ for some k, $0 \leq k \leq N$. Now for arbitrary $f \in L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\Delta_{-m}^{k+1} f \in I_k$ and so $f^* * \Delta_m^{k+1}\varphi = (\Delta_{-m}^{k+1} f)^* * \varphi = 0$. So $I_w(\Delta_m^{k+1}\varphi) = L^1_w(\mathbb{Z})$ and $sp_w(\Delta_m^{k+1}\varphi) = \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.3 (d), $\Delta_m^{k+1}\varphi = 0$, and by (3.1) φ is a polynomial of degree at most k.

We now consider functions on more general groups G.

Theorem 4.4. *Let w be a weight function satisfying (4.1) and (4.2) and let $\varphi \in L^\infty_w(G)$. Let $sp_w(\varphi) = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n\}$ be a finite subset of $\widehat{G}$. Then there exist polynomials $p_1, ..., p_n$ in $L^\infty_w(G)$ of degree at most N such that $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k p_k$.*

Proof. As $sp_w(\varphi)$ is compact, φ coincides a.e. with a continuous function. (See [19, p.142]). We may therefore assume $\varphi \in C(G)$. Now suppose $sp_w(\varphi) = \{\hat{e}\}$. For $y \in G$, let H_y be the subgroup generated by y and let K_y be its closure. Let w_y be the restriction of w to K_y and $\varphi_y(h) = \varphi(h + y)$ for $h \in K_y$. Then $\varphi_y \in L^\infty_{w_y}(K_y)$ and by a theorem of Reiter (see [18] or [19, p.144]) $sp_{w_y}(\varphi_y) \subset \pi(sp_w(\varphi)) = \{\hat{e_y}\}$, where $\pi : \widehat{G} \to \widehat{K_y}$ is the canonical projection and $\hat{e}_y$ is the unit in $\widehat{K_y}$. By a theorem of Weil (see [21, p.96-97] or [19, p.88]) either K_y is compact or H_y is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ ($H_y = \mathbb{Z}$). If K is compact then φ is bounded with $sp(\varphi_y) \subset \{\hat{e}_y\}$. Therefore φ_y is a constant. (See Rudin [20, 6.8.3].) On the other hand, by corollary 4.3, if $H_y = \mathbb{Z}$ then φ is a polynomial of degree at most N. Applying these results to ψ, defined by $\psi(z) = \varphi(x + z)$ for $x, z \in G$, and noting that $sp_w(\psi) = sp_w(\varphi)$ we conclude that $\varphi(x + my)$ is a polynomial in $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of degree at most N for each $x, y \in G$. So φ is a polynomial of degree at most N. For the general case, $sp_w(\varphi) = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n\}$, choose a neighbourhood V of $\{\hat{e}\}$ in $\widehat{G}$ and functions $f_1, ..., f_n \in L^1_w(G)$ such that $\hat{f}_k = 1$ on $\gamma_k V$ and $\gamma_j V \cap \gamma_k V = \text{ supp } \hat{f}_j \cap \text{ supp } \hat{f}_k = \emptyset$ for $j, k = 1, ..., n$ and $j \neq k$. (See Proposition 2.3). Then $sp_w(f_k^* * \varphi) \subset \text{ supp } \hat{f}_k \cap sp_w(\varphi) = \{\gamma_k\}$ and by the first part of this proof, $\gamma_k^{-1}(f_k^* * \varphi) = p_k$, a polynomial of degree at most N. So $f^* * \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k p_k$, where $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$. But $\hat{f} = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $sp_w(\varphi)$ and so, again by Proposition 2.3, $f^* * \varphi = \varphi$.

§5. Uniformly continuous functions with bounded differences

Let $C_u(G)$ be the space of uniformly continuous complex valued functions on G. Let $C_{ub}(G) = C_u(G) \cap L^\infty(G)$ and denote by $C_{ud}(G)$ the linear subspace of $C_u(G)$ consisting of functions $\varphi \in C_u(G)$ satisfying

(5.1) $\Delta_h \varphi \in C_0(G)$ for all $h \in G$.

We notice that if G is connected then by a theorem of Pontrjagin (see[17, p.377] or [19, p.97]) $G = K \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where K is compact and connected and $n \geq 0$. It follows that $C_{ud}(G) = C_u(G)$.

In this section we study the spectra of functions $\varphi \in C_{ud}(G)$. To do this we construct associated Beurling algebras. Indeed, 80adefine $w_\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ by

(5.2) $w_\varphi(y) = 1 + 1/2(\|\Delta_y \varphi\|_\infty + \|\Delta_{-y}\varphi\|_\infty)$, $y \in G$.

Proposition 5.1. *If $\varphi \in C_{ud}(G)$ then w_φ is a uniformly continuous weight function on G satisfying the condition*

(5.3) $\lim_{|m|\to\infty} \frac{w_\varphi(mx)}{m^2} = 0$ *for all* $x \in G$.

Moreover $\varphi \in L^\infty_{w_\varphi}(G)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha(y) = w_\varphi(y) - 1$. Then $\alpha(y_1 + y_2) \leq \alpha(y_1) + \alpha(y_2)$, $\alpha(y) = \alpha(-y)$, and $|\alpha(y_1 + y_2) \ - \alpha(y_2)| \leq \alpha(y_1)$ for $y, y_1, y_2 \in G$. It follows that α and w_φ are uniformly continuous on G, and that w_φ is a weight function. Moreover, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in G$, $w_\varphi(my) = 1 + \alpha(my) \leq 1 + |m|\alpha(y)$, from which (5.3) follows. Finally, $|\varphi(x)| \leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| + |\varphi(0)| \leq 2\alpha(x) + |\varphi(0)| \leq (2 + |\varphi(0)|)w_\varphi(x)$, for $x \in G$, showing $\varphi \in L^\infty_{w_\varphi}(G)$.

We remark that condition (5.3) implies condition (4.1) with N=1. This in turn implies the Beurling-Domar condition (2.8). In the following we extend a result proved by Basit[1] for the case $G = \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.2. *If $\varphi \in C_{ud}(G)$ and $\hat{e} \notin sp_{w_\varphi}(\varphi)$ then φ is bounded.*

Proof. Let V be a compact neighbourhood of $\hat{e}$ such that $V \cap sp_{w_\varphi}(\varphi) = \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.3 there exists $f \in L^1_{w_\varphi}(G)$ such that supp $\hat{f} \subset V$ and $\hat{f}(\hat{e}) = 1$. So $sp_{w_\varphi}(f^* * \varphi) = \emptyset$ and hence $f^* * \varphi = 0$. Hence $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t) - f^* * \varphi(t) = \int_G [\varphi(t) - \varphi(t-s)]f^*(s)\, ds$ and $|\varphi(t)| \leq 2\int_G w_\varphi(s)|f^*(s)|\, ds = 2\|f\|_{1,w_\varphi}$, for $t \in G$. So φ is bounded.

We return now to the consideration of indefinite integrals $P\varphi$ of functions $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Theorem 5.3. *If $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \notin sp(\varphi)$ and $P\varphi \in C_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $P\varphi$ is bounded.*

Proof. If $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = 1 + |x_1|$ then w is a weight on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (2.8) and $\varphi, P\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By remark 2.4 (b), $sp(\varphi) = sp_w(\varphi)$. Let V be a neighbourhood of 0 with $sp(\varphi) \cap V = \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.3 (b), there is a function $h \in L^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{h} = 1$ on a neighbourhood W of 0 and supp $\hat{h} \subset V$. By Proposition 2.3(a), $sp_w(h^* * P\varphi) \subset \{0\}$ and $h^* * \varphi = 0$. This implies that $h^* * P\varphi$ is infinitely differentiable. By assumption $P\varphi$ is uniformly continuous, hence $h^* * P\varphi = 0$ is also uniformly continuous. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h^* * P\varphi = 0$, $h^* * P\varphi(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \psi(x_2, \cdots, x_n)$. As $P\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h^* * P\varphi \in L^\infty_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This implies that $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(x_2, \cdots, x_n)| \leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi(x_2,\cdots,x_n)|}{1+|x_1|} < \infty$ showing that ψ is bounded. Set $\eta = \varphi - \psi$. Let $g \in L^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that supp$\hat{g} \subset W$ and $\hat{g}(0) \neq 0$. We have $g^* * \eta = 0$. Hence $0 \notin sp_w(\eta)$ so by Theorem 5.2, η is bounded. Hence $P\varphi = \eta + \psi$ is bounded.

Corollary 5.4. *If $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \notin sp(\varphi)$ then $P\varphi$ is bounded.*

Proof. Since $|P\varphi(x) - P\varphi(y)| \leq ||\varphi||_\infty |x - y|$, $P\varphi \in C_u(\mathbb{R})$ and the corollary follows from the theorem.

Remarks 5.5.

(a) Let $\varphi(x) = xe^{ix}$ and $w(x) = 1+|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By corollary 3.7 $sp_w(\varphi) = \{1\}$. So $0 \notin sp_w(\varphi)$ yet φ is unbounded. Hence a condition like $\varphi \in C_{ud}(G)$ is necessary in theorem 5.2.

(b) Let $\varphi(x, y) = e^{iy}$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $sp(\varphi) = \{(0, 1)\}$. So $(0, 0) \notin sp(\varphi)$ yet $P\varphi(x, y) = xe^{iy}$ is unbounded. Hence a condition like $P\varphi \in C_u(\mathbb{R})$ is necessary in theorem 5.3.

(c) Favard [7] obtained corollary 5.4 for almost periodic functions φ. Later, Levitan-Zhikov [13,p.89] obtained it for $\varphi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R})$.

(d) We thank D. Domar who kindly informed us that the proof of Theorem 5.3 appearing in Bull. Australian Math. Soc., is not complete. Also he sent us the proof of the following sharper result:

If ψ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, bounded on $0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and if supp $\widehat{\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}} = sp(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1})$ does not contain 0, then ψ is bounded (Distribution theory notation).

References

1. B.Basit, *On the indefinite integrals of abstract functions, Analele Stiin Ale Univ. AL.I.CUZA Din Aiasi, 29 (3), (1983), 49-54.*
2. A. Beurling, *Sur les Intégrales de Fourier absolutement convergentes et leur application á une transformation fonctionelle, IX Congres Math. Scand., Helsenki (1938) 345-366.*
3. A. Beurling, *Un théorème sur les fonctions bornées et uniformement continues sur l'axe réel, Acta Math. 77 (1945), 127-136.*
4. A.Beurling, *On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Acta Math 81 (1949), 225-238.*
5. Y.Domar, *Harmonic analysis based on certain Banach algebras, Acta Math. 96 (1956), 1-66.*
6. N.Dunford and J.T.Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II, Interscience Pub., New York, London, 1963.*
7. J.Favard, *Sur les equations differentielles à coefficients presque-périodiques, Acta Math. 51 (1927), 31-81.*
8. M. Gelfand, *Ideale und primäre ideale in normierten Ringen, Matem. Sbornik, 9 (51) (1941), 41-48.*
9. R.Godement, *Théorème taubérien et théorie spectrale, Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup. 64 (1947), 119-138.*
10. H.Helson, *Spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Ark. Mat. 1 (1951), 497-502.*
11. I.Kaplansky, *Primary ideals in group algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 132-136.*
12. Y.Katznelson, *An introduction to Harmonic Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1968.*
13. B.M.Levitan and V.V.Zhikov, *Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.*
14. L.H.Loomis, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, D.Van Nostrand Comp., Inc., 1953.*
15. L.H.Loomis, *The spectral characterization of a class of almost periodic functions, Ann. of Math. 72(2) (1960), 362-368.*
16. M.A.Naimark, *Normed Rings. Translated from Russian, P.Noordhoff, N.V.- Groningen - The Netherlands, 1959.*
17. L.Pontrjagin, *The theory of topological commutative groups, Ann. of Math. 35 (1934), 361-388.*
18. H.Reiter, *Contribution to Harmonic Analysis III, J. London Math. Soc. 32 (1957), 477-482.*
19. H.Reiter, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Univ., 1968.*
20. W.Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups, Interscience, New York, London, 1962.*
21. A.Weil, *L'intégration dans les Groups Topologiques et ses Applications, 2nd edn, Hermann, Paris, 1953.*
22. K.Yosida, *Functional Analysis, Springer Verlag, 1965.*
23. R.M.Young, *An Introduction to Non-Harmonic Fourier Series, Acad. Press, Inc., 1980.*

Address: School of Mathematical Sci., P.O.Box No. 28M, Monash University, Vic. 3800, Australia.

e-mail bolis.basit@monash.edu, alan.pryde@monash.edu