

# HERMITE-HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITY FOR OPERATOR PREINVEX FUNCTIONS

A. G. GHAZANFARI<sup>1,\*</sup>, M. SHAKOORI<sup>2</sup>, A. BARANI<sup>3</sup>, S. S. DRAGOMIR<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Lorestan University  
P. O. Box 465, Khoramabad, Iran*

<sup>4</sup>*School of Engineering and Science, Victoria University  
PO Box 14428 Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia.*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we establish a Hermite- Hadamard type inequality for operator preinvex functions and an estimate of the right hand side of a Hermite- Hadamard type inequality in which some operator preinvex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces are involved.  
**Keywords:** Hermite-Hadamard inequality, invex sets, operator preinvex functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following inequality holds for any convex function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $a < b$

$$(1.1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}$$

Both inequalities hold in the reversed direction if  $f$  is concave. We note that Hermite-Hadamard's inequality may be regarded as a refinement of the concept of convexity and it follows easily from Jensen's inequality. The classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality provides estimates of the mean value of a continuous convex function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Dragomir and Agarwal in [3] presented some estimates of the right hand side of a Hermite- Hadamard type inequality in which some convex functions are involved. The main results of [3] are given by the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$  and  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function on  $(a, b)$ . If  $|f'|$  is convex on  $[a, b]$  then the following inequality holds true*

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)(|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|)}{8}.$$

In recent years several extensions and generalizations have been considered for classical convexity. A significant generalization of convex functions is that of invex functions introduced by Hanson in [8].

Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Define the segment

$$[x, y] := (1-t)x + ty; t \in [0, 1].$$

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses:

ghazanfari.amir@gmail.com(A.G. Ghazanfari), sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au(S.S. Dragomir), alibarani2000@yahoo.com(A. Barani), mahmoodshakoori@gmail.com (Mahmood Shakoori).

We consider the function  $f : [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the associated function

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y) &: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ g(x, y)(t) &:= f((1-t)x + ty), t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f$  is convex on  $[x, y]$  if and only if  $g(x, y)$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ . For any convex function defined on a segment  $[x, y] \in X$ , we have the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality (see [4, p.2] and [5, p.2])

$$(1.3) \quad f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty)dt \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2},$$

which can be derived from the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality (1.1) for the convex function  $g(x, y) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Motivated by the above results we investigate in this paper the operator version of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator preinvex functions and operator convex functions.

In order to do that we need the following preliminary definitions and results. Let  $A$  be a bounded self adjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . The Gelfand map establishes a  $*$ -isometrically isomorphism  $\Phi$  between the set  $C(Sp(A))$  of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of  $A$ , denoted  $Sp(A)$ , and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A)$  generated by  $A$  and the identity operator  $1_H$  on  $H$  as follows (see for instance [7, p.3]). For any  $f, g \in C(Sp(A))$  and any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

- (i)  $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \Phi(f) + \beta \Phi(g)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$  and  $\Phi(f^*) = \Phi(f)^*$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in Sp(A)} |f(t)|$ ;
- (iv)  $\Phi(f_0) = 1$  and  $\Phi(f_1) = A$ , where  $f_0(t) = 1$  and  $f_1(t) = t$ , for  $t \in Sp(A)$ .

With this notation we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f) \text{ for all } f \in C(Sp(A))$$

and we call it the continuous functional calculus for a bounded selfadjoint operator  $A$ . If  $A$  is a bounded selfadjoint operator and  $f$  is a real valued continuous function on  $Sp(A)$ , then  $f(t) \geq 0$  for any  $t \in Sp(A)$  implies that  $f(A) \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $f(A)$  is a positive operator on  $H$ . Moreover, if both  $f$  and  $g$  are real valued functions on  $Sp(A)$  then the following important property holds:

$$(P) \quad f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for any } t \in Sp(A) \text{ implies that } f(A) \geq g(A)$$

in the operator order in  $B(H)$ .

A real valued continuous function  $f$  on an interval  $I$  is said to be operator convex (operator concave) if

$$(OC) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order in  $B(H)$ , for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and for every bounded selfadjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$  in  $B(H)$  whose spectra are contained in  $I$ .

Dragomir in [6] has proved a Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for operator convex function as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an operator convex function on the interval  $I$ . Then for any selfadjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$  with spectra in  $I$  we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{3A+B}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{A+3B}{4}\right) \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) + \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} \right] \left( \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we show that Theorem 3 holds for operator preinvex functions and establish an estimate of the right hand side of a Hermite- Hadamard type inequality in which some operator preinvex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces are involved.

## 2. OPERATOR PREINVEX FUNCTIONS

**Definition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a real vector space, a set  $S \subseteq X$  is said to be invex with respect to the map  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow X$ , if for every  $x, y \in S$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad y + t\eta(x, y) \in S.$$

It is obvious that every convex set is invex with respect to the map  $\eta(x, y) = x - y$ , but there exist invex sets which are not convex (see [1]).

Let  $S \subseteq X$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow X$ . For every  $x, y \in S$  the  $\eta$ -path  $P_{xv}$  joining the points  $x$  and  $v := x + \eta(y, x)$  is defined as follows

$$P_{xv} := \{z : z = x + t\eta(y, x) : t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The mapping  $\eta$  is said to be satisfies the condition  $C$  if for every  $x, y \in S$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$(C) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta(y, y + t\eta(x, y)) &= -t\eta(x, y), \\ \eta(x, y + t\eta(x, y)) &= (1 - t)\eta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every  $x, y \in S$  and every  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  from condition  $C$  we have

$$(2.2) \quad \eta(y + t_2\eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) = (t_2 - t_1)\eta(x, y),$$

see [9, 10] for details.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{sa}$  the set of all self adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.** *Let  $S \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$ . Then, the continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $S$ , if for every  $A, B \in S$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$(2.3) \quad f(A + t\eta(B, A)) \leq (1 - t)f(A) + tf(B).$$

in the operator order in  $B(H)$ .

Every operator convex function is an operator preinvex with respect to the map  $\eta(A, B) = A - B$  but the converse does not holds (see the following example).

Now, we give an example of some operator preinvex functions and invex sets with respect to the maps  $\eta$  which satisfy the conditions (C).

**Example 1.** (a) Suppose that  $1_H$  is the identity operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and

$$T := (-3 \times 1_H, -1 \times 1_H) = \{A \in B(H)_{sa} : -3 \times 1_H < A < -1 \times 1_H\}$$

$$U := (1_H, 4 \times 1_H) = \{A \in B(H)_{sa} : 1_H < A < 4 \times 1_H\}$$

$$S := T \cup U \subseteq B(H)_{sa}.$$

Suppose that the function  $\eta_1 : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$  is defined by

$$\eta_1(A, B) = \begin{cases} A - B & A, B \in U, \\ A - B & A, B \in T, \\ 1_H - B & A \in T, B \in U, \\ -1_H - B & A \in U, B \in T. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\eta_1$  satisfies condition  $C$  and  $S$  is an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$ . The real function  $f(t) = t^2$  is preinvex with respect to  $\eta_1$  on  $S$ . But the real function  $g(t) = a + bt$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  is not preinvex with respect to  $\eta_1$  on  $S$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $V := (-2 \times 1_H, 0)$ ,  $W := (0, 2 \times 1_H)$ ,  $S := V \cup W \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$  and the function  $\eta_2 : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$  is defined by

$$\eta_2(A, B) = \begin{cases} A - B & A, B \in V \text{ or } A, B \in W, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\eta_2$  satisfies condition  $C$  and  $S$  is an invex set with respect to  $\eta_2$ . The constant functions  $f(t) = a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is only preinvex functions with respect to  $\eta_2$  on  $S$ .

- (c) The function  $f(t) = -|t|$  is not a convex function, but it is a preinvex function with respect to  $\eta_3$ , where

$$\eta_3(A, B) = \begin{cases} A - B & A, B \geq 0 \text{ or } A, B \leq 0, \\ B - A & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $S \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that  $\eta$  satisfies condition  $C$  on  $S$ . Then for every  $A, B \in S$  and  $V = A + \eta(B, A)$  the function  $f$  is operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$  if and only if the function  $\varphi_{x,A,B} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_{x,A,B}(t) := \langle f(A + t\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle$$

is convex on  $[0, 1]$  for every  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\varphi_{x,A,B}$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$  and  $C_1 := A + t_1\eta(B, A) \in P_{AV}$ ,  $C_2 := A + t_2\eta(B, A) \in P_{AV}$ . Fix  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . By (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(C_1 + \lambda\eta(C_2, C_1))x, x \rangle &= \langle f(A + ((1 - \lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2)\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle \\ &= \varphi_{x,A,B}((1 - \lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)\varphi_{x,A,B}(t_1) + \lambda\varphi_{x,A,B}(t_2) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)\langle f(C_1)x, x \rangle + \lambda\langle f(C_2)x, x \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence,  $f$  is operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$ .

Conversely, let  $A, B \in S$  and the function  $f$  be operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$ . Suppose that  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ . Then, for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{x,A,B}((1-\lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2) &= \langle f(A + ((1-\lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2)\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle \\
 &= \langle f(A + t_1\eta(B, A) + \lambda\eta(A + t_2\eta(B, A), A + t_1\eta(B, A)))x, x \rangle \\
 (2.6) \quad &\leq \lambda \langle f(A + t_2\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle + (1-\lambda) \langle f(A + t_1\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle \\
 &= \lambda \varphi_{x,A,B}(t_2) + (1-\lambda) \varphi_{x,A,B}(t_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\varphi_{x,A,B}$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $S \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$  and  $\eta$  satisfies condition C. If for every  $A, B \in S$  and  $V = A + \eta(B, A)$  the function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$  with spectra of  $A$  and spectra of  $V$  in the interval  $I$ . Then we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{3A+V}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{A+3V}{4}\right) \right] \\
 (2.7) \quad &\leq \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A))dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right) + \frac{f(A) + f(V)}{2} \right] \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \langle (A + t\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle + t \langle \eta(B, A)x, x \rangle \in I,$$

since  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in Sp(A) \subseteq I$  and  $\langle Vx, x \rangle \in Sp(V) \subseteq I$ .

Continuity of  $f$  and (2.8) imply that the operator valued integral  $\int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A))dt$  exists. Since  $\eta$  satisfied condition C, therefore for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$(2.9) \quad A + \frac{1}{2}\eta(B, A) = A + t\eta(B, A) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(A + (1-t)\eta(B, A), A + t\eta(B, A)).$$

Preinvexity  $f$  with respect to  $\eta$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f\left(A + \frac{1}{2}\eta(B, A)\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}f(A + t\eta(B, A)) + \frac{1}{2}f(A + (1-t)\eta(B, A)) \\
 (2.10) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2}[(1-t)f(A) + tf(B)] + \frac{1}{2}[tf(A) + (1-t)f(B)] \\
 &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the inequality (2.10) over  $t \in [0, 1]$  and taking into account that

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A))dt = \int_0^1 f(A + (1-t)\eta(B, A))dt$$

then we deduce the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator preinvex functions

$$f\left(\frac{A + (A + \eta(B, A))}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A))dt \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}.$$

that holds for any selfadjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$  with the spectra in  $I$ . Define the real-valued function  $\varphi_{x,A,B} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\varphi_{x,A,B}(t) = \langle f(A + t\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle$ . Since  $f$  is operator preinvex, by the previous proposition 1,  $\varphi_{x,A,B}$  is a convex

function on  $[0, 1]$ . Utilizing the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for real-valued convex functions

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(s) ds \leq \frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2}$$

with  $a = 0, b = \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\left\langle f\left(\frac{3A+V}{4}\right) x, x \right\rangle \leq 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{x,A,B}(t) dt \leq \left\langle \frac{f(A) + f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right)}{2} x, x \right\rangle$$

and with  $a = \frac{1}{2}, b = 1$  we have

$$\left\langle f\left(\frac{A+3V}{4}\right) x, x \right\rangle \leq 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \varphi_{x,A,B}(t) dt \leq \left\langle \frac{f(V) + f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right)}{2} x, x \right\rangle$$

which by summation and division by two produces

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{3A+V}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{A+3V}{4}\right) \right] x, x \right\rangle &\leq \int_0^1 \langle f(A + t\eta(B, A)) x, x \rangle dt \\ &\leq \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right) + \frac{f(A) + f(V)}{2} \right] x, x \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from the continuity of the function  $f$  we have

$$\int_0^1 \langle f(A + t\eta(B, A)) x, x \rangle dt = \left\langle \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A)) dt x, x \right\rangle,$$

and the inequality (2.9) implies that

$$f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{3A+V}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{A+3V}{4}\right) \right] \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}.$$

Hence we deduce the desired result (2.7).  $\square$

A simple consequence of the above theorem is that the integral is closer to the left bound than to the right, namely we can state:

**Corollary 1.** *With the assumptions in Theorem 3 we have the inequality*

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A)) dt - f\left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f(A + t\eta(B, A)) dt.$$

**Example 2.** *Let  $S, f, \eta_1$  be as in Example 1, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{3A+V}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{A+3V}{4}\right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (A + t\eta_1(B, A))^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{A+V}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{A^2 + V^2}{2} \right] \leq \frac{A^2 + B^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $A, B \in S$  and  $V = A + \eta_1(B, A)$ .

The following Theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [2].

**Theorem 4.** Let the function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous,  $S \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$  be an open invex set with respect to  $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow B(H)_{sa}$  and  $\eta$  satisfies condition C. If for every  $A, B \in S$  and  $V = A + \eta(B, A)$  the function  $f$  is operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$  with spectra of  $A$  and spectra of  $V$  in  $I$ . Then, for every  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  with  $a < b$  and every  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  the following inequality holds,

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int_0^a f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds x, x \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int_0^b f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds x, x \right\rangle - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left\langle \int_0^t f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds x, x \right\rangle dt \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} \{ \langle f(A + a\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle + \langle f(A + b\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle \}.$$

Moreover we have

$$(2.13) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^b f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_0^t f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds dt \right\| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} \|f(A + a\eta(B, A)) + f(A + b\eta(B, A))\| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} [ \|f(A + a\eta(B, A))\| + \|f(A + b\eta(B, A))\| ].$$

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \in S$  and  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  with  $a < b$ . For  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  we define the function  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$\varphi(t) := \left\langle \int_0^t f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds x, x \right\rangle.$$

Utilizing the continuity of the function  $f$ , the continuity property of the inner product and the properties of the integral of operator-valued functions we have

$$\left\langle \int_0^t f(A + s\eta(B, A)) ds x, x \right\rangle = \int_0^t \langle f(A + s\eta(B, A)) x, x \rangle ds.$$

Since  $f(A + s\eta(B, A)) \geq 0$ , therefore  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . Obviously for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\varphi'(t) = \langle f(A + t\eta(B, A))x, x \rangle \geq 0,$$

hence,  $|\varphi'(t)| = \varphi'(t)$ . Since  $f$  is operator preinvex with respect to  $\eta$  on  $\eta$ -path  $P_{AV}$ , by Proposition 1 the function  $\varphi'$  is convex. Applying Theorem 1 to the function  $\varphi$  implies that

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(s) ds \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)(\varphi'(a) + \varphi'(b))}{8},$$

and we deduce that (2.12) holds. Taking supremum over both side of inequality (2.12) for all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we deduce that the inequality (2.13) holds.  $\square$

### 3. APPLICATION FOR OPERATOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

If we consider  $\eta(B, A) = B - A$  in Theorem 3 then  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be an operator convex function and  $V = B$ . Hence we can conclude Theorem 2 as a result of Theorem 3.

As an application of Theorem 4 we state the following Theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [2].

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be an operator convex function on the interval  $I$ . Then for any selfadjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$  with spectra in  $I$  and  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  with  $a < b$  the following inequality holds,*

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int_0^a f((1-s)A + sB) ds \, x, x \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int_0^b f((1-s)A + sB) ds \, x, x \right\rangle - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left\langle \int_0^t f((1-s)A + sB) ds \, x, x \right\rangle dt \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} [\langle f((1-a)A + aB)x, x \rangle + \langle f((1-b)A + bB)x, x \rangle].$$

Moreover we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a f((1-s)A + sB) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^b f((1-s)A + sB) ds - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_0^t f((1-s)A + sB) ds dt \right\| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} \|f((1-a)A + aB) + f((1-b)A + bB)\| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} [\|f((1-a)A + aB)\| + \|f((1-b)A + bB)\|].$$

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Antczak, Mean value in invexity analysis, *Nonlinear Analysis* **60** (2005), 1471-1484.
- [2] A. Barani, A.G. Ghazanfari, S.S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose derivatives absolute values are preinvex, *J. Inequal. Appl.* Vol(2012), Article ID 247.
- [3] S.S. Dragomir, and R.P. Agarwal, Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **11** (5)(1998), 91-95.
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2002), No. 2, Article 31.
- [5] S.S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2002), No.3, Article 35.
- [6] S.S. Dragomir, The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(3)(2011), 766-772.
- [7] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [8] M.A. Hanson, On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80** (1981), 545-550.
- [9] S. R. Mohan and S. K. Neogy, On invex sets and preinvex function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **189** (1995), 901-908.



- [10] X. M. Yang and D. Li, On properties of preinvex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **256** (2001), 229-241.