

ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF GELFAND-SHILOV-ROUMIEU SPACES

MIHAI PASCU

ABSTRACT. Generalized \mathbf{m} -Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu vector spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ are introduced. Here $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)})$, $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ are sequences of positive real numbers and X_1, \dots, X_n are operators in a Hilbert space. Our definition extends Ter Elst's definition of Gevrey vector spaces [TE2]. Conditions are given on the sequences $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ and on the operators X_1, \dots, X_n so that the equality $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X}) = S_{m^{(1)}}(X_1) \cap \dots \cap S_{m^{(n)}}(X_n)$ is valid. As a corollary we obtain a new proof of a characterization theorem for classical Gelfand-Shilov spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The Heisenberg group	2
3. More general commutation relations	6
References	7

1. INTRODUCTION

The GS (Gelfand-Shilov) spaces were introduced in the last chapter of the second volume of I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov's monograph on Generalized Functions [GS]. They are subspaces of Schwartz's space ([Sch]) \mathcal{S} of rapidly decreasing functions. Usually a C^∞ function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to a GS space \mathcal{S}_b^a , $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ if there exist positive constants C, A and B so that

$$(1.1) \quad |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} (\alpha!)^a (\beta!)^b, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

We have used the following notations: $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha!^a = \alpha_1!^{a_1} \dots \alpha_n!^{a_n}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. In the same monograph Gelfand and Shilov introduced more general spaces. Let $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$, $q^{(1)}, \dots, q^{(n)}$ be sequences of positive real numbers, $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)})$, $\mathbf{q} = (q^{(1)}, \dots, q^{(n)})$. A rapidly decaying function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{q}}$ if there exist positive constants C, A and B such that

$$(1.2) \quad |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} \mathbf{m}_\alpha \mathbf{q}_\beta, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

where $\mathbf{m}_\alpha = m_{\alpha_1}^{(1)} \dots m_{\alpha_n}^{(n)}$.

These spaces were also thoroughly studied in Roumieu's PhD thesis ([R]). In fact, in [GS] and [R], only functions which depended on a single variable were considered, but the generalization of their definition to more variables is straightforward. In this paper we shall call the spaces of functions which satisfy (1.1) GS spaces of Gevrey type and the more general spaces of functions which satisfy (1.2) Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu (GSR) spaces.

Date: February 8, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B35; Secondary 22E45, 46F15.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu vectors, Heisenberg group.

This research has been partially supported by the Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131.

A series of papers have been devoted to the study of these spaces and alternative characterizations have been provided. We can distinguish two approaches, a pure analytical one, see [Ka], [CCK], [GZ], [Te1], [Te2] for GS spaces of Gevrey type and [Ba], [CCK], [Pa1], [Pa2] for GSR spaces, and another one which involves more functional analytic and Lie groups theory methods, see [GW], [EG], [Ei], [TE1], [TE2] for GS spaces of Gevrey type. In this context, we have also to mention the seminal works of Nelson ([Ne]), Nussbaum ([Nu]) and Goodman ([Go]).

In [Ka] Kashpirovski answered to a question raised in [GS] and proved the equality $\mathcal{S}_a^b = \mathcal{S}^b \cap \mathcal{S}_a$ for $n = 1$, $a, b \geq 0$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}; \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C = C(\beta), \exists A > 0, |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CA^\alpha \alpha!^a, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^b = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}; \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, (\exists)C = C(\alpha), \exists B > 0, |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CB^\beta \beta!^b, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In [CCK] a similar result was proved for GSR spaces. If $m^{(1)} = \dots = m^{(n)} = m$, $q^{(1)} = \dots = q^{(n)} = q$, if these sequences satisfy assumptions (A1), (A2) and an assumption slightly weaker than (A3) ((A1), (A2), and (A3) will be introduced in the next section), then a function φ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{q}}$ if and only if there exist positive constants C, A and B such that

$$|x^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|}, |\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq CB^{|\beta|} q_{|\beta|}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

In [TE2], TerElst obtained Kashpirovsky's result as a corollary of a general theorem on Gevrey vectors relative to finite families of operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ let $M(n)$ be the set of multiindices $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, n\}^k$. If $\alpha \in M(n)$ is so that $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k$ we put $|\alpha| = k$ ($|\alpha|$ is the length of α) and, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|\alpha|_j = \text{card} \{l \in \{1, \dots, n\}; i_l = j\}$.

If X_1, \dots, X_n are operators in \mathcal{H} and if $u \in \mathcal{H}$, then u is called a Gevrey vector of order $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ relative to X_1, \dots, X_n ($u \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$) if u belongs to the domain of the operator $X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall \alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in M(n)$ and if there exist some positive constants C and A so that

$$\|X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k} u\| \leq CA^k \alpha!^\lambda, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now $\alpha!^\lambda = |\alpha|_1^{\lambda_1} \dots |\alpha|_n^{\lambda_n}$. Ter Elst investigated the problem of finding appropriate conditions on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and X_1, \dots, X_n in order that $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{S}_{\lambda_j}(X_j)$.

As a corollary of his results one obtains Kashpirovsky's theorem.

We prove that Ter Elst's approach works also for the more general spaces of Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu vectors where $\alpha!^\lambda$ is replaced with \mathbf{m}_α , if the sequences $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ satisfy assumptions (A1)-(A3) from the next section. Chung, Chung, Kim's result can be obtained as a corollary of this approach. Let us stress that other general results on the intersections of Gevrey spaces are valid for the more general spaces of Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu vectors. We shall give an example in the third section.

2. THE HEISENBERG GROUP

First of all we have to introduce some more notations.

If $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in M(n)$, then $\alpha \vee \beta = (i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_l)$ is their concatenation. The reverse of $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is $\alpha^r = (i_k, \dots, i_1)$.

If $m^{(j)} = (m_p^{(j)})_p, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are sequences of positive real numbers and $\alpha \in M(n)$, then we put $\mathbf{m}_\alpha = m_{|\alpha|_1}^{(1)} \dots m_{|\alpha|_n}^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{m}' = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n-1)})$. Also $\alpha' \in M(n-1)$ is the multiindex which is obtained from $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ after the elimination of its components i_l with $i_l = n$ and $\mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'} = m_{|\alpha|_1}^{(1)} \dots m_{|\alpha|_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}$.

For an operator Y in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} we denote with $\text{Dom}(Y)$ its domain of definition and if Y and Z are operators in \mathcal{H} , $[Y, Z]$ denotes their commutator, whenever this can be defined. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be operators in \mathcal{H} , $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. If $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, then $\mathbf{X}_\alpha = X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}$. We shall also use the notation $\mathbf{X}' = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$. The space of \mathbf{X} -smooth vectors is

$$C^\infty(\mathbf{X}) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}; u \in \text{Dom}(\mathbf{X}_\alpha), \forall \alpha \in M(n)\}.$$

The space of \mathbf{m} -Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu(GSR) vectors relative to \mathbf{X} is

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X}) = \{u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X}); \exists A, C > 0 \text{ such that } \|\mathbf{X}_\alpha u\| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{m}_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in M(n)\}.$$

We shall impose the following conditions on the sequences $m^{(j)}$:

(A0) $m_0^{(j)} = m_1^{(j)} = 1, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$;

(A1) $(m_p^{(j)})^2 \leq m_{p-1}^{(j)} m_{p+1}^{(j)}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ (logarithmic convexity);

(A2) $\exists H > 0$ so that $m_{p+q}^{(j)} \leq H^{p+q} m_p^{(j)} m_q^{(j)}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \forall p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ (the ultradifferentiability condition of Komatsu ([K])).

Remark 2.1. If $m^{(j)}$ is a logarithmic convex sequence and if i_0 is so that $m_{i_0}^{(j)} \leq m_{i_0+1}^{(j)}$, then $m_i^{(j)} \leq m_{i+1}^{(j)}, \forall i \geq i_0$. Therefore if $m^{(j)}$ is an unbounded sequence, there exists i_0 so that $1 \leq m_i^{(j)} \leq m_{i+1}^{(j)}, \forall i \geq i_0$. Since the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ does not depend on the values of a finite number of terms of the sequences $m^{(j)}$, the technical assumption (A0) is not a restrictive one in this case. Also we can assume without any loss of generality that $m^{(j)}$ are nondecreasing sequences.

The fourth assumption which we shall need is in the spirit of Definition 2.2 from [CCK] and ensures that the GSR spaces, as they are defined in [GS], are not trivial. This assumption relates two sequences $m^{(j)}$ and $m^{(k)}$:

(A3) $\exists L \geq 1$ so that $p m_{p-1}^{(j)} m_{p-1}^{(k)} \leq L m_p^{(j)} m_p^{(k)}, \forall p \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.2. If $m^{(j)}$ is logarithmic convex, then

$$\frac{m_p^{(j)}}{m_q^{(j)}} \leq \frac{m_{p+1}^{(j)}}{m_{q+1}^{(j)}} \text{ for } q < p.$$

Proof. If $m^{(j)}$ is logarithmic convex, then

$$\frac{m_p^{(j)}}{m_{p-1}^{(j)}} \leq \frac{m_{p+1}^{(j)}}{m_p^{(j)}}, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{m_p^{(j)}}{m_q^{(j)}} = \frac{m_p^{(j)}}{m_{p-1}^{(j)}} \dots \frac{m_{q+1}^{(j)}}{m_q^{(j)}} \leq \frac{m_{p+1}^{(j)}}{m_p^{(j)}} \dots \frac{m_{q+2}^{(j)}}{m_{q+1}^{(j)}} = \frac{m_{p+1}^{(j)}}{m_{q+1}^{(j)}}.$$

□

The next result is a generalization of Lemma 1 from [TE2].

Lemma 2.3. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)})$ be such that $m^{(j)}$ satisfy (A2) $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X})$ and there exist two positive constants A and C such that

$$(2.1) \quad |(\mathbf{X}_\alpha u, u)| \leq C A^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{m}_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in M(n).$$

Proof. If the estimates (2.1) hold for some $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X})$ and if $\alpha \in M(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_\alpha u\|^2 &= (\mathbf{X}_\alpha u, \mathbf{X}_\alpha u) = |(\mathbf{X}_{\alpha^r \vee \alpha} u, u)| \leq C A^{2|\alpha|} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha^r \vee \alpha} = C A^{2|\alpha|} m_{2|\alpha|_1}^{(1)} \dots m_{2|\alpha|_n}^{(n)} \leq \\ &\leq C A^{2|\alpha|} H^{2|\alpha|} (m_{|\alpha|_1}^{(1)})^2 \dots (m_{|\alpha|_n}^{(n)})^2 = C (AH)^{2|\alpha|} (\mathbf{m}_\alpha)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$, then (1) follows from Schwarz's inequality: $|(\mathbf{X}_\alpha u, u)| \leq \|\mathbf{X}_\alpha u\| \|u\|$. □

Proposition 2.4. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D , $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ sequences of positive numbers which satisfy (A0)-(A2). If the pair $(m^{(j)}, m^{(n)})$ satisfies (A3) and if $[X_j, X_n] = c_j I$ for some $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $u \in D$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n) \cap D$. Then there exist some constants $A, C > 0$ so that

$$\|\mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u\| \leq C A^{|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'}, \forall \alpha' \in M(n-1),$$

$$\|X_n^l u\| \leq C A^l m_l^{(n)}, \forall l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall apply Lemma 2.3. We shall prove by induction on $|\gamma|$ that

$$(2.2) \quad |(X_n^l \mathbf{X}_\gamma \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| \leq C^2 (2nL)^{|\gamma|} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha' \vee \gamma, m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)}}, \forall l \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \alpha' \in M(n-1), \forall \gamma \in M(n),$$

for $A \geq \max \{ \max \{ |c_j|; j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \}, 1 \}$ and L sufficiently large, so that the inequalities (A3) hold for all the pairs $(m^{(j)}, m^{(n)})$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Remark that if $l = 0$, $|\alpha'| = 0$, then (2.2) coincides with (2.1).

If $|\gamma| = 0$, then

$$|(X_n^l \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| = |(\mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, X_n^l u)| \leq \|\mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u\| \cdot \|X_n^l u\| \leq C^2 A^{l+|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'} m_l^{(n)}$$

and (2.2) is true in this case.

Let us assume that the estimate is true for all multiindices of length k and let γ be a multiindex of length $k+1$. There are two possibilities: $|\gamma'| \geq |\gamma|_n$ or $|\gamma'| < |\gamma|_n$. We consider first the case when $|\gamma'| \geq |\gamma|_n$.

If $\gamma = (i_1, \dots, i_{k+1})$ and $i_{k+1} \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have nothing to prove. So we assume that $X_\gamma = X_\delta X_j X_n^i$ for some $\delta \in M(n)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We have

$$[X_j, X_n^i] = (i-1) c_j X_n^{i-1},$$

so

$$X_j X_n^i = X_n^i X_j + (i-1) c_j X_n^{i-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(X_n^l \mathbf{X}_\gamma \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| &\leq |(X_n^l \mathbf{X}_\delta X_n^i X_j \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| + (i-1) |c_j| |(X_n^l \mathbf{X}_\delta X_n^{i-1} \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| \\ &\leq C^2 (2nL)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|-1+|\alpha'|+1} \mathbf{m}'_{\delta' \vee (j) \vee \alpha'} m_{l+i+|\delta|_n}^{(n)} + \\ &\quad + C^2 (i-1) |c_j| (2nL)^{|\gamma|-2} A^{l+|\gamma|-2+|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\delta' \vee \alpha'} m_{l+i-1+|\delta|_n}^{(n)} \leq \\ &\leq C^2 (2nL)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha' \vee \gamma'} m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)} + \\ &\quad + C^2 (i-1) (2nL)^{|\gamma|-2} A^{l+|\gamma|-1+|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\delta' \vee \alpha'} m_{l+|\gamma|_n-1}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\gamma'| \geq |\gamma|_n \geq i$ and, consequently, $|\delta'| \geq i-1$, there exists $p \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ so that $|\delta'|_p \geq \frac{i-1}{n} \geq \lfloor \frac{i-1}{n} \rfloor \equiv \nu$. Using Lemma 2.1 and (A3) for the pair $(m^{(p)}, m^{(n)})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1) m_{|\alpha' \vee \delta'|_p}^{(p)} m_{l+|\gamma|_n-1}^{(n)} &= \frac{i-1}{\nu} \nu m_\nu^{(p)} m_\nu^{(n)} \frac{m_{|\alpha' \vee \delta'|_p}^{(p)}}{m_\nu^{(p)}} \frac{m_{l+|\gamma|_n-1}^{(n)}}{m_\nu^{(n)}} \leq \\ &\leq L \frac{i-1}{\nu} m_{\nu+1}^{(p)} m_{\nu+1}^{(n)} \frac{m_{|\alpha' \vee \delta'|_p+1}^{(p)}}{m_{\nu+1}^{(p)}} \frac{m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)}}{m_{\nu+1}^{(n)}} \leq \\ &\leq 2nL m_{|\alpha' \vee \delta'|_p+1}^{(p)} m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

for $i \geq 1$.

Therefore

$$|(X_n^l \mathbf{X}_\gamma \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| \leq C^2 A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} 2(2nL)^{|\gamma|-1} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'+\gamma'} m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)} \leq C^2 A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} (2nL)^{|\gamma|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'+\gamma'} m_{l+|\gamma|_n}^{(n)},$$

and (2.2) holds for $|\gamma| = k+1$ in this case.

If $|\gamma'| < |\gamma|_n$, then we can write $\mathbf{X}_\gamma = X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_\mu} X_n \mathbf{X}_\delta$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_\mu \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\delta \in M(n)$. We have

$$[X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_\mu}, X_n] = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} c_{i_\nu} X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_{\nu-1}} X_{i_{\nu+1}} \dots X_{i_\mu}.$$

Using this formula and the induction hypothesis we can prove in a similar manner than (2.2) is valid in this case also. \square

Remark 2.5. If X_1, \dots, X_n are commuting Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D and if $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ are sequences of positive numbers which satisfy (A2), then $u \in D$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n)$.

Indeed, if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n) \cap D$, C and A are positive constants as in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 1 and if $\alpha \in M(n)$, then

$$|(\mathbf{X}_\alpha u, u)| = |(X_n^{|\alpha|n} \mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u, u)| \leq \|(X_n^{|\alpha|n} u\| \|\mathbf{X}'_{\alpha'} u\| \leq C A^{|\alpha'|} \mathbf{m}'_{\alpha'} \cdot C A^{|\alpha|n} m_{|\alpha|n}^{(n)} = C^2 A^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{m}_\alpha.$$

Theorem 2.6. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D , $[X_i, X_j] = c_{ij}I$ for some constants $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let also $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}$ be sequences of positive numbers which satisfy (A0)-(A2) and also (A3) for all pairs (i, j) with $c_{ij} \neq 0$. Then $u \in D$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{m^{(1)}}(X_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n) \cap D$ then $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X})$. We can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 1. We can prove by induction on $|\gamma|$ that if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n) \cap D$, then u satisfies (2.2). If $\gamma \in M(n)$, $\gamma = \delta \vee (j) \vee (n)^i$ and if $[X_j, X_n] = 0$, then the hypothesis (A3) for the pair (j, n) is not necessary. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D ,

$$[X_i, X_j] = [Y_i, Y_j] = 0, \forall i, j \in \{i, \dots, n\}, [X_i, Y_j] = 0, \forall i, j \in \{i, \dots, n\}, i \neq j, [X_i, Y_i] = c_i I,$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\forall i \in \{i, \dots, n\}$.

Let us also assume that $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}, q^{(1)}, \dots, q^{(n)}$ are sequences of positive numbers which satisfy (A0) - (A2) and that the pair $(m^{(i)}, q^{(i)})$ satisfies (A3) $\forall i \in \{i, \dots, n\}$. If $u \in D$, then

$$u \in \mathcal{S}_{(m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(n)}, q^{(1)}, \dots, q^{(n)})}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

if and only if

$$u \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{Y})$$

and if and only if

$$u \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{S}_{m^{(i)}}(X_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{S}_{q^{(i)}}(Y_i) \right).$$

Remark 2.8. Let us assume that π is a strongly continuous representation of a Lie group G on \mathcal{H} and that X_j is the infinitesimal generator of the strongly continuous one parameter group $t \mapsto \pi(\exp t Y_j)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ is a basis for the Lie algebra of the Lie group G . Then, accordingly to Theorem 1.1 from [Go], $C^\infty(\mathbf{X}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^n C^\infty(X_j)$. Therefore in this case $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{S}_{m^{(j)}}(X_j) \subset C^\infty(\mathbf{X})$ and, if the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 is satisfied, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{S}_{m^{(1)}}(X_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{S}_{m^{(n)}}(X_n).$$

We shall apply now these results to the case when $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$, $X_j = \partial/\partial x_j$, $Y_j = x_j$, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $Z = I$ are the infinitezimal generators of a representation of the Heisenberg algebra on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case the hypothesis of Corollary 2.7 is satisfied. Hence, for a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ there exists some positive constants A, B and C so that

$$(2.3) \quad \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi\| \leq C A^{|\alpha|} B^{|\beta|} m_\alpha q_\beta, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

if and only if there exists some positive constants A, B and C so that

$$(2.4) \quad \|x^\alpha \varphi\| \leq C A^{|\alpha|} m_\alpha, \quad \|\partial^\beta \varphi\| \leq C B^{|\beta|} q_\beta, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Now let us assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \|x^\alpha \varphi\|_\infty \leq C_1 A_1^{|\alpha|} m_\alpha, \quad \|\partial^\beta \varphi\|_\infty \leq C_1 B_1^{|\beta|} q_\beta, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

for some positive constants A_1, B_1 and C_1 .

Then

$$\|x^\alpha \varphi\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \varphi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \|(1 + |x|^2)^{(1/2)([n/2]+1)} x^\alpha \varphi\|_\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^{-[n/2]-1} dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

From (A2) we obtain that

$$\|x^\alpha \varphi\| \leq C_2 A_1^{|\alpha| + [n/4] + 2} H^{|\alpha| + [n/4] + 2} m_\alpha \leq C_3 (A_1 H)^{|\alpha|} m_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\beta \varphi\| &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\beta \varphi(x) \partial^\beta \bar{\varphi}(x) dx \right)^{1/2} = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \partial^{2\beta} \bar{\varphi}(x) dx \right|^{1/2} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \|\partial^{2\beta} \varphi\|_\infty^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^{1/2} (C_1 B_1^{2|\beta|} m_{2\beta})^{1/2} \leq C_4 (B_1 H)^{|\beta|} m_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies (2.5), then it satisfies also (2.4). We know also that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_m^q$ if and only if φ satisfies (2.3) (see e. g. Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 from [Pa1]). Hence we have derived Chung-Chung-Kim's result: $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_m^q$ if and only if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and φ satisfies (2.5).

3. MORE GENERAL COMMUTATION RELATIONS

The commutation relations between the operators X_j we considered in the previous section are the commutation relations satisfied by the operators which generate the Heisenberg algebra. However, one can prove in a similar manner results for intersections of spaces of Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu vectors if the generators of the Lie algebra of operators satisfy more general commutation relations. The simplest one is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D , $m^{(1)} = \dots = m^{(n)} = m$, where m is a sequence of positive numbers which satisfies (A0)-(A2) and (A3'): $pm_{p-1} \leq Lm_p, \forall p \geq 1$ for some constant $L \geq 1$. If $[X_i, X_j] \in \text{span}(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\})$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $u \in D$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in \cap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{S}_m(X_j)$.*

Theorem 3.1 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3 from below. In the proof of Proposition 3.3 we shall use the following remark.

Remark 3.2. If $m^{(1)} = \dots = m^{(n)} = m$, where m is a sequence of positive numbers which satisfies (A0)-(A2), then $u \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X})$ and there exists $A, C > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{X}_\alpha u\| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|}, \forall \alpha \in M(n)$. Therefore if X_1, \dots, X_n are Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $u \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X})$ and there exist two positive constants A and C so that

$$(3.1) \quad |(\mathbf{X}_\alpha u, u)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha \in M(n).$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined on a common invariant domain D , $m^{(1)} = \dots = m^{(n)} = m$, where m is a sequence of positive numbers which satisfy (A0)-(A2) and (A3'). If $[X_j, X_n] \in \text{span}(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\})$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $u \in D$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{S}_{m'}(\mathbf{X}') \cap \mathcal{S}_{m(n)}(X_n)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4. So, let $[X_j, X_n] = \sum_{p=1}^n c_{j,p} X_p, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and L be the constant from the inequality (A3'). Let $A \geq 1$ and C be constants as in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2.4. We may assume also that $A \geq |c_{j,p}|, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \forall p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We shall prove by induction on $|\gamma|$ that

$$(3.2) \quad |(X_n^l X_\gamma X_{\alpha'}' u, u)| \leq C^2 ((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|} A^{|\alpha'| + |\gamma| + l} m_{|\alpha'| + |\gamma| + l}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \alpha' \in M(n-1), \forall \gamma \in M(n).$$

Again, if $|\gamma| = 0$, then (3.2) is clearly true. We assume that the estimate is true for all multiindices of length k and let γ be a multindex of length $k+1$. If $\gamma = (i_1, \dots, i_{k+1})$ and $i_{k+1} \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have nothing to prove. So we assume that $\mathbf{X}_\gamma = \mathbf{X}_\delta X_j X_n^i$ for some $\delta \in M(n)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We have

$$[X_j, X_n^i] = \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{p=1}^n c_{j,p} X_n^q X_p X_n^{i-q-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(X_n^l X_\gamma X_{\alpha'}' u, u)| &\leq |(X_n^l X_\delta X_n^i X_j X_{\alpha'}' u, u)| + \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{p=1}^n |c_{j,p}| |(X_n^l X_\delta X_n^q X_p X_n^{i-q-1} X_{\alpha'}' u, u)| \leq \\ &\leq C^2((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} m_{|\alpha'|+|\gamma|+l} + \\ &+ C^2 n i (\max\{|c_{j,p}|; p=1, \dots, n\}) ((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|-1} m_{|\alpha'|+|\gamma|+l-1} \leq \\ &\leq C^2((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} m_{|\alpha'|+|\gamma|+l} + \\ &+ C^2 n L ((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|-1} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} m_{|\alpha'|+|\gamma|+l} \leq \\ &\leq C^2((n+1)L)^{|\gamma|} A^{l+|\gamma|+|\alpha'|} m_{|\alpha'|+|\gamma|+l}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In a future paper we intend to consider the case when the condition $m^{(1)} = \dots = m^{(n)} = m$ is not satisfied.

REFERENCES

- [Ba] K. I. Babenko, On a new quasianality problem and on the Fourier transform of entire functions (in Russian), *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva*, 5(1956), 523-542
- [CCK] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, D. Kim, Characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces via Fourier transforms, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 124, 7(1996), 2101-2108
- [Ei] S. J. L. van Eijndhoven, Functional analytic characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces S_α^β , *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen*, A 90 (1987), 133-144
- [EG] S. J. L. van Eijndhoven, J. de Graaf, *Trajectory Spaces, Generalized Functions and Unbounded Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1162, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1985
- [GS] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions, vol. 2, Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1968 (translated from Russian)
- [Go] R. Goodman, Analytic and entire vectors for representations of Lie groups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 143 (1969), 55-76
- [GW] R. Goodman, N. Wallach, Whittaker vectors and conical vectors, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 39(1980), 199-279
- [GZ] K. Gröchenig, G. Zimmermann, Spaces of test functions via the STFT, *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, 2 (2004), 25-53
- [Ka] A. I. Kashpirovsky, Equality of the spaces S_α^β and $S_\alpha \cap S^\beta$, *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, 14 (1978), 60
- [Ko] H. Komatsu, Ultradistributions, I. Structure theorems and a characterization, *Journal of the Faculty of Sciences, University of Tokyo, Section 1A*, 20 (1973), 25-105
- [Ne] E. Nelson, Analytic vectors, *Annals of Mathematics*, 70 (1959), 572-615
- [Nu] A. F. Nussbaum, Quasi-analytic vectors, *Arkiv for Matematik*, 6 (1965), 179-191
- [Pa1] M. Pascu, On the topology of Gelfand-Shilov-Roumieu spaces, *Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiesti Mathematics-Informatics-Physics series*, 60, 1 (2008), 11-18
- [Pa2] M. Pascu, On the definition of Gelfand-Shilov spaces, *Annals of the University of Bucharest, Mathematical Series*, 59, 1 (2010), 125-133
- [Ro] Ch. Roumieu, Sur quelques extensions de la notion de distribution, *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 3-ème série*, 77 (1960), 41-121
- [Sch] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966
- [Te1] N. Teofanov, Ultradistributions and time-frequency analysis, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 164, (A. Baggiatto, L. Rodino, J. Toft, M. W. Wang, eds.) 173-191, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006
- [Te2] N. Teofanov, Modulation spaces, Gelfand-Shilov spaces and pseudodifferential operators, *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing*, 5, 2 (2006), 225-242

- [TE1] A. T. M. Ter Elst, On infinitely differentiable and Gevrey vectors for representations, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 112, 3 (1991), 795-802
- [TE2] A. T. M. Ter Elst, Gevrey spaces and their intersections, *Journal of Australian Mathematical Society, Series A*, 54 (1993), 263-286

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW" OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA AND
"PETROLEUM-GAS" UNIVERSITY OF PLOIESTI, BD. BUCURESTI, 39, PLOIESTI
E-mail address: `mihai.pascu@imar.ro`