

NORM-ATTAINING COMPACT OPERATORS

MIGUEL MARTÍN

Departamento de Análisis Matemático
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Granada
18071 Granada, Spain
E-mail: mmartins@ugr.es

ABSTRACT. We show examples of compact linear operators between Banach spaces which cannot be approximated by norm attaining operators. This is the negative answer to an open question posed in the 1970's. Actually, any strictly convex Banach space failing the approximation property serves as the range space. On the other hand, there are examples in which the domain space has a Schauder basis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the classical Bishop-Phelps theorem of 1961 [4] stating the density of norm-attaining functionals on every Banach space, the study of the density of norm-attaining operators started with J. Lindenstrauss' 1963 paper [16], where the author showed that the Bishop-Phelps theorem is not longer true for operators and gave some partial positive results. We recall that an operator T between two Banach spaces X and Y is said to *attain its norm* whenever there is $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|T\| = \|T(x)\|$ (i.e. the supremum defining the operator norm is actually a maximum). An intensive research about this topic has been developed by, among others, J. Bourgain in the 1970's, J. Partington and W. Schachermayer in the 1980's, and M. Acosta, W. Gowers and R. Payá in the 1990's. The expository paper [3] can be used for reference and background.

All known examples of operators which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining ones are non-compact, so the question whether every linear compact operator between Banach spaces can be approximated by norm-attaining operators seems to be open. It was explicitly asked by J. Diestel and J. Uhl in the 1976 paper [7] (as Problem 4 in page 6) and in their monograph on vector measures [8, p. 217], and also in the 1979 paper by J. Johnson and J. Wolfe [15] (as Question 2 in page 17). More recently, the question also appeared in the 2006 expository paper by M. Acosta [3, p. 16].

The main aim of this paper is to answer the question in the negative by providing two Banach spaces X and Y and a compact linear operator from X into Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators. This comes from extending an idea of Lindenstrauss for c_0 to its closed subspaces and applying it to Enflo's counterexample to the approximation problem.

Date: June 5th, 2013. Revised May 16th, 2014.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20; Secondary 46B04, 46B45, 46B28, 47B07.

Key words and phrases. Banach space; norm-attaining operators; compact operators; approximation property; strict convexity.

Supported by Spanish MICINN and FEDER project no. MTM2012-31755 and by Junta de Andalucía and FEDER grants FQM-185 and P09-FQM-4911.

Moreover, thanks to an example of W. Johnson and G. Schechtman, the space X can be taken with Schauder basis. It is also possible to get an example where $X = Y$. We also show that for every strictly convex Banach space Y without the approximation property, there exists a Banach space X such that $K(X, Y)$ is not contained in the closure of the set of norm-attaining operators. Finally, we present some known conditions ensuring the density of norm-attaining operators in the space of compact operators and discuss some open questions.

Let us finish the introduction with the needed notation. Given two (real or complex) Banach spaces X and Y , we write $L(X, Y)$ for the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y , endowed with the operator norm. By $K(X, Y)$ and $F(X, Y)$ we denote the subspaces of $L(X, Y)$ of compact operators and finite-rank operators, respectively. We write B_X to denote the closed unit ball of X . The set of all norm-attaining operators from X into Y is denoted by $NA(X, Y)$.

2. THE RESULTS

Let us start with the promised counterexample.

Theorem 1. *There exist compact linear operators between Banach spaces which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

The idea for the proof of the above result comes from extending (the proof of) [16, Proposition 4] to closed subspaces of c_0 and then apply it to Enflo's counterexample to the approximation problem. We state the first ingredient for further use. Recall that a Banach space Y is said to be *strictly convex* if the unit sphere of Y fails to contain non-trivial segments, equivalently, if for every $y \in Y$ with $\|y\| = 1$ and $z \in Y$, $\|y \pm z\| \leq 1$ implies $z = 0$.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a closed subspace of c_0 and let Y be a strictly convex Banach space. Then, $NA(X, Y) \subseteq F(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Fix $T \in NA(X, Y)$ and $x_0 \in B_X$ such that $\|T(x_0)\| = \|T\| = 1$. As $x_0 \in c_0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_0(n)| < 1/2$ for every $n \geq N$. Now, consider the subspace Z of X given by

$$Z := \{x \in X : x(i) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq N\}$$

and observe that for every $z \in Z$ with $\|z\| \leq 1/2$, we have

$$\|x_0 \pm z\| \leq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\|T(x_0) \pm T(z)\| \leq 1$$

and, being Y strictly convex and $\|T(x_0)\| = 1$, it follows that $T(z) = 0$. Therefore, T vanished on a finite-codimensional space. \square

Prior to give the proof of the theorem, we have to recall the concept of (Grothendieck) approximation property. We refer to [17] for background. A Banach space X has the *approximation property* if for every compact set K and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $R \in F(X, X)$ such that $\|x - R(x)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$. It was shown by P. Enflo in 1973 that there are Banach spaces failing the approximation property showing, actually, that there are closed subspaces of c_0 without the approximation property.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let X be a closed subspace of c_0 failing the approximation property (Enflo's example works, see [17, Theorem 2.d.6]). Then, X^* also fails the approximation property so there is a Banach space Y and a compact operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ which cannot be approximated by finite-rank operators (see [17, Theorem 1.e.5]). As we may clearly suppose that Y is separable (considering the closure of $T(X)$) and the approximation property is of isomorphic nature, we may and do suppose that Y is strictly convex (recall that every separable Banach space admits a strictly convex equivalent renorming by an old result of V. Klee, see [6, §II.2]). Now, Lemma 2 shows that T cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators. \square

Next, we would like to present two ways to obtain examples as in Theorem 1. First, with respect to domain spaces, we observe that the above proof works for arbitrary closed subspaces of c_0 whose dual fails the approximation property.

Proposition 3. *For every closed subspace X of c_0 such that X^* fails the approximation property, there exist a Banach space Y and a compact linear operator from X into Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

Using the result due to W. Johnson and G. Schechtman [14, Corollary JS, p. 127] that there is a closed subspace of c_0 with Schauder basis whose dual fails the approximation property, we may state the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *There exist a Banach space X with Schauder basis, a Banach space Y and a compact linear operator T between X and Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

Dealing with range spaces, the idea of Theorem 1 can be also squeezed to show that for every strictly convex Banach space Y without the approximation property, an example of the same kind can be constructed. We will use the following characterization of the approximation property, known to A. Grothendieck (see “Proposition” 37 in p. 170 of [10]), which follows easily from the compact factorization of every compact operator through a closed subspace of c_0 . A proof of the lemma can be found in [13, Theorem 18.3.2].

Lemma 5 (Grothendieck). *A Banach space Y has the approximation property if and only if $F(X, Y)$ is dense in $K(X, Y)$ for every closed subspace X of c_0 .*

We are now able to present the promised result.

Proposition 6. *Let Y be a strictly convex Banach space without the approximation property. Then, there exist a Banach space X and a compact linear operator from X into Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, there is a closed subspace X of c_0 such that $F(X, Y)$ is not dense in $K(X, Y)$. But Lemma 2 implies that $NA(X, Y) \subset F(X, Y)$, so there are compact operators from X into Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators. \square

Compare the result above with the one by M. Acosta [1] of 1999, stating that there is a Banach space X such that for every infinite-dimensional strictly convex Banach space Y , there exists a (non-compact) operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators. The case when $Y = \ell_p$ was previously done by W. Gowers [9] in 1990.

Next, we would like to give a result for subspaces of complex $L_1(\mu)$ spaces. We first need to recall the notion of complex strict convexity. A complex Banach space Y is said to be *complex*

strictly convex if for every $y \in Y$ with $\|y\| = 1$ and $z \in Y$, the condition $\|y + \theta z\| \leq 1$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\theta| = 1$ implies $z = 0$. Clearly, strictly convex spaces are complex strictly convex, but the converse is false, as $L_1(\mu)$ spaces are complex strictly convex, see [12, Proposition 3.2.3]. By an obvious adaption of the proof of Lemma 2, we get that, in the complex case, if X is a closed subspace of c_0 and Y is a complex strictly convex space, then $NA(X, Y) \subseteq F(X, Y)$. Therefore, the following result follows with the same proof than Theorem 6.

Proposition 7. *Let μ be a measure and let Y be a closed subspace of the complex space $L_1(\mu)$ without the approximation property. Then, there exist a Banach space X and a compact linear operator from X into Y which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

We do not know whether this result is also true in the real case. It is known that there is a Banach space X such that for every measure μ such that $L_1(\mu)$ is infinite dimensional, there is a (non-compact) operator T from X into $L_1(\mu)$ which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators (M. Acosta, [2]).

Our next result provides with an example in which the domain and the range space coincides.

Theorem 8. *There exist a Banach space Z and a compact operator from Z into Z which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators.*

Proof. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and fix $T_0 \in K(X, Y)$ with $\|T_0\| = 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. Write $Z = X \oplus_\infty Y$ (i.e. $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$) and define $S_0 \in K(Z, Z)$ by $S_0(x, y) = (0, T_0(x))$ for every $(x, y) \in X \oplus_\infty Y$, which clearly satisfies $\|S_0\| = 1$. We claim that if there is an operator $S \in NA(Z, Z)$ such that $\|S_0 - S\| < \varepsilon$, then there is $T \in NA(X, Y)$ such that $\|T_0 - T\| < \varepsilon$. Indeed, take $(x_0, y_0) \in B_Z = B_X \times B_Y$ such that $\|S(x_0, y_0)\| = \|S\|$ and write $P_1 : Z \rightarrow X$ and $P_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$ for the natural projections. Now, observe that

$$\|P_1 S\| = \|P_1 S - P_1 S_0\| \leq \|S - S_0\| < \varepsilon < 1/2$$

so, as $\|S\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon > 1/2$, we get that

$$\|P_2 S(x_0, y_0)\| = \|P_2 S\| = \|S\|.$$

Next, take $x_0^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$ and define the operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ by

$$T(x) = P_2 S(x, x_0^*(x)y_0) \quad (x \in X).$$

Then, $\|T\| \leq \|P_2 S\|$ and $\|T(x_0)\| = \|P_2 S(x_0, y_0)\| = \|P_2 S\|$, so $T \in NA(X, Y)$. On the other hand, for $x \in B_X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_0(x) - T(x)\| &= \|P_2 S_0(x, x_0^*(x)y_0) - P_2 S(x, x_0^*(x)y_0)\| \\ &\leq \|P_2 S_0 - P_2 S\| \leq \|S_0 - S\| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Now, if we take X , Y , and $T_0 \in K(X, Y)$ which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators, then $Z = X \oplus_\infty Y$ and $S_0 \in K(Z, Z)$ defined as above, give the desired example. \square

Let us finish the paper with an small discussion about positive results on norm-attaining compact operators. The main open question here (and also in the general theory of norm-attaining operators) is whether finite-rank operators can be always approximated by norm-attaining operators.

Question 9. Let Y be a finite-dimensional space. Is it true that for every Banach space X , $NA(X, Y)$ is dense in $L(X, Y)$?

We would like to comment that the problem above is open even when Y is the 2-dimensional real Hilbert space. Related to this, let us also comment that there is a complex version of the Bishop-Phelps theorem which states that for every complex Banach space X , complex-linear norm-attaining operators (i.e. functionals) from X into \mathbb{C} are dense in the space of all complex-linear operators (see [19] or [20, §2]). On the other hand, V. Lomonosov showed in 2000 [18] that there is a complex Banach space X and a (non-complex symmetric) closed convex bounded subset C of X such that there is no element in X^* attaining the supremum of its modulus on C .

We now present some conditions on the domain space assuring the density of norm-attaining compact operators. First, we recall the celebrated paper by J. Bourgain [5] about dentability in which it is proved that given a Banach space X with the Radon-Nikodým property and a Banach space Y , every operator from X into Y can be approximated by compact perturbations of it attaining the norm. Therefore, the following result clearly follows.

Proposition 10 (Bourgain). *Let X be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodým property. Then for every Banach space Y , $NA(X, Y) \cap K(X, Y)$ is dense in $K(X, Y)$.*

For spaces failing the Radon-Nikodým property, J. Diestel and J. Uhl (1976) [7] showed that norm-attaining finite-rank operators from $L_1(\mu)$ into any Banach space are dense in the space of all compact operators. This study was continued by J. Johnson and J. Wolfe [15] (1979), who proved, among other things, the same result for real $C(K)$ spaces. This last result is proved using a stronger version of the approximation property of the dual. We include the proof of the following result (which is omitted in [15]) for completeness.

Proposition 11 ([15]). *Let X be a Banach space. Suppose there is a net (P_α) of finite-rank contractive projections on X such that for every $x^* \in X^*$, $(P_\alpha^* x^*) \rightarrow x^*$ in norm. Then for every Banach space Y , $NA(X, Y) \cap K(X, Y)$ is dense in $K(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let Y be a Banach space and consider $T \in K(X, Y)$. For every α , the operator TP_α attains its norm since $TP_\alpha(B_X) = T(B_{P_\alpha(X)})$ (here we use that P_α is a norm-one projection) and $B_{P_\alpha(X)}$ is compact. We claim that $(TP_\alpha) \rightarrow T$ in the operator norm, finishing the proof. Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$, as T^* is compact, we may find an $\varepsilon/3$ -net $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in X^*$ for $T^*(B_{Y^*})$ and we may find α_0 such that $\|P_\alpha^*(x_i^*) - x_i^*\| < \varepsilon/3$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Now, given $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$, we take $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\|T^*(y^*) - x_i^*\| < \varepsilon/3$ and observe that

$$\|P_\alpha^* T^*(y^*) - T^*(y^*)\| \leq \|P_\alpha^* T^*(y^*) - P_\alpha^*(x_i^*)\| + \|P_\alpha^*(x_i^*) - x_i^*\| + \|x_i^* - T^*(y^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

In other words, $\|TP_\alpha - T\| = \|P_\alpha^* T^* - T^*\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. \square

It is shown in [15, Proposition 3.2] that every $C(K)$ space satisfies the condition of the above proposition. The following is also a consequence of the proposition.

Corollary 12. *Let X be a closed subspace of c_0 with a monotone Schauder basis. Then, for every Banach space Y , $NA(X, Y) \cap K(X, Y)$ is dense in $K(X, Y)$.*

Proof. As c_0 is an M -embedded space [11, Examples III.1.4] and M -embeddedness passes to closed subspaces [11, Theorem III.1.6], we may use the a result of G. Godefroy and P. Saphar that Schauder bases in M -embedded spaces with basis constant less than 2 are shrinking (see [11, Corollary III.3.10], for instant). Then, X possesses a shrinking Schauder basis and so Proposition 11 applies. \square

Compare this result with the example given in Corollary 4 of a closed subspace X of c_0 with Schauder basis such that there is a compact operator defined on X which cannot be approximated by norm-attaining operators. On the other hand, as far as we know, the following question remains open.

Question 13. Let X be a closed subspace of c_0 with the metric approximation property. Is it true that for every Banach space Y , $NA(X, Y)$ is dense in $L(X, Y)$?

Acknowledgment: The author is grateful to Rafael Payá for many fruitful conversations about the content and the form of this paper. He also thanks Joe Diestel, Ioannis Gasparis, Bill Johnson, Gilles Godefroy, and Ginés López for kindly answering several inquiries. The author also thanks the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions about revision.

Research supported by Spanish MICINN and FEDER project no. MTM2012-31755 and by Junta de Andalucía and FEDER grants FQM-185 and P09-FQM-4911.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. ACOSTA, Denseness of norm-attaining operators into strictly convex spaces, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **129A** (1999), 1107–1114.
- [2] M. D. ACOSTA, Norm-attaining operators into $L_1(\mu)$, in: Function spaces, *Contemporary Math.* **232** (1999), 1–11.
- [3] M. D. ACOSTA, Denseness of norm attaining mappings, *Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat.* **100** (2006), 9–30.
- [4] E. BISHOP AND R. R. PHELPS, A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1961), 97–98.
- [5] J. BOURGAIN, On dentability and the Bishop-Phelps property, *Israel J. Math.* **28** (1977), 265–271.
- [6] R. DEVILLE, G. GODEFROY, AND V. ZIZLER, *Smoothness and Renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs 64, New York 1993.
- [7] J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, The Radon-Nikodým theorem for Banach space valued measures, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **6** (1976), 1–46.
- [8] J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys **15**, AMS, Providence 1977.
- [9] W. T. GOWERS, Symmetric block bases of sequences with large average growth, *Israel J. Math.* **69** (1990), 129–149.
- [10] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires* (French), Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1955), 140 pp.
- [11] P. HARMAND, D. WERNER, AND D. WERNER, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1547**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1993.
- [12] V. I. ISTRATESCU, *Strict convexity and complex strict convexity*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **89**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [13] H. JARCHOW, *Locally convex spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [14] W. B. JOHNSON AND T. OIKHBERG, Separable lifting property and extensions of local reflexivity, *Illinois J. Math.* **45** (2001), 123–137.
- [15] J. JOHNSON AND J. WOLFE, Norm attaining operators, *Studia Math.* **65** (1979), 7–19.
- [16] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, On operators which attain their norm, *Israel J. Math.* **1** (1963), 139–148.
- [17] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [18] V. LOMONOSOV, A counterexample to the Bishop-Phelps theorem in complex spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 25–28.
- [19] R. R. PHELPS, The Bishop-Phelps Theorem in Complex Spaces: An Open Problem. In: *Function spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1990), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **136**, Dekker, New York, 1992, pp. 337–340.

- [20] R. R. PHELPS, The Bishop-Phelps theorem. In: *Ten mathematical essays on approximation in analysis and topology*, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005, pp. 235244.