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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of finding the density of 1's in a configuration obtained by $n$ iterations of a given cellular automaton (CA) rule, starting from disordered initial condition. While this problems is intractable in full generality for a general CA rule, we argue that for some sufficiently simple classes of rules it is possible to express the density in terms of elementary functions. Rules asymptotically emulating identity are one example of such a class, and density formulae have been previously obtained for several of them. We show how to obtain formulae for density for two further rules in this class, 160 and 168, and postulate likely expression for density for eight other rules. Our results are valid for arbitrary initial density. Finally, we conjecture that the density of 1's for CA rules asymptotically emulating identity always approaches the equilibrium point exponentially fast.


## 1. Introduction

Cellular automata (CA) are often viewed as computing devices. An initial configuration is taken as an input of the computation, and, after a number of iterations of the CA rule, the resulting final configuration constitutes the output of the computation.

In many practical problems, especially in mathematical modeling, one is not interested in all details of the configuration, but rather in certain aggregate properties, such as, for
example, the density of ones. A very common question can then be formulated as follows. Suppose we generated an initial configuration with a given density of ones $p \in[0,1]$, such that each site is independently set to 1 with probability $p$ and to 0 with probability $1-p$. We then iterate a given rule $n$ times over this configuration. What is the density of ones in the resulting configuration? Using signal processing terminology, we want to know the "response curve", density of the output as a function of the density of the input.

Numerical studies of the density $c_{n}$ assuming $p=0.5$ were first conducted by S. Wolfram. In [1], he presented a table showing $c_{\infty}$ for all "minimal" CA rules, in many cases postulating exact rational values of $c_{\infty}$. In [2], H. Fukś obtained formulae for density $c_{n}$ for many elementary CA rules, starting from initial density $c_{0}=0.5$. Some of these formulae were proved, but most were conjectures based on patterns appearing in sequences of preimage numbers.

In later years, building on the ideas outlined in [2], exact formulae for $c_{n}$ have been rigorously derived for several CA rules, for example rules $14,172,140$, and 130 [3, 4, 5, 6, 6]. In the first two cases the forumulae for $c_{n}$ were proved for $p=1 / 2$, while in the last two cases for arbitrary $p$.

For a given CA rule, the difficulty of finding the density $c_{n}$ very strongly depends on the rule. Generally, the more complex dynamics of the rule is, the more difficult is to obtain the exact formula for $c_{n}$. One exception to this are surjective CA rules (among elementary CA these are rules $15,30,45,51,60,90,105,106,150,154,170$ and 204). Some of them exhibit very complex spatio-temporal behavior, yet it is well known that the symmetric Bernoulli measure $(p=1 / 2)$ is invariant under the action of a surjective rule, thus for all of them $c_{n}=1 / 2$ for $p=1 / 2$ (cf. 7] for a review of this result).

One class of rules for which $c_{n}$ is easy to obtain are idempotent rules, that is, rules for which the global function $F$ has the property $F^{2}=F$ (rule applied twice yields the same result as applied once). One can generalize the notion of idempotence further by considering $k$-th level emulators of identity, for which $F^{k+1}=F^{k}$ for some $k$. These are call emulators of identity, because after $k$ iterations further application of the rule is equivalent to application of the identity [8]. And finally, one can introduce the notion of asymptotic emulation of identity, such that $F^{k+1}$ and $F^{k}$ are not identical, but become closer and closer as $k \rightarrow \infty$, as defined in [2]. Rules asymptotically emulating identity will be the main subject of this paper. While the dynamics of these rules is not overly complicated, it is still far from being trivial. In some sense, they resemble finitely-dimensional dynamical systems in the neighbourhood of a hyperbolic fixed point, where orbits starting from the stable manifold converge to the fixed point exponentially fast. In asymptotic emulators of identity, convergence to the equilibrium state is also exponentially fast, as we will subsequently see. For all the above reasons, CA rules asymptotically emulating identity are an ideal testbed for attempts to compute $c_{n}$. The goal of this article is to show that the problem of finding $c_{n}$ for these rules is indeed tractable, and that their formulae for density exhibit remarkable similarity to each other.

## 2. Preliminaries and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}=\{0,1\}$ be called an alphabet, or a symbol set, and let $X=\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. A finite sequence of elements of $\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{b}=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots, b_{n}$, will be called a block (or word) of length $n$. Set of all blocks
of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ of all possible lengths will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\star}$.
For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, a mapping $f: \mathcal{A}^{2 r+1} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ will be called a cellular automaton rule of radius $r$. Corresponding to $f$, we also define a global mapping $F: X \rightarrow X$ such that $(F(x))_{i}=f\left(x_{i-r}, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i+r}\right)$ for any $x \in X$.

A block evolution operator corresponding to $f$ is a mapping $\mathbf{f}: \mathcal{A}^{\star} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\star}$ defined as follows. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be the radius of $f$, and let $\mathbf{a}=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ where $n \geq 2 r+1$. Then $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$ is a block of length $n-2 r$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})=f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{1+2 r}\right) f\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{2+2 r}\right) \ldots f\left(a_{n-2 r}, a_{n-2 r+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $f$ be a rule of radius 1 , and let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}^{5}$, so that $\mathbf{b}=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}$. Then $\mathbf{f}\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}\right)=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, where $a_{1}=f\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), a_{2}=f\left(b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$, and $a_{3}=f\left(b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right)$. If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b})=\mathbf{a}$, than we will say that $\mathbf{b}$ is a preimage of $\mathbf{a}$, and write $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{f}^{n}(\mathbf{b})=\mathbf{a}$, than we will say that $\mathbf{b}$ is an $n$-step preimage of $\mathbf{a}$, and write $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{f}^{-n}(\mathbf{a})$.

The appropriate mathematical description of an initial distribution of configurations is a probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ [9, 10, 7, 11]. Suppose that the initial distribution is a Bernoulli measure $\mu_{p}$, so and all sites are independently set to 1 or 0 , and the probability of finding 1 at a given site is $p$ while the probability of finding 0 is $1-p$. One can then show [4] that the probability $P_{n}(\mathbf{b})$ of finding a block $\mathbf{b}$ at a given site after $n$ iterations of rule $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\mathbf{b})=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{f}^{-n}(\mathbf{b})} P_{0}(\mathbf{a}) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the above probability is site-independent, and this is because the initial measure $\mu_{p}$ is shift-invariant. We will define $c_{n}$, the density of 1 's, to be the expected value of a site,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=P_{n}(1) \cdot 1+P_{n}(0) \cdot 0=P_{n}(1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the expression for density

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{f}^{-n}(1)} P_{0}(\mathbf{a}) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the initial distribution is the Bernoulli distribution $\mu_{p}, P_{0}(\mathbf{a})=p^{\# 1(\mathbf{a})}(1-p)^{\# 0(\mathbf{a})}$, where $\#_{1}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\#_{0}(\mathbf{a})$ denote, respectively, the number of ones (zeros) in $\mathbf{b}$. We then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{f}-n(1)} p^{\#_{1}(\mathbf{a})}(1-p)^{\#_{0}(\mathbf{a})} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to conveniently write the above formula, we will introduce the notion of a density polynomial. Let the density polynomial associated with a binary string $\mathbf{b}=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{b}}(p, q)=p^{\#_{1}(\mathbf{b})} q^{\#_{0}(\mathbf{b})} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ is a set of binary strings, we define density polynomial associated with $A$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A}(p, q)=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \Psi_{\mathbf{a}}(p, q) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Density $c_{n}$ can thus be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}^{-n}(1)}(p, 1-p)=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}^{-n}(1)}\left(c_{0}, 1-c_{0}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we will keep using variables $p$ and $q$ for density polynomials, understanding that in order to obtain $c_{n}$, one needs to substitute $q=1-p$, and that $p$ is the initial density, $p=c_{0}$.

The problem of finding the density $c_{n}$ is thus equivalent tot he problem of finding the density polynomial for the set $\mathbf{f}^{-n}(1)$. In order to do this, one has to have detailed knowledge of the structure of $\mathbf{f}^{-n}(1)$, which is usually very difficult to obtain. However, for reasonably simple rules it often possible, as we will shortly see.

## 3. Asymptotic emulators of identity

We will now define the class of rules we wish to consider, namely rules asymptotically emulating identity. Let $f$ be a CA rule of radius $m, g$ a rule of radius $n$, and $k=\max \{m, n\}$. Let the distance between rules $f$ and $g$ be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, g)=2^{-2 k-1} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}^{2 k+1}}|f(\mathbf{b})-g(\mathbf{b})|, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $\mathbf{b}=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{2 k+1}$ and rule $f$ of radius $r$ we define $f(\mathbf{b})=f\left(b_{k+1-r}, \ldots, b_{k+1+r}\right)$. This simply means that $f(\mathbf{b})$ is the value of the local function on the neighbourhood of the central symbol of $\mathbf{b}$, e.g., for $\mathbf{b}=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5} b_{6} b_{7}$ and $r=1, f(\mathbf{b})=f\left(b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}\right)$. One can show that the distance defined above is a metric in the space of CA rules [2].

The composition $f \circ g$ of two CA rules $f$ and $g$ can be defined in terms of their corresponding global mappings $F$ and $G$, as a local function of $F \circ G$, where $(F \circ G)(x)=F(G(x))$ for $x \in X$. We note that if $f$ is a rule of radius $r$, and $g$ of radius $s$, then $f \circ g$ is a rule of radius $r+s$. For example, the composition of two radius- 1 mappings is a radius- 2 mapping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \circ g)\left(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(g\left(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}\right), g\left(x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right), g\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiple composition will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}=\underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n \text { times }} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a cellular automaton rule $f$ asymptotically emulates rule $g$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(f^{n+1}, g \circ f^{n}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will be primarily interested in emulators of identity, for which we take as $g$ the local function of identity rule (i.e., rule 204). In [2], it has been found that rules $13,32,40,44,77$, $78,128,132,136,140,160,164,168,172$, and 232 asymptotically emulate identity. Typical spatio-temporal patterns produced by these rules are shown in Figure 1. All these rules


Figure 1: Spatio-temporal pattern produced by rules 160 (a), 168 (b), 40 (c), and 232 (d), starting with random initial condition.
eventually reach all zero state or a fixed point which corresponds to vertical strips in the spatio-temporal patters (as in the case of rule 232, Figure 1d).

For all these rules, formulae for densities for $c_{n}$ for $p=1 / 2$ have been postulated in [2, and some of these formulae were subsequently proved, as illustrated in Table 1. The general formulae for the density, for arbitrary $c_{0}$, have been previously reported for only four of them, rules $128,132,136$, and 140 . For all four cases, proofs of the formulae are known. Below we show these formulae, citing proof source as well.

- Rule 128 (in [12], $c_{n}$ has been obtained for rule 254, identical with conjugated and reflected rule 128)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{0}^{2 n+1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Rule 132 (in [12, $c_{n}$ has been obtained for rule 222, identical with conjugated and reflected rule 132)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{2} c_{0}+\frac{\left(1-c_{0}\right) c_{0}^{3}}{1+c_{0}}+2 \frac{c_{0}}{1+c_{0}} c_{0}^{2 n+1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Rule 136 (in [12], $c_{n}$ has been obtained for rule 238, identical with conjugated rule 132)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{0}^{n+1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Rule 140 (in [5], $c_{n}$ has been obtained for a more general case of the asynchronous version rule 140, here we take the special case of the synchrony rate equal to 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{0}\left(1-c_{0}\right)+c_{0}^{n+2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that using the concept of density polynomials, formulae for $c_{n}$ for arbitrary $c_{0}$ can be constructed for many other rules asymptotically emulating identity. In two cases, namely for rules 160 and 168, we give formal proofs for density formulae. For many other cases, we will describe how to "guess" the correct formula for $c_{n}$ by setting up a recursive equation for density polynomials.

## 4. Rule 160

The first rule we wish to consider is the rule 160. From now one, we will use subscripts with Wolfram numbers to identify concrete local functions and corresponding block evolution operators, e.g., $f_{160}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{160}$ for rule 160 .

Rule 160 is defined by $f_{160}(1,1,1)=f_{160}(1,0,1)=1$, and $f_{160}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$ for all other values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. This can be simply written as $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1} x_{3}$. Rule 160 is one of those few rules for which expressions for $f^{n}$ can be explicitly given, as the following proposition attests.
Proposition 1 For elementary $C A$ rule 160 and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{160}^{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Rule | $c_{n}$ | Proof |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 13 | $7 / 16-(-2)^{-n-3}$ |  |
| 32 | $2^{-1-2 n}$ | $[2]$ |
| 40 | $2^{-n-1}$ |  |
| 44 | $1 / 6+\frac{5}{6} 2^{-2 n}$ | $[2]$ |
| 77 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 78 | $9 / 16$ | $[12]$ |
| 128 | $2^{-1-2 n}$ | $[12]$ |
| 132 | $1 / 6+\frac{1}{3} 2^{-2 n}$ | $[12]$ |
| 136 | $2^{-n-1}$ | $[5]$ |
| 140 | $1 / 4+2^{-n-2}$ | this paper |
| 160 | $2^{-n-1}$ |  |
| 164 | $1 / 12-\frac{1}{3} 4^{-n}+\frac{3}{4} 2^{-n}$ | this paper |
| 168 | $3^{n} 2^{-2 n-1}$ | $[4]$ |
| 172 | $\frac{1}{8}+\frac{(10-4 \sqrt{5})(1-\sqrt{5})^{n}+(10+4 \sqrt{5})(1+\sqrt{5})^{n}}{40 \cdot 2^{2 n}}$ |  |
| 232 | $1 / 2$ |  |

Table 1: Density of ones $c_{n}$ for disordered initial state ( $c_{0}=0.5$ ) for elementary rules asymptotically emulating identity. For rules for which the proof is known source of the proof is given. All others formulae are conjectures based on preimage patterns form [2].

Proof. We give proof by induction. For $n=1$ eq. (17) is obviously true, as remarked above. Suppose now that the formula (17) holds for some $n$, and let us compute $f^{n+1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{160}^{n+1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+3}\right) & =f_{160}\left(f_{160}^{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right), f_{160}^{n}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n+2}\right), f_{160}^{n}\left(x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 n+3}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{160}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+1}, \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+2}, \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+3}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+1} \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+3} \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{2 i+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_{2 i+1}=x_{1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i+1}\right) x_{2 n+3}=\prod_{i=0}^{n+1} x_{2 i+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $x_{i}^{2}=x_{i}$ if $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. The formula 17 is thus valid for $n+1$, and this concludes the proof by induction.

The following result is a direct consequence of eq. (17).
Proposition 2 Block $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{2 n+1}$ is an n-step preimage of 1 under the rule 160 if and only if $b_{i}=1$ for every odd $i$.

This means that we have $n+1$ ones and $n$ arbitrary symbols in the preimage of 1 , therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{188}(1)}^{-n}(p, q)=p^{n+1}(p+q)^{n} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of ones $c_{n}=P_{n}(1)$ is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}\left(c_{0}, 1-c_{0}\right)=c_{0}^{n+1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Collision of "defects" in CA rule 168.
and for $c_{0}=1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=2^{-n-1} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

No matter what the initial density, $c_{n}$ exponentially converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 5. Rule 168

Rule 168 is defined by $f_{168}(1,1,1)=f_{168}(1,0,1)=f_{168}(0,1,1)=1$, and $f_{168}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$ for all other values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Its dynamics and preimage structure is considerably more complex that for rule 160. Nevertheless, upon careful examination of preimages of 1 , it is possible to discover an interesting pattern in these preimages, described in the following proposition.

Proposition 3 Let $A_{n}$ be a set of all strings of length $2 n+1$ ending with 1 such that, counting from the right, the first pair of zeros begins at $k$-th position from the right, and the number of isolated zeros in the substring to the right of this pair of zeros is m, satisfying $m<k-n-1$. Moreover, let $B_{n}$ be the set of all strings of length $2 n+1$ ending with 1 which do not contain 00 . Block $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}^{2 n+1}$ is an $n$-step preimage of 1 under the rule 168 if and only if $\mathbf{b} \in A_{n} \cup B_{n}$.

In lieu of a formal proof, we will present discussion of spatio-temporal dynamics of rule 168 and explain how it leads to the above result. First of all, let us note that $\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-1}(1)=$ $\{011,101,111\}$. This means that if a block $\mathbf{b}$ ends with 1 , its preimage must also end with 1 , and, by induction, its $n$-step preimage must end with 1 as well. This explains that ending with 1 is a necessary condition for being a preimage of 1 , and elements of both $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ have that property.

Next, let us note that one can consider a block $\mathbf{b}$ as consisting of blocks of zeros of various lengths separated by blocks of ones of various length. Suppose that a given block contains one isolated zero and to the left of it a pair of adjacent zeros, like in Figure 2. When the rule is iterated, the block 00 will increase its length by moving its left boundary to the left, while its right boundary will remain in place. The isolated zero, on the other hand, simply moves to the left, as illustrated in Figure 2. When the boundary of the growing cluster of
zeros collides with the isolated zero, the isolated zero is annihilated, and the boundary of the cluster of zeros jumps one unit to the right. Two such collisions as shown in Figure 2, marked by circles.

Armed with this information, we can now attempt to describe conditions which a block must satisfy in order to be an $n$-step preimage of 1 . If a block of length $2 n+1$ is an $n$-step preimage of 1 , then either it contains a block of two or more zeros or not. If it does not, and ends with 1 , then it necessarily is a preimage of 1 . This is because when the rule is iterated, all isolated zeros move to the left, and after $n$ iterations we obtain 1, as shown in Figure 3 (left). Blocks of this type constitute elements of $B_{n}$.

If, on the other hand, there is at least one cluster of adjacent zeros in a block of length $2 n+1$, then everything depends on the number of isolated zeros to the right of the rightmost cluster of zeros. Clearly, if there are not too many isolated zeros, and the rightmost cluster of zeros is not too far to the right, then the collisions of isolated zeros with the boundary of the cluster of zeros will not be able to move the boundary sufficiently far to change the final outcome, which will remain 1. This situation is illustrated in Figure 3 (center). Blocks of this type are elements of $A_{n}$.

Obviously, the balance of clusters of zeros and individual zeros is a delicate one, and if there are too many isolated zeros, they may change the final outcome to 0 , as in Figure 3 (right).

The question is then, what is the condition for this balance? To find this out, suppose that we have a string $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}^{2 n+1}$ and the first pair of zeros begins at $k$-th position from the right. If there are no isolated zeros in the substring to the right of this pair, then we want the end of the rightmost cluster of zeros to be not further than just to the right of the center of $\mathbf{b}$. Since the center of $\mathbf{b}$ is at the $n+1$-th position from the right, we want $k>n+1$.

If the are $m$ isolated zeros in the substring to the right of this pair of zeros, we must push the boundary of the rightmost cluster of zeros $m$ units to the left, because these isolated zeros, after colliding with the rightmost cluster of zeros, will move the boundary to the right. The condition should, therefore, be in this case $k>n+1+m$, or, equivalently, $m<k-n-1$, as required for elements of $A_{n}$.

With the above proposition, we can construct density polynomials associated with both $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$. The following lemma will be useful for this purpose. It can be proved by well known methods described in a typical book on enumerative combinatorics [13].

Lemma 1 The number of binary strings $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{l}$ such that $a_{1}=a_{l}=1$ and having only $m$ isolated zeros is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{l-m-1}{m} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now note that elements of the set $A_{n}$ described in Proposition 3 have the structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\star \ldots \star}_{2 n-k} 00 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the string $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k-1}$ has only isolated zeros and $a_{1}=a_{k-1}=1$. Moreover,

$$
k \in\{n+2, n+3, \ldots, 2 n\}
$$

| 101101101101011101101 | 101111001111011101111 | 101111001111011101011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1101101101011101101 | 1111000111011101111 | 1111000111011101011 |
| 01101101011101101 | 11000011011101111 | 11000011011101011 |
| 101101011101101 | 000001011101111 | 000001011101011 |
| 1101011101101 | 0000011101111 | 0000011101011 |
| 01011101101 | 00001101111 | 00001101011 |
| 011101101 | 000101111 | 000101011 |
| 1101101 | 0001111 | 0001011 |
| 01101 | 00111 | 00011 |
| 101 | 011 | 001 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Figure 3: Examples of blocks of length 21 for which 10 iterations of $\mathbf{f}_{168}$ produce 1 (left and center) and 0 (right).

Furthermore, the number of isolated zeros $m$ must satisfy

$$
m<k-n-1,
$$

meaning that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-n-2\} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using our lemma, the density polynomial of the set of strings of type (22) with fixed $k$ and $m$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+q)^{2 n-k} q^{2}\binom{k-1-m-1}{m} q^{m} p^{k-m-1}=(p+q)^{2 n-k} q^{2}\binom{k-m-2}{m} q^{m} p^{k-m-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the density polynomial associated with the set $A_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A_{n}}(p, q)=\sum_{k=n+2}^{2 n} \sum_{m=0}^{k-n-2}(p+q)^{2 n-k}\binom{k-m-2}{m} q^{m+2} p^{k-m-1}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by changing index $j$ to $k=n+j+2$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A_{n}}(p, q)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(p+q)^{n-j-2}\binom{n+j-m}{m} q^{m+2} p^{n+j-m+1} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the set $B_{n}$, the associated density polynomial is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{B_{n}}(p, q)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+1-m}{m} q^{m} p^{2 n+1-m} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting density polynomial for $n$-step preimages of 1 is, therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{A_{n} \cup B_{n}}(p, q)=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}(p, q) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{m=0}^{j}(p+q)^{n-j-2}\binom{n+j-m}{m} q^{m+2} p^{n+j-m+1}  \tag{28}\\
& +\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+1-m}{m} q^{m} p^{2 n+1-m} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression, while complicated, can be written in a closed form. One can namely show by induction (we omit the proof) that it sums to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}(p, q)=p^{n+1}(p+2 q)^{n} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the initial density is $p=c_{0}, q=1-c_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}\left(c_{0}, 1-c_{0}\right)=c_{0}^{n+1}\left(c_{0}+2-2 c_{0}\right)^{n}=c_{0}^{n+1}\left(2-c_{0}\right)^{n} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the symmetric case, $c_{0}=1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}(1 / 2,1 / 2)=\frac{3^{n}}{2^{2 n+1}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of rule 160 , the density exponentially converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
As an interesting additional remark, note that by substituting $p=q=1$ to $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}(p, q)$ we obtain card $\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card} \mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)=\operatorname{card} A_{n}+\operatorname{card} B_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)}(1,1)=3^{n} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Density polynomials are thus useful not only for determining densities, but also to enumerate $n$-step preimages in CA. The above result, card $\mathbf{f}_{168}^{-n}(1)=3^{n}$, has been observed in [2], but no proof was given.

## 6. Rule 40

In the previous two examples (rule 160 and 168), we were able to gain detailed understanding of the structure of preimages of 1 , and therefore also compute the density of ones in a rigorous way. In the next example this will not be the case, but we will show that even then one can often conjecture what the expressions for $c_{n}$ are. The conjecture will based on patters present in density polynomials. Such patters can often be readily observed when a first few density polynomials are generated with the help of a computer program.

Let us now consider the rule 40 , for which $f_{40}(0,1,1)=f_{160}(1,0,1)=1$, and $f_{40}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=$ 0 for all other values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. The first 10 density polynomials for preimages of 1 , gen-
erated by a computer program, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-1}(1)}(p, q)=2 p^{2} q, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-2}(1)}(p, q)=p^{4} q+3 p^{3} q^{2}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-3}(1)}(p, q)=3 p^{5} q^{2}+5 p^{4} q^{3}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-4}(1)}(p, q)=p^{7} q^{2}+7 p^{6} q^{3}+8 p^{5} q^{4}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-5}(1)}(p, q)=4 p^{8} q^{3}+15 p^{7} q^{4}+13 p^{6} q^{5}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-6}(1)}(p, q)=p^{10} q^{3}+12 p^{9} q^{4}+30 p^{8} q^{5}+21 p^{7} q^{6}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-7}(1)}(p, q)=5 p^{11} q^{4}+31 p^{10} q^{5}+58 p^{9} q^{6}+34 p^{8} q^{7}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-8}(1)}(p, q)=p^{13} q^{4}+18 p^{12} q^{5}+73 p^{11} q^{6}+109 p^{10} q^{7}+55 p^{9} q^{8}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-9}(1)}(p, q)=6 p^{14} q^{5}+54 p^{13} q^{6}+162 p^{12} q^{7}+201 p^{11} q^{8}+89 p^{10} q^{9}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-10}(1)}(p, q)=p^{16} q^{5}+25 p^{15} q^{6}+145 p^{14} q^{7}+344 p^{13} q^{8}+365 p^{12} q^{9}+144 p^{11} q^{10}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-11}(1)}(p, q)=7 p^{17} q^{6}+85 p^{16} q^{7}+361 p^{15} q^{8}+707 p^{14} q^{9}+655 p^{13} q^{10}+233 p^{12} q^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon closer inspection of these polynomials, one can suspect that they can perhaps be recursively generated. Denoting for simplicity $U_{n}(p, q)=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-n}(1)}(p, q)$, suppose that they satisfy second-order difference equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(p, q)=\alpha(p, q) U_{n-2}+\beta(p, q) U_{n-1} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(p, q)$ and $\beta(p, q)$ are some unknown functions. Polynomials satisfying such a relation are known as generalized Lucas polynomials.

Knowing our first four polynomials, we can write the above equation for $n=3$ and $n=4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{3}(p, q)=\alpha(p, q) U_{1}+\beta(p, q) U_{2} \\
& U_{4}(p, q)=\alpha(p, q) U_{2}+\beta(p, q) U_{3} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

This constitutes a system of two linear equations with two unknowns $\alpha(p, q)$ and $\beta(p, q)$. Solving this system one obtains $\alpha(p, q)=p^{2} q(p+q)$ and $\beta(p, q)=p q$, meaning that the recurrence equation (34) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(p, q)=p^{2} q(p+q) U_{n-2}+p q U_{n-1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{0}(p, q)=p, U_{1}(p, q)=2 p^{2} q$. We verified that eq. 36) holds for up to $n=12$, thus one can strongly suspect that it is valid for any $n$.

Assuming, therefore, that the linear difference equation (36) is valid for any $n$, we can now solve it by standard methods. The solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{n}(p, q)=- & \frac{p q\left(-2 p-q+\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\right)}{\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\left(q+\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\right)}\left(-\frac{2 p^{2} q+2 p q^{2}}{q+\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}}\right)^{n} \\
& -\frac{p q\left(2 p+q+\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\right)}{\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\left(q-\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}\right.}\left(-\frac{2 p^{2} q+2 p q^{2}}{q-\sqrt{5 q^{2}+4 p q}}\right)^{n} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

The density $c_{n}$ can now be computed by taking $c_{n}=U_{n}\left(c_{0}, 1-c_{0}\right)$, after simplification and rationalization yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{0}-\right. & \frac{3}{2} \\
& \left.\frac{c_{0} \sqrt{5-6 c_{0}+c_{0}^{2}}}{c_{0}-5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-c_{0}+\sqrt{5-6 c_{0}+c_{0}^{2}}\right) c_{0}\right)^{n}  \tag{38}\\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{0}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{c_{0} \sqrt{5-6 c_{0}+c_{0}^{2}}}{c_{0}-5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-c_{0}-\sqrt{5-6 c_{0}+c_{0}^{2}}\right) c_{0}\right)^{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the symmetric case $c_{0}=1 / 2$ we obtain, after simplification,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=2^{-n-1} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the symmetric case $c_{0}=1 / 2$, it is also possible to obtain the above expression for $c_{n}$ by a different method. One can show (we omit the proof here) that the generalized Lucas polynomials $U_{n}(p, q)$ defined by eq. (36) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(p, q)=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-n}(1)}(p, q)=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} T_{n+1, k} p^{2 n+2-k} q^{k-1} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the values of $T_{n, k}$ form the triangle

$$
\begin{gathered}
0,2 \\
0,1,3 \\
0,0,3,5 \\
0,0,1,7,8 \\
0,0,0,4,15,13 \\
0,0,0,1,12,30,21 \\
0,0,0,0,5,31,58,34 \\
0,0,0,0,1,18,73,109,55 \\
0,0,0,0,0,6,54,162,201,89 \\
0,0,0,0,0,1,25,145,344,365,144 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The above triangle is known as the skew triangle associated with the Fibonacci numbers [14]. The coefficients $T_{n, k}$ can be generated by the recursive procedure [14,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n, k}=T_{n-1, k-1}+T_{n-2, k-1}+T_{n-2, k-2},  \tag{41}\\
& T_{n, k}=0 \text { if } k<0 \text { or } k>n, \\
& T_{0,0}=1, \quad T_{2,1}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now compute $c_{n}$ for the symmetric initial condition $c_{0}=1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-n}(1)}(1 / 2,1 / 2)=2^{-2 n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} T_{n+1, k} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{n, k} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=2^{-2 n-1} S_{n+1} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the recursion definition of $T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{n, k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{n-1, k-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{n-2, k-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} T_{n-2, k-2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=S_{n-1}+2 S_{n-2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $T(n, k)$ we know that $S_{1}=1$ and $S_{2}=2$, and therefore the solution of the above second-order difference equation is $S_{n}=2^{n}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=2^{-2 n-1} \cdot 2^{n}=2^{-n-1}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

the same as in eq. (39), as expected.

## 7. Rules $232,13,32,77,78,172$, and 44

Elementary CA rule 232 is a special case of the "majority voting rule" with radius 1 , defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{232}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\text { majority }\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, more explicitly, $f_{232}(1,1,1)=f_{232}(1,1,0)=f_{232}(1,0,1)=f_{232}(0,1,1)=1$, and for all other values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, f_{232}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$.

We proceed in a similar fashion as in the case of rule 40. The first few density polynomials are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-1}(1)}(p, q)=3 q p^{2}+p^{3}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-2}(1)}(p, q)=p^{5}+5 p^{4} q+8 p^{3} q^{2}+2 p^{2} q^{3}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-3}(1)}(p, q)=p^{7}+7 p^{6} q+19 p^{5} q^{2}+24 p^{4} q^{3}+11 p^{3} q^{4}+2 p^{2} q^{5}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-4}(1)}(p, q)=p^{9}+9 p^{8} q+34 p^{7} q^{2}+69 p^{6} q^{3}+79 p^{5} q^{4}+47 p^{4} q^{5}+15 p^{3} q^{6}+2 p^{2} q^{7}, \\
& \Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{40}^{-5}(1)}(p, q)=p^{11}+11 p^{10} q+53 p^{9} q^{2}+146 p^{8} q^{3}+251 p^{7} q^{4} \\
& \\
& \quad+275 p^{6} q^{5}+187 p^{5} q^{6}+79 p^{4} q^{7}+19 p^{3} q^{8}+2 p^{2} q^{9},
\end{aligned}
$$

and again, upon closer inspection it turns out that that they are generalized Lucas polynomials. Denoting $U_{n}(p, q)=\Psi_{\mathbf{f}_{132}^{-n}(1)}(p, q)$, these polynomials satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(p, q)=-p q(p+q)^{2} U_{n-2}(p, q)+\left(p^{2}+3 p q+q^{2}\right) U_{n-1}(p, q) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution of the above equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(p, q)=\frac{p^{2}(p+2 q)\left(p^{2}+2 p q+q^{2}\right)^{n}}{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}}-\frac{(p-q)(p q)^{n+1}}{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density $c_{n}$ can now be computed by taking $c_{n}=U_{n}\left(c_{0}, 1-c_{0}\right)$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\frac{c_{0}^{2}\left(2-c_{0}\right)}{c_{0}^{2}-c_{0}+1}+\frac{\left(2 c_{0}-1\right) c_{0}\left(c_{0}-1\right)\left(c_{0}\left(1-c_{0}\right)\right)^{n}}{c_{0}^{2}-c_{0}+1} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that $c_{n}$ exponentially converges to $c_{\infty}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\infty}=\frac{c_{0}^{2}\left(2-c_{0}\right)}{c_{0}^{2}-c_{0}+1} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c_{0}=1 / 2$, the second term in eq. (51) vanishes and $c_{\infty}=1 / 2$, thus we obtain $c_{n}=1 / 2$, in agreement with Table 1.

There are six other rules for which we were able to obtain expressions for $c_{n}$ in the same way as above, except that the order of the difference equation for density polynomials was not always 2, like in eq. (49), but it was sometimes lower or (most of the time) higher. For these rules, which are $13,32,77,78,172$, and 44 , we give below the recurrence formula for the density polynomial, followed by the expression for $c_{n}$ obtained by solving that recurrence equation.

## - Rule 13:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(p, q)=q p(q+p)^{4} U_{n-3}(p, q)+\left(q^{2}+p q+p^{2}\right)(q+p)^{2} U_{n-2}(p, q)  \tag{53}\\
c_{n}=\frac{\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{3}\left(-1+c_{0}\right)^{n}}{c_{0}-2}+\frac{c_{0}^{2}\left(c_{0}^{2}-2 c_{0}+2\right)\left(-c_{0}\right)^{n}}{c_{0}+1}+\frac{\left(c_{0}^{3}-2 c_{0}^{2}+c_{0}\right)^{2}-1}{\left(c_{0}-2\right)\left(c_{0}+1\right)} \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Rule 32:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(p, q)=p q U_{n-1}(p, q),  \tag{55}\\
c_{n}=c_{0}^{n+1}\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{n} . \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

- Rule 77:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{n}(p, q)=(p+q)^{2} & q^{2} p^{2} U_{n-3}(p, q) \\
& +\left(p^{4}+2 q p^{3}+q^{2} p^{2}+2 q^{3} p+q^{4}\right) U_{n-2}(p, q)+2 p q U_{n-1}(p, q),
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
c_{n}=\frac{c_{0}^{3}\left(-c_{0}^{2}\right)^{n}}{c_{0}^{2}+1}-\frac{\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{3}\left(-\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{n}}{c_{0}^{2}-2 c_{0}+2}-\frac{c_{0}^{5}-3 c_{0}^{4}+3 c_{0}^{3}-2 c_{0}^{2}+c_{0}-1}{\left(c_{0}^{2}+1\right)\left(c_{0}^{2}-2 c_{0}+2\right)} . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

## - Rule 78:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(p, q)=(p+q)^{6} q^{2} p^{2} U_{n-5}(p, q)-(p+q)^{4} q^{2} p^{2} U_{n-4}(p, q)-\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)(p+q)^{4} U_{n-3}(p, q) \\
+\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)(p+q)^{2} U_{n-2}(p, q)+(p+q)^{2} U_{n-1}(p, q),  \tag{59}\\
c_{n}=\frac{1+c_{0}-c_{0}^{2}+c_{0}^{4}-2 c_{0}^{5}+c_{0}{ }^{6}}{\left(c_{0}+1\right)\left(2-c_{0}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(2 c_{0}^{2}+1-2 c_{0}\right) c_{0}\left(1-c_{0}\right)\left(c_{0}-1\right)^{n}}{2-c_{0}} \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(2 c_{0}-1\right) c_{0}^{2} c_{0}{ }^{n}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(1-c_{0}\right) c_{0}^{2}\left(-c_{0}\right)^{n}}{c_{0}+1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-c_{0}\right)\left(2 c_{0}-1\right)\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{n} . \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above is valid for $n>1$.

## - Rule 172:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(p, q)=-p q(q+p)^{4} U_{n-3}(p, q)-(q+p)^{2} p^{2} U_{n-2}(p, q)+(q+p)(q+2 p) U_{n-1}(p, q),  \tag{61}\\
c_{n}=\left(c_{0}-1\right)^{2} c_{0} \\
-\frac{\left(3 c_{0}-4+\sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(c_{0}-2+\sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right) c_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right)^{n}}{12 c_{0}-16} \\
+\frac{\left(3 c_{0}-4-\sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(-c_{0}+2+\sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right) c_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 c_{0}-3 c_{0}^{2}}\right)^{n}}{12 c_{0}-16} . \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

## - Rule 44:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}(p, q)=-(p+q)^{2} q^{2} p^{4} U_{n-4}(p, q)+q^{2} p^{4} U_{n-3}(p, q)+(p+q)^{2} U_{n-1}(p, q),  \tag{63}\\
c_{n}=\frac{\left(c_{0}^{2}-c_{0}+1\right) c_{0}\left(c_{0}-1\right)}{c_{0}^{3}-c_{0}^{2}-1}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{0}}{1+c_{0}^{2}\left(1-c_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha \lambda_{1}^{n}+(\beta+i \gamma) \lambda_{2}^{n}+(\beta-i \gamma) \lambda_{3}^{n}\right), \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{1}=c_{0}^{4 / 3}\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{2 / 3}, \quad \lambda_{2,3}=\mp \frac{1}{2} c_{0}^{4 / 3}\left(1-c_{0}\right)^{2 / 3}( \pm 1+i \sqrt{3}),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=-\left(1+c_{0}\right)\left(1+c_{0}-c_{0}^{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt[3]{1-c_{0}}}{c_{0}^{2 / 3}} \Delta \\
& \beta=-\left(1+c_{0}\right)\left(1+c_{0}-c_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt[3]{1-c_{0}}}{c_{0}^{2 / 3}} \Delta \\
& \gamma=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\sqrt[3]{1-c_{0}}\left(\Delta-2 \sqrt[3]{1-c_{0}}\left(2-c_{0}\right)\left(1+c_{0}^{2}\right)\right)}{c_{0}^{2 / 3}} \\
& \Delta=\sqrt[3]{c_{0}}\left(2-c_{0}^{3}\right)-\sqrt[3]{1-c_{0}}\left(c_{0}-2\right)\left(1+c_{0}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

| Rule | $c_{n}$ | Proof/conjecture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | eq. (54) | conj. |
| 32 | eq. (56) | conj. |
| 40 | eq. (38) | conj. |
| 44 | eq. (64) | conj. |
| 77 | eq. (58) | conj. |
| 78 | eq. 60 | conj. |
| 128 | eq. 13 | proof [12] |
| 132 | eq. (14) | proof [12] |
| 136 | eq. (15) | proof [12] |
| 140 | eq. (16) | proof [5] |
| 160 | eq. 19 | proof |
| 164 | unknown |  |
| 168 | eq. (31) | proof |
| 172 | eq. (62) | conj. |
| 232 | eq. (51) | conj. |

Table 2: Density of ones $c_{n}$ for arbitrary initial density for elementary rules asymptotically emulating identity.

## 8. The remaining rule

Among 15 CA rules asymptotically emulating identity, we either proved or conjectured general expressions for $c_{n}$ for 14 of them. In all cases, exponential convergence to $c_{\infty}$ can be observed. What remains is only rule the 164 for which we were not able to find a closed form expression for density polynomials. We have attempted to find recurrence equations up to 6 -th order for this rule, to no avail. One suspects that the reason for this is dynamics of rule 164, far more complicated than for other rule considered in this paper. In Figure 4(a), one can clearly see that in the spatio-temporal pattern generated by this rule exhibits the characteristic triangles of varying size. Similar triangles are frequently observed in complex "chaotic" rules.

Nevertheless, we have studied behaviour of $c_{n}$ numerically. Figure 4(b) shows semilogarithmic plots of $\left|c_{n}-c_{\infty}\right|$ as a function of $n$, obtained by averaging 100 runs of simulations using a lattice with $10^{5}$ sites. The value of $c_{\infty}$ in each case has been taken as the steady-state value, that is, the final value of $c_{n}$ which was no longer changing. From this plots it is clear that the graphs of $\left|c_{n}-c_{\infty}\right|$ vs. $n$ closely follow straight lines in all cases, strongly suggesting that the approach to the fixed point is also exponential, just like for the other 14 rules.

## 9. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that density polynomials are useful for computing density of ones after $n$ iterations of a CA rule starting from a Bernoulli distribution. In many CA rules, patterns in density polynomials can be detected, and then formally proved, such as in the


Figure 4: (a) Spatio-temporal pattern for rule 164, starting from random initial condition with density 0.85 . (b) Density $c_{n}$ as a function of $n$ for rule 164 . Lattice with $10^{5}$ sites and periodic configurations was used. Each points corresponds to average of 100 experiments.
case of rule 160 and 168. In other cases, one can recognize in density polynomials known polynomial classes, such as generalized Lucas polynomials, and then conjecture closed-form expressions for $c_{n}$. Our results are summarized in Table 2. While at the moment we do not have formal proofs of the conjecture formulas, it is hoped that such proofs can eventually be constructed using methods similar to those presented here (for rules 160 and 168) or in [4]. Finally, inspection of Tables 1 and 2 and results we obtained for rules considered in this paper suggests an interesting possible conjecture.

Conjecture 1 For any CA rule asymptotically emulating identity, the density of 1's after $n$ iterations, starting from a Bernoulli distribution, is always in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, \lambda_{i}$ are constants which may only depend on the initial density $c_{0}$, and $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq 1$.
Note that some of the $\lambda_{i}$ 's can be complex, and then they come in conjugate pairs, like in rule 44 (eq. 64). When one of the $\lambda_{i}$ 's is equal to 1 , then $c_{\infty}>0$, otherwise $c_{\infty}=0$.

Such behavior of $c_{n}$ strongly resembles hyperbolicity in finitely-dimensional dynamical systems. Hyperbolic fixed points are common type of fixed points in dynamical systems. If the initial value is near the fixed point and lies on the stable manifold, the orbit of the dynamical system converges to the fixed point exponentially fast. One could argue that the exponential convergence to equilibrium observed in CA described in this paper is somewhat related to finitely-dimensional hyperbolicity. We suspect that the the finite-dimensional map, known as the local structure map [15], which approximates dynamics of a given CA, should posses a stable hyperbolic fixed point for every CA asymptotically emulating identity. This hypothesis is currently under investigation and will be discussed elsewhere.
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