

gl_{n+1} algebra of Matrix Differential Operators and Matrix Quasi-exactly-solvable Problems

Yu.F. Smirnov (deceased) and A.V. Turbiner*

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,

Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 México, D.F., Mexico

(Dated: June 6, 2013)

Abstract

The generators of the algebra gl_{n+1} in a form of differential operators of the first order acting on $\mathbf{R}^n$ with matrix coefficients are explicitly written. The algebraic Hamiltonians for a matrix generalization of 3-body Calogero and Sutherland models are presented.

*Electronic address: turbiner@nucleares.unam.mx

Introduction

This work has a certain history related to Miloslav Havlicek. Due to an important occasion of Miloslav's 75th birthday we think this story has to be revealed. About 25 years ago when quasi-exactly-solvable Schroedinger equations with the hidden algebra sl_2 were discovered [1] one of the present authors (AVT) approached to Israel M Gelfand and asked about the existence of the algebra gl_{n+1} of the matrix differential operators. Instead of giving an answer Israel Moiseevich said that M Havlicek knows the answer and he must be asked. A set of the Dubna preprints was given (see [2]-[3] and reference therein). Then AVT studied them for many years firstly separately and then together with YuFS who also happened to have the same set of preprints. The results of those studies are presented below. Doing these studies we always kept in mind that the constructive answer exists and is known to Miloslav. Thus, we are certain, at least, some of presented results are known to Miloslav. We were simply unable to understand and then indicate where they can be found. Our main goal is to find a mixed representation of the algebra gl_{n+1} which contains both matrices and differential operators in a non-trivial way. Then to generalize it to a polynomial algebra which we call $g^{(m)}$ (see below, Section 4). While the another goal is to apply obtained representations for a construction of the algebraic forms of (quasi)-exactly-solvable matrix Hamiltonians.

I. THE ALGEBRA gl_{n+1} IN MIXED REPRESENTATION

Let us take the algebra gl_n and consider the vector field representation

$$\tilde{E}_{ij} = x_i \partial_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

It obeys the canonical commutation relations

$$[\tilde{E}_{ij}, \tilde{E}_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} \tilde{E}_{il} - \delta_{il} \tilde{E}_{kj}. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, let us consider another representation M_{pm} , $p, m = 1, \dots, n$ of the algebra gl_n in terms of some operators (matrix, finite-difference etc) with a condition that all 'cross-commutators' between these two representations vanish

$$[\tilde{E}_{ij}, M_{pm}] = 0. \quad (3)$$

Let us choose M_{pm} to obey canonical commutation relations

$$[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} M_{il} - \delta_{il} M_{kj} , \quad (4)$$

(cf. (2)). It is evident that the sum of these two representations is also representation,

$$E_{ij} \equiv \tilde{E}_{ij} + M_{ij} \in gl_n . \quad (5)$$

Now we consider an embedding of $gl_n \subset gl_{n+1}$ trying to complement the representation (1) of the algebra gl_n up to the representation of the algebra gl_{n+1} . In principle, it can be done due to the existence of the Weyl-Cartan decomposition,

$$gl_{n+1} = L \oplus (gl_n \oplus \mathbf{I}) \oplus U$$

with the property

$$gl_{n+1} = L \rtimes (gl_n \oplus \mathbf{I}) \rtimes U , \quad (6)$$

where $L(U)$ is the commutative algebra of the lowering (raising) generators with a property $[L, U] = gl_n \oplus \mathbf{I}$. Thus, it realizes a property of the Gauss decomposition of gl_{n+1} . It is worth emphasizing that the $\dim(L) = \dim(U) = n$.

Obviously, the lowering generators (of negative grading) from L can be given by derivations

$$T_i^- = \partial_i , \quad i = 1, \dots, n , \quad \partial_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} , \quad (7)$$

(see e.g. [5]) when assuming that all commutators

$$[T_i^-, M_{pm}] = 0 , \quad (8)$$

vanish. Likely, it implies that the only possible choice for M_{pm} exists when they are given either by matrices or act in a space which is a complement to the $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. It is easy to check that

$$[E_{ij}, T_k^-] = -\delta_{ik} T_j^- .$$

Now we have to add the Euler-Cartan generator of the gl_n algebra, see (6)

$$-E_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_j - k , \quad (9)$$

where k is arbitrary constant. Raising generators from U are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} -T_i^+ &= -x_i E_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j M_{ij} \\ &= x_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_j - k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j M_{ij} , \quad i = 1, \dots, n . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(cf. e.g. [5]). Needless to say that one can check explicitly that T_i^- , E_{ij} , E_0 , T_i^+ span the algebra gl_{n+1} . In particular,

$$[E, T^+] = T^+ ,$$

and

$$[T_i^+, T_j^-] = E_{ii} - \delta_{ij} E_0 .$$

If the parameter k takes non-negative integer the algebra gl_{n+1} spanned by the generators (5), (7), (9) - (10) appears in finite-dimensional representation. There exists a linear finite-dimensional space of polynomials of a finite-order in the space of columns/spinors of a finite length which is common invariant subspace for all generators (5), (7), (9) - (10). This finite-dimensional representation is irreducible.

The non-negative integer parameter k has a meaning of the length of the first row of the Young tableau of gl_{n+1} , describing the totally symmetric representation (see below). All other parameters are coded in M_{ij} , which corresponds to arbitrary Young tableau of gl_n . Thus, we have some peculiar splitting of the Young tableau.

Each representation is characterized by Gelfand-Tseitlin signature, $[m_{1,n}, \dots, m_{nn}]$, where $m_{in} \geq m_{i+1,n}$ and their difference is positive integer. Each basic vector is characterized by the Gelfand-Tseitlin scheme. Explicit form of the representation is given by Gelfand-Tseitlin formulas [4].

It can be demonstrated that all Casimir operators of gl_{n+1} in this realization (5), (7), (9) - (10) are expressed in M_{ij} , thus, do not depend on x . They coincide to the Casimir operators of the gl_n -subalgebra realized by matrices M_{ij} .

II. EXAMPLE: THE ALGEBRA gl_3 IN MIXED REPRESENTATION

In the case of the algebra gl_3 the generators (5), (7), (9) - (10) take the form

$$E_{11} = x_1 \partial_1 + M_{11} , \quad E_{22} = x_2 \partial_2 + M_{22} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{12} &= x_1 \partial_2 + M_{12} , \quad E_{21} = x_2 \partial_1 + M_{21} , \\
E_0 &= k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2 , \\
T_1^- &= \partial_1 , \quad T_2^- = \partial_2 , \\
T_1^+ &= x_1(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) - x_1 M_{11} - x_2 M_{12} , \\
T_2^+ &= x_2(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) - x_1 M_{21} - x_2 M_{22} .
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The Casimir operators of gl_3 in this realization are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= E_{11} + E_{22} + E_0 = k + M_{11} + M_{22} = k + C_1(M) , \\
C_2 &= E_{12}E_{21} + E_{21}E_{12} + T_1^+T_1^- + T_1^-T_1^+ + T_2^+T_2^- + T_2^-T_2^+ \\
&\quad + E_{11}^2 + E_{22}^2 + E_0^2 \\
&= k(k+2) + M_{11}^2 + M_{22}^2 + M_{12}M_{21} + M_{21}M_{12} - M_{11} - M_{22} \\
&= k(k+2) + C_2(M) - C_1(M) ,
\end{aligned}$$

and, finally,

$$C_3 = -\frac{1}{2}C_1^3 + \frac{3}{2}C_1C_2 + 3C_2 - 2C_1^2 - 2C_1 .$$

In this realization the Casimir operator C_3 is algebraically dependent on C_1 and C_2 . In fact, C_1 and C_2 are nothing but the Casimir operators of the gl_2 sub-algebra. Therefore, the center of the gl_3 universal enveloping algebra in the realization (11) is generated by the Casimir operators of the gl_2 sub-algebra realized by M_{ij} . Thus, it seems natural that these reps are irreducible.

Now we consider concrete matrix realizations of the gl_2 -subalgebra in our scheme.

(0). Reps in 1×1 matrices. It corresponds to the trivial representation of gl_2 ,

$$M_{11} = M_{12} = M_{21} = M_{22} = 0 .$$

This is $[k, 0]$ or, in other words, the symmetric representation (the Young tableau has two rows of the length k and 0, correspondingly). We also can call it a *scalar* representation, since the generators

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{11} &= x_1 \partial_1 , \quad E_{22} = x_2 \partial_2 , \\
E_{12} &= x_1 \partial_2 , \quad E_{21} = x_2 \partial_1 ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0 &= k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2 , \\
T_1^- &= \partial_1 , \quad T_2^- = \partial_2 , \\
T_1^+ &= x_1(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) , \\
T_2^+ &= x_2(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

act on one-component spinors or, in other words, on scalar functions (see e.g. [5]). The Casimir operators are:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= k , \\
C_2 &= k(k + 2) .
\end{aligned}$$

If the parameter k takes non-negative integer the algebra gl_3 spanned by the generators (12) appears in finite-dimensional representation. Its finite-dimensional representation space is a space of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,0} = \langle x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} | 0 \leq p_1 + p_2 \leq k \rangle , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{13}$$

Namely in this representation (12) the algebra gl_3 appears as the hidden algebra of the 3-body Calogero and Sutherland models [5], BC_2 rational and trigonometric, and G_2 rational models [6] and even of the BC_2 elliptic model [7].

(I). Reps in 2×2 matrices.

Take gl_2 in two-dimensional reps by 2×2 matrices,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad M_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \\
M_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad M_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,
\end{aligned}$$

Then the generators (11) of gl_3 are:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1^- &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad T_2^- = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} , \\
E_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \partial_1 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 \partial_1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \partial_2 & 1 \\ 0 & x_1 \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \partial_1 & 0 \\ 1 & x_2 \partial_1 \end{pmatrix}, E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \partial_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
E_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
T_1^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k - 1 - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) & -x_2 \\ 0 & x_1(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\
T_2^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2(k - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) & 0 \\ -x_1 & x_2(k - 1 - x_1 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

This is $[k, 1]$ -representation (the Young tableau has two rows of the length k and 1, correspondingly) and their Casimir operators are:

$$C_1 = k + 1,$$

$$C_2 = (k + 1)^2.$$

If the parameter k takes non-negative integer the algebra gl_3 spanned by the generators (14) appears in finite-dimensional representation.

Let us consider several different values of k in detail.

(i) $k = 1$. Then three-dimensional representation space $V_1^{(2)}$ appears to be spanned by:

$$P_- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, P_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, Y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

It corresponds to antiquark multiplet in standard (fundamental) representation. The Newton polygon is triangle with points $P_{\pm}$ as vortices at base.

(ii) $k = 2$. Then eight-dimensional representation space $V_2^{(2)}$ appears to be spanned by:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_- &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, P_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_-^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, Y_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}, Y_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, P_+^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
Y_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 \\ -x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}, Y_3 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 \\ -x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

It corresponds to octet in standard (fundamental) representation. The space $V_2^{(2)}$ contains $V_1^{(2)}$ as a subspace, $V_1^{(2)} \subset V_2^{(2)}$. It should be mentioned that $Y_1 = -Y_1^{(1)} + Y_1^{(2)}$. Now the

Newton polygon is the hexagon where the central point is doubled being presented by $Y_1^{(1,2)}$ and lower (upper) base has a length two being given by $P_{\pm}$ ($Y_{2,3}$).

(iii) $k = 3$. The representation space $V_3^{(2)}$ is 15-dimensional. In addition to $P_{\pm}, P_{\pm}^{(1)}$ and $Y_1^{(1,2)}$ (see (15) and (16)) it contains several vectors more, namely,

$$P_-^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_+^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (17.1)$$

which are situated on the $\pm$ -sides of the Newton hexagon, doubling of the points corresponding to $Y_{2,3}$ (see (16))

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_2^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ Y_3^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_3^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (17.2)$$

and plus extra three vectors on the boundary

$$Y_8 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2^3 \\ -x_1 x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_9 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2^2 \\ -x_1^2 x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 x_2 \\ -x_1^3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17.3)$$

It is clear that $V_1^{(2)} \subset V_2^{(2)} \subset V_3^{(2)}$. All internal points of the Newton hexagon are double points, while the points on the boundary are single ones.

(iv) $\forall k$. The finite-dimensional representation space $V_k^{(2)}$ has dimension $k(k+2)$ and is presented by the Newton hexagon which contains $(k+1)$ horizontal layers, lower base has length two, while the upper one has length k (see Fig.1 as an illustration for $k=4$). All internal points of the Newton hexagon are double points, while the points on the boundary are single ones. Except for k vectors of the last (highest) layer of the Newton hexagon, the remaining $k(k+1)$ vectors span the space of all possible two-component spinors with components given by inhomogeneous polynomials in x_1, x_2 of degree not higher than $(k-1)$. We denote this space as $\tilde{V}_k^{(2)} \subset V_k^{(2)}$. The non-trivial task is to describe k vectors of the last (highest) layer of the hexagon. After some analysis one can find out that they have a form

$$Y_{k(k+1)+i} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2^{k-i} x_1^i \\ -x_2^{k-i-1} x_1^{i+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (k-1), \quad (18)$$

hence span a non-trivial k -dimensional subspace of spinors with components given by specific homogeneous polynomials of degree k .

(II) Reps in 3×3 matrices.




Figure 1: Newton hexagon for the representation space $V_4^{(2)}$ of the $[4, 1]$ representation of dimension 24.

Take gl_2 in three-dimensional reps by 3×3 matrices,

$$M_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the generators (11) of gl_3 are:

$$T_1^- = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \end{pmatrix}, T_2^- = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

$$E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \partial_1 + 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 \partial_1 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \partial_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1\partial_2 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & x_1\partial_2 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & x_1\partial_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
E_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2\partial_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & x_2\partial_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & x_2\partial_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
E_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2\partial_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2\partial_2 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2\partial_2 + 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
E_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} k - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
T_1^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k - 2 - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) & -\sqrt{2}x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1(k - 1 - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) & -\sqrt{2}x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1(k - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\
T_2^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2(k - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1 & x_2(k - 1 - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2}x_1 & x_2(k - 2 - x_1\partial_1 - x_2\partial_2) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is $[k, 2]$ -representation (Young tableau has two rows of the length k and 2, correspondingly) and their Casimir operators are:

$$C_1 = k + 2,$$

$$C_2 = (k + 1)^2 + 3.$$

As an illustration let us show explicitly finite-dimensional representation spaces for $k = 2, 3$.

(i) $k = 2$. Then six-dimensional representation space $V_2^{(3)}$ appears to be spanned by:

$$P_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

$$Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^2 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1x_2 \\ x_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It corresponds to ‘di-antiquark’ multiplet.

(ii) $k = 3$.

Then 15-dimensional representation space $V_3^{(3)}$ appears to be spanned by:

$$\begin{aligned} P_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_1^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_1^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ P_-^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_+^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_3^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_3^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_1x_2 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_2^2 \\ 2x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1x_2 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2^3 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1x_2^2 \\ x_1^2x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_7 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2^2 \\ -\sqrt{2}x_1^2x_2 \\ x_1^3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$




Figure 2: Verma module with lowest weight (057)) for $s = 5/2$

It is worth mentioning that as a consequence of a particular realization of the generators (11) of the gl_3 algebra there exist a certain relations between generators other than ones given by the Casimir operators. The first observation is that there are no linear relations between generators of such a type. Some time ago there were found nine quadratic relations between gl_3 generators taken in scalar representation (12) other than Casimir operators [8]. Surprisingly, a certain modifications of these relations also exist for $[kn]$ mixed representations (11),

$$-T_1^+ E_{22} + T_2^+ E_{12} = \quad (\text{Art.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1[M_{22}x_1\partial_1 + M_{11}x_2\partial_2 + (M_{11} - k)M_{22} - M_{21}M_{12}] \\ & -x_2(x_1\partial_1 - k - 1)M_{12} - M_{21}x_1^2\partial_2 \equiv -\tilde{T}_1^+ , \\ & -T_2^+ E_{11} + T_1^+ E_{21} = \quad (\text{Art.2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2[M_{22}x_1\partial_1 + M_{11}x_2\partial_2 + (M_{22} - k)M_{11} - M_{12}M_{21}] \\ & -x_1(x_2\partial_2 - k - 1)M_{21} - M_{12}x_2^2\partial_1 \equiv -\tilde{T}_2^+ , \\ & -E_{12}(E_0 + 1) + T_1^+ T_2^- = \quad (\text{Art.3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{12}(x_1\partial_1 - k - 1) - M_{11}x_1\partial_2 \equiv -\tilde{E}_{12} , \\ & -E_{21}(E_0 + 1) + T_2^+ T_1^- = \quad (\text{Art.4}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{21}(x_2\partial_2 - k - 1) - M_{22}x_2\partial_1 \equiv -\tilde{E}_{21} , \\ & T_1^+ T_1^- - E_{11}(1 + E_0) = \quad (\text{Art.5}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{11}x_2\partial_2 - M_{12}x_2\partial_1 - (k + 1)M_{11} \equiv -\tilde{E}_{11} , \\ & T_2^+ T_2^- - E_{22}(1 + E_0) = \quad (\text{Art.6}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{22}x_1\partial_1 - M_{21}x_1\partial_2 - (k + 1)M_{22} \equiv -\tilde{E}_{22} , \\ & E_{12}E_{21} - E_{11}E_{22} - E_{11} = \quad (\text{Art.7}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{12}x_2\partial_1 + M_{21}x_1\partial_2 - M_{22}x_1\partial_1 - M_{11}x_2\partial_2 + M_{12}M_{21} \\ & -M_{11}M_{22} - M_{11} \equiv -\hat{E}_{11} , \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{22}T_1^- - E_{21}T_2^- = M_{22}\partial_1 - M_{21}\partial_2 \equiv \tilde{T}_1^- , \quad (\text{Art.8})$$

$$E_{12}T_1^- - E_{11}T_2^- = M_{12}\partial_1 - M_{11}\partial_2 \equiv -\tilde{T}_2^- . \quad (\text{Art.9})$$

Not all these relations are independent. It can be shown that one relation is linearly dependent since the sum (Art.5)+(Art.6)+(Art.7) gives the second Casimir operator C_2 .

At least, in scalar case we can assign a natural (vectorial) grading to generators. Above relations reflect a certain decomposition of gradings as well,

$$(1, 0)(0, 0) = (0, 1)(1, -1)$$

$$(0, 1)(0, 0) = (1, 0)(-1, 0)$$

for the first two relations,

$$(1, -1)(0, 0) = (1, 0)(0, -1)$$

$$(-1, 1)(0, 0) = (0, 1)(-1, 0)$$

for the second two,

$$(1, 0)(-1, 0) = (0, 0)(0, 0)$$

$$(0, 1)(0, -1) = (0, 0)(0, 0)$$

$$(1, -1)(-1, 1) = (0, 0)(0, 0)$$

for three before the last two

$$(0, 0)(-1, 0) = (-1, 1)(0, -1)$$

$$(0, 0)(0, -1) = (1, -1)(-1, 0)$$

for last two.

III. THE ALGEBRA $g^{(m)}$ IN MIXED REPRESENTATION

The basic property which was used to construct mixed representation of the algebra gl_{n+1} is the existence of the Weyl-Cartan decomposition $gl_{n+1} = L \oplus (gl_n \oplus \mathbf{I}) \oplus U$ with the property (6). One can pose a question about the existence of other algebras than gl_{n+1} for which the Weyl-Cartan decomposition with the property (6) holds. The answer is affirmative. Let us consider the important particular case of the Cartan algebra $gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I}$ and construct a realization of a new algebra denoted $g^{(m)}$ with the property

$$g^{(m)} = L_{m+1} \ltimes (gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I}) \ltimes U_{m+1} , \quad (22)$$

where $L_m(U_m)$ is the commutative algebra of the lowering (raising) generators with a property $[L_m, U_m] = P_{m-1}(gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I})$ with P_{m-1} as a polynomial of degree $(m-1)$ in generators of $gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I}$. Thus, it realizes a property of the generalized Gauss decomposition. The emerging algebra is a polynomial algebra. It is worth emphasizing that the realization we are going to construct appears at $\dim(L_k) = \dim(U_m) = m$. For $m = 1$ the algebra $g^{(1)} = gl_3$, see (6). Our final goal to build the realization of (22) in terms of finite order differential operators acting on the plane $\mathbf{R}^2$.

The simplest realization of the algebra gl_2 by differential operators in two variables is the vector field representation, see (1) at $n = 2$. It was exactly this representation which was used to construct the representation of gl_3 acting of $\mathbf{R}^2$, see (11), (12). In this case $\dim(L_m) = \dim(U_m) = 2$. We are unable to find other algebras with $\dim(L_m) = \dim(U_m) > 2$. However, there exists another representation of the algebra gl_2 by the first order differential operators in two variables,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_{12} &= \partial_x , \\ \tilde{J}_{11}^{(k)} &= -x\partial_x + \frac{k}{3} , \quad \tilde{J}_{22}^{(k)} = -x\partial_x + sy\partial_y , \\ \tilde{J}_{21}^{(k)} &= x^2\partial_x + sxy\partial_y - kx ,\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

(see S. Lie, [9] at $k = 0$, W. Miller [10] and A. González-Lopéz et al, [11] at $k \neq 0$ (Case 24)), where s, k are arbitrary numbers. These generators obey the standard commutation relations (2) the algebra gl_2 in the vector field representation (1). It is evident that the sum of the two representations, $\tilde{J}_{ij}$ and the matrix one M_{ij} is also representation,

$$J_{ij} \equiv \tilde{J}_{ij} + M_{ij} \in gl_2 .\tag{24}$$

(cf. (5)). It is worth mentioning that the gl_2 algebra commutation relations for M_{pm} are taken in a canonical form (4). The unity generator $\mathbf{I}$ in (22) is written in a form of generalized Euler-Cartan operator

$$J_0^{(k)} = x\partial_x + sy\partial_y - k .\tag{25}$$

Now let us assume that s is non-negative integer, $s = m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Evidently, the lowering generators (of negative grading) from L_{m+1} can be given by

$$T_i^- = x^i \partial_y , \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m ,\tag{26}$$

forming commutative algebra

$$[T_i^-, T_j^-] = 0 .\tag{27}$$

(cf. [9], [10], [11]). Eventually, the generators of the algebra $(gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I}) \ltimes L_{m+1}$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &= \partial_x + M_{12} , \\ J_{11}^{(k)} &= -x\partial_x + \frac{k}{3} + M_{11} , \quad J_{22}^{(k)} = -x\partial_x + my\partial_y + M_{22} , \\ J_{21}^{(k)} &= x^2\partial_x + mxy\partial_y - kx + M_{21} , \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with $J_0^{(k)}, T_i^-$ given by (25), (26), respectively.

Let us consider two particular cases of the general construction of the raising generators for the commutative algebra U .

(i) For the first case we take the trivial matrix representation of the gl_2 ,

$$M_{11} = M_{12} = M_{21} = M_{22} = 0 .$$

One can check that one of the raising generators is given by

$$U_0 = y\partial_x^m , \quad (29)$$

while all other raising generators are multiple commutators of $J_{21}^{(k)}$ with U_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &\equiv \underbrace{[J_{21}^{(k)}, [J_{21}^{(k)}, [\dots J_{21}^{(k)}, T_0] \dots]]}_{i} = \\ &y\partial_x^{m-i} J_0^{(k)} (J_0^{(k)} + 1) \dots (J_0^{(k)} + i - 1) , \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

at $i = 1, \dots, m$. All of them are differential operators of the fixed degree m . The procedure of construction of the operators U_i has a property of nilpotency:

$$U_i = 0 , \quad i > m .$$

In particular, for $m = 1$,

$$U_0 = y\partial_x , \quad U_1 = yJ_0^{(k)} = y(x\partial_x + y\partial_y - k) .$$

Inspecting the generators $T_{0,1}^-$, J_{ij} , $J^{(n)}$, $U_{0,1}$ one can see that they span the algebra gl_3 , see (12). Hence, the algebra $g^{(1)} \equiv gl_3$.

If the parameter k takes non-negative integer the algebra $g^{(m)}$ spanned by the generators (28), (29), (30) appears in finite-dimensional representation. Its finite-dimensional representation space is a triangular space of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,0} = \langle x^{p_1} y^{p_2} | 0 \leq p_1 + mp_2 \leq k \rangle , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

Namely in this representation the algebra $g^{(m)}$ appears as the hidden algebra of the 3-body G_2 trigonometric model [6] at $m = 2$ and of the so-called TTW model at integer m , in particular, of the dihedral $I_2(m)$ rational model [12].

(ii) The second case is a certain evident extension when the generators M_{ij} are of arbitrary matrix representation of the algebra gl_2 . Raising generators (29), (30) remain the raising generators even if the Cartan generators are given by (28) with arbitrary $M_{ij} \in gl_2$. However, the algebra is not closed: $[T, U] \neq P(gl_2 \oplus \mathbf{I})$ with P as a polynomial of a finite order. It can be fixed, at least, for the case $m = 1$. If M_{ij} are generators of gl_2 subalgebra of gl_3 . By adding to T, U generators (27), (29), (30) the appropriate matrix generators from gl_3 , the algebra gets closed. We end up with the gl_3 algebra of matrix differential operators other than (11). We are not aware about the solution of this problem for the case of $m \neq 1$ except for the case of trivial matrix representation, see case (i).

IV. EXTENSION OF THE 3-BODY CALOGERO MODEL

The first *algebraic* form for the 3-body Calogero Hamiltonian [13] appears after gauge rotation with the ground state function, separation of the center-of-mass and change the variables to elementary symmetric polynomials of the translationally-symmetric coordinates [5],

$$h_{\text{Cal}} = -2\tau_2 \partial_{\tau_2 \tau_2}^2 - 6\tau_3 \partial_{\tau_2 \tau_3}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \tau_2^2 \partial_{\tau_3 \tau_3}^2 - [4\omega\tau_2 + 2(1 + 3\nu)] \partial_{\tau_2} - 6\omega\tau_3 \partial_{\tau_3} . \quad (32)$$

These new coordinates are polynomial invariants of the A_2 Weyl group. Its eigenvalues are

$$-\epsilon_p = 2\omega(2p_1 + 3p_2) \quad , \quad p_{1,2} = 0, 1, \dots \quad (33)$$

As is shown in Ruhl and Turbiner [5], the operator (32) can be rewritten in a Lie-algebraic form in terms of the $gl(3)$ -algebra generators of the representation $[k, 0]$. The corresponding expression is

$$h_{\text{Cal}} = -2E_{11}T_1^- - 6E_{22}T_1^- + \frac{2}{3}E_{12}E_{12} - 4\omega E_{11} - 2(1 + 3\nu)T_1^- - 6\omega E_{22} . \quad (34)$$

Now we can substitute the generators of the representation $[k, n]$ in the form (11)

$$\tilde{h}_{\text{Cal}} = -2\tau_2 \partial_{\tau_2 \tau_2}^2 - 6\tau_3 \partial_{\tau_2 \tau_3}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \tau_2^2 \partial_{\tau_3 \tau_3}^2 -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2[2\omega\tau_2 + (1 + 3\nu) + (n - 2M_{22})]\partial_{\tau_2} - \\
& (6\omega\tau_3 - \frac{4}{3}M_{12}\tau_2)\partial_{\tau_3} + \frac{2}{3}M_{12}M_{12} - 4\omega n - 2\omega M_{22} .
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This is $n \times n$ matrix differential operator. It contains infinitely-many finite-dimensional invariant subspaces which are nothing but finite-dimensional representation spaces of the algebra $gl(3)$. This operator remains exactly-solvable with the *same* spectra as the scalar Calogero operator.

Probably, this operator remains completely integrable. A non-trivial integral is the differential operator of the sixth order ($\omega \neq 0$) or of the third order ($\omega = 0$) takes the algebraic form after the gauging away the ground state function in τ coordinates. It can be rewritten in terms of the $gl(3)$ -algebra generators of the representation $[k, 0]$ which then can be replaced by the generators of the representation $[k, n]$. Under such a replacement the spectra of the integral remains unchanged and algebraic.

V. EXTENSION OF THE 3-BODY SUTHERLAND MODEL

The first *algebraic* form for the 3-body Sutherland Hamiltonian [14] appears after gauge rotation with the ground state function, separation of the center-of-mass and change the variables to elementary symmetric polynomials of the exponentials of translationally-symmetric coordinates [5],

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\text{Suth}} = & -(2\eta_2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\eta_2^2 - \frac{\alpha^4}{24}\eta_3^2)\partial_{\eta_2\eta_2}^2 \\
& - (6 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{3}\eta_2)\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2\eta_3}^2 + (\frac{2}{3}\eta_2^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\eta_3^2)\partial_{\eta_3\eta_3}^2 - \\
& [2(1 + 3\nu) + 2(\nu + \frac{1}{3})\alpha^2\eta_2]\partial_{\eta_2} - 2(\nu + \frac{1}{3})\alpha^2\eta_3\partial_{\eta_3} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where α is the inverse radius of the circle on where the bodies are situated. These new coordinates are fundamental trigonometric invariants of the A_2 Weyl group.

As shown in [5], the operator (36) can be rewritten in a *Lie-algebraic* form in terms of the $gl(3)$ -algebra generators of the representation $[k, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\text{Suth}} = & -2E_{11}T_1^- - 6E_{22}T_1^- \\
& + \frac{2}{3}E_{12}E_{12} - 2(1 + 3\nu)T_1^- + \frac{\alpha^4}{24}E_{21}E_{21} \\
& - \frac{\alpha^2}{6} \left[3E_{11}E_{11} + 8E_{11}E_{22} + 3E_{22}E_{22} + (1 + 12\nu)(E_{11} + E_{22}) \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Now we can substitute the generators of the representation $[k, n]$ in the form (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_{\text{Suth}} = & -(2\eta_2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\eta_2^2 - \frac{\alpha^4}{24}\eta_3^2)\partial_{\eta_2\eta_2}^2 - (6 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{3}\eta_2)\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2\eta_3}^2 \\
& + (\frac{2}{3}\eta_2^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\eta_3^2)\partial_{\eta_3\eta_3}^2 - 2[(1 + 3\nu) + (\nu + \frac{1}{3})\alpha^2\eta_2 + (n - 2M_{22})]\partial_{\eta_2} \\
& + \frac{\alpha^4}{24}M_{21}\eta_3\partial_{\eta_2} + [2(\nu + \frac{1}{3})\alpha^2\eta_3 - \frac{4}{3}M_{12}\eta_2]\partial_{\eta_3} \\
& - \frac{\alpha^2}{3}\left[3n(\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2} + \eta_3\partial_{\eta_3}) + M_{11}\eta_3\partial_{\eta_3} + M_{22}\eta_2\partial_{\eta_2}\right] \\
& + \frac{2}{3}M_{12}M_{12} + \frac{\alpha^4}{24}M_{21}M_{21} \\
& - \frac{\alpha^2}{6}\left[2M_{11}M_{22} + (1 + 12\nu + 3n)n\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This is $n \times n$ matrix differential operator. It contains infinitely-many finite-dimensional invariant subspaces which are nothing but finite-dimensional representation spaces of the algebra $gl(3)$. This operator remains exactly-solvable with the *same* spectra as the scalar Sutherland operator.

Probably, the operator (38) remains completely integrable. A non-trivial integral is the differential operator of the third order takes the algebraic form after the gauging away the ground state function in η coordinates. It can be rewritten in terms of the $gl(3)$ -algebra generators of the representation $[k, 0]$ which then can be replaced by the generators of the representation $[k, n]$. Under such a replacement the spectra of the integral remains unchanged and algebraic.

Conclusions

The algebra gl_n of differential operators plays a role of the hidden algebra for *all* A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, BC_n Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians, both rational and trigonometric, with the Weyl symmetry of classical root spaces (see [15] and references therein). We described a procedure, which to our opinion should carry the name of the *Havlicek procedure*, to construct the algebra gl_n of the matrix differential operators. The procedure is based on a mixed, matrix-differential operators realization of the Gauss decomposition diagram.

As for the Hamiltonian reduction models with the exceptional Weyl symmetry group $G_2, F_4, E_{6,7,8}$, both rational and trigonometric, there exist hidden algebras of differential

operators (see [15] and references therein). All these algebras are infinite-dimensional but finitely-generated. For generating elements of those algebras an analogue of the Weyl-Cartan decomposition exists but the Gauss decomposition diagram: a commutator of the lowering and raising generators is a polynomial of the higher-than-one order in the Cartan generators. Surely, matrix realizations of these algebras exist. The first attempt to construct such a realization was made in Section 4. Thus, the above mentioned procedure of building the mixed representations can be realized. It may lead to a new class of matrix exactly-solvable models with exceptional Weyl symmetry.

Acknowledgements (AVT)

The present text was planned long ago to be dedicated to Miloslav Havlicek who always caused for both authors a deep respect as the scientist and the citizen.

The text is based mainly on the notes jointly prepared by the first and the second author. It does not include the results of the authors obtained separately (except for Section 4) and which the authors had no chance to discuss. Thus, it looks incomplete. When the first author (YuFS) passed away it took years for the second author (AVT) to return to the subject due to a sad memory. Even now, almost a decade after the death of Yura Smirnov, the preparation of this text was quite difficult for AVT.

AVT thanks CRM, Montreal for their kind hospitality extended to him where a part of this work was done during his numerous visits. AVT is grateful to J C Lopez Vieyra for the interest to the work and technical assistance. This work was supported in part by the University Program FENOMECE, by the PAPIIT grant **IN109512** and CONACyT grant **166189** (Mexico).

[1] A.V. Turbiner.

Quasi-Exactly-Solvable Problems and the $SL(2, R)$ Group,
Comm.Math.Phys. **118**: 467-474, 1988

[2] C. Burdik.

Realisations of the real semisimple Lie algebras: a method of construction,
J. Phys. **A18**: 3101-3111, 1985

- [3] C. Burdik, M. Havlicek.
Boson realization of the semi-simple Lie algebras,
in Symmetry in physics: in memory of Robert T. Sharp, CRM Proceeding 2004, vol.34,
p.87-98,
edited by R.T. Sharp, P. Winternitz
- [4] I.M. Gelfand and M.L. Tsetlin.
Finite-dimensional representations of groups of orthogonal matrices,
Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71**: 10171020, 1950 (in Russian)
English transl. in: I.M. Gelfand, Collected papers. Vol II, Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1988, pp.
657661
- [5] W. Rühl and A. V. Turbiner.
Exact solvability of the Calogero and Sutherland models,
Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10**: 2213–2222, 1995
hep-th/9506105
- [6] A.V. Turbiner.
Hidden Algebra of Three-Body Integrable Systems,
Mod.Phys.Lett. **A13**: 1473-1483, 1998
- [7] A.V. Turbiner.
BC₂ Lamé polynomials,
Talks presented at 1085 Special Session of American Mathematical Society, Tucson AZ, USA
(October 2012) and Annual Meeting of Canadian Mathematical Society, Montreal, Canada
(December 2012)
- [8] A. V. Turbiner,
Lie algebras and linear operators with invariant subspace, in *Lie algebras, cohomologies and
new findings in quantum mechanics* (N. Kamran and P. J. Olver, eds.),
AMS *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 160, pp. 263–310, 1994;
funct-an/9301001
- [9] S. Lie.
Gruppenregister, vol. 5, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1924, 767-773

- [10] W. Miller.
Lie Theory and Special Functions,
 Academic Press, New York, 1968
- [11] A. González-López, N. Kamran and P.J. Olver.
Quasi-Exactly-Solvable Lie Algebras of the first order differential operators in Two Complex Variables, *J.Phys.* **A24**: 3995–4008, 1991;
Lie algebras of differential operators in two complex variables, *American J. Math.* **114**: 1163–1185, 1992;
- [12] F. Tremblay, A.V. Turbiner and P. Winternitz.
An infinite family of solvable and integrable quantum systems on a plane,
Journal of Phys. **A42**, 242001, 2009; 10 pp
 math-ph arXiv:0904.0738
- [13] F. Calogero.
Solution of a three-body problem in one dimension,
J. Math. Phys. **10**: 2191–2196, 1969
- [14] B. Sutherland.
Exact results for a quantum many-body problem in one dimension I,
Phys. Rev. **A4**: 2019-2021, 1971
- [15] A.V. Turbiner.
From quantum A_N (Calogero) to H_4 (rational) model,
SIGMA **7**: 071, 2011; 20 pp
 math-ph arXiv:1106.5017
From quantum A_N (Sutherland) to E_8 trigonometric model: space-of-orbits view,
SIGMA **9**: 003, 2013; 25 pp
 math-ph arXiv:1210.4515