arXiv:1306.2758v1 [math.AP] 12 Jun 2013

REMARKS ON THE REGULARITY CRITERIA OF GENERALIZED MHD AND NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEMS

KAZUO YAMAZAKI

Abstract. We study the regularity criteria of the three dimensional generalized MHD and Navier-Stokes systems. In particular, we show that the regularity criteria of the generalized MHD system may be reduced to depend only on two diagonal entries of the Jacobian matrix of the velocity vector field or one vorticity component and one entry of the Jacobian matrix of the velocity vector field.

Keywords: MHD system, Navier-Stokes system, regularity criteria

[1] [2]

1. Introduction and statement of results

We study the generalized magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) and Navier-Stokes (NSE) systems in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u - (b\cdot\nabla)b + \nabla p + \nu\Lambda^{2\alpha}u = 0 \\ \partial_t b + (u\cdot\nabla)b - (b\cdot\nabla)u + \eta\Lambda^{2\beta}b = 0 \\ \nabla\cdot u = \nabla\cdot b = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad b(x,0) = b_0(x) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla p + \nu\Lambda^{2\alpha}u = 0 \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ represents velocity vector field, $b : \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ the magnetic vector field, $p : \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ the pressure scalar field and $\nu,\eta > 0$ the kinematic viscosity and diffusivity constants respectively. The operator $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a fractional Laplacian with power $\alpha,\beta > 0$ as parameters. Without loss of generality, we set $\nu = \eta = 1$ throughout the rest of the paper.

The global regularity issue of these systems remain one of the most challenging outstanding open problems in mathematical analysis. In two dimensional case, both MHD and NSE admit a unique global strong solution respectively; however, in three dimensional case, such results hold only locally in time (e.g. [21]).

Starting from the pioneering works of Serrin in [18] and [19] on NSE, much effort was devoted to provide sufficient conditions for a strong solution to exist globally in time and similarly for MHD (cf. e.g. [1], [2], [3], [10], [14], [15], [20], [24], [28]

The author expresses gratitude to Professor Jiahong Wu and Professor David Ullrich for their teaching.

[1]2000MSC : 35B65, 35Q35, 35Q86

[2]Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, 401 Mathematical Sciences, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

in case of NSE and [7], [9], [11], [22] in case of MHD). In particular, recently in [4] it was shown that the global regularity issue of the solution to NSE may depend only on one entry of the Jacobian matrix of the velocity vector field while in [5] the global regularity issue of the solution to MHD only on a partial derivative of u in x_3-direction. In relevance to such component reduction type results of regularity criteria, we mention that recently we have seen developments in the case of active scalars as well (cf. [23]).

However, to the best of our knowledge, it is not known whether the regularity criteria of MHD system may be reduced to rely on only the entries of the Jacobian matrix of velocity vector field with number less than three. In fact, there is no regularity criteria for the system (1) even in terms of ∇u_3, although it is known to exist for the NSE system (cf. e.g. [13]). In [12] the authors obtained partial results toward direction.

Moreover, it is not clear whether the regularity criteria in terms of one entry in the Jacobian matrix for the classical NSE may be generalized to the case with a fractional Laplacian. Because the system (2) with $\alpha \geq \frac{5}{4}$ admits a unique global solution (cf. [21]), it is of interest if we may generalize such a result for the case $\alpha \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$. We answer these questions:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$. Suppose the solution $(u, b)(x, t)$ solves (1) in $[0, T]$ and*

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^p}^r + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p}^r dt < \infty \tag{3}$$

for $3 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2\alpha}{r} \quad \leq \quad & \min\{\frac{3}{p} + \frac{[5\alpha(1-\frac{1}{p}) + 4\alpha^2(1-\frac{1}{p}) - 10 + 4\alpha]}{2(5-2\alpha)}, \\ & \frac{3}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\frac{[5\beta(1-\frac{1}{p}) + 4\alpha\beta(1-\frac{1}{p}) - 10 + 4\alpha]}{2(5-2\alpha)}, \\ & \frac{3}{p} + \frac{[5\alpha(1-\frac{1}{p}) + 4\alpha\beta(1-\frac{1}{p}) - 10 + 4\beta]}{2(5-2\beta)}, \\ & \frac{3}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\frac{[5\beta(1-\frac{1}{p}) + 4\beta^2(1-\frac{1}{p}) - 10 + 4\beta]}{2(5-2\beta)}\}, \end{aligned}$$

then there is no singularity up to time T.

The modification of the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the classical MHD system is possible:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta = 1$. Suppose the solution $(u, b)(x, t)$ solves (1) in $[0, T]$ and*

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^p}^r + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p}^r dt < \infty \tag{4}$$

for $3 < p < \infty$ and

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{r} \leq \frac{3}{2p} + \frac{1}{2},$$

then there is no singularity up to time T.

We state an immediate interesting corollary of Theorem 1.2 which does not seem to follow from the work of [5] or [12]:

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta = 1$. Suppose the solution $(u, b)(x, t)$ solves (1) in [0,T] and*

$$\int_0^T \|w_3\|_{L^p}^r + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p}^r dt < \infty$$

for $3 < p < \infty$ and

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{r} \leq \frac{3}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}$$

where $w_3 = \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1$, then there is no singularity up to time T.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\alpha \in (1, \frac{5}{4})$. Suppose the solution $u(x, t)$ solves (2) in $[0, T]$ and*

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p}^r dt < \infty \tag{5}$$

where $2 < p < \infty$ and

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2\alpha}{r} \leq \frac{3}{p} + \left(\frac{p-2}{p}\right) \frac{\alpha(5+4\alpha)}{4(5-2\alpha)},$$

then there is no singularity up to time T.

Remark 1.1.

(1) *The key to the proof of theorems of this type is an appropriate decomposition of nonlinear terms. It is not clear whether a direct extension of the proof in [4] is possible due to the complex structure of the four nonlinear terms of (1), as discussed in [12]. Our approach is based on an observation that upon $\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2$ estimate, every nonlinear term has u involved. Hence, making use of the incompressibility of both u and b, we may separate u_1, u_2 and u_3. Our second observation is that Lemma 2.2 below due to [4], of which originally $i = 3$, may be used for i any direction. Thus, we can use this lemma to concentrate the regularity dependence on $\partial_2 u_2$ and $\partial_3 u_3$.*

(2) *From the proof, it becomes clear that in fact we could have selected any one of the three partial derivatives of u_1, u_2 and u_3. Thus, for Theorem 1.2, we also proved the criteria in terms of $\partial_3 u$ which is the result from [5]; hence, our results are more general. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 may be seen as a component reduction type result of the work of [8], [25], and [27]. Moreover, our proof may be extended to a regularity criteria of a component and a partial derivative, e.g. u_3 and $\partial_3 u_3$ in the case of Theorem 1.4 as done in [26]; we chose to state the case of only partial derivatives for simplicity.*

(3) *The lower bound of p in the Theorem 1.1 may be optimized furthermore in terms of α and β; we chose to state so for simplicity.*

(4) *Concerning Corollary 1.3, we refer readers to [6] for similar result in the case of the NSE.*

In the next sections, we list a few lemmas and thereafter prove our theorems.

2. Preliminaries

We denote by ∇_h the horizontal gradient while Δ_h the horizontal Laplacian, i.e.

$$\nabla_h = (\partial_1, \partial_2), \qquad \Delta_h = \partial_{11}^2 + \partial_{22}^2$$

Moreover, we denote for simplicity

$$X(t) = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla b(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad Y(t) = \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$Z(t) = \|\partial_2 u_2(t)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} + \|\partial_3 u_3(t)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}$$

Lemma 2.1. *(cf. [14]) Let $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be smooth and divergence free. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i u_j \Delta_h u_j \\ = &\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i u_j \partial_i u_j \partial_3 u_3 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_1 u_1 \partial_2 u_2 \partial_3 u_3 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_1 u_2 \partial_2 u_1 \partial_3 u_3 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *(cf. [4], [26]) For $f, g, \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have*

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fgh| \leq c\|f\|_{L^q}^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \|\partial_i f\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}} \|\partial_j g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\partial_k g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|h\|_{L^2}$$

where

$$2 < \gamma, \qquad 1 \leq q, \qquad s \leq \infty, \qquad \frac{\gamma-1}{q} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$$

and i, j and k are any combinations of $1, 2$ and 3.

The proof of the following elementary inequality is simple and we omit it:

Lemma 2.3. *For $0 \leq p < \infty$ and $a, b \geq 0$,*

$$(a+b)^p \leq 2^p (a^p + b^p)$$

3. Proofs

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We start by taking an inner product of the first equation in (1) with u and the second with b and integrating in time to obtain

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq c(u_0, b_0) \tag{6}$$

3.1.1. *Estimate of* $\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2$. Local well-posedness is shown in [21]. We devote our effort to obtain H^1 estimate below. We take an inner product of the first equation in (1) with $-\Delta_h u$ and the second with $-\Delta_h b$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_t Y + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ = & \int (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h u - (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h u + (u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h b - (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h b = \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \end{aligned}$$

For J_1, we notice that applying Lemma 2.1 and integrating by parts implies

$$J_1 \le c\int |u_3||\nabla u||\nabla\nabla_h u|$$

For J_2, J_3, J_4, we decompose them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 + J_4 &= -\int (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h u + (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h b \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2 \int \partial_k b_i \partial_i b_j \partial_k u_j + \partial_k b_i \partial_i u_j \partial_k b_j \end{aligned}$$

due to the incompressibility of b. We integrate by parts once more to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 + J_4 &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2 \int \partial_{kk}^2 b_i \partial_i b_j u_j + \partial_k b_i \partial_{ik}^2 b_j u_j + \partial_{ik}^2 b_i u_j \partial_k b_j + \partial_k b_i u_j \partial_{ik}^2 b_j \\ &\le c\int |\nabla\nabla_h b||\nabla b|(|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3|) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, integrating by parts and using incompressibility of u, we obtain

$$J_3 \le c\int |\nabla\nabla_h b||\nabla b|(|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3|)$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.2 with

$$f = |u_3|, g = |\nabla u|, h = |\nabla\nabla_h u|, i = 3, j = 1, k = 2, q = 2$$

to bound using (6)

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\le c\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \\ &\le c\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1}{\gamma\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma})(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma})\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &\le \epsilon\|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{(\gamma-1)\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{(\gamma-1)\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and Young's inequalities. Similarly, applying Lemma 2.2 and using (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2+J_3+J_4 &\leq c(\|\partial_1 u_1\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}}\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\quad +\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}}\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\quad +\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}}\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we use divergence-free condition of u and apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and Young's inequalities to bound by

$$\begin{aligned} &c(\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma\beta-\beta-1}{\gamma\beta}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{(1+\frac{1}{\gamma})(1-\frac{1}{\beta})}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma})(\frac{1}{\beta})}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma\beta-\beta-1}{\gamma\beta}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{(1+\frac{1}{\gamma})(1-\frac{1}{\beta})}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma})(\frac{1}{\beta})}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{1}{\beta}}) \\ \leq\ & \epsilon\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+c(\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}) \end{aligned}$$

In sum, for $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t Y-Y+\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq\ & cZ(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}+\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\in[0,T]}Y(t)+\int_0^T\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2dt \\ \leq\ & c(T)+c\int_0^T Z(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}+\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}})dt \end{aligned}$$

3.1.2. *Estimate of* $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2$. Next, we take inner products of the first equation in (1) with $-\Delta u$ and the second with $-\Delta b$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\partial_t X+\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ =\ & \int(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h u+(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2u-(b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h u-(b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2u \\ &+(u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h b+(u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2b-(b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h b-(b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2b \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int(u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot\partial_{33}^2u &= \int u\cdot\nabla_h u\cdot\partial_{33}^2u-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_3 u)^2 \\ &= -\int\partial_3 u\cdot\nabla_h u\cdot\partial_3 u-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_h\cdot u)(\partial_3 u)^2-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 u_1+\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 u)^2 \\ &\leq c\int|\partial_3 u|^2|\nabla_h u| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\int (u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b &= \int (u\cdot\nabla_h)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b - \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 u_3(\partial_3 b)^2\\ &= -\int \partial_3 u\cdot\nabla_h b\cdot\partial_3 b - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_h\cdot u)(\partial_3 b)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 u_1+\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 b)^2\\ &\le c\int |\partial_3 u||\nabla_h b||\partial_3 b| + |\nabla_h u||\partial_3 b|^2\end{aligned}$$

Next, we combine two other terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u + (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b\\ =\ &\int (b\cdot\nabla_h)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u + (b\cdot\nabla_h)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b + b_3\partial_3(\partial_3 b\cdot\partial_3 u)\\ =\ &-\int (\partial_3 b\cdot\nabla_h)b\cdot\partial_3 u + (\partial_3 b\cdot\nabla_h)u\cdot\partial_3 b\\ &-(\nabla_h\cdot b)(\partial_3 b\cdot\partial_3 u) - (\partial_1 b_1+\partial_2 b_2)(\partial_3 b\cdot\partial_3 u)\\ \le\ & c\int |\partial_3 b||\nabla_h b||\partial_3 u| + |\partial_3 b|^2|\nabla_h u|\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown

$$\begin{aligned}&\int (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u - (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u + (u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b - (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b\\ \le\ & c(\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^4}^2)\\ \le\ & c(\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2\alpha}}}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2\alpha}}}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})2}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2\beta}}}^{(\frac{4\beta-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{4})2} + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2\beta}}}^{(\frac{4\beta-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{4})2})\\ \le\ & c(\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})2} + \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})2}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\beta-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{4})2} + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\beta-3}{4})2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{4})2})\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequalities, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and the Sobolev embeddings. Next, we use the well-known inequality of

$$\|f\|_{L^6} \le c\|\nabla_h f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}}\|\partial_3 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{7}$$

(cf. [5]) to bound by a constant multiples of

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\alpha-3}{2}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{3}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}}\\ &+\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\alpha-3}{2}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{3}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}}\\ &+\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\beta-3}{2}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{3}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}}\\ &+\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\beta-3}{2}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{3}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}}\end{aligned}$$

We combine our previous estimate and integrate in time $[0,T]$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(T) - X(0) + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
\leq \; & c \int_0^T Z(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}) dt \\
& + \int_0^T X dt \\
& + c \int_0^T (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\alpha-3}{2}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{3}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}} \\
& + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\alpha-3}{2}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{3}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}} \\
& + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\beta-3}{2}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{3}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}} \\
& + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\beta-3}{2}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{3}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}}) dt
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use Hölder's inequalities and (6) to bound by a constant multiples of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}} \\
& + \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}} + \int_0^T X dt \\
& + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}} \\
& + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}} \\
& + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{12}} \\
& + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{6}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous estimate gives us the bound of a constant multiples of

$$\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}+\int_0^T X dt$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}+\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}})dt\right)^{\frac{5-2\alpha}{3}}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\alpha-\alpha-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}+\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\gamma\beta-\beta-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\beta(\gamma-1)}})dt\right)^{\frac{5-2\beta}{3}}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{12}}$$

On the first two terms, we use Young's inequalities to bound by

$$c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt+\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)$$

On the last two terms, by Hölder's inequalities we bound by

$$c[\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}]^{\frac{5-2\alpha}{3}}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}}$$
$$+c[\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}}]^{\frac{5-2\beta}{3}}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{12}}$$

Next, by Lemma 2.3 we bound by a constant multiples of

$$\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\alpha}{3})}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\alpha}{3})+\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\alpha}{3})}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\alpha}{3})}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\beta}{3})}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{1}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\beta}{3})}$$
$$\times\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{7-4\beta}{12}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\beta}{3})}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{[\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma-1)}](\frac{5-2\beta}{3})+\frac{7-4\beta}{12}}$$

Now we use Young's inequalities to bound by a constant multiples of

$$\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{[\alpha(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\alpha]}{3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{12})\frac{3\alpha(\gamma-1)}{[3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha]}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{[\beta(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\alpha]}{3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{12})\frac{3\beta(\gamma-1)}{[3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha]}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{[\alpha(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\beta]}{3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{12})\frac{3\alpha(\gamma-1)}{[3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta]}}$$
$$+\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{[\beta(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\beta]}{3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{(\frac{7-4\beta}{12})\frac{3\beta(\gamma-1)}{[3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta]}}$$
$$+\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

Next we will use the following Young's inequalities with

$$\begin{cases}(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})[\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha}]+\frac{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}{4[3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha]}=1\\ (\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})[\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha}]+\frac{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}{4[3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\alpha]}=1\\ (\frac{7-4\beta}{4})[\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta}]+\frac{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}{4[3\alpha(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta]}=1\\ (\frac{7-4\beta}{4})[\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta}]+\frac{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\beta^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}{4[3\beta(\gamma-1)-5+2\beta]}=1\end{cases}$$

Justification of these Young's inequalities require in addition to the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2,

$$\gamma > \max\{2, \frac{20-3\alpha+4\alpha^2}{5\alpha+4\alpha^2}, \frac{20+5\beta-8\alpha+4\alpha\beta}{5\beta+4\alpha\beta}, \frac{20+5\alpha-8\beta+4\alpha\beta}{5\alpha+4\alpha\beta}, \frac{20-3\beta+4\beta^2}{5\beta+4\beta^2}\}$$

Thus, letting

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{4[\alpha(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\alpha]}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{4[\beta(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\alpha]}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{4[\alpha(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\beta]}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta} \\ \frac{1}{p_4} = \frac{4[\beta(\gamma-1)-1][5-2\beta]}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\beta^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta} \end{cases}$$

we have the bound by a constant multiples of

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2p_1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2(1-p_1)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2p_2} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(1-p_2)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2p_3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2(1-p_3)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p_3}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2p_4} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(1-p_4)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p_4}} \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequalities we further bound by a constant multiples of

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_1})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1-p_1}{p_1}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_2})} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1-p_2}{p_2}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_3})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1-p_3}{p_3}} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_4})} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1-p_4}{p_4}} \end{aligned}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and (6), we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & X(T)+\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^\beta \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ \leq\ & X(0)+c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2+X \\ & +Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_1})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_2})}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & +Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_3})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_4})}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} & Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_1})}+Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_2})}+Z^{\frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_3})}+Z^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\beta(\gamma-1)-1}(\frac{1}{p_4})} \\ \leq\ & c(\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\alpha(5-2\alpha)}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}}+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\alpha(5-2\alpha)}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}} \\ & +\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\beta(5-2\alpha)}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}}+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\beta(5-2\alpha)}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\alpha}} \\ & +\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\alpha(5-2\beta)}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}}+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\alpha(5-2\beta)}{5\alpha(\gamma-1)+4\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}} \\ & +\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\beta(5-2\beta)}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\beta^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}}+\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8\beta(5-2\beta)}{5\beta(\gamma-1)+4\beta^2(\gamma-1)-20+8\beta}}) \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall's inequality and (3) imply the desired result. Lastly, considering the range of α, β, all the conditions of

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\ >\ & \max\{2, \frac{20-3\alpha+4\alpha^2}{5\alpha+4\alpha^2}, \frac{20+5\beta-8\alpha+4\alpha\beta}{5\beta+4\alpha\beta}, \frac{20+5\alpha-8\beta+4\alpha\beta}{5\alpha+4\alpha\beta}, \\ & \frac{20-3\beta+4\beta^2}{5\beta+4\beta^2}\} \end{aligned}$$

may be simplified to say that $\gamma > \frac{7}{3}$ suffices.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 and hence we only sketch it. We start by taking an inner product of the first equation in (1) with u and the second with b and integrating in time to obtain

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^T X dt \leq c(u_0,b_0) \tag{8}$$

3.2.1. *Estimate of* $\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2$. Local well-posedness is shown in [17]. We take an inner product of the first equation in (1) with $-\Delta_h u$ and the second with $-\Delta_h b$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_t Y+\|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla_h \nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ =\ & \int (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h u-(b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h u+(u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h b-(b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h b=\sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as before, Lemma 2.2, (8) and Young's inequality give

$$J_1 \leq \epsilon\|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2+c\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-2}}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$J_2+J_3+J_4 \quad \leq \quad \epsilon\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + cZ\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1})}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}$$

Thus, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, due to the divergence-free property of u,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\in[0,T]} Y(t) + \int_0^T \|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ \leq \quad & Y(0) + c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X + cZ\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1})}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} dt \end{aligned}$$

3.2.2. *Estimate of* $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2$. Next, we take inner products of the first equation in (1) with $-\Delta u$ and the second with $-\Delta b$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\partial_t X + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ = \quad & \int (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h u + (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u - (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h u - (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u \\ & +(u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\Delta_h b + (u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b - (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\Delta_h b - (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as before, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int (u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u - (b\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 u + (u\cdot\nabla)b\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b - (b\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\partial_{33}^2 b \\ \leq \quad & c\int |\partial_3 u|^2|\nabla_h u| + |\partial_3 u||\nabla_h b||\partial_3 b| + |\nabla_h u||\partial_3 b|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then, Hölder's inequality, (7) and the previous estimate give after integrating in time

$$\begin{aligned} &X(T) + \int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ \leq \quad & X(0) + c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1})}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} dt \\ & +c\int_0^T \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & +\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \end{aligned}$$

Another Hölder's inequalities imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(T)+\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
\leq\ & X(0)+c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \\
& +c[\sup_t \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& +\sup_t \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& +\sup_t \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}] \\
& +\sup_t \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, (8), Young's inequality and previous estimates imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(T)+\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
\leq\ & X(0)+c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& +c[\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + \int_0^T Z\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1})}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} dt] \\
& \times[\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}] \\
=\ & X(0)+c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \epsilon\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& +c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt[\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}] \\
& +c\int_0^T Z\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1})}\|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}} dt[\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hölder's inequality after absorbing the dissipative term gives us the bound by

$$X(0)+c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$
$$+c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt[\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}]$$
$$+c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} [\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}]$$

We expand and bound the last term using Young's inequalities by

$$\begin{aligned} & c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & +c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ \leq \quad & c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{4(\gamma-2)}{3\gamma-7}} + \epsilon\int_0^T (\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2) dt \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after absorbing the dissipative term and applying Young's inequality on the middle term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & X(T) + \int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ \leq \quad & X(0) + c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & +c\left(\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} + \epsilon(\int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt) + c\left(\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}} X dt\right)^{\frac{4(\gamma-2)}{3\gamma-7}} \end{aligned}$$

On the fourth and sixth terms, we use Hölder's inequalities and (8) to finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X(T) + \int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \quad \leq \quad & X(0) + c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & +c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8}{3}(\frac{1}{\gamma-2})} X dt + c\int_0^T Z^{\frac{4(\gamma-1)}{3\gamma-7}} X dt \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 implies that if we take $\gamma > \frac{7}{3}$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{3}\int_0^T \|\partial_i u_i\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8}{3\gamma-7}} dt < \infty$$

suffices to complete the proof with Gronwall's inequality.

3.3. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Corollary 1.3 is immediate from the following special case of the lemma due to [16]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let u be a divergence-free sufficiently smooth vector field in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(q)$ such that*

$$\|\partial_i u_j\|_{L^q} \leq C(\|w_3\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^q})$$

for $1 < q < \infty, i, j = 1, 2$.

Thus,

$$\|\partial_2 u_2\|_{L^p}^r \leq c(\|w_3\|_{L^p} + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p})^r \leq c(\|w_3\|_{L^p}^r + \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^p}^r)$$

by Lemma 2.3 as $r \geq 0$.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Taking an L^2-inner product with u on (2), integrating in time we see that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 dt < c(u_0) \tag{9}$$

3.4.1. *Estimate of $\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2$.* Taking an inner product with $-\Delta_h u$ on (2), applying Lemma 2.1 and integration by parts just like J_1 estimate in the previous proofs, Lemma 2.2 give us

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq\ & c\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})(1+\frac{2}{\gamma})} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{1}{\alpha})(1+\frac{2}{\gamma})} \end{aligned}$$

due to Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Young's and Gronwall's inequalities give us simiarly as before

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq c(T) + c(T) \int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

3.4.2. *Estimate on $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2$.* Next, taking L^2-inner product on (2) with $-\Delta u$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c \int |u_3||\nabla u||\nabla \nabla_h u| + |\nabla_h u||\partial_3 u|^2 = J_1 + J_2$$

where

$$J_2 = c \int |\nabla_h u||\partial_3 u|^2 \leq c\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \leq c\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5-2\alpha}}}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2} \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^6}^{(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})2}$$

by Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Now Sobolev embedding and (7) combined with previous estimate give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \leq \quad & c(\|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2) \\ + \quad & c\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2} \|\nabla_h \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})} \|\nabla \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})} \end{aligned}$$

We integrate in time to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla u(T)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
\le\ & c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&+ c \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{(\frac{4\alpha-3}{4})2(\frac{4}{4\alpha-3})} dt \right)^{\frac{4\alpha-3}{4}} \\
&\times \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla_h \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})(\frac{6}{7-4\alpha})} dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{6}} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}(\frac{7-4\alpha}{4})(\frac{12}{7-4\alpha})} dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Hölder's inequality. By the previous estimate and (9) we have the second term bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{5-2\alpha}{3}} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{7-4\alpha}{12}} \\
\le\ & c \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{4(5-2\alpha)}{5+4\alpha}} + \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Young's inequality. Absorbing the last term, we have the bound of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& + c \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8}{\gamma-2}(\frac{5-2\alpha}{5+4\alpha})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right) \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{15-12\alpha}{5+4\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Hölder's inequality. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla u(T)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
\le\ & c\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{2}{\gamma-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt + c \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^s}^{\frac{8}{\gamma-2}(\frac{5-2\alpha}{5+4\alpha})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Hölder's inequality and (9). Gronwall's inequality and (5) complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] Beale, J., Kato, T. and Majda, A., *Remarks on breakdown of smooth solutions for the three-dimensional Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **94**, 1 (1984).

[2] Beiñao da Veiga, H., *A new regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, **16** (1995) 407-412

[3] Cao, C. and Titi, E.S., *Regularity criteria for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **57**, 6 (2008) 2643-2662

[4] Cao, C. and Titi, E.S., *Global regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations involving one entry of the velocity gradient tensor*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **202**, 3 (2010) 919-932

[5] Cao, C. and Wu, J., *Two regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations*, J. Differential Equations, **248** (2010) 2263-2274

[6] Chae, D. and Choe, H., *Regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **1999**, 5 (1999) 1-7

[7] Du, Y., Liu, Y. and Yao, Z., *Remarks on the blow-up criteria for three-dimensional ideal magnetohydrodynamics equations*, J. Math. Phys., **50**, 023507 (2009)

[8] Fan, J., Gao, H. and Nakamura, G., *Regularity criteria for the generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations and the quasi-geostrophic equations*, Taiwanese J. Math., **15**, 3 (2011) 1059-1073

[9] Gala, S., *Extension criterion on regularity for weak solutions to the 3D MHD equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **33** (2010) 1496-1503

[10] Giga, Y., *Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Differential Equations, **62** (1986) 186-212

[11] He, C. and Xin, Z., *On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **213**, 2 (2005) 234-254

[12] Jia, Z. and Zhou, Y., *Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations involving partial components*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **13** (2012) 410-418

[13] Kukavica, I. and Ziane, M., *One component regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity, **19** (2006) 453-460

[14] Kukavica, I. and Ziane, M., *Navier-Stokes equations with regularity in one direction*, J. Math. Phys., **48**, 065203 (2007)

[15] Penel, P. and Pokorný, M., *Some new regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations containing gradient of the velocity*, App. Math. **54** (2009) 47-52

[16] Penel, P. and Pokorný, M., *On anisotropic regularity criteria for the solutions to 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **13** (2011) 341-353

[17] Sermange, M. and Temam, R., *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983) 635-664

[18] Serrin, J., *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **9**, 187-195 (1962)

[19] Serrin, J., *The initial value problems for the Navier-Stokes equations* in *Nonlinear problems*, (University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1963)

[20] Struwe, M., *On a Serrin-type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure*, J. Math. Fluid. Mech., **9** (2007) 235-242

[21] Wu, J., *Generalized MHD equations*, J. Differential Equations, **195** (2003) 284-312

[22] Wu, J., *Regularity criteria for the generalized MHD equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **33**, 2 (2008) 285-306

[23] Yamazaki, K., *On the regularity criteria of a surface quasi-geostrophic equation*, Nonlinear Anal., **75**, 13 (2012) 4950-4956

[24] Yue, H. and Li, W-M., *A new regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **4**, 2 (2011) 126-129

[25] Yuwen, L., *On the regularity of generalized MHD equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **365** (2010) 806-808

[26] Zhang, Z., *A Serrin-type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations via one velocity component*, arXiv:1103.1545v1 [math.AP], Dec. 23. 2011.

[27] Zhou, Y., *Regularity criteria for the generalized viscous MHD equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **24** (2007) 491-505

[28] Zhang, Z., Yao, Z., Lu, M. and Ni, L., *Some Serrin-type regularity criteria for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 053103 (2011)