

A REMARK ON GLOBAL REGULARITY OF 2D GENERALIZED MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

QUANSEN JIU¹

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, P. R. China
Email: jiuqs@mail.cnu.edu.cn

JIEFENG ZHAO

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, P. R. China
Email: zhaojiefeng001@163.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the global regularity of the following 2D (two-dimensional) generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u &= -\nabla p + b \cdot \nabla b - \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha u \\ b_t + u \cdot \nabla b &= b \cdot \nabla u - \kappa(-\Delta)^\beta b \end{cases}$$

and get global regular solutions when $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$, $\beta \geq 1$, $3\alpha + 2\beta > 3$, which improves the results in [5]. In particular, we obtain the global regularity of the 2D generalized MHD when $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$.

Keywords: Generalized Magnetohydrodynamic equations, Global regularity

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Cauchy problem of the 2D (two-dimensional) generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p + b \cdot \nabla b - \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha u, \\ b_t + u \cdot \nabla b = b \cdot \nabla u - \kappa(-\Delta)^\beta b, \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad b(x, 0) = b_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t > 0$, where $u = u(x, t)$ is the velocity field, $b = b(x, t)$ is the magnetic field, $p = p(x, t)$ is the pressure, and $u_0(x)$, $b_0(x)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0(x) = \operatorname{div} b_0(x) = 0$ are the initial velocity and magnetic field, respectively. Here $\nu, \kappa, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are nonnegative constants and $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ is defined through the Fourier transform by

$$((-\Delta)^{1/2} f)^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi| \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

¹The research is partially supported by National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 11171229, 11231006 and 11228102) and Project of Beijing Education Committee.

where \wedge denotes the Fourier transform. Later, we will use the inverse Fourier transform \vee . As usual, we write $(-\triangle)^{1/2}$ as Λ . To simplify the presentation, we will assume $\nu = \kappa = 1$ when $\alpha > 0$ and $\nu = 0, \kappa = 1$ when $\alpha = 0$.

There have been extensive studies on the global regularity of solutions to (1) (see e.g. [6][7][5] and references therein). It follows from [7] that the problem (1) has a unique global regular solution if

$$\alpha \geq 1, \quad \beta > 0, \quad \alpha + \beta \geq 2.$$

Recently, Tran, Yu and Zhai [5] proved that if

$$\alpha \geq 1/2, \quad \beta \geq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, \quad 2\alpha + \beta > 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha \geq 2, \quad \beta = 0,$$

then the solution is global regular.

In this paper, we will prove the global regularity to (1) when $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, \beta \geq 1, 3\alpha + 2\beta > 3$. Denote the vorticity by $\omega = -\partial_2 u_1 + \partial_1 u_2$ and the current by $j = -\partial_2 b_1 + \partial_1 b_2$. We will prove that $\omega, j \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty)$ and hence obtain the global regularity of the solution due to BKM type criterion (see [1]). To this end, based on the estimates of ω, j in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ in [5], we will prove a new estimate on $\Lambda^r j$ for some $r > 0$ in this paper (see Lemma 2). Our result improves ones in [5] and in particular, if $\alpha = 0, \beta > \frac{3}{2}$, the problem (1) has a global regular solution.

Our main result is stated as

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $(u_0, b_0) \in H^k$ with $k > \max\{2, \alpha + \beta\}$. If*

$$0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, \quad \beta \geq 1, \quad 3\alpha + 2\beta > 3,$$

then the Cauchy problem has a unique global regular solution.

Remark 1. *When $\alpha + \beta > 2, k > 2$, the global regularity has been proved in [5].*

Remark 2. *For the 2D MHD equations, it remains open to prove the global regularity when $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ or $\beta = 0, \alpha = 1$.*

2. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we will give a priori estimates for ω and j . For convenience, we use the same notation as in [5]. Let ω and j denote the vorticity and the current respectively, where $\omega = \nabla^\perp \cdot u = -\partial_2 u_1 + \partial_1 u_2$ and $j = \nabla^\perp \cdot b = -\partial_2 b_1 + \partial_1 b_2$. Applying $\nabla^\perp \cdot$ to the equations (1), we obtain the following equations for ω and j :

$$\omega_t + u \cdot \nabla \omega = b \cdot \nabla j - \Lambda^{2\alpha} \omega, \tag{2}$$

$$j_t + u \cdot \nabla j = b \cdot \nabla \omega + T(\nabla u, \nabla b) - \Lambda^{2\beta} j, \tag{3}$$

where

$$T(\nabla u, \nabla b) = 2\partial_1 b_1 (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) + 2\partial_2 u_2 (\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1).$$

The $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ estimates for ω, j are obtained in [5], which is

Lemma 1. ([5]) *Suppose that $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 1$. Let $u_0, b_0 \in H^1$. For any $T > 0$, we have*

$$\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2(t) + \|j\|_{L^2}^2(t) + \int_0^t \left(\|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \leq C(T). \tag{4}$$

The following is a key lemma of this paper which will be used later.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq 1, r = \alpha + \beta - 1 > 0$ and $k \geq \alpha + \beta$. Let $u_0, b_0 \in H^k$. Then for any $T > 0$, we have

$$\|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^2(t) + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C(u_0, b_0, T). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Applying Λ^r on both sides of the equation(3), we obtain

$$(\Lambda^r j)_t + \Lambda^r(u \cdot \nabla j) = \Lambda^r(b \cdot \nabla \omega) + \Lambda^r(T(\nabla u, \nabla b)) - \Lambda^{2\beta+r} j. \quad (6)$$

Multiplying (6) by $\Lambda^r j$ and integrating with respect to x in \mathbb{R}^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r(u \cdot \nabla j) \Lambda^r j dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r(b \cdot \nabla \omega) \Lambda^r j dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r T(\nabla u, \nabla b) \Lambda^r j dx \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

I_1 is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r(u \cdot \nabla j) \Lambda^r j dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^{-1} \nabla \cdot \Lambda^\alpha(uj) \Lambda^{\beta+r} j dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\Lambda^{-1} \nabla \cdot \Lambda^\alpha(uj)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda^\alpha(uj)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &= C \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^\alpha \hat{u}(\xi - \eta) \hat{j}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi - \eta|^\alpha |\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)| |\hat{j}(\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)| |\eta|^\alpha |\hat{j}(\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &= C \left(\left\| \Lambda^\alpha(|\hat{u}|^\vee) |\hat{j}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \Lambda^\alpha(|\hat{j}|^\vee) |\hat{u}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\|\Lambda^\alpha(|\hat{u}|^\vee)\|_{L^4} \|\hat{j}\|_{L^4}^\vee + \left\| \Lambda^\alpha(|\hat{j}|^\vee) \right\|_{L^4} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^4}^\vee \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \|j\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} + \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2\beta}} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\epsilon \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-2\alpha} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{1+2\alpha} \|j\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{u}|^\vee)\|_{L^4} &\leq C \|\hat{u}|^\vee\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla (|\hat{u}|^\vee)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}, \\
\|\hat{u}|^\vee\|_{L^4} &\leq C \|\hat{u}|^\vee\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla (|\hat{u}|^\vee)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\
\|\hat{j}|^\vee\|_{L^4} &\leq C \|\hat{j}|^\vee\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta (|\hat{j}|^\vee)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} \leq C \|j\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}, \\
\|\Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{j}|^\vee)\|_{L^4} &\leq C \|\hat{j}|^\vee\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta (|\hat{j}|^\vee)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2\beta}} \leq C \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{2\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{2\beta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can deal with I_2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r (b \cdot \nabla \omega) \Lambda^r j dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^{-1} \nabla \cdot \Lambda^\alpha (b\omega) \Lambda^{\beta+r} j dx \right| \\
&\leq \|\Lambda^{-1} \nabla \cdot \Lambda^\alpha (b\omega)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\Lambda^\alpha (b\omega)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&= C \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^\alpha \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{\omega}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi - \eta|^\alpha |\hat{b}(\xi - \eta)| |\hat{\omega}(\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{b}(\xi - \eta)| |\eta|^\alpha |\hat{\omega}(\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&= C \left(\left\| \Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{b}|^\vee) |\hat{\omega}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{\omega}|^\vee) |\hat{b}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| \Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{b}|^\vee) \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_{L^2} + \left\| |\hat{b}|^\vee \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left(\|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{1+\beta}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}} \|\omega\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^{1+r} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \epsilon \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \left(\|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{1+\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+\beta}} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^\alpha (|\hat{b}|^\vee)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \left\| |\hat{b}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{1+\beta}} \left\| \Lambda^{\beta+1} (|\hat{b}|^\vee) \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}} \leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{1+\beta}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}}, \\
\left\| |\hat{b}|^\vee \right\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \left\| |\hat{b}|^\vee \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r}{1+r}} \left\| \Lambda^{1+r} (|\hat{b}|^\vee) \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{1+r}} \leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^{1+r} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{1+r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we give estimate of I_3 .

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^r T(\nabla u, \nabla b) \Lambda^r j dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} T(\nabla u, \nabla b) \Lambda^{2r} j dx \right| \\
&\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{2r} j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta+r-1}{\beta+r}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta+r}} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta-r}{\beta+r}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r}{\beta+r}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta+r}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r+1}{\beta+r}} \\
&\leq C \epsilon \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta+r)}{2\beta-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta+r-1}{\beta+r}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta+r}}, \\
\|\Lambda^{2r} j\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\beta-r}{\beta+r}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r}{\beta+r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting estimates of $I_1 - I_3$ into (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \epsilon \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-2\alpha} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{1+2\alpha} \|j\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{\beta}} \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \left(\|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{1+\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+\beta}} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta+r)}{2\beta-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2C}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-2\alpha} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{1+2\alpha} \|j\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \|u\|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\beta-2\alpha-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{\beta}} \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \left(\|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+\beta}} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2r}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{1+r}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(\beta+r)}{2\beta-1}}. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

By assumptions of the lemma, we have $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta \geq 1$, $r = \alpha + \beta - 1 > 0$, and hence $\frac{1}{\beta} \leq 1$, $\frac{1+2\alpha}{\beta} \leq 2$, $\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+\beta} \leq 2$, $\frac{2}{1+r} \leq 2$. Thus, due to Lemma 1, we have

$$\|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\alpha}{\beta}}, \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+\beta}}, \|\Lambda^\alpha \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \in L^1(0, T).$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality in (8), we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^r j\|_{L^2}^2(t) + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\beta+r} j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C(u_0, b_0, T).$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. We will prove that $\omega, j \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and Theorem 1 is then followed from the BKM-type criterion. Two cases will be considered respectively: $0 < \alpha < 1/2, \beta \geq 1, 3\alpha + 2\beta > 3$ and $\alpha = 0, \beta > \frac{3}{2}$.

case I: $0 < \alpha < 1/2, \beta \geq 1, 3\alpha + 2\beta > 3$

We will first give $L^\infty(0, T; H^1)$ estimates for ω, j . Differentiating with respect to $x_i (i = 1, 2)$ on both sides of (2) and (3) respectively, we get

$$(\partial_i \omega)_t + u \cdot \nabla (\partial_i \omega) = -(\partial_i u) \cdot \nabla \omega + (\partial_i b) \cdot \nabla j + b \cdot \nabla (\partial_i j) - \Lambda^{2\alpha} (\partial_i \omega), \quad (9)$$

$$(\partial_i j)_t + u \cdot \nabla (\partial_i j) = -(\partial_i u) \cdot \nabla j + (\partial_i b) \cdot \nabla \omega + b \cdot \nabla (\partial_i \omega) + \partial_i (T(\nabla u, \nabla b)) - \Lambda^{2\beta} (\partial_i j). \quad (10)$$

Multiplying $\partial_i \omega$ and $\partial_i j$ on both sides of (9) and (10) respectively, integrating with respect to x in \mathbb{R}^2 and summing up $i=1,2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \right) &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\partial_i u) \cdot \nabla \omega] \partial_i \omega dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\partial_i b) \cdot \nabla j] \partial_i \omega dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\partial_i u) \cdot \nabla j] \partial_i j dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\partial_i b) \cdot \nabla \omega] \partial_i j dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\partial_i (T(\nabla u, \nabla b))] \partial_i j dx \\ &\quad - \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5) - \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0$, and we denote

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u| |\nabla \omega|^2 dx, \\ A_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla b| |\nabla j| |\nabla \omega| dx, \\ A_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u| |\nabla j|^2 dx, \\ A_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla b| |\nabla \omega| |\nabla j| dx, \\ A_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [|\nabla^2 u| |\nabla b| + |\nabla u| |\nabla^2 b|] |\nabla j| dx. \end{aligned}$$

$A_2 - A_5$ can be estimated in a straight way (see also [5]), which are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 = A_4 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2, \\
A_3 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2, \\
A_5 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with A_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega| |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq \|\omega\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^q}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\omega\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{2}{p\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p\alpha}} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|\omega\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p\alpha}{p\alpha-1}} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{p\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p\alpha}}.$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} < p < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1,$$

and p is to be determined later.

To estimate $\|\omega\|_{L^p}$, we multiply on the both sides of (2) by $p|\omega|^{p-2}\omega$ and integrate with respect to x in \mathbb{R}^2 to obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda^\alpha \omega) |\omega|^{p-2} \omega dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |b| |\nabla j| |\omega|^{p-1} dx,$$

where we have used $\nabla \cdot u = 0$. Thanks to the following inequality (see [4][2][8][3])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda^\alpha \omega) |\omega|^{p-2} \omega dx \geq 0,$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^p} \leq \|b \cdot \nabla j\|_{L^p} \leq \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla j\|_{L^p}. \quad (12)$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$j \in L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+r}).$$

Consequently, we have

$$b \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty) \text{ and } \nabla j \in L^2(0, T; L^p) \left(\text{for some } p > \frac{1}{\alpha} \right).$$

In fact, if $\beta + r \geq 2$, i.e. $\alpha + 2\beta \geq 3$, we can choose $\frac{1}{\alpha} < p < \infty$, such that

$$\nabla j \in L^2(0, T; L^p);$$

if $\beta + r < 2$, i.e. $\alpha + 2\beta < 3$, we have $\frac{2}{2-(\beta+r)} = \frac{2}{3-(2\beta+\alpha)} > \frac{1}{\alpha}$, so we can choose $\frac{1}{\alpha} < p < \frac{2}{2-(\beta+r)}$, such that

$$\nabla j \in L^2(0, T; L^p),$$

where we have used the assumption $3\alpha + 2\beta > 3$ in the theorem. Integrating on t in $(0, t)$ on both sides of (12) yields

$$\|\omega\|_{L^p} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla j\|_{L^p} d\tau \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^p} + \|b\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty)} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2(0,T;L^p)} \leq C(\omega_0, T), \quad (13)$$

which implies that $\|\omega\|_{L^p} \in L^\infty(0, T)$.

Putting the estimates of $(A_1 - A_5)$ into (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \right) &\leq C(\varepsilon) (\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p\alpha}{p\alpha-1}}) (\|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\varepsilon) \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad - \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining this with (13) and lemma 1, taking ϵ so that $C\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, and utilizing the Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C(T)$$

which implies that

$$\nabla \omega, \nabla j \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \nabla \omega \in L^2(0, T; H^\alpha), \nabla j \in L^2(0, T; H^\beta).$$

Thus, by the Sobolev imbedding, we have $\omega, j \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty)$. Applying the BKM type criterion for global regularity (see [1]), we get the proof of Theorem 1.

Case II: $\alpha = 0, \beta > \frac{3}{2}$

In this case, since $\alpha = 0, \beta > \frac{3}{2}$, we have $r = \alpha + \beta - 1 > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta + r > 2$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\nabla j \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty)$. Since

$$\omega_t + u \cdot \nabla \omega = b \cdot \nabla j,$$

we can prove that $\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty)$ by using the particle trajectory method. By taking advantage of the BKM type criterion for global regularity (see [1]), we finish the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Caffisch, I. Klapper, G. Steele, Remarks on singularities, dimension and energy dissipation for ideal hydrodynamics and MHD, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 184 (1997) 443–455
- [2] A. Cordoba, D. Cordoba, A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 249 (3) (2004) 511–528
- [3] N. Ju, The maximum principle and the global attractor for the dissipative 2D quasi-geostrophic equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 255 (1) (2005) 161–181
- [4] S. Resnick, Dynamical problems in non-linear advective partial differential equations, PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 1995.
- [5] C.V. Tran, X. Yu, Z. Zhai, On global regularity of 2D generalized magnetohydrodynamics equations, *J. Differential. Equations* 254 (2013) 4194–4216
- [6] J. Wu, Generalized MHD equations, *J. Differential Equations* 195 (2) (2003) 284–312, 2003.
- [7] J. Wu, Global regularity for a class of generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 13 (2011) 295–305

- [8] J. Wu, Lower bounds for an integral involving fractional Laplacians and the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 263 (2005) 803-831