

# ON THE GLOBAL REGULARITY OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL GENERALIZED MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS SYSTEM

KAZUO YAMAZAKI

**ABSTRACT.** We study the two-dimensional generalized magnetohydrodynamics system with dissipation and diffusion in terms of fractional Laplacians. In particular, we show that in case the diffusion term has the power  $\beta = 1$ , in contrast to the previous result of  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we show that  $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$  suffices in order for the solution pair of velocity and magnetic fields to remain smooth for all time.

**Keywords:** Global regularity, magnetohydrodynamics system, Navier-Stokes system.

1 2

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

We study the generalized magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) system defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - (b \cdot \nabla)b + \nabla \pi + \nu \Lambda^{2\alpha} u = 0, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)b - (b \cdot \nabla)u + \eta \Lambda^{2\beta} b = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), b(x, 0) = b_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $u : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$  is the velocity vector field,  $b : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$  the magnetic vector field,  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  the pressure scalar field and  $\nu, \eta \geq 0$  are the kinematic viscosity and diffusivity constants respectively. We also denote by  $\Lambda$  a fractional Laplacian operator defined via Fourier transform as  $\widehat{\Lambda^{2\gamma} f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\gamma} \hat{f}(\xi)$  for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In case  $N = 2, 3, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha = \beta = 1$ , it is well-known that (1) possesses at least one global  $L^2$  weak solution; in case  $N = 2$ , it is also unique (cf. [19]). Moreover, in any dimension  $N \geq 2$ , the case  $\nu, \eta > 0$ , the lower bounds on the powers of the fractional Laplacians at  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N}{4}, \beta \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N}{4}$  imply the existence of the unique global strong solution pair (cf. [26]).

Some numerical study have shown that the velocity vector field may play relatively important role in regularizing effect (e.g. [8], [18]). Starting from the works of [9] and [34], we have seen various regularity criteria of the MHD system in terms of only the velocity vector field (e.g. [1], [4], [6], [7], [10], [25], [28], [33]). Moreover, motivated by the work of [20], the author in [24] showed that in case

---

The author expresses gratitude to Professor Jiahong Wu and Professor David Ullrich for their teaching.

<sup>1</sup>2000MSC : 35B65, 35Q35, 35Q86

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, 401 Mathematical Sciences, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

$N \geq 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N}{4}, \beta > 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}$ , the system (1) even in logarithmically super-critical case still admits a unique global strong solution pair. The endpoint case  $\nu > 0, \eta = 0, \alpha = 1 + \frac{N}{2}$  was also completed recently in [23] and [29] (cf. [27] for further generalization).

On the other hand, in case  $N = 2$ , it is well-known that the Euler equations, the Navier-Stokes system with no dissipation, still admits a unique global strong solution. This is due to the conservation of vorticity which follows upon taking a curl on the system. In the case of the MHD system, upon taking a curl and then  $L^2$ -estimates of the resulting system, every non-linear term has  $b$  involved. Exploiting this observation and divergence-free conditions, the authors in [2] showed that in case  $N = 2$ , full Laplacians in both dissipation and magnetic diffusion are not necessary for the solution pair to remain smooth; rather, only a mix of partial dissipation and diffusion in the order of two derivatives suffices.

Very recently, the authors in [22] have shown that in case  $N = 2$ , the solution pair remains smooth in any of the following three cases:

- (1)  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq 1$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha \geq 2, \beta = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\frac{1}{2} > \alpha \geq 0, 2\alpha + \beta > 2$ .

In particular, their result implies that in the range of  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\beta$  must satisfy

$$\beta > 2 - 2\alpha. \quad (2)$$

These results implied that if  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $\beta > 2$  was necessary to obtain global regularity result. This was improved in [31] to show that either of the following conditions suffices:

- (1)  $\alpha = 0, \beta > \frac{3}{2}$ ,
- (2)  $\frac{1}{2} > \alpha > 0, \frac{3}{2} \geq \beta > \frac{5}{4}, \alpha + 2\beta > 3$ .

In particular, this implies that in the range of  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\beta$  must satisfy

$$\beta > \frac{3 - \alpha}{2} \quad (3)$$

(cf. also [32]). In this paper we make further improvement in this direction. Let us present our results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha > \frac{1}{3}, \beta = 1$ . Then for all initial data pair  $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $s \geq 3$ , there exists a unique global strong solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ b &\in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}], \beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  such that*

$$3 < 2\beta + \frac{2\alpha}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (4)$$

*Then for all initial data pair  $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $s \geq 3$ , there exists a unique global strong solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ b &\in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^{s+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.** (1) We observe that (4) is equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} < \beta,$$

and this is a better lower bound than that of (2) or (3) for  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}]$ .

- (2) Theorem 1.1 also represents the smaller lower bound for the sum of  $\alpha + \beta$  at  $1 + \frac{1}{3}$  required for the solution pair to remain smooth for all time in comparison to the previous works such as [24] and [26] at  $\alpha + \beta \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}$  in  $N$ -dimension and [22] at  $\alpha + \beta \geq \frac{3}{2}$  in two-dimension.
- (3) There are various spaces of functions in which one may obtain local well-posedness of the MHD system. We chose to state above for simplicity. The local theory may be obtained by using mollifiers as done in [14] and we omit the details referring interested readers to [2] where the authors considered (1) in case  $N = 2, \nu = 0, \eta > 0, \beta = 1$  and showed in particular the existence of its weak solution pair (cf. also [19] and [26]).
- (4) After this work was completed, this direction of research has caught much attention from many mathematicians and a remarkable development with new results has been seen. In particular, we mention that in [3] and [11], the authors obtained the global regularity result in the case  $\alpha = 0, \beta > 1$ . We also mention numerical analysis results obtained in [21] concerning the interesting case  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ .

In the following section, let us set up notations and summarize key lemmas that will be used repeatedly. Thereafter, we prove our theorems.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us denote a constant that depends on  $a, b$  by  $c(a, b)$  and when the constant is not of significance, let us write  $A \lesssim B, A \approx B$  to imply that there exists some constant  $c$  such that  $A \leq cB, A = cB$  respectively. We also denote partial derivatives and vector components as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \partial_t, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \partial_1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \partial_2, \quad u = (u_1, u_2), \quad b = (b_1, b_2).$$

For simplicity we also set

$$w = \nabla \times u, \quad j = \nabla \times b, \tag{5}$$

$$X(t) = \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|j(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad Y(t) = \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We use the following well-known inequalities:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be divergence-free vector field such that  $\nabla f \in L^p, p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^p} \leq c(p) \|\operatorname{curl} f\|_{L^p}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** (cf. [13]) *Let  $f, g$  be smooth such that  $\nabla f \in L^{p_1}, \Lambda^{s-1}g \in L^{p_2}, \Lambda^s f \in L^{p_3}, g \in L^{p_4}, p \in (1, \infty), \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}, p_2, p_3 \in (1, \infty), s > 0$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\|\Lambda^s(fg) - f\Lambda^s g\|_{L^p} \lesssim (\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|\Lambda^{s-1}g\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^{p_3}} \|g\|_{L^{p_4}}).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** (cf. [5], [12]) *For any  $\alpha \in [0, 1], x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{T}^N$  and  $f, \Lambda^{2\alpha} f \in L^p, p \geq 2$ ,*

$$2 \int |\Lambda^\alpha(f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2 dx \leq p \int |f|^{p-2} f \Lambda^{2\alpha} f dx.$$

Finally, the following product estimate has proven to be useful (e.g. [15], [16], [17], [30]):

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 < 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) > 0$  such that*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1}} \leq c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\sigma_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\sigma_2}},$$

for  $f \in \dot{H}^{\sigma_1}(\mathbb{R}^2), g \in \dot{H}^{\sigma_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Throughout this section, we assume  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2} - 1)$  as the case  $\alpha \in [\sqrt{2} - 1, \frac{1}{2})$  may be done via slight modification using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. We note that the restriction of this range of  $\alpha$  in particular becomes crucial at (9); we chose the statements of Propositions 3.1-3.3 for simplicity of presentation. We work on

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - (b \cdot \nabla)b + \nabla \pi + \Lambda^{2\alpha} u = 0, \\ \partial_t b + (u \cdot \nabla)b - (b \cdot \nabla)u + \Lambda^2 b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Taking  $L^2$ -inner products of (6) with  $u$  and  $b$  respectively, we can get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T). \quad (7)$$

It has been shown that the following proposition can be attained as long as  $\beta \geq 1$  (cf. [22], [31]). We sketch its proof for completeness.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2} - 1), \beta = 1$ . Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$  there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* Taking curls on (6), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \Lambda^{2\alpha} w = -(u \cdot \nabla)w + (b \cdot \nabla)j, \\ \partial_t j + \Lambda^2 j = -(u \cdot \nabla)j + (b \cdot \nabla)w + 2[\partial_1 b_1(\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) - \partial_1 u_1(\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1)]. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Taking  $L^2$ -inner products with  $w$  and  $j$  respectively and using incompressibility of  $u$  and  $b$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|j\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^\alpha w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda j\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= 2 \int [\partial_1 b_1 (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) - \partial_1 u_1 (\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1)] j \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla b\|_{L^4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^4} \\
&\lesssim \|j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda j\|_{L^2}^2 + c \|j\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's, Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequalities. Absorbing diffusive term, (7) and Gronwall's inequality complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Next two propositions are the keys to the improvement from previous results:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2} - 1), \beta = 1$ . Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , for any  $\gamma \in (1, 1 + \alpha)$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Lambda^\gamma b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\gamma \in (1, 1 + \alpha)$ . From the magnetic field equation of (6), we estimate after multiplying by  $\Lambda^{2\gamma} b$  and integrating in space as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq (\|(u \cdot \nabla) b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}} \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2} + \|(b \cdot \nabla) u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}} \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 + c(\|(u \cdot \nabla) b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \|(b \cdot \nabla) u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's and Young's inequalities. Now we use Lemmas 2.4 and 2.1 to estimate

$$\|(b \cdot \nabla) u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}^2 \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\alpha}}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\alpha}}^2 \|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2.$$

We then use Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (7) and Proposition 3.1 to further bound by

$$\|(b \cdot \nabla) u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}^2 \lesssim (\|b\|_{L^2}^{2(1-(\gamma-\alpha))} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(\gamma-\alpha)}) \|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 \lesssim \|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2.$$

Next, we fix  $\epsilon \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$  and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u \cdot \nabla) b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1}}^2 &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-1+\epsilon}}^2 \|\nabla b\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\epsilon}}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{2(2-\gamma-\epsilon)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{2(\gamma-1+\epsilon)} \|j\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\epsilon}}^2 \lesssim \|j\|_{L^2}^{2\epsilon} \|j\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{2(1-\epsilon)} \lesssim (1 + \|j\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, Lemma 2.1, Proposition 3.1 and Young's inequality. Thus, absorbing diffusive term, we have

$$\partial_t \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 + 1 + \|j\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2).$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.1, integrating in time we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Lambda^\gamma b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^{1+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2} - 1), \beta = 1$ . Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , for any  $\gamma \in (1, 1 + \alpha)$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{2-\gamma}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{2-\gamma}} + \int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(2-\gamma)(1-\alpha)}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{2-\gamma}} d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\gamma \in (1, 1 + \alpha)$  and denote by

$$p = \frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{2 - \gamma}.$$

We estimate by multiplying the vorticity equation of (8) by  $|w|^{p-2}w$  and integrating in space

$$\frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + \int \Lambda^{2\alpha} w |w|^{p-2} w dx = \int (b \cdot \nabla) j |w|^{p-2} w dx,$$

where we used incompressibility of  $u$ . Using Lemma 2.3, because  $p \geq 2$ , and homogeneous Sobolev embedding  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$  we can obtain

$$\int \Lambda^{2\alpha} w |w|^{p-2} w dx \geq \frac{2}{p} \| |w|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 \geq c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p.$$

Using this, we further estimate

$$\frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p \leq \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla j\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}},$$

where we used the Hölder's inequality. Now we use the homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^{\gamma-1} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}$  and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p &\lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^\gamma j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}} \\ &\lesssim \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\Lambda^\gamma j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We further bound by (7) and Proposition 3.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p \\ &\leq \frac{c(p, \alpha)}{2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p + c \|\Lambda^\gamma j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|w\|_{L^p}^{(p-2)(\frac{p}{p-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{c(p, \alpha)}{2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p + c(1 + \|\Lambda^\gamma j\|_{L^2}^2)(1 + \|w\|_{L^p}^p) \end{aligned}$$

by Young's inequalities. After absorbing the dissipative term, integrating in time gives

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T)$$

due to Proposition 3.2. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2} - 1), \beta = 1$ . Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* We apply  $\nabla$  on (8) and take  $L^2$ -inner products with  $\nabla w$  and  $\nabla j$  respectively to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\ = & - \int \nabla w \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx - \int \nabla j \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla j dx \\ & + \int \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)j) \cdot \nabla w + \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)w) \cdot \nabla j dx \\ & + 2 \int \nabla[\partial_1 b_1(\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1)] \cdot \nabla j dx - 2 \int \nabla[\partial_1 u_1(\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1)] \cdot \nabla j dx = \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate separately:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + cY(t) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality, homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$ , Lemma 2.1 and Young's inequality. Next,

$$I_2 \leq \|\nabla j\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla j\|_{L^2} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2}^2 + cY(t)$$

by Hölder's, Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequalities. Next, we first integrate by parts and use the incompressibility conditions to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 & = \int \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)j) \cdot \nabla w dx + \int \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)w) \cdot \nabla j dx \\ & = \int \nabla b \cdot \nabla j \cdot \nabla w + \nabla b \cdot \nabla w \cdot \nabla j + b \cdot \nabla(\nabla j \otimes \nabla w) dx \\ & = - \int \Delta b \cdot (\nabla j)w + 2 \nabla b \cdot (\nabla \nabla j)w dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate this by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim (\|\nabla j\|_{L^4}^2 \|w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^4} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^4}) \\
&\lesssim (\|\nabla j\|_{L^2} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} + \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2}^2 + cY(t)
\end{aligned}$$

due to the Hölder's inequalities, Lemma 2.1, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, Proposition 3.1 and Young's inequalities. Finally, after integrating by parts again,

$$I_4 + I_5 \lesssim \int |\nabla b| |\nabla u| |\Delta j| dx \lesssim \|\nabla b\|_{L^4} \|w\|_{L^4} \|\Delta j\|_{L^2}$$

by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.1. Note this is same as the second term of  $I_3$  and hence its identical estimate suffices.

Therefore, absorbing dissipative and diffusive terms, we have

$$\partial_t Y(t) + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim Y(t)(1 + \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2).$$

Now it can be checked that

$$1 < 2 - \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1-\alpha} < 1 + \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2}-1) \quad (9)$$

and hence we can choose  $\gamma = 2 - \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}$  so that by Hölder's inequality and Proposition 3.3,

$$\int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2 d\tau = \int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(2-\gamma)(1-\alpha)}}}^2 d\tau \leq T^{\frac{\gamma-1+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \left( \int \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(2-\gamma)(1-\alpha)}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{2-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{1+\alpha}} \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

Therefore by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 d\eta \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1*

We now prove Theorem 1.1. We apply  $\Lambda^s, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$  on (6) and take  $L^2$ -inner products with  $\Lambda^s u$  and  $\Lambda^s b$  respectively to estimate using Lemma 2.2 and incompressibility conditions to estimate



$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t (\|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
= & - \int \Lambda^s [(u \cdot \nabla) u] \cdot \Lambda^s u - u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^s u \cdot \Lambda^s u dx \\
& - \int \Lambda^s [(u \cdot \nabla) b] \cdot \Lambda^s b - u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^s b \cdot \Lambda^s b dx \\
& + \int \Lambda^s [(b \cdot \nabla) b] \cdot \Lambda^s u - b \cdot \nabla \Lambda^s b \cdot \Lambda^s u dx \\
& + \int \Lambda^s [(b \cdot \nabla) u] \cdot \Lambda^s b - b \cdot \nabla \Lambda^s u \cdot \Lambda^s b dx \\
\lesssim & (\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}) \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \\
& + (\|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla b\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla b\|_{L^4}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^4} \\
& + (\|\nabla b\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla b\|_{L^4} + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^4} \|\nabla b\|_{L^4}) \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \\
& + (\|\nabla b\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^4} \\
\lesssim & (\|w\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}) \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \\
& + (\|w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + (\|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \\
& + (\|j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$ . Due to Propositions 3.1 and 3.4 and Young's inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t (\|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} (\|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^2) + c(\|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Absorbing the dissipative and diffusive terms, Gronwall's inequality implies the desired result.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Throughout this section, we let  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfy (4) and in particular we assume

$$2\beta + \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1-\alpha} < 3 < \alpha + 2\beta + \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \quad (10)$$

as the other case can be done similarly. We work on

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - (b \cdot \nabla) b + \nabla \pi + \Lambda^{2\alpha} u = 0, \\ \partial_t b + (u \cdot \nabla) b - (b \cdot \nabla) u + \Lambda^{2\beta} b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

As before, taking  $L^2$ -inner products of (11) with  $u$  and  $b$  respectively, we immediately obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T). \quad (12)$$

Since  $\beta \geq 1$ , it is clear from the proof of Proposition 3.1 that its slight modification applied to the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \Lambda^{2\alpha} w = -(u \cdot \nabla)w + (b \cdot \nabla)j \\ \partial_t j + \Lambda^{2\beta} j = -(u \cdot \nabla)j + (b \cdot \nabla)w + 2[\partial_1 b_1(\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) - \partial_1 u_1(\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1)] \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

leads to the following result:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}], \beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  satisfy (10). Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

Now we prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}], \beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  satisfy (10). Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , for any  $\gamma \in (\beta, \alpha + \beta)$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Lambda^\gamma b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\gamma \in (\beta, \alpha + \beta)$ . From the magnetic field equation of (11), we estimate after multiplying by  $\Lambda^{2\gamma} b$  and integrating in space

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq (\|(u \cdot \nabla)b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2} + \|(b \cdot \nabla)u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 + c(\|(u \cdot \nabla)b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}}^2 + \|(b \cdot \nabla)u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's and Young's inequalities. Now by Lemma 2.4 and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, we estimate

$$\|(b \cdot \nabla)u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}} \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta+1-\alpha}}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 \lesssim \|b\|_{L^2}^{2(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^{2(1-(\alpha+\beta-\gamma))} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 \lesssim \|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2.$$

Next, we fix  $\epsilon \in (\beta - 1, \beta - \alpha)$  and estimate using Lemma 2.4 and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, (12), Proposition 4.1 and Young's inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u \cdot \nabla)b\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\beta}}^2 & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma+1-2\beta+\epsilon}}^2 \|\nabla b\|_{\dot{H}^{\beta-\epsilon}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{2(2\beta-\gamma-\epsilon)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{2(1-(2\beta-\gamma-\epsilon))} \|j\|_{\dot{H}^{\beta-\epsilon}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|j\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{\epsilon}{\beta})} \|j\|_{\dot{H}^\beta}^{2(1-\frac{\epsilon}{\beta})} \lesssim 1 + \|j\|_{\dot{H}^\beta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 + c(\|w\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^2 + 1 + \|j\|_{\dot{H}^\beta}^2).$$

Integrating in time and using Proposition 4.1 complete the proof of Proposition 4.2.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}], \beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  satisfy (10). Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , for any  $\gamma \in (\beta, \alpha + \beta)$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}} + \int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(3-\beta-\gamma)(1-\alpha)}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}} d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\gamma \in (\beta, \alpha + \beta)$  and denote

$$p = \frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}$$

Note due to (10), we have  $3 - \beta - \gamma > 0$ . We estimate by multiplying the vorticity equation of (13) by  $|w|^{p-2}w$  and integrating in space, using Lemma 2.3 and the same homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$  as before to obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p \leq \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla j\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1+\alpha}}} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}$$

by Hölder's inequality. By our choice of  $p$ , we see that we may continue our estimate by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \partial_t \|w\|_{L^p}^p + c(p, \alpha) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p \\ & \leq \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla j\|_{L^{\frac{2}{3-\beta-\gamma}}} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & \lesssim \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \|\Lambda^\gamma b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & \leq \frac{c(p, \alpha)}{2} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}}^p + c(\|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) (\|w\|_{L^p}^p + 1) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^{\beta+\gamma-2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{3-\beta-\gamma}}$ , Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, Young's inequalities.

Absorbing dissipative term, Gronwall's inequality and Proposition 4.2 complete the proof of Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $N = 2, \nu, \eta > 0, \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3}], \beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  satisfy (10). Then for any solution pair  $(u, b)$  to (1) in  $[0, T]$ , there exists a constant  $c(u_0, b_0, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq c(u_0, b_0, T).$$

*Proof.* Similarly as before, we apply  $\nabla$  on (13), take  $L^2$ -inner products with  $\nabla w, \nabla j$  respectively to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla j(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= - \int \nabla w \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx - \int \nabla j \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla j dx \\
&\quad + \int \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)j) \cdot \nabla w + \nabla((b \cdot \nabla)w) \cdot \nabla j dx \\
&\quad + 2 \int \nabla[\partial_1 b_1(\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1)] \cdot \nabla j dx - 2 \int \nabla[\partial_1 u_1(\partial_1 b_2 + \partial_2 b_1)] \cdot \nabla j dx = \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

As before,

$$I_1 \leq \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \|\nabla w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + cY(t) \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2$$

by Hölder's inequality, homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$  and Young's inequalities. Next,

$$I_2 \leq \|\nabla j\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta})} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{1}{2\beta})} \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + cY(t)$$

by Hölder's inequality, Proposition 4.1, Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequalities. Next, we estimate  $I_3$  after same integration by parts in the proof of Proposition 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim (\|\nabla j\|_{L^4}^2 \|w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\beta-1}}} \|\Delta j\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}}} \|w\|_{L^2}) \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla j\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta})} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^{2(\frac{1}{2\beta})} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2\beta+\gamma-3}{\beta+\gamma-1}}} \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta+\gamma-1}}} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 + c(Y(t) + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, homogeneous Sobolev's embedding of  $\dot{H}^{\beta-1} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}}$  and Proposition 4.1.

The estimates of  $I_4$  and  $I_5$  are simple: after the same integration by parts as before, we have

$$I_4 + I_5 \lesssim \int |\nabla b| |\nabla u| |\Delta j| dx \lesssim \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\beta-1}}} \|\Delta j\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}}} \|w\|_{L^2}$$

by Hölder's inequality and hence the same estimate as the second term of  $I_3$  suffices. In sum, after absorbing dissipative and diffusive terms, we have

$$\partial_t Y(t) + \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \nabla j\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (Y(t) + 1)(1 + \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\beta+\gamma} b\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Now we see that we may choose  $\gamma = 3 - \beta - \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}$  so that

$$\beta < \gamma < \alpha + \beta \tag{14}$$

due to (10) and therefore, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}^2 d\tau \leq T^{\frac{\beta+\gamma-2+\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \left( \int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}}}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{3-\beta-\gamma}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{3-\beta-\gamma}{1+\alpha}} \leq c(u_0, b_0, T)$$

due to Proposition 4.3. Thus, Gronwall's inequality and Proposition 4.2 complete the proof of Proposition 4.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2*

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. Similarly as before we apply  $\Lambda^s, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$  on (11) and take  $L^2$ -inner products with  $\Lambda^s u$  and  $\Lambda^s b$  respectively to estimate using Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \lesssim & \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & + (\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & + (\|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{s-1} \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim & \|w\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \\ & + (\|\Lambda^\alpha w\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\nabla \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\nabla \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \\ & + (\|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\nabla \nabla b\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim & \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|w\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha+2\beta-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha+2\beta-1}{\beta}} \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} \|w\|_{L^2}^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^\alpha \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2} (\|\Lambda^{s+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s+\beta} b\|_{L^2}^2) + c(\|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^s b\|_{L^2}^2) \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequalities, Lemma 2.1, homogeneous Sobolev embedding of  $\dot{H}^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}$  and Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequalities. Absorbing the dissipative and diffusive terms, Gronwall's inequality complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Cao, and J. Wu, *Two regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations*, J. Differential Equations, **248**, 9 (2010), 2263-2274.
- [2] C. Cao, and J. Wu, *Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion*, Adv. Math., **226**, 2 (2011), 1803-1822.
- [3] C. Cao, J. Wu, and B. Yuan, *The 2D incompressible magnetohydrodynamics equations with only magnetic diffusion*, arXiv:1306.3629 [math.AP], 10, Dec. 2013.
- [4] Q. Chen, C. Miao, and Z. Zhang, *The Beale-Kato-Majda criterion for the 3D magnetohydrodynamics equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **275**, 3 (2007), 861-872.
- [5] A. Córdoba, and D. Córdoba, *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **249**, 3 (2004), 511-528.
- [6] Y. Du, Y. Liu, and Z. Yao, *Remarks on the blow-up criteria for three-dimensional ideal magnetohydrodynamics equations*, J. Math. Phys., **50**, 023507 (2009).

- [7] J. Fan, H. Gao, and G. Nakamura, *Regularity criteria for the generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations and the quasi-geostrophic equations*, Taiwanese J. Math., **15**, 3 (2011), 1059-1073.
- [8] A. Hasegawa, *Self-organization processes in continuous media*, Adv. Phys., **34**, 1 (1985), 1-42.
- [9] C. He, and Z. Xin, *On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **213**, 2 (2005), 234-254.
- [10] X. Jia, and Y. Zhou, *Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations involving partial components*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **13**, 1 (2012), 410-418.
- [11] Q. Jiu, and J. Zhao, *Global regularity of 2D generalized MHD equations with magnetic diffusion*, arXiv:1309.5819 [math.AP], 10, Dec. 2013.
- [12] N. Ju, *The maximum principle and the global attractor for the dissipative 2D quasi-geostrophic equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **255**, 1 (2005), 161-181.
- [13] T. Kato, and G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **41**, 7 (1988), 891-907.
- [14] A. J. Majda, and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [15] R. May, *Global well-posedness for a modified dissipative surface quasi-geostrophic equation in the critical Sobolev space  $H^1$* , J. Differential Equations, **250**, 1 (2011), 320-339.
- [16] C. Miao, and L. Xue, *On the regularity of a class of generalized quasi-geostrophic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **251**, 10 (2011), 2789-2821.
- [17] H. Miura, *Dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation for large initial data in the critical Sobolev space*, Comm. Math. Phys., **267** (2006), 141-157.
- [18] H. Politano, A. Pouquet, and P.-L. Sulem, *Current and vorticity dynamics in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic turbulence*, Phys. Plasmas, **2** (1995), 2931-2939.
- [19] M. Sermange, and R. Temam, *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 635-664.
- [20] T. Tao, *Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equation*, Anal. PDE, **2**, 3 (2009), 361-366.
- [21] C. V. Tran, X. Yu, and L. A. K. Blackbourn, *Two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in the limits of infinite and vanishing magnetic Prandtl number*, J. Fluid Mech., **725** (2013), 195-215.
- [22] C. V. Tran, X. Yu, and Z. Zhai, *On global regularity of 2D generalized magnetohydrodynamics equations*, J. Differential Equations, **254**, 10 (2013), 4194-4216.
- [23] C. V. Tran, X. Yu, and Z. Zhai, *Note on solution regularity of generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations with partial dissipation*, Nonlinear Anal., **85** (2013), 43-51.
- [24] J. Wu, *Global regularity for a class of generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **13**, 2 (2011), 295-305.
- [25] J. Wu, *Regularity criteria for the generalized MHD equations* Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **33**, 2 (2008), 285-306.
- [26] J. Wu, *The generalized MHD equations*, J. Differential Equations, **195** (2003), 284-312.
- [27] K. Yamazaki, *On the global regularity of generalized Leray-alpha type models*, Nonlinear Anal., **75**, 2 (2012), 503-515.
- [28] K. Yamazaki, *Remarks on the regularity criteria of generalized MHD and Navier-Stokes systems*, J. Math. Phys., **54**, 011502 (2013).
- [29] K. Yamazaki, *Global regularity of logarithmically supercritical MHD system with zero diffusivity*, Appl. Math. Lett., **29** (2014), 46-51.
- [30] K. Yamazaki, *A remark on the global well-posedness of a modified critical quasi-geostrophic equation*, ArXiv. arXiv:1006.0253 [math.AP], 10, Dec. 2013.
- [31] K. Yamazaki, *Remarks on the global regularity of two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics system with zero dissipation*, Nonlinear Anal., **94** (2014), 194-205.
- [32] B. Yuan and L. Bai, *Remarks on global regularity of 2D generalized MHD equations*, arXiv:1306.2190 [math.AP], 10, Dec. 2013.
- [33] Y. Zhou, *Regularity criteria for the generalized viscous MHD equations*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN **24**, 3 (2007), 491-505.
- [34] Y. Zhou, *Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **12**, 5 (2005), 881-886.