Massive planar and non-planar double box integrals for light N_f contributions to $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$

Andreas von Manteuffel^{*a,b*} and Cedric Studerus^{*c*}

ABSTRACT: We present the master integrals needed for the light fermionic two-loop corrections to top quark pair production in the gluon fusion channel. Via the method of differential equations we compute the results in terms of multiple polylogarithms in a Laurent series about d = 4, where d is the space-time dimension. The most involved topology is a non-planar double box with one internal mass. We employ the coproduct-augmented symbol calculus and show that significant simplifications are possible for selected results using an optimised set of multiple polylogarithms.

^a PRISMA Cluster of Excellence & Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, 55099 Mainz, Germany

^bInstitute for Theoretical Physics, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

^cFaculty of Physics, University of Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

E-mail: manteuffel@uni-mainz.de, cedricstuderus@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Analytical calculations of next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) corrections to top quark pair production at hadron colliders require, among other ingredients, results for various two-loop Feynman integrals. While first complete numerical NNLO predictions [12–15] for the total pair production cross section have appeared recently, in the analytical approach only a subset of the required building blocks are available [1–11] at the present time. Here, we focus on double box master integrals which contribute to the light fermionic corrections in the gluon channel, i. e. all Feynman diagrams containing at least one massless fermion in a closed loop.

The most involved integrals considered here are the three master integrals of a particular non-planar topology with one massive propagator. Our results for these integrals were sketched in [16, 17]. Numerical results in the physical region of phase space have been presented in the analysis [18] using the sector decomposition program SecDec [19, 20]. Here, we present the full analytical result and describe in more detail how we obtained it.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 1, we describe our calculational setup, which is based on the method of differential equations [21-28] for a Laurent expansion in $\epsilon = (4 - d)/2$. In sections 3 and 4 we present results for the planar and non-planar master integrals, respectively, given in terms of multiple polylogarithms [29-42]. The symbol calculus [30, 43] and its coproduct based extension [44, 51] are powerful tools to exploit functional identities between multiple polylogarithms and have been succesfully applied to both conformal theories [45-50] and QCD [16, 17, 51-54]. For selected Laurent coefficients of our non-planar master integrals, we employ symbol and coproduct based techniques and find remarkable simplifications.

The full result up to and including weight four, as needed for the NNLO corrections to top quark pair production, is attached in form of a computer readable file to the arXiv submission of this paper. In the main text, we give the first few Laurent coefficients of the results to illustrate their structure.

2 Calculational method

Our setup for the calculation is as follows. We identify the dimensionally regularised master integrals required for the light fermionic two-loop corrections to $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ by generating diagrams with QGRAF [55], matching them to sectors of integral families and reducing the loop integrals with integration-by-parts (IBP) identities through a variant of the Laporta algorithm [56-59]. The last two steps are performed with Reduze 2 [60-63] (for other public reduction programs see [64-66]). Ambiguities in the representation of Feynman integrals which are due to shifts of the loop momenta, crossings of external momenta or a combination thereof are eliminated by the program in an automated way. For completeness, we append the definition of the integral families we used as a file to the arXiv submission of this paper. These integral families are also the basis for our sector naming conventions. While many of the required master integrals are already known in the literature [1, 2, 67-76], we find several sectors for which the master integrals have not been computed in analytical form before. These are the following. For sector tt2pC:5:214:

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{m}(k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{m}(k_{2}-p_{1})D_{m}(k_{2}-p_{12})D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{3})}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{m}(k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{m}^{2}(k_{2}-p_{1})D_{m}(k_{2}-p_{12})D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{3})}.$$
 (2.2)

For sector tt2pD:5:174:

$$p_{1} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{1})D_{m}(k_{1}+p_{23})D_{m}(k_{1}-p_{3})}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{4} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{1})D_{m}^{2}(k_{1}+p_{23})D_{m}(k_{1}-p_{3})}.$$
 (2.4)

For sector tt2pD:5:182:

$$p_{1} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{2})D(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{0}(k_{2}-p_{1})D_{m}(k_{1}+p_{23})D_{m}(k_{1}-p_{3})}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{4} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{2})D(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{0}(k_{2}-p_{1})D_{m}(k_{1}+p_{23})D_{m}^{2}(k_{1}-p_{3})}.$$
 (2.6)

For sector tt2pE:5:333:

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{1} \mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{1}) D_{m}(k_{1}-k_{2}) D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{1}) D_{0}(k_{2}+p_{23}) D_{0}(k_{2}-p_{3})}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$p_{1} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2}}{D_{0}(k_{1})D_{m}^{2}(k_{1}-k_{2})D_{0}(k_{1}-p_{1})D_{0}(k_{2}+p_{23})D_{0}(k_{2}-p_{3})}.$$
 (2.8)

For sector tt2nA:7:463:

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{1} \mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{2}}{D_{\text{tt2nA:7:463}}(k_{1}, k_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3})},$$

$$(2.9)$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{1}\mathfrak{D}^{d}k_{2} \ k_{1} \cdot k_{2}}{D_{\text{tt2nA:7:463}}(k_{1}, k_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3})},$$
(2.10)

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \int \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{1} \mathfrak{D}^{d} k_{2} \ (k_{1} \cdot k_{2})^{2}}{D_{\text{tt2nA:7:463}}(k_{1}, k_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3})},$$
(2.11)

with the denominator

$$D_{\text{tt2nA:7:463}}(k_1, k_2, p_1, p_2, p_3) = (2.12)$$

$$D_0(k_1)D_0(k_2)D_0(k_1 + p_1)D_0(k_2 + p_2)D_0(k_1 - k_2 + p_1)D_0(k_1 - k_2 - p_2)D_m(k_1 - k_2 + p_{13}).$$

The integrals involve propagator denominators with mass zero or mass m,

$$D_0(k) = k^2 + i\delta, \tag{2.13}$$

$$D_m(k) = k^2 - m^2 + i\delta, \qquad (2.14)$$

and employ the integration measure

$$\mathfrak{D}^d k \equiv \frac{(2\pi)^2 m^{2\epsilon}}{C(\epsilon)} \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d}, \qquad \qquad C(\epsilon) \equiv (4\pi)^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1+\epsilon) \,, \tag{2.15}$$

where d is the space-time dimension and $\epsilon \equiv (4-d)/2$. The incoming momenta p_1 and p_2 fulfil $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$, while the outgoing momenta p_3 and $p_4 = p_1 + p_2 - p_3$ fulfil $p_3^2 = p_4^2 = m^2$. Finally, the definitions above employ the abbreviations $p_{12} \equiv p_1 + p_2$, $p_{13} \equiv p_1 - p_3$ and $p_{23} \equiv p_2 - p_3$. Different choices of master integrals are possible. Our selection above leads to a (partial) decoupling of the differential equations order by order in the Laurent expansion about $\epsilon = 0$. This effectively allows us to solve the integrals by integrating ordinary differential equations as we discuss in more detail below.

The four-point functions we want to compute have two massless legs and two legs with the same non-vanishing top quark mass m. Consequently, the generically 6 independent scalar products of the 3 linearly independent external momenta reduce to 3 independent quantities in this case. Propagators are restricted to have mass zero or m and thus do not introduce additional scales. Therefore, all of our master integrals depend on 3 independent variables, for which we choose m and 2 dimensionless quantities out of the set $\{x, y, z\}$, where

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4m^2/s} - 1}{\sqrt{1 - 4m^2/s} + 1}, \qquad \qquad y = -\frac{t}{m^2}, \qquad \qquad z = -\frac{u}{m^2}. \tag{2.16}$$

The Mandelstam variables are $s \equiv p_{12}^2$, $t \equiv p_{13}^2$ and $u \equiv p_{23}^2$. The variable x absorbs roots in the differential equations associated with a massive two particle threshold, see e.g. [77] for more details. Momentum conservation implies $s + t + u = 2m^2$, which translates into the non-linear relation

$$y + z = -\frac{1 + x^2}{x} \tag{2.17}$$

for our dimensionless variables.

In the physical region of phase space for top quark pair production the variables fulfil

$$m^2 > 0, -1 \le x < 0, -x \le y \le -1/x, -x \le z \le -1/x, yz \ge 1, y+z \ge 2.$$
 (2.18)

Branch cut ambiguities are resolved by causality, implemented via the $i\delta$ prescription in the Feynman propagator denominators, (2.13) and (2.14). Depending on the topology,



Figure 1. Physical region of phase space bounded by ut = 1 and possible threshold singularities at s = 0, $s = 4m^2$, $t = m^2$ and $u = m^2$.

such a branching occurs for our master integrals due to thresholds located at s = 0, $s = 4m^2$, $t = m^2$ or $u = m^2$, see figure 1. In the physical region with t and u negative and $s > 4m^2$ it is sufficient to absorb these imaginary parts into an infinitesimal positive imaginary part of s for the results to be well defined. This translates to an infinitesimal positive imaginary part for x. In contrast to the planar cases, solving the non-planar master integrals requires us to take care of these prescriptions and the associated explicit imaginary parts of transcendental functions right from the start, see section 4. To give a well defined meaning also to all intermediate expressions we pick some reference point in phase space, where we choose a value for x with a small (but finite) positive imaginary part and a value for y with a small (positive or negative) imaginary part. The value of z, including its imaginary part, is completely determined by the mass-shell relation (2.17), which we treat in an algebraically exact manner throughout our calculation. Of course, our final results should not depend on arbitrary details of our intermediate regularisation, which we also explicitly checked.

We employ the method of differential equations to calculate the 11 unknown master integrals in analytical form. We use Reduze 2 to automatically calculate the differential equations, insert the reductions and change to an alternative basis, if required. By differentiating with respect to the overall squared scale m^2 we verify the correct scaling behaviour, a feature which becomes explicit only after insertion of the reductions. We integrate the differential equations in the two independent dimensionless variables and equate the solutions. In that way we fully decouple the problem of integration from the determination of the integration constants, which are pure numbers. These can in principle be determined by an independent numerical evaluation method for a couple of phase space points. However, we prefer to give exact solutions for them. We employ evaluations of independent Mellin-Barnes representations [78, 79] in kinematical limits to determine analytical expressions for the integration constants and to check the results. For the planar topologies we used Ambre [80] to generate Mellin-Barnes representations, while for the non-planar topology we prepared this representation manually, see appendix A. For expansions in kinematical limits we used MB.m [81]. In order to determine the integration constants, we also exploit regularity and symmetry conditions, which serves as a more convenient alternative in some cases and as a redundant cross-check in others. We check our results by comparing them to numerical Mellin-Barnes evaluations and find good agreement for a choice of typically four to seven significant digits. For the non-planar master integral (2.9) we also compare our results at different points in phase space with the numerical results of [18] and find agreement.

Our results are expressed in terms of multiple polylogarithms. This class of iterated integrals is defined recursively,

$$G(w_1, \dots, w_n; x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{t - w_1} G(w_2, \dots, w_n; t) \quad \text{if at least one } w_i \neq 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$G(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{n \text{ times}};x) = \frac{1}{n!} \ln^n(x),$$
 (2.20)

$$G(;x) = 1.$$
 (2.21)

Here, the weights $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n and the argument $x \in \mathbb{C}$ are considered as functions of the indeterminates. We employ symbol and coproduct techniques based on algorithms given in [43, 51], as well as more traditional methods for their automated treatment, in particular for argument changes and projections onto alternative basis functions. To implement these ideas, we have written an in-house Mathematica [82] package, which utilises the numerical evaluation implementation [34] in GiNaC[62]. We emphasize that we exploit functional identities for truly complex variables and make sure all pole prescriptions and corresponding imaginary parts are consistently taken into account at all stages.

For univariate polylogarithms, we generalise from linear to polynomial denominators by defining generalised weights [f(o)] with

$$G([f(o)], w_2, \dots, w_n; x) = \int_0^x \mathrm{d}t \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} G(w_2, \dots, w_n; t)$$
(2.22)

where f(o) is an irreducible rational polynomial and o is a dummy variable. Without loss of generality we normalise the leading coefficient of f to one. It is curious to note that all of our integration measures with non-linear irreducible denominators are indeed of this $d \ln f(t)$ form. A generalised weight [f(o)] with the complex factorisation

$$f(o) = (o - r_1) \cdots (o - r_n), \qquad (2.23)$$

where $r_i \in \mathbb{C}$, i = 1, ..., n, can be expanded in terms of standard weights according to

$$G(\dots, [f(o)], \dots; x) = G(\dots, r_1, \dots; x) + \dots + G(\dots, r_n, \dots; x).$$
(2.24)

Working directly with the left hand side of this equation has the advantage that these functions give rise to a rational symbol and do not introduce spurious imaginary parts. While the irreducible denominators needed here are cyclotomic polynomials and the associated cyclotomic polylogarithms defined in [41] could be used to express them, we prefer to work with the above definitions in order to emphasize the $d \ln f(t)$ structure (cf. [83] for linear but multivariate f). More details for these generalised weights will be given in another work [84], where also non-cyclotomic polynomials f(o) are considered.

3 Results for planar master integrals

For sector tt2pC:5:214 we choose variables y and x for the differential equations and find

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = -\frac{x}{m^{2}(1-x)^{2}} \sum_{i=-1}^{1} a_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}), \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-1} &= -\frac{1}{32}G(0;x)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2a} \\ a_0 &= \frac{1}{16}G(-1/x,0,-1;y) + \frac{1}{16}G(-x,0,-1;y) - \frac{1}{8}G(-1,0,-1;y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}G(-1/x,-1;y)G(0;x) - \frac{1}{16}G(-x,-1;y)G(0;x) - \frac{1}{16}G(-1/x;y)G(1,0;x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32}G(-1/x;y)G(0;x)^2 + \frac{1}{16}G(-x;y)G(1,0;x) + \frac{3}{32}G(0,1,0;x) + \frac{1}{4}G(0,-1,0;x) \\ &- \frac{1}{32}G(0;x)G(1,0;x) - \frac{1}{48}G(0;x)^3 + \frac{1}{48}\pi^2 G(-1/x;y) - \frac{1}{48}\pi^2 G(-1;y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{96}\pi^2 G(0;x) + \frac{3}{16}\zeta(3) - \frac{1}{16}G(0;x)^2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = -\frac{x}{m^{4}(1-x)^{2}} \sum_{i=-1}^{2} b_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3}), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$b_{-1} = \frac{1}{16}G(0;x) - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8(1-x)}G(0;x)$$

$$b_{0} = -\frac{1}{16}G(-1;y)G(0;x) + \frac{1}{16}G(1,0;x) - \frac{1}{4}G(-1,0;x) + \frac{3}{32}G(0;x)^{2} - \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8}G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(0;x) - \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{1-x}\left(\frac{1}{8}G(-1;y)G(0;x) - \frac{1}{8}G(1,0;x) + \frac{1}{2}G(-1,0;x) - \frac{3}{32}G(0;x)^{2} + \frac{1}{16}\pi^{2} - \frac{1}{4}G(0;x)\right).$$
(3.4a)
$$(3.4a)$$

$$(3.4b)$$

Since the coefficients a_1 , b_1 and b_2 are rather lengthy we provide them only via a file on arXiv. The solution contains multiple polylogarithms with either argument y and weights drawn from the set $\{-1, 0, -x, -1/x\}$ or with argument x and weights drawn from $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

For sector tt2pD:5:174 we choose variables y and z and find

$$p_{1} = \frac{p_{4}}{p_{2}} = \frac{1}{m^{2}(y+1)} \sum_{i=-1}^{1} c_{i} \epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}), \qquad (3.5)$$

$$c_{-1} = \frac{1}{16}G(0, -1; y) + \frac{1}{96}\pi^{2}$$

$$c_{0} = \frac{1}{16}G(1/z, -1, -1; y) - \frac{1}{16}G(1/z, 0, -1; y) - \frac{1}{16}G(-z - 2, -1, -1; y) - \frac{1}{16}G(1/z, -1; y)G(-1; z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-z - 2, -1; y)G(-1; z) - \frac{1}{16}G(0, -1; z)G(1/z; y) - \frac{1}{32}G(-1; z)^{2}G(-z - 2; y) + \frac{1}{32}G(-1; z)^{2}G(1/z; y) + \frac{3}{16}G(-1, 0, -1; y) + \frac{1}{8}G(0, 0, -1; y) - \frac{1}{16}G(-2, -1, -1; z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-1, 0, -1; z) - \frac{1}{8}G(0, -1; y)G(-1; y) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}G(-z - 2; y) + \frac{1}{96}\pi^{2}G(1/z; y) + \frac{1}{96}\pi^{2}G(-1; y) - \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}G(-2; z) + \frac{1}{96}\pi^{2}G(-1; z) - \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}\ln 2 + \frac{29}{64}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{8}G(0, -1; y) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{48},$$

$$(3.6a)$$

$$p_{1} = \frac{p_{4}}{p_{2}} = \frac{1}{m^{4}(y+1)} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} d_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3}), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$d_{-2} = \frac{1}{48} \tag{3.8a}$$

$$d_{-1} = -\frac{1}{16}G(-1;y) - \frac{1}{48}G(-1;z) + \frac{5}{48}$$
(3.8b)

$$d_{0} = -\frac{3}{16}G(0, -1; y) + \frac{1}{16}G(-1; y)G(-1; z) + \frac{3}{32}G(-1; y)^{2} + \frac{1}{96}G(-1; z)^{2} - \frac{7}{288}\pi^{2} - \frac{1}{8}G(-1; y) - \frac{5}{48}G(-1; z) + \frac{13}{48} + \frac{1}{y+1}\left(\frac{3}{16}G(0, -1; y) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}\right).$$
(3.8c)

We provide the coefficients c_1 , d_1 and d_2 via a file on arXiv. The solution contains multiple polylogarithms with either argument y and weights drawn from the set $\{-1, 0, -2-z, 1/z\}$ or with argument z and weights drawn from $\{-2, -1, 0\}$.

For sector tt2pD:5:182 we choose variables z and y and find

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{4} = \frac{1}{m^{2}(y+z+2)} \sum_{i=-1}^{0} e_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{split} e_{-1} &= -\frac{1}{16}G(1/y, -1, -1; z) + \frac{1}{16}G(1/y, 0, -1; z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-y - 2, -1, -1; z) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{8}G(-1, 0, -1; z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-2, -1, -1; y) - \frac{1}{8}G(-1, 0, -1; y) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{16}G(1/y, -1; z)G(-1; y) - \frac{1}{16}G(-y - 2, -1; z)G(-1; y) + \frac{1}{16}G(0, -1; y)G(1/y; z) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{32}G(-y - 2; z)G(-1; y)^2 - \frac{1}{32}G(1/y; z)G(-1; y)^2 + \frac{1}{32}\pi^2G(-y - 2; z) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{96}\pi^2G(1/y; z) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^2G(-2; y) - \frac{1}{48}\pi^2G(-1; y) - \frac{1}{48}\pi^2G(-1; z) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^2\ln 2 \\ &\quad -\frac{21}{64}\zeta(3) \,, \end{split}$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{4} = \frac{1}{m^{4}(y+z+2)} \sum_{i=-1}^{1} f_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}), \qquad (3.11)$$

$$f_{-1} = -\frac{1}{16}G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-1;y) + \frac{z+1}{y+z+2}\left(+\frac{1}{32}G(-1;z)^2 - \frac{1}{16}G(-1;y)G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{32}G(-1;y)^2 + \frac{1}{32}\pi^2\right)$$
(3.12a)
$$f_0 = -\frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;z) + \frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(-1;z)^2 - \frac{1}{8}G(-1;y)^2 - \frac{1}{16}G(-1;z)$$

$$\begin{split} F_{0} &= -\frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;z) + \frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(-1;z)^{2} - \frac{1}{8}G(-1;y)^{2} - \frac{1}{16}G(-1;z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}G(-1;y) + \frac{z+1}{y+z+2} \Big(\frac{3}{16}G(1/y,-1,-1;z) - \frac{3}{16}G(1/y,0,-1;z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}G(-y-2,-1,-1;z) + \frac{3}{8}G(-1,0,-1;z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-2,-1,-1;y) \\ &+ \frac{3}{8}G(-1,0,-1;y) - \frac{3}{16}G(1/y,-1;z)G(-1;y) - \frac{1}{16}G(-y-2,-1;z)G(-1;y) \\ &- \frac{3}{16}G(1/y;z)G(0,-1;y) - \frac{1}{12}G(-1;z)^{3} + \frac{1}{8}G(-1;z)^{2}G(-1;y) \\ &+ \frac{3}{32}G(1/y;z)G(-1;y)^{2} + \frac{1}{32}G(-y-2;z)G(-1;y)^{2} - \frac{1}{12}G(-1;y)^{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}G(1/y;z) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}G(-y-2;z) - \frac{1}{16}\pi^{2}G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}G(-2;y) \\ &- \frac{1}{16}\pi^{2}G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2}\ln 2 + \frac{91}{64}\zeta(3) \Big) + \frac{1}{y+1} \Big(-\frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;y) - \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{z+1} \Big(\frac{3}{16}G(0,-1;z) + \frac{1}{32}\pi^{2} \Big). \end{split}$$

We provide the coefficients e_0 and f_1 via a file on arXiv. The solution contains multiple polylogarithms with either argument z and weights drawn from the set $\{-1, 0, -2-y, 1/y\}$ or with argument y and weights drawn from $\{-2, -1, 0\}$.

For sector $\mathtt{tt2pE:5:333}$ we choose variables y and z and find

$$p_{4} \longrightarrow p_{2} = \frac{1}{m^{2}(y+z+2)} \sum_{i=-2}^{0} g_{i} \epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (3.13)$$

$$g_{-2} = -\frac{1}{16}G(-1;y)G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{32}G(-1;y)^2 + \frac{1}{32}G(-1;z)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{32}$$
(3.14a)

$$g_{-1} = \frac{1}{4}G(-1,0,-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(-2,-1,-1;z) + \frac{1}{4}G(-1,0,-1;z) + \frac{1}{8}G(-1;y)^2G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{16}G(-1;z)^2G(-z-2;y) + \frac{1}{16}G(-1;z)^2G(1/z;y) - \frac{1}{8}G(0,-1;z)G(1/z;y) - \frac{1}{8}G(-1;z)G(1/z;y) - \frac{1}{8}G(-1;z)G(1/z,-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(-z-2,-1,-1;y) + \frac{1}{8}G(1/z,-1,-1;y) - \frac{1}{8}G(1/z,0,-1;y) - \frac{1}{12}G(-1;y)^3 - \frac{1}{12}G(-1;z)^3 + \frac{1}{16}\pi^2G(-z-2;y) + \frac{1}{48}\pi^2G(1/z;y) - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{16}\pi^2G(-2;z)$$

$$-\frac{1}{12}\pi^2 G(-1;z) + \frac{1}{16}\pi^2 \ln 2 + \frac{35}{32}\zeta(3), \qquad (3.14b)$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \frac{1}{m^{4}(y+1)(z+1)} \sum_{i=-3}^{1} h_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}), \qquad (3.15)$$

$$h_{-3} = \frac{1}{32} \tag{3.16a}$$

$$h_{-2} = -\frac{1}{16}G(-1;y) - \frac{1}{16}G(-1;z)$$
(3.16b)

$$h_{-1} = \frac{1}{4}G(-1;y)G(-1;z) - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2$$

$$h_0 = -\frac{3}{8}G(1/z, -1, -1;y) + \frac{3}{8}G(1/z, 0, -1;y) - \frac{5}{8}G(-z-2, -1, -1;y)$$
(3.16c)

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3}{8}G(1/z, -1, -1, y) + \frac{5}{8}G(1/z, 0, -1, y) - \frac{5}{8}G(-2, -1, -1, y) - \frac{3}{8}G(-2, -1, -1, y) \\ & -\frac{3}{8}G(-1, 0, -1; y) - \frac{5}{8}G(-2, -1, -1; z) - \frac{3}{8}G(-1, 0, -1; z) \\ & +\frac{3}{8}G(1/z, -1; y)G(-1; z) + \frac{5}{8}G(-z - 2, -1; y)G(-1; z) + \frac{3}{8}G(1/z; y)G(0, -1; z) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}G(-1; y)^2G(-1; z) - \frac{3}{16}G(1/z; y)G(-1; z)^2 - \frac{5}{16}G(-z - 2; y)G(-1; z)^2 \\ & -\frac{1}{16}\pi^2G(1/z; y) - \frac{5}{16}\pi^2G(-z - 2; y) + \frac{17}{48}\pi^2G(-1; y) - \frac{5}{16}\pi^2G(-2; z) \\ & +\frac{17}{48}\pi^2G(-1; z) - \frac{5}{16}\pi^2\ln 2 + \frac{1}{6}G(-1; y)^3 + \frac{1}{6}G(-1; z)^3 - \frac{91}{32}\zeta(3) . \end{aligned}$$
(3.16d)

We provide the coefficients g_0 and h_1 via a file on arXiv. The solution contains multiple polylogarithms with either argument y and weights drawn from the set $\{-1, 0, -2-z, 1/z\}$ or with argument z and weights drawn from $\{-2, -1, 0\}$.

4 Non-planar master integrals

The non-planar sector tt2nA:7:463 is more involved than the previous planar cases and contains thresholds in all three channels, s, t and u. For the integration of the differential equations, we choose the master integrals (2.9-2.11). In order to eliminate roots in s from the differential equations we choose the variable x and supplement it with y. Since several subsectors occur both, in their uncrossed and their crossed version with $y \leftrightarrow z$, integrating the differential equations with (y, x) requires non-trivial argument change identities for multiple polylogarithms with explicit imaginary parts. We consider both kinematical invariants and master integrals to be complex valued and keep algebraic relations between the invariants exact, as discussed in section 2.

For the integration of the differential equations, we choose the master integrals (2.9-2.11) and the variables y and x. As described before, we fix integration constants and check our results using regularity constraints, symmetry conditions and a Mellin-Barnes representation. For the Mellin-Barnes representation we choose another basis, where the integrands contain the massive propagator to the power 1, 2 and 3, respectively, see appendix A. This Mellin-Barnes representation is described in appendix A. For the solutions in the basis (2.9-2.11) we find

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \frac{x^{2}}{m^{6}(1-x)^{2}(y+1)(1-x+x^{2}+xy)} \sum_{i=-4}^{0} k_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{-4} &= \frac{1}{32} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2} \\ k_{-3} &= \frac{1}{32} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) - \frac{1}{32} G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{32} G([1-o+o^2];x)) - \frac{1}{8} G(1;x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32} G(0;x) + \frac{1}{32} i \pi + \frac{7}{96} + \frac{x(y+1)}{(1-x)^2} \Big(\frac{1}{16} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) - \frac{1}{16} G(-1;y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} G([1-o+o^2];x) - \frac{1}{16} G(0;x) + \frac{1}{16} i \pi \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3} \\ k_{-2} &= -\frac{1}{32} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)^2 - \frac{1}{16} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) G(-1;y) \\ &- \frac{1}{16} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) G([1-o+o^2];x) + \frac{1}{16} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) G(0;x) \\ &- \frac{1}{32} G(-1;y)^2 - \frac{1}{16} G(-1;y) G([1-o+o^2];x) + \frac{1}{4} G(-1;y) G(1;x) \\ &- \frac{1}{16} G(-1;y) G(0;x) + \frac{1}{16} G([1-o+o^2];x) G(0;x) - \frac{1}{32} G([1-o+o^2];x)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} G(1;x)^2 - \frac{1}{8} G(1;x) G(0;x) - \frac{1}{16} i \pi G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) - \frac{1}{16} i \pi G(-1;y) \\ &- \frac{1}{16} i \pi G([1-o+o^2];x) + \frac{1}{16} i \pi G(0;x) - \frac{7}{192} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{8} G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) \\ &- \frac{1}{6} G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{8} G([1-o+o^2];x) - \frac{1}{4} G(1;x) + \frac{1}{8} i \pi - \frac{7}{24} + \frac{x(y+1)}{(1-x)^2} \Big(\Big(\frac{1}{4} G(1;x) \\ &- \frac{1}{8} G(0;x) - \frac{7}{24} \Big) \Big(- G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) + G(-1;y) - G([1-o+o^2];x) \\ &+ G(0;x) - i \pi \Big) \Big), \end{aligned}$$

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = \frac{x^{2}}{m^{4}(1-x)^{2}(1-x+x^{2}+xy)} \sum_{i=-4}^{0} l_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (4.5)$$

$$l_{-4} = \frac{7}{384}$$

$$l_{-3} = -\frac{5}{192}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) + \frac{1}{64}G(-1;y) - \frac{5}{192}G([1-o+o^2];x) - \frac{1}{16}G(1;x)$$

$$+\frac{11}{192}G(0;x) - \frac{5}{192}i\pi$$

$$l_{-2} = +\frac{1}{192}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)^2 - \frac{1}{32}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)G(-1;y)$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$(4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{96}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)G([1-o+o^2];x) + \frac{1}{8}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)G(1;x) \\ &- \frac{7}{96}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y)G(0;x) - \frac{1}{64}G(-1;y)^2 - \frac{1}{32}G(-1;y)G([1-o+o^2];x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32}G(-1;y)G(0;x) + \frac{1}{192}G([1-o+o^2];x)^2 + \frac{1}{8}G([1-o+o^2];x)G(1;x) \\ &- \frac{7}{96}G([1-o+o^2];x)G(0;x) + \frac{1}{16}G(1;x)^2 - \frac{3}{16}G(0;x)G(1;x) + \frac{1}{12}G(0;x)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{96}i\pi G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) - \frac{1}{32}i\pi G(-1;y) + \frac{1}{96}i\pi G([1-o+o^2];x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}i\pi G(1;x) - \frac{7}{96}i\pi G(0;x) - \frac{35}{1152}\pi^2 \end{aligned}$$
(4.8)

$$p_{1} \longrightarrow p_{3} = -\frac{x}{m^{2}(1 - x + x^{2} + xy)} \sum_{i=-4}^{0} m_{i}\epsilon^{i} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (4.9)$$

$$m_{-4} = \frac{1}{256} \tag{4.10a}$$

$$m_{-3} = -\frac{1}{64}G(1;x) + \frac{1}{128}G(0;x) - \frac{1}{192}$$

$$m_{-2} = \frac{1}{32}G(1;x)^2 - \frac{1}{32}G(1;x)G(0;x) + \frac{1}{128}G(0;x)^2 - \frac{1}{192}\pi^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{48}G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) + \frac{1}{48}G([1-o+o^2];x) - \frac{1}{48}G(0;x) + \frac{1}{48}i\pi - \frac{1}{96}$$

$$- \frac{x(y+1)}{128(1-x)^2} \Big(G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) - G(-1;y) + G([1-o+o^2];x) - G(0;x)$$

$$+ 2i\pi \Big) \Big(- G(-(1-x+x^2)/x;y) + G(-1;y) - G([1-o+o^2];x) + G(0;x) \Big)$$

$$(4.10c)$$

We provide the coefficients k_{-1} , k_0 , l_{-1} , l_0 , m_{-1} and m_0 via a file on arXiv. We remark that our solutions for the finite terms contain exact numbers for all integration constants except for one constant, for which we supply a numerical approximation only. It turns out that the light N_f contributions to $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ at NNLO are actually independent of this constant. The full solution contains multiple polylogarithms with either argument y and weights drawn from the set $\{-1, 0, -x, -1/x, -(1 + x^2)/x, -(1 - x + x^2)/x\}$ or with argument xand weights drawn from $\{-1, 0, 1, [1 + o^2], [1 - o + o^2]\}$.

Significant simplifications are possible for all poles in ϵ of the first two master integrals, including the $1/\epsilon$ terms not displayed above because of their length. The $1/\epsilon$ pole of the third master integral and the finite parts are considerably more involved and therefore omitted in the following. Guided by the symbol we construct a new set of multiple polylogarithms which we can express most naturally with the variables $y_1 = y + 1$ and $z_1 = z + 1$. Using the coproduct extended symbol calculus we obtain for the first master integral the simplified expressions

$$\begin{aligned} k_{-4} &= \frac{1}{32} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11} \\ k_{-3} &= -\frac{1}{16} \ln(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{16} i\pi + \frac{7}{96} + \frac{y_1 - z_1}{32(y_1 + z_1)} \ln(y_1/z_1) \\ k_{-2} &= -\frac{1}{32} \ln^2(y_1z_1) + \frac{1}{32} \ln^2(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{16} \ln(y_1 + z_1) \ln(y_1z_1) - \frac{1}{16} i\pi \ln(y_1z_1) \\ &- \frac{1}{16} i\pi \ln(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{19}{192} \pi^2 - \frac{1}{48} \ln(y_1z_1) - \frac{1}{8} \ln(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{8} i\pi - \frac{7}{24} \\ &+ \frac{y_1 - z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \ln(y_1/z_1) \left(-\frac{1}{16} \ln(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{16} i\pi + \frac{7}{48} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12} \\ k_{-1} &= -\frac{1}{16} G \left(1, 0, 0; \frac{y_1z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \right) - \frac{1}{16} i\pi G \left(1, 0; \frac{y_1z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \right) + \frac{1}{48} \ln^3(y_1z_1) \\ &- \frac{1}{16} \ln^2(y_1 + z_1) \ln(y_1z_1) + \frac{1}{8} i\pi \ln(y_1 + z_1) \ln(y_1z_1) + \frac{3}{32} \pi^2 \ln(y_1z_1) \\ &+ \frac{5}{48} \pi^2 \ln(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{29}{32} \zeta(3) - \frac{5}{48} i\pi^3 - \frac{5}{48} \ln^2(y_1z_1) + \frac{7}{48} \ln^2(y_1/z_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \ln(y_1 + z_1) \ln(y_1z_1) - \frac{1}{4} i\pi \ln(y_1z_1) + \frac{1}{12} \ln(y_1z_1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(y_1 + z_1) \\ &- \frac{1}{144} \pi^2 - \frac{1}{2} i\pi + \frac{7}{6} + \frac{y_1 - z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \ln(y_1/z_1) \left(-\frac{1}{48} \ln^2(y_1/z_1) + \frac{1}{16} \ln^2(y_1 + z_1) \\ &- \frac{1}{8} i\pi \ln(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{17}{96} \pi^2 - \frac{1}{24} \ln(y_1z_1) - \frac{1}{4} \ln(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{4} i\pi - \frac{7}{12} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose a representation which makes the forward-backward symmetry $y_1 \leftrightarrow z_1$ of the corner integral explicit. For the second master integral we find

$$l_{-4} = \frac{7}{384} \tag{4.14}$$

$$l_{-3} = -\frac{1}{32}\ln(y_1 + z_1) + \frac{1}{64}\ln y_1 - \frac{5}{192}\ln z_1 + \frac{1}{32}i\pi$$
(4.15)

$$l_{-2} = \frac{1}{64} \ln^2(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{1}{64} \ln^2 y_1 + \frac{1}{192} \ln^2 z_1 - \frac{1}{32} \ln y_1 \ln z_1 + \frac{1}{16} \ln z_1 \ln(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{1}{32} i\pi \ln(y_1 + z_1) - \frac{1}{16} i\pi \ln z_1 - \frac{47}{1152} \pi^2 l_{-1} = -\frac{1}{32} G \Big(1, 0, 0; \frac{y_1 z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \Big) - \frac{1}{32} i\pi G \Big(1, 0; \frac{y_1 z_1}{y_1 + z_1} \Big) + \frac{1}{16} \ln^2 y_1 \ln z_1$$

$$(4.16)$$

$$-\frac{1}{16}\ln z_1\ln^2(y_1+z_1) + \frac{1}{8}i\pi\ln z_1\ln(y_1+z_1) + \frac{5}{96}\pi^2\ln(y_1+z_1) -\frac{1}{24}\pi^2\ln y_1 - \frac{1}{144}\ln^3 z_1 + \frac{29}{288}\pi^2\ln z_1 - \frac{55\zeta(3)}{192} - \frac{5}{96}i\pi^3$$
(4.17)

The original expressions for these poles in terms of G functions with argument y or x contained 65 multiple polylogarithms (22 two-dimensional and weight > 1) when all products are expanded with the shuffle relations. Systematically exploiting relations between them by a coproduct based reduction procedure reduces this number to 28 multiple polylogarithms (12 two-dimensional and weight > 1). This is reduced by an optimised choice of basis functions to just $\text{Li}_3(y_1z_1/(y_1 + z_1))$, $\text{Li}_2(y_1z_1/(y_1 + z_1))$, $\ln(y_1 + z_1)$, $\log y_1$ and

ln z_1 . Note that in the above expressions we used a more compact G function based notation, which can easily be converted to classical polylogarithms via $\text{Li}_3(x) = -G(0, 0, 1; x)$, $\text{Li}_2(x) = -G(0, 1; x)$ and shuffle relations. Finally, we remark that the original expressions contained roots in s through x, both in the rational prefactors and in the multiple polylogarithms, which could all be eliminated in the above expressions.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we presented analytical solutions for double box master integrals, which have not been available before. For the first time, we gave explicit solutions for non-planar double box integrals with a massive propagator in terms of multiple polylogarithms. Our results complete the set of master integrals required for the analytical calculation [85] of the light N_f corrections to $gg \to t\bar{t}$ at the two-loop level.

By carrying out a coproduct–augmented symbol analysis of the poles of two non– planar master integrals we demonstrated that remarkable simplifications are possible using an optimised set of multiple polylogarithms. It has been shown in [86, 87] for the case of massless, planar two–loop and three–loop four–point topologies that it is possible to choose a basis in which the differential equations for the master integrals take a special and particularly simple form. In this basis, the master integrals have uniform transcendentality and no algebraic prefactors. Applying this method to the integrals discussed in this paper and choosing an appropriate set of multiple polylogarithms should allow to rewrite the full set of solutions in a very compact form [88].

Acknowledgments

We thank Claude Duhr, Andrea Ferroglia and Erich Weihs for helpful discussions on the coproduct–augmented symbol calculus, Robert Schabinger for constructive exchange on the generalised weights and comments on the draft, Roberto Bonciani and Lorenzo Tancredi for interesting discussions on the method of differential equations, Thomas Gehrmann for useful discussions and comments on the draft, and Gudrun Heinrich and Sophia Borowka for help with the comparison of numerical results. The work of A. v. M. was supported in part by the Schweizer Nationalfonds (Grant 200020_124773/1), by the Research Center *Elementary Forces and Mathematical Foundations (EMG)* of the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz and by the German Research Foundation (DFG). All figures were drawn with Axodraw [89].

A Mellin-Barnes representation for sector tt2nA:7:463

In this appendix, we give a Mellin-Barnes representation for integrals of the non-planar sector tt2nA:7:463 where the integrand contains the massive propagator taken to the power *n*. Similar to the calculation [79] in the massless case, we start from a Feynman parameter representation, integrate the Feynman parameters at the expense of introducing

Mellin-Barnes contour integrals and obtain

$$\begin{split} p_{1} & \longrightarrow p_{3} \\ p_{2} & \longrightarrow p_{4} \\ p_{2} & \longrightarrow p_{4} \\ = \frac{m^{8-2d}\mu^{-4-2n}(-1)^{n-1}\Gamma(-2+d/2)^{2}}{16\Gamma^{2}(3-d/2)\Gamma(n)\Gamma(-4+d)\Gamma(-6-n+3d/2)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{1}} \frac{dz_{1}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{2}} \frac{dz_{3}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{4}} \frac{dz_{4}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{5}} \frac{dz_{5}}{2\pi i} \\ & \left(\frac{-s}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-6-n+d-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{5}} \left(\frac{-t_{1}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{z_{1}} \left(\frac{-u_{1}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{z_{2}} \left(\frac{m^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{z_{5}} \frac{\Gamma(-z_{1})\Gamma(-z_{2})\Gamma(-z_{3})\Gamma(-z_{4})\Gamma(-z_{5})}{\Gamma^{2}(2+z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4})} \\ & \Gamma(1+z_{1}+z_{3})\Gamma(1+z_{2}+z_{3})\Gamma(1+z_{1}+z_{4})\Gamma(1+z_{2}+z_{4})\Gamma(n+z_{1}+z_{2}+2z_{5}) \\ & \Gamma(4-d/2+z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4})\Gamma(-5-n+d-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{3}-z_{5}) \\ & \Gamma(-5-n+d-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{4}-z_{5})\Gamma(6+n-d+z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4}+z_{5}) \end{aligned}$$

where $t_1 = t - m^2$, $u_1 = u - m^2$ and μ is an auxiliary normalisation scale. The contours C_1, \ldots, C_5 of complex integration are for imaginary parts from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and, for simplicity, fixed real parts choosen to separate the towers of increasing and decreasing poles of the different Γ functions. Despite the fact that this representation requires only one contour integration more than in the massless case, its evaluation is significantly more involved.

References

- R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, D. Maitre and C. Studerus, Two-Loop Fermionic Corrections to Heavy-Quark Pair Production: The Quark-Antiquark Channel, JHEP 0807, 129 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2301].
- [2] R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann and C. Studerus, Two-Loop Planar Corrections to Heavy-Quark Pair Production in the Quark-Antiquark Channel, JHEP 0908 (2009) 067 [arXiv:0906.3671].
- [3] R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, Two-Loop Leading Color Corrections to Heavy-Quark Pair Production in the Gluon Fusion Channel, JHEP 1101 (2011) 102 [arXiv:1011.6661].
- [4] W. Bernreuther, C. Bogner and O. Dekkers, The real radiation antenna function for $S \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}q\bar{q}$ at NNLO QCD, JHEP **1106** (2011) 032 [arXiv:1105.0530].
- [5] G. Abelof and A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, Double real radiation corrections to tt production at the LHC: the all-fermion processes, JHEP 1204 (2012) 076 [arXiv:1112.4736].
- [6] G. Abelof and A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, it Double real radiation corrections to $t\bar{t}$ production at the LHC: the $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$ channel JHEP **1211** (2012) 074 [arXiv:1207.6546].
- G. Abelof, O. Dekkers and A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, Antenna subtraction with massive fermions at NNLO: Double real initial-final configurations, JHEP 1212 (2012) 107
 [arXiv:1210.5059].
- [8] J. G. Korner, Z. Merebashvili and M. Rogal, NNLO O(α⁴_s) results for heavy quark pair production in quark-antiquark collisions: The One-loop squared contributions, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 094011 [Erratum-ibid. D 85 (2012) 119904] [arXiv:0802.0106].

- [9] B. Kniehl, Z. Merebashvili, J. G. Korner and M. Rogal, Heavy quark pair production in gluon fusion at next-to-next-to-leading O(α⁴_s) order: One-loop squared contributions, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 094013 [arXiv:0809.3980].
- [10] C. Anastasiou and S. M. Aybat, The One-loop gluon amplitude for heavy-quark production at NNLO, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 114006 [arXiv:0809.1355].
- [11] I. Bierenbaum, M. Czakon and A. Mitov, The singular behavior of one-loop massive QCD amplitudes with one external soft gluon, Nucl. Phys. B 856 (2012) 228 [arXiv:1107.4384].
- [12] P. Baernreuther, M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Percent Level Precision Physics at the Tevatron: First Genuine NNLO QCD Corrections to $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 132001 [arXiv:1204.5201].
- [13] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, NNLO corrections to top-pair production at hadron colliders: the all-fermionic scattering channels, JHEP 1212 (2012) 054 [arXiv:1207.0236].
- [14] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, NNLO corrections to top pair production at hadron colliders: the quark-gluon reaction, JHEP 1301 (2013) 080 [arXiv:1210.6832].
- [15] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler and A. Mitov, The total top quark pair production cross-section at hadron colliders through $O(\alpha_S^4)$, arXiv:1303.6254.
- [16] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, Top quark pairs at two loops and Reduze 2, PoS LL 2012 (2012) 059 [arXiv:1210.1436].
- [17] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, An analytical solution for a non-planar massive double box diagram, Talk given at ACAT 2011, London.
- [18] S. Borowka and G. Heinrich, Massive non-planar two-loop four-point integrals with SecDec 2.1, arXiv:1303.1157.
- [19] J. Carter and G. Heinrich, SecDec: A general program for sector decomposition, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 1566 [arXiv:1011.5493].
- [20] S. Borowka, J. Carter and G. Heinrich, Numerical Evaluation of Multi-Loop Integrals for Arbitrary Kinematics with SecDec 2.0, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 396 [arXiv:1204.4152].
- [21] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: New technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, Phys. Lett. B 254 (1991) 158.
- [22] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: The Calculation of vertex type Feynman diagrams, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991) 314.
- [23] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equation method: The Calculation of N point Feynman diagrams, Phys. Lett. B 267 (1991) 123.
- [24] E. Remiddi, Differential equations for Feynman graph amplitudes, Nuovo Cim. A 110 (1997) 1435 [hep-th/9711188].
- [25] M. Caffo, H. Czyz, S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, Master equations for master amplitudes, Acta Phys. Polon. B 29 (1998) 2627 [hep-th/9807119].
- [26] M. Caffo, H. Czyz, S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, The master differential equations for the 2-loop sunrise selfmass amplitudes, Nuovo Cim. A 111 (1998) 365 [hep-th/9805118].
- [27] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Differential equations for two-loop four-point functions, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 485 [hep-ph/9912329].

- [28] M. Argeri and P. Mastrolia, Feynman Diagrams and Differential Equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 22 (2007) 4375 [arXiv:0707.4037].
- [29] A. B. Goncharov, Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy, and modular complexes, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 497, [arXiv:1105.2076].
- [30] A. B. Goncharov, Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry, Duke Math J. 128 no. 2 (2005) 209, [math/0208144].
- [31] D.J. Broadhurst, Massive 3-loop Feynman diagrams reducible to SC* primitives of algebras of the sixth root of unity, Eur. Phys. J. C 8 (1999) 311 [hep-th/9803091];
- [32] E. Remiddi and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Harmonic polylogarithms*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 725 [hep-ph/9905237];
- [33] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Numerical evaluation of harmonic polylogarithms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 141 (2001) 296 [hep-ph/0107173];
- [34] J. Vollinga and S. Weinzierl, Numerical evaluation of multiple polylogarithms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 167 (2005) 177 [hep-ph/0410259].
- [35] D. Maître, HPL, a mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174 (2006) 222 [hep-ph/0507152].
- [36] D. Maître, Extension of HPL to complex arguments, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012) 846 [hep-ph/0703052].
- [37] S. Buehler and C. Duhr, CHAPLIN Complex Harmonic Polylogarithms in Fortran, arXiv:1106.5739.
- [38] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Two loop master integrals for gamma* —¿ 3 jets: The planar topologies, Nucl. Phys. B 601 (2001) 248 [hep-ph/0008287].
- [39] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Numerical evaluation of two-dimensional harmonic polylogarithms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 144 (2002) 200 [hep-ph/0111255].
- [40] J. Ablinger. A Computer Algebra Toolbox for Harmonic Sums Related to Particle Physics, Johannes Kepler University, Diploma Thesis. February 2009 [arXiv:1011.1176].
- [41] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, and C. Schneider, Harmonic Sums and Polylogarithms Generated by Cyclotomic Polynomials, J.Math.Phys. 52 (2011) 102301, [arXiv:1105.6063].
- [42] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, Analytic and Algorithmic Aspects of Generalized Harmonic Sums and Polylogarithms, arXiv:1302.0378.
- [43] C. Duhr, H. Gangl, and J. R. Rhodes, From polygons and symbols to polylogarithmic functions, JHEP 1210 (2012) 075, [arXiv:1110.0458].
- [44] F. Brown, On the decomposition of motivic multiple zeta values, arXiv:1102.1310.
- [45] A. B. Goncharov, M. Spradlin, C. Vergu and A. Volovich, *Classical Polylogarithms for Amplitudes and Wilson Loops*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 151605 [arXiv:1006.5703].
- [46] L. J. Dixon, J. M. Drummond and J. M. Henn, Bootstrapping the three-loop hexagon, JHEP 1111 (2011) 023 [arXiv:1108.4461].
- [47] L. J. Dixon, J. M. Drummond and J. M. Henn, Analytic result for the two-loop six-point NMHV amplitude in N=4 super Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 1201 (2012) 024
 [arXiv:1111.1704].

- [48] L. J. Dixon, C. Duhr, and J. Pennington, Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms and the multi-Regge limit, JHEP 1210 (2012) 074, [arXiv:1207.0186].
- [49] J. Drummond, Generalised ladders and single-valued polylogarithms, JHEP 1302 (2013) 092, [arXiv:1207.3824].
- [50] J. Golden, A. B. Goncharov, M. Spradlin, C. Vergu and A. Volovich, Motivic Amplitudes and Cluster Coordinates, arXiv:1305.1617.
- [51] C. Duhr, Hopf algebras, coproducts and symbols: an application to Higgs boson amplitudes, JHEP 1208 (2012) 043, [arXiv:1203.0454].
- [52] F. Chavez and C. Duhr, Three-mass triangle integrals and single-valued polylogarithms, JHEP 1211 (2012) 114, [arXiv:1209.2722].
- [53] T. Gehrmann, L. Tancredi, and E. Weihs, Two-loop QCD helicity amplitudes for $gg \rightarrow Zg$ and $gg \rightarrow Z\gamma$, arXiv:1302.2630.
- [54] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, and B. Mistlberger, Soft triple-real radiation for Higgs production at N3LO, arXiv:1302.4379.
- [55] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279.
- [56] S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, The analytical value of the electron (g-2) at order α^3 in QED, Phys. Lett. B **379** (1996) 283 [hep-ph/9602417];
- [57] S. Laporta, High-precision calculation of multi-loop Feynman integrals by difference equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 5087 [hep-ph/0102033];
- [58] F.V. Tkachov, A Theorem On Analytical Calculability Of Four Loop Renormalization Group Functions, Phys. Lett. B 100 (1981) 65;
- [59] K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, Integration By Parts: The Algorithm To Calculate Beta Functions In 4 Loops, Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 159.
- [60] C. Studerus, Reduze Feynman Integral Reduction in C++, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1293 [arXiv:0912.2546].
- [61] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, arXiv:1201.4330.
- [62] C. Bauer, A. Frink, and R. Kreckel, Introduction to the GiNaC Framework for Symbolic Computation within the C++ Programming Language, J. Symbolic Computation 33 (2002) 1 [cs.sc/0004015].
- [63] R. H. Lewis, Computer Algebra System Fermat, http://www.bway.net/lewis.
- [64] C. Anastasiou and A. Lazopoulos, Automatic integral reduction for higher order perturbative calculations, JHEP 0407 (2004) 046 [hep-ph/0404258].
- [65] A. V. Smirnov, Algorithm FIRE Feynman Integral REduction, JHEP 0810 (2008) 107 [arXiv:0807.3243].
- [66] A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, FIRE4, LiteRed and accompanying tools to solve integration by parts relations, [arXiv:1302.5885].
- [67] W. L. van Neerven, Dimensional Regularization Of Mass And Infrared Singularities In Two Loop On-shell Vertex Functions, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 453.

- [68] M. Argeri, P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, The analytic value of the sunrise self-mass with two equal masses and the external invariant equal to the third squared mass, Nucl. Phys. B 631 (2002) 388 [hep-ph/0202123].
- [69] R. Bonciani, P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, Vertex diagrams for the QED form factors at the 2-loop level, Nucl. Phys. B 661 (2003) 289 [Erratum-ibid. B 702 (2004) 359]
 [hep-ph/0301170].
- [70] R. Bonciani, P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, Master Integrals for the 2-loop QCD virtual corrections to the Forward-Backward Asymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 690 (2004) 138 [hep-ph/0311145].
- [71] R. Bonciani, P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, QED vertex form factors at two loops, Nucl. Phys. B 676 (2004) 399 [hep-ph/0307295].
- J. Fleischer, A.V. Kotikov and O.L. Veretin, Analytic two-loop results for selfenergy- and vertex-type diagrams with one non-zero mass, Nucl. Phys. B 547 (1999) 343
 [hep-ph/9808242].
- [73] U. Aglietti and R. Bonciani, Master integrals with one massive propagator for the two-loop electroweak form factor, Nucl. Phys. B 668 (2003) 3 [hep-ph/0304028].
- [74] A.I. Davydychev and M.Y. Kalmykov, Massive Feynman diagrams and inverse binomial sums, Nucl. Phys. B 699 (2004) 3 [hep-th/0303162].
- [75] U. Aglietti and R. Bonciani, Master integrals with 2 and 3 massive propagators for the 2-loop electroweak form factor: Planar case, Nucl. Phys. B 698 (2004) 277 [hep-ph/0401193].
- [76] M. Czakon, J. Gluza and T. Riemann, Master integrals for massive two-loop Bhabha scattering in QED, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 073009 [hep-ph/0412164].
- [77] R. Bonciani, G. Degrassi and A. Vicini, On the Generalized Harmonic Polylogarithms of One Complex Variable, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 1253 [arXiv:1007.1891].
- [78] V. A. Smirnov, Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massless on-shell double box, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 397 [hep-ph/9905323];
- [79] J. B. Tausk, Nonplanar massless two loop Feynman diagrams with four on-shell legs, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 225 [hep-ph/9909506].
- [80] J. Gluza, K. Kajda and T. Riemann, AMBRE: A Mathematica package for the construction of Mellin-Barnes representations for Feynman integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 177 (2007) 879 [arXiv:0704.2423].
- [81] M. Czakon, Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 559 [hep-ph/0511200].
- [82] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 8.0, Champaign, IL (2010).
- [83] C. Bogner and F. Brown, Symbolic integration and multiple polylogarithms, PoS LL 2012 (2012) 053 [arXiv:1209.6524].
- [84] A. von Manteuffel, R. Schabinger and H. X. Zhu, The Complete Two-Loop Integrated Jet Mass Distribution in Soft-Collinear Effective Theory, in preparation.
- [85] R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, in preparation.
- [86] J. M. Henn, Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple, arXiv:1304.1806.

- [87] J. M. Henn, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, Analytic results for planar three-loop four-point integrals from a Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, arXiv:1306.2799.
- [88] J. M. Henn, A. von Manteuffel and V. Smirnov, in preparation.
- [89] J. A. M. Vermaseren, Axodraw, Comput. Phys. Commun. 83 (1994) 45.