

Parabolic Equation with Nonlocal Diffusion without a Smooth Inertial Manifold

Alexander V. Romanov

ABSTRACT. We construct an example of a one-dimensional parabolic integro-differential equation with nonlocal diffusion which does not have asymptotically finite-dimensional dynamics in the corresponding state space. This example is more natural in the class of evolutionary equations of parabolic type than those known earlier.

Introduction

The theory of inertial manifolds is the most extreme implementation of the concept, going back to Hopf [1], of finite-dimensional large-time behavior of solutions of distributed evolution systems with dissipation. The concept implies that the eventual dynamics of a dissipative system with infinitely many degrees of freedom can in a sense be controlled by finitely many parameters. It turns out then that the main object of study is a class of semilinear parabolic equations with Hilbert state space. Paradoxically, even though the existence of an inertial manifold has so far been established only for a narrow class of such problems, known examples in which the nonexistence of such a manifold is guaranteed have been difficult to construct and look rather artificial. In any case, no examples of this kind have been known yet for real problems of mathematical physics. The present paper is a step in this direction. Namely, we present a family of integro-differential

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35B42; Secondary 35B40, 35K68.

Key words and phrases. inertial manifold, semilinear parabolic equation, non-local diffusion.

equations of parabolic type with nonlocal diffusion on the circle such that these equations do not have a smooth inertial manifold.

1. Preliminaries

We consider evolution equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t u = -Au + F(u)$$

with linear part $-A$ and nonlinear part F in a real separable Hilbert space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. The general theory of such equations can be found in the book [2]. A closed linear operator A on X with dense domain $D(A)$ is said to be *sectorial* if it generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-At}\}_{t>0}$; in this case, the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ lies in some half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \delta$. The property of being sectorial is stable under bounded perturbations. Assuming without loss of generality that $\delta > 0$, we denote the one-sided scale of Hilbert spaces corresponding to A by $\{X^\alpha\}_{\alpha \geq 0}$, where $X^\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ and $\|u\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha u\|$ for $u \in X^\alpha$; then $X^0 = X$, $X^1 = D(A)$, and $X^\beta \subset X^\alpha$ for $\beta > \alpha$.

From now on we make the following main assumptions about (1.1).

(H1) The linear operator A is sectorial, its resolvent is compact, and the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ lies in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \delta > 0$.

(H2) For some $\theta \in [0, 1)$, the nonlinear function F maps X^θ into X and satisfies the estimate

$$\|F(u) - F(v)\| \leq L(r)\|u - v\|_\theta$$

for $\|u\|_\theta \leq r$ and $\|v\|_\theta \leq r$.

(H3) Equation (1.1) generates a continuous dissipative semiflow $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in the space X^θ .

The dissipativity of the solution semiflow means that

$$\sup \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Phi_t u\|_\theta \leq a$$

uniformly with respect to u on bounded subsets of X^θ . We denote the closed ball $(\|u\|_\theta \leq r)$ in the state space X^θ by B_r and say that the ball B_a is *absorbing*. The number θ will be called the *nonlinearity exponent* of Eq. (1.1). The embeddings $X^\beta \subset X^\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$, are dense and compact; in particular, $\|u\|_\alpha \leq C(\alpha, \beta)\|u\|_\beta$ for $u \in X^\beta$. Under conditions (H1)–(H3), one can readily establish (using the constructions in [2, Theorem 3.3.6]) that the evolution operators Φ_t are compact for $t > 0$.

In real problems, the operator A often proves to be self-adjoint, and the compactness of the resolvent of A is typical of the case of parabolic partial differential equations in bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

A set $U \subset X^\theta$ is said to be *invariant* if $\Phi_t U = U$ for $t > 0$. The *global attractor* \mathcal{A} of a semiflow $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined [3, 4] as the union of all entire (existing for $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$) bounded trajectories of the infinite-dimensional dynamical system (1.1) in the state space X^θ . The global attractor (called simply the attractor in what follows) is a connected compact (by virtue of the compactness of the evolution operators Φ_t) invariant set in X^θ and uniformly attracts the balls in the space X^θ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In particular, \mathcal{A} contains all possible limit modes (equilibrium points, cycles, invariant tori, etc.) of the solution semiflow. By the *smoothing property* of the parabolic equation, one has $\Phi_t X^\theta \subset X^1$ for $t > 0$, and hence every invariant set (in particular, the attractor) lies in X^1 .

Let us modify the function $F(u)$ (without losing Lipschitz or C^k -regularity, $1 \leq k \leq \infty$) outside the absorbing ball B_a in such a way that the new function $\tilde{F}(u)$ be identically zero outside the ball B_{a+1} . This “truncation” procedure, described in detail in [4], permits one to proceed to the equation $u_t = -Au + \tilde{F}(u)$ with globally Lipschitz function $\tilde{F}(u)$ and dissipative phase semiflow in X^θ ; this equation inherits the eventual dynamics (1.1). We assume that all this has already been done, return to the original notation $F(u)$, and use the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \|F(u) - F(v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|_\theta$$

on the nonlinear component of Eq. (1.1) in what follows. Note [2] that the phase semiflow $\{\Phi_t\}$ inherits the smoothness of the function $F(u)$.

Conditions (H1) and (H2) ensure the solvability of Eq. (1.1) in X^θ locally in $t > 0$. The dissipativity of the corresponding dynamical system is a technical but important fact.

LEMMA 1.1. *If assumptions (H1) and (H2) hold and $\|F(u)\| \leq M$ for $u \in X^\theta$, then Eq. (1.1) is dissipative in X^θ .*

PROOF. Let us rewrite (1.1) in the form of the Duhamel integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{-At}u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-\tau)}F(u(\tau))d\tau.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > \delta > 0$, it follows from the well-known estimates $\|e^{-At}u\|_\theta \leq Ce^{-\delta t}\|u\|_\theta$ and $\|e^{-At}u\|_\theta \leq Ct^{-\theta}e^{-\delta t}\|u\|$ for arbitrary $u \in X^\theta$ that

$$\|u(t)\|_\theta \leq Ce^{-\delta t}\|u(0)\|_\theta + CM \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}(t-\tau)^{-\theta}d\tau.$$

We see that the norm $\|u(t)\|_\theta$ remains bounded on the existence interval of the solution $u(t)$, whence it follows [2, Theorem 3.3.4] that the

solution can be extended to $[0, \infty)$. Thus, the original equation has the absorbing ball $B_a \subset X^\theta$ of radius

$$a = CM \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} s^{-\theta} ds,$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

2. Inertial Manifolds

We will consider semilinear parabolic equations of the form (1.1) with self-adjoint linear operator A , nonlinear function $F \in C^1(X^\theta, X)$, $0 \leq \theta < 1$, and solution semiflow $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in the state space X^θ . An *inertial manifold* is a smooth or Lipschitz finite-dimensional invariant surface $\mathcal{M} \subset X^\theta$ containing the attractor \mathcal{A} and exponentially attracting all solutions $u(t)$ at large times.

Most of the known methods (starting from the fundamental papers [5, 6]) for constructing an n -dimensional inertial manifold require the spectral jump condition

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > kL(\lambda_{n+1}^\theta + \lambda_n^\theta),$$

where L is the constant in inequality (1.2), the λ_n are the eigenvalues of A arranged in non-decreasing order (with regard for multiplicities), and k is some absolute constant.

It is well known [7, 8] that for $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lip}$ one can take $k = 1$, and this value is sharp [8]. The construction of a C^1 -smooth inertial manifold usually assumes slightly larger values of k , but there are reasons to believe (see [9, p. 17]) that $k = 1$ is the optimal constant in this case as well.

It was shown in [8] that the estimate (2.1) with $k = 1$ permits one to construct an n -dimensional Lipschitz inertial manifold of Eq. (1.1) in the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u \in X^\theta : u = y + h(y), \quad y \in P_n X^\theta\},$$

where P_n is the spectral projection of A corresponding to the part $\{\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n\}$ of the spectrum, $h: P_n X^\theta \rightarrow (I - P_n)X^\theta$ with $I = \text{id}$, and moreover,

$$\|h(y) - h(y')\|_\theta \leq d\|y - y'\|_\theta$$

for $y, y' \in P_n X^\theta$. In this case, to each $u \in X^\theta$ there corresponds a $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|\Phi_t u - \Phi_t \bar{u}\|_\theta \leq C\|u - \bar{u}\|_\theta e^{-\gamma t}$$

for $t > 0$ with $\gamma = \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1}^\theta K > 0$. The constant C is independent of u and \bar{u} . Since the manifold \mathcal{M} is invariant, it follows that $\mathcal{M} \subset X^1$.

The limit dynamics of the dynamical system $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ with state space X^θ is completely described by the *inertial form*

$$y_t = -Ay + P_n F(y + h(y)), \quad y \in P_n X^\theta,$$

which is an ordinary differential equation in $P_n X^\theta \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, one says that the original equation (1.1) is *asymptotically n -dimensional*.

By using the spectral jump condition (2.1), one can establish the existence of an inertial manifold for a dissipative equation (1.1) with given linear part A and arbitrary nonlinear function F satisfying the Lipschitz condition (1.2) under the ‘‘spectrum sparseness’’ assumption

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}^\theta + \lambda_n^\theta} = \infty.$$

If the linear part $-A$ of the parabolic equation (1.1) is the Laplace operator Δ with standard boundary conditions in $L^2(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, then these conditions become restrictive owing to the well-known asymptotics $\lambda_n \sim cn^{2/m}$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda_n \in \sigma(-\Delta)$. Attempts to sidestep condition (2.2) have only been successful in isolated special cases (e.g., see [10, 11]). By now, the asymptotic finite-dimensionality has not been established even for relatively simple problems such as the parabolic equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x)$$

on the circle or the reaction–diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u)$$

with standard boundary conditions in the disk.

On the other hand, extremely little is known about examples of nonexistence of an inertial manifold for evolution equations (1.1). A system of two coupled one-dimensional parabolic pseudodifferential equations without a smooth inertial manifold was constructed in [12] on the basis of the following argument. Let $F'(u)$ be the Fréchet derivative of the smooth mapping $F: X^\theta \rightarrow X$ at a point $u \in X^\theta$. The linear operators $F'(u)$ are continuous from X^θ to X (i.e., $F'(u) \in \text{End}(X^\theta, X)$), and the Lipschitz condition (1.2) is equivalent to the estimate $\|F'(u)\|_{\text{op}} \leq L$ for $u \in X^\theta$. Let $\sigma(T(u))$ be the spectrum of the unbounded linear operator $T(u) = F'(u) - A$ in X with domain X^1 . Since $F'(u) = F'(u)A^{-\theta}A^\theta$ with $\theta < 1$, where $F'(u)A^{-\theta} \in \text{End } X$, it follows by [2, Sec. 1.4] that the operator $-T(u)$ inherits sectoriality, closedness, and the compact resolvent property from the operator A . Thus, $\sigma(T(u))$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. The number

$l(u)$ of positive eigenvalues in $\sigma(T(u))$ (counting algebraic multiplicities) is finite. Finally, let E be the set of hyperbolic stationary points $u \in X^\theta$ of Eq. (1.1) for which the spectrum $\sigma(T(u))$ does not contain negative eigenvalues.

LEMMA 2.1 ([12]). *If the attractor \mathcal{A} of Eq. (1.1) with nonlinear function $F \in C^1(X^\theta, X)$ is contained in some smooth invariant finite-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset X^\theta$, then the number $l(u_1) - l(u_2)$ is even for any $u_1, u_2 \in E$.*

This fact was used in the recent papers [9, 13] to obtain a general construction of an abstract equation (1.1) with nonlinear function $F \in C^\infty$ and nonlinearity exponent $\theta = 0$ without a smooth inertial manifold. In the same papers, a different (more delicate) argument was used to construct an equation of the form (1.1) with $F \in C^\infty$ not admitting even a Lipschitz inertial manifold. The corresponding results can apparently be extended to the general case of the nonlinearity exponent $\theta \in [0, 1)$. The counterexamples in [9, 12, 13] are not sufficiently natural; it would be desirable to present some physically meaningful semilinear parabolic equation lacking asymptotic finite-dimensionality. To some extent, this problem is solved in what follows. Note that the corresponding example was announced by the author as early as in [14].

3. Main Result

By H^ν , $\nu \geq 0$, we denote the generalized L^2 Sobolev spaces [15] of real functions on the unit circle Γ ; in particular, $H^0 = H = L^2(\Gamma)$. The differentiation operator $\partial_x u = u_x$ is continuous from $H^{\nu+1}$ to H^ν , and moreover, $\partial_x: H^1 \rightarrow H_0$, where $H_0 \subset L^2(\Gamma)$ is the subspace of functions with zero mean over Γ . For $\nu > 1/2$, there are continuous embeddings $H^\nu \subset C(\Gamma)$ and $H^{\nu+1} \subset C^1(\Gamma)$.

Consider the integro-differential parabolic equation

$$(3.1) \quad u_t = ((I + B)u_x)_x + f(x, u, u_x) + Ku,$$

where $x \in \Gamma$. The bounded linear operators K , $I = \text{id}$, and $B = B^*$ act on the Hilbert space H with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and the function $f(x, s, p)$ defined on $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is assumed to be infinitely smooth but nonanalytic. Here the operator $I + B$ plays the role of a nonlocal diffusion coefficient, and the term Ku can be interpreted as a nonlocal source. More precisely, set

$$(Bh)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln \left| \sin \frac{x+y}{2} \right| h(y) dy$$

and in addition

$$(Jh)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{x+y}{2} h(y) dy$$

for $h \in H$. The operator J is related to the Hilbert singular integral operator

$$(\mathcal{G}h)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{y-x}{2} h(y) dy$$

by the formula $(Jh)(x) = (\mathcal{G}h)(-x)$, $h \in H$. It is well known [16, Chap. 6] that $\mathcal{G}1 = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{G}: \cos nx \rightarrow -\sin nx, \quad \mathcal{G}: \sin nx \rightarrow \cos nx$$

for integer $n \geq 1$; hence $J1 = 0$ and

$$(3.2) \quad J: \cos nx \rightarrow \sin nx, \quad J: \sin nx \rightarrow \cos nx$$

for such n .

The integral operators J and B have the following properties:

- (a) $J \in \operatorname{End} H$ and $J^2 = I$ on H_0 ;
- (b) $B \in \operatorname{End}(H, H^1)$ and $\partial_x B = J$ on H .

Clearly, $J^* = J$, $B^* = B$, the operator B is compact in H , and

$$B: \cos nx \rightarrow -\frac{1}{n} \cos nx, \quad B: \sin nx \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sin nx$$

for $n \geq 1$. We see that the subspace H_0 is invariant under B , and the minimum eigenvalue of the restriction of B to H_0 is -1 . Thus, the self-adjoint operator $I + B$ is nonnegative on H_0 and can be interpreted as a degenerate nonlocal ‘‘diffusion coefficient’’ in the evolution equation (3.1).

To represent Eq. (3.1) in the standard form (1.1), we take $Au = u - u_{xx}$ with $D(A) = H^2$ and

$$(3.3) \quad F(u) = u + (Bu_x)_x + f(x, u, u_x) + Ku.$$

Set $X = H$ and $X^\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 0$. The self-adjoint positive linear operator A on X has compact resolvent, and $X^\alpha = H^{2\alpha}$. Note that $\lambda_n \sim cn^2$ for the eigenvalues of A , and hence the spectrum sparseness condition (2.2) does not hold even for $\theta = 1/2$, which is the minimum possible value of the nonlinearity exponent in this situation.

THEOREM 3.1. *For an appropriate choice of a function $f(x, s, p) \in C^\infty$ and a compact integral operator K with C^∞ -kernel, Eq. (3.1) generates a smooth dissipative semiflow in X^θ , $\theta \in (3/4, 1)$. The attractor of this equation is not contained in any invariant finite-dimensional C^1 -manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset X^\theta$.*

Take some bounded sequence of nonzero real numbers ε_n , $n \geq 0$, and define the linear operator K in (3.1) by the relations

$$(3.4) \quad K: \cos nx \rightarrow \varepsilon_n \sin(n+1)x, \quad K: \sin(n+1)x \rightarrow -\varepsilon_n \cos nx.$$

It is easily seen that $K \in \text{End } X$ and $K^* = -K$. If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then K is a compact operator and, by an argument like that in [17, Sec. 6.7], an integral operator with C^∞ -kernel in $X = L^2(\Gamma)$. Further, assume that $|\varepsilon_n| \leq \varepsilon_0 < 1$ for $n \geq 1$; then $\|K\|_{\text{op}} = \varepsilon_0$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ is the norm on the operator space $\text{End } X$.

Next, fix an arbitrary $\theta \in (3/4, 1)$. Since the embeddings $X^\theta \subset C^1(\Gamma) \subset C(\Gamma) \subset X$ are continuous, it follows that the mapping $u \rightarrow f(x, u, u_x)$ and hence the nonlinear component $F(u)$ in (3.4) belong to the class $C^\infty(X^\theta, X)$ for an arbitrary function $f \in C^\infty(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, the function $F: X^\theta \rightarrow X$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition on bounded sets in X^θ . Thus, the evolution equation (3.1) satisfies assumptions (H1) and (H2) with nonlinearity exponent θ . The dissipativity of this equation will be ensured by choosing a special structure of the function f .

Set

$$(3.5) \quad f(x, s, p) = \kappa\omega(s)w(p) + \varepsilon_0\gamma(s) + \varepsilon_0\eta(s)(1 - \sin x) + \mu(s)$$

with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\kappa| > 1$. We assume that the functions $\omega, \gamma, \eta, \mu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy the conditions:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega(z) = z, \quad \gamma(z) = 2z^3 - 3z^2, \quad \eta(z) = 2z^2 - z^3, \quad \mu(z) = 0, \quad |z| \leq 1; \\ \omega(z) = 0, \quad \gamma(z) = 0, \quad \eta(z) = 0, \quad \mu(z) = -z, \quad |z| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\partial_x B \partial_x = J \partial_x$ on $X^{1/2}$ and $J \partial_x \in \text{End}(X^{1/2}, X)$. Let us momentarily represent the right-hand side of (3.1) in the form $F_1(u) - A_1 u$, where

$$A_1 = A - J \partial_x - K, \quad D(A_1) = D(A) = X^1,$$

and $F_1(u) = u + f(x, u, u_x)$. Since $(A - J \partial_x)1 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A - J \partial_x: \cos nx &\rightarrow (1 + n + n^2) \cos nx, \\ A - J \partial_x: \sin nx &\rightarrow (1 - n + n^2) \sin nx \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$, it follows that the minimum eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $A - J \partial_x$ is 1. Thus, the spectrum of the nonself-adjoint operator A_1 lies in the half-plane $\text{Re } \lambda \geq 1 - \varepsilon_0 > 0$, and $(A - A_1)A^{-1/2} \in \text{End } X$. The latter property implies [2, Theorem 1.4.8] that the operator A_1 is sectorial in X and $D(A_1^\alpha) = X^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Since $|s + f(x, s, p)| \leq \text{const}$ on $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^2$, it follows that $F_1: X^{1/2} \rightarrow X$ and $\|F_1(u)\| \leq \text{const}$ on $X^{1/2}$. The adopted construction of $f(x, s, p)$ ensures the estimates $|f_s| \leq \text{const}$ and $|f_p| \leq \text{const}$ on $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and hence the global Lipschitz condition

$$\|F_1(u) - F_1(v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|_{1/2}$$

for $u, v \in X^{1/2}$. Since $\theta > 1/2$, it follows owing to the continuity of the embedding $X^\theta \subset X^{1/2}$ that $\|F_1(u)\| \leq \text{const}$ on X^θ and $F_1 \in \text{Lip}(X^\theta, X)$. Lemma 1.1 guarantees the dissipativity of Eq. (3.1) in the state space X^θ , so that this equation satisfies all assumptions (H1)–(H3) with nonlinearity exponent θ .

Now let us return to the notation of Eq. (3.1) with nonlinear component $F(x)$ of the form (3.3) and with the structure (3.5)–(3.6) of the function $f(x, s, p)$. The linear operator $J\partial_x + K$ is continuous from $X^{1/2}$ to X and so much the more from X^θ to X ; consequently, $F \in \text{Lip}(X^{1/2}, X)$ and $F \in \text{Lip}(X^\theta, X)$.

PROOF of Theorem 3.1. The linearization $T(u) = F'(u) - A$ of the vector field $F(u) - Au$ of Eq. (3.1) at a point $u \in X^\theta$ is (see Sec. 2) a closed unbounded linear operator on X with compact resolvent and with dense domain X^1 . This operator acts on functions $h \in X^1$ by the formula

$$(3.7) \quad T(u)h = h_{xx} + Jh_x + f_s(x, u, u_x)h + f_p(x, u, u_x)h_x + Kh.$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x, 0, 0) = 0, \quad f(x, 1, 0) = -\varepsilon_0 \sin x, \quad f_s(x, 0, 0) = f_p(x, 0, 0) = 0, \\ f_s(x, 1, 0) = \varepsilon_0(1 - \sin x), \quad f_p(x, 1, 0) = \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K1 = \varepsilon_0 \sin x$, it follows that $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$ are time-independent solutions of Eq. (3.1). We have

$$T(u_0) = Q + K, \quad T(u_1) = Q + K + \kappa\partial_x + \varepsilon_0(1 - \sin x),$$

where $Q = \partial_{xx} + J\partial_x$. We also see from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} Q: \cos nx &\rightarrow -(n^2 + n) \cos nx, \quad n \geq 0, \\ Q: \sin nx &\rightarrow -(n^2 - n) \sin nx, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The two-dimensional subspaces

$$X_n = \{\cos nx, \sin(n+1)x\}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

are invariant with respect to the operators Q and K . According to (3.4), the operator $T(u_0)$ can be represented in each X_n by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -n^2 - n & -\varepsilon_n \\ \varepsilon_n & -n^2 - n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_n \neq 0$ and the subspaces X_n form an orthogonal basis in X , it follows that the spectrum $\sigma_0 = \sigma(T(u_0))$ is purely nonreal.

Next, set $Q_\kappa = Q + \kappa \partial_x$ with the same numerical parameter κ as in (3.5). By using the results in [2] again, we find that the operator Q_κ is closed with domain X^1 and has compact resolvent. In addition, Q_κ leaves invariant the subspaces

$$Y_n = \{\cos nx, \sin nx\}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

in X and is represented on each of these subspaces by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -n^2 - n & \kappa n \\ -\kappa n & -n^2 + n \end{pmatrix},$$

whose eigenvalues have the form $\lambda_n = -n^2 \pm idn$ with $d = (\kappa^2 - 1)^{1/2} > 0$. Since $Q_\kappa 1 = 0$, it follows that the spectrum $\sigma(Q_\kappa)$ consists of the point 0 and some complex numbers that are distant at least by d from the real axis. The norm of the operator of multiplication by the function $\xi(x) = 1 - \sin x$ in $X = L^2(\Gamma)$ coincides with the sup-norm of this function, i.e., is equal to 2. Since $\|K\|_{\text{op}} = \varepsilon_0$, we see that $\|K + \varepsilon_0 \xi\|_{\text{op}} \leq 3\varepsilon_0$. Now let us treat $T(u_1)$ as a bounded perturbation of the closed linear operator Q_κ with discrete spectrum. Using the stability of root multiplicities [18, Theorem 4.3], we can conclude that, for sufficiently small ε_0 , the spectrum $\sigma_1 = \sigma(T(u_1))$ contains at most one real eigenvalue (counting algebraic multiplicities). It follows from relations (3.7) and (3.8) that $T(u_1)1 = \varepsilon_0 > 0$; hence there indeed exists a (positive) real eigenvalue.

Thus, for the given choice of the function $f(x, s, p)$ of the form (3.5), (3.6) and the integral operator K , the spectra σ_0 and σ_1 of the linearization $T(u)$ of the vector field $F(u) - Au$ of Eq. (3.1) at the stationary points $u_0, u_1 \in X^\theta$ have the following properties: $\sigma_0 \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_1 \cap \mathbb{R} = \{\varepsilon_0\}$, where ε_0 is the simple positive eigenvalue of $T(u_1)$. We see that the stationary points u_0 and u_1 prove to be hyperbolic. If we denote the number (counting multiplicities) of positive eigenvalues in the spectrum of $T(u)$, $u \in X^\theta$, by $l(u)$, then $l(u_0) = 0$ and $l(u_1) = 1$. Thus, the attractor of the semilinear parabolic equation (3.1) is not contained in any smooth invariant finite-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset X^\theta$ by Lemma 2.1, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

The claim in Theorem 3.1 on the nonexistence of a smooth inertial manifold for (3.1) for an appropriate choice of the nonlinear function $f(x, u, u_x)$ remains valid if one replaces the state space X^θ , $\theta \in (3/4, 1)$, with the more natural space $X^{1/2} = H^1$ provided that one uses the weakened version [10, p. 813] of the notion of differentiability of nonlinear mappings (see also [12, Definition 1.1]).

References

- [1] E. Hopf, A mathematical example displaying features of turbulence. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1**:4 (1948), 303–322.
- [2] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lecture Notes in Math., **840**, Springer, New York, 1981.
- [3] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of evolution equations*. Stud. Math. Appl., **25**. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- [4] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, 2d ed. Appl. Math. Sci., **68**. Springer, New York, 1997.
- [5] R. Mañé, *Reduction of semilinear parabolic equations to finite dimensional C^1 flows*. Lecture Notes in Math., **597**, pages 361–378, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [6] C. Foias, G. R. Sell, and R. Temam, Variétés inertielles des équations différentielles dissipatives. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **301**:5 (1985), 139–141.
- [7] M. Miklavčič, A sharp condition for existence of an inertial manifold. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, **3**:3 (1991), 437–456.
- [8] A. V. Romanov, Sharp estimates for the dimension of inertial manifolds for nonlinear parabolic equations. *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.*, **43**:1 (1994), 31–47.
- [9] S. Zelik, Inertial manifolds and finite-dimensional reduction for dissipative PDEs, arXiv:1303.4457 [math.AP], 2013.
- [10] J. Mallet-Paret and G. R. Sell, Inertial manifolds for reaction diffusion equations in higher space dimensions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1**:4 (1988), 805–866.
- [11] J. Vukadinovic, Global dissipativity and inertial manifolds for diffusive Burgers equations with low-wavenumber instability. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **29**:1 (2011), 327–341.
- [12] A. V. Romanov, Three counterexamples in the theory of inertial manifolds. *Math. Notes*, **68**:3 (2000), 378–385.
- [13] A. Eden, V. Kalantarov, and S. Zelik, Counterexamples to the regularity of Mañé projections in the attractors theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **68**:2 (2013), 3–32. English transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys*, **68**:2 (2013), to appear; arXiv:1108.0217 [math.AP], 2011
- [14] A. V. Romanov, On the finite-dimensional dynamics of parabolic equations. In *Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis (NDA'02), Second International Congress*, Moscow, 2002, page 195. Moscow Aviation Institute.
- [15] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [16] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, A. I. Koshelev, S. G. Mikhlin, L. S. Rakovshchik, V. Ya. Stet'senko, and P. P. Zabreiko, *Integral Equations*. Leyden, Noordhoff International Publishing, 1975.

- [17] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, E. I. Pustyl'nik, P. E. Sobolevskii, and P. P. Zabreiko, *Integral Operators in Spaces of Summable Functions*. Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1976.
- [18] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, The basic propositions on defect numbers, root numbers, and indices of linear operators. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **12**:2 (1957), 43–118.

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
E-mail address: `av.romanov@hse.ru`