arXiv:1306.5317v2 [math.RT] 19 Oct 2013

ON THE DIFFERENTIABLE VECTORS FOR CONTRAGREDIENT REPRESENTATIONS

INGRID BELTIŢĂ AND DANIEL BELTIŢĂ

Abstract. We establish a few simple results on contragredient representations of Lie groups, with a view toward applications to the abstract characterization of some spaces of pseudo-differential operators. In particular, this method provides an abstract approach to J. Nourrigat's recent description of the norm closure of the pseudo-differential operators of order zero.

1. Introduction

In this note we study the abstract characterization of some spaces of pseudo-differential operators by using a few simple results on the contragredients of Banach space representations of Lie groups. The applicability of the method based on a contragredient representation is due to the fact that such a representation may be discontinuous even if the original representation is continuous; see for instance the representation (3.1) below, which is discontinuous if $r = \infty$. In particular, we provide an abstract approach to J. Nourrigat's recent description [No12] of the norm closure of the pseudo-differential operators of order zero (see Example 3.3 below) and we also bring additional information on some results from the earlier literature.

Preliminaries. For any complex Banach space $\mathcal{Y}$ we denote by $\mathcal{Y}^*$ its topological dual and by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})^\times$ the group of invertible elements in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ of all bounded linear operators. If G is any group, then a Banach space representation of G is a group homomorphism $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_\pi)^\times$, where $\mathcal{Y}_\pi$ is a complex Banach space. The contragredient representation of π is the representation

$$\pi^*\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_\pi^*)^\times, \quad \pi^*(g) := \pi(g^{-1})^*,$$

so that $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*} := \mathcal{Y}_\pi^*$. If $\sup\limits_{g\in G} \|\pi(g)\| < \infty$, then we say that π is uniformly bounded, and in this case also π^* is uniformly bounded.

Now assume that G is a topological group and for the uniformly bounded representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_\pi)^\times$ define $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0} := \{x \in \mathcal{Y}_\pi \mid \pi(\cdot)x \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{Y}_\pi)\}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ indicates the space of continuous mappings. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0}$ is a closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{Y}$ since π is uniformly bounded, and moreover $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0}$ is invariant under π. Hence we obtain a strongly continuous representation $\pi_0\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0})$, $\pi_0(g) := \pi(g)|_{\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0}}$. By using this construction for the contragredient representation, we define

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*} := \{\xi \in \mathcal{Y}_\pi^* \mid \pi^*(\cdot)\xi \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{Y}_\pi^*)\} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{Y}_\pi^* \mid \lim_{g\to \mathbf{1}} \|\pi^*(g)\xi - \xi\| = 0\}$$

Date: June 22, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E45; Secondary 47G30, 47B10.
Key words and phrases. contragredient representation; differentiable vector; commutator.

and

$$\pi_0^*\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*})^\times, \quad \pi_0^*(g) := \pi^*(g)|_{\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*}}.$$

If moreover G is a Lie group, then we also define $\mathcal{Y}_\pi^k := \{y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \pi(\cdot)y \in \mathcal{C}^k(G,\mathcal{Y})\}$ for every integer $k \geq 0$, so in particular $\mathcal{Y}_\pi^0 = \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0}$. Moreover, if the representation π is strongly continuous, that is, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0}$, then for every $k \geq 1$ and every basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_\pi^k = \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_k \leq m} \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_1}) \cdots \mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_k})) \tag{1.1}$$

(see for instance [Ne10, Th. 9.4]). Here and in what follows we denote by $\mathcal{D}(T)$ the domain of any unbounded operator T.

2. The main abstract results

The following theorem can be regarded as a version of (1.1) for some discontinuous representations of Lie groups, namely for the contragredient of any uniformly bounded and strongly continuous representation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a Lie group with a strongly continuous representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ which is also assumed to be uniformly bounded. If $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ is any basis in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, then*

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^k \subseteq \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_k \leq m} \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_1})^* \cdots \mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_k})^*) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^{k-1}$$

for every integer $k \geq 1$, and the above inclusions could simultaneously be strict.

For proving the theorem it will be convenient to use the notation

$$\mathcal{C}^k(\pi^*) := \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_k \leq m} \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_1})^* \cdots \mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_k})^*)$$

for an arbitrary integer $k \geq 1$. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*) \supseteq \mathcal{C}^2(\pi^*) \supseteq \cdots$.

The proof will be based on the following auxiliary result, which should be thought of as an embedding lemma on abstract Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 2.2. *We have $\mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*}$.*

Proof. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ let us denote $\gamma_X\colon \mathbb{R} \to G$, $\gamma_X(t) := \exp_G(tX)$. It follows by [vNe92, Th. 1.3.1] that

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X)^*) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^* \circ \gamma_X} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{Y}^* \mid \pi^*(\gamma_X(\cdot))\xi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y}^*)\} \tag{2.1}$$

for arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*} = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^* \circ \gamma_{X_j}} \tag{2.2}$$

since the inclusion $\subseteq$ is obvious while the inclusion $\supseteq$ holds true for the following reason. For all $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi^*(\gamma_{X_1}(t_1)\cdots\gamma_{X_m}(t_m))\xi - \xi\| &\\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \|\pi^*(\gamma_{X_1}(t_1)\cdots\gamma_{X_{j-1}}(t_{j-1}))(\pi^*(\gamma_{X_j}(t_j))\xi - \xi)\| \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=1}^{m} \|\pi^*(\gamma_{X_j}(t_j))\xi - \xi\|\end{aligned}$$

where $M := \sup_{g\in G} \|\pi(g)\|$. Since $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ is a basis in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, it follows that the mapping $\mathbb{R}^m \to G$, $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \mapsto \gamma_{X_1}(t_1)\cdots\gamma_{X_m}(t_m)$, is a local diffeomorphism at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and then the above estimate shows that for every $\xi \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*\circ\gamma_{X_j}}$ we have $\lim_{g\to\mathbf{1}} \|\pi^*(g)\xi - \xi\| = 0$, hence $\xi \in \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}$. This completes the proof of (2.2).

Now, since $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_1)^*) \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_m)^*) = \mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*)$, the assertion follows by (2.1) and (2.2). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By using Lemma 2.2 and [Po72, Lemma 1.1] we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}^k(\pi^*) \subseteq \bigcap_{1\leq j_1,\dots,j_{k-1}\leq m} \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi_0^*(X_{j_1})\cdots\mathrm{d}\pi_0^*(X_{j_{k-1}})) = \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^{k-1}$$

where the latter equality follows by using (1.1) for the strongly continuous representation π_0^*. The inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^k \subseteq \mathcal{C}^k(\pi^*)$ can be easily proved by using (1.1) and the fact that for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi_0^*(X)) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X)^*)$ and $\mathrm{d}\pi(X)^*|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi_0^*(X))} = \mathrm{d}\pi_0^*(X)$.

We now prove by example that the inclusion in the statement can be strict for $k = 1$. To this end let $G = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ be the space of trace-class operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and consider the regular representation $\rho\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $\rho(t)f = f(\cdot + t)$. Then define $\pi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$, $\pi(t)A = \rho(t)A\rho(t)^{-1}$ and for every $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ denote by $\phi(Q)$ the multiplication-by-ϕ operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, so that $\phi(Q) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \simeq \mathcal{Y}^*$. It was noted in [ABG96, Ex. 6.2.7] that $\phi(Q) \in \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^k$ if and only the first k derivatives of ϕ exist, are bounded, and the k-th derivative is also uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, if we denote by $P = -\mathrm{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}$ the infinitesimal generator of ρ, then it is easily checked that $\phi(Q) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*)$ if and only if the commutator $[\phi(Q), P]$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, hence by using also [ABG96, Prop. 5.1.2(b)] and again [ABG96, Ex. 6.2.7] we see that the latter commutator condition is equivalent to the fact that ϕ is bounded and satisfies the Lipschitz condition globally on $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore there exist $\phi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(Q) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*) \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^1$ and $\psi(Q) \in \mathcal{Y}_{\pi^*}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}^1(\pi^*)$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.3. *In the setting of Theorem 2.1, the linear subspace*

$$\bigcap_{k\geq 1} \bigcap_{1\leq j_1,\dots,j_k\leq m} \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_1})^*\cdots\mathrm{d}\pi(X_{j_k})^*)$$

is dense in $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*}$.

Proof. It follows by Theorem 2.1 that this linear subspace is equal to the space of smooth vectors for the strongly continuous representation π_0^*, hence it is dense in the representation space $\mathcal{Y}_{\pi_0^*}$ (see [Gå47]). □

3. Applications

In this section we will develop a more general version of the example used in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let G be a Lie group with a continuous unitary representation $\rho\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by $\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$ the p-th Schatten ideal, and let $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathcal{H})$ be the ideal of all compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. It is well known that if $p, q \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p \neq \infty$, then there exists an isometric linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})^* \simeq \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the duality pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\colon \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle Y, V \rangle := \operatorname{Tr}(YV).$$

The representation $\rho^{(q)}$ can thus be regarded as the contragredient representation of the *strongly continuous* representation $\rho^{(p)}$, where

$$(\forall r \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]) \quad \rho^{(r)}\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{S}_r(\mathcal{H})), \quad \rho^{(r)}(g)Y = \rho(g)Y\rho(g)^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

(see also [BB10]).

Here is a consequence of the results from the previous section. In the special case of the Heisenberg group, this establishes a direct relationship between the classical characterizations of pseudo-differential operators from [Be77] and [Co79].

Corollary 3.1. *In the above setting, pick any basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. Assume $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and denote*

$$\Psi_q(\rho) := \{Y \in \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H}) \mid \rho^{(q)}(\cdot)Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H}))\}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(1) *The linear subspace $\Psi_q(\rho)$ is precisely the set of all $Y \in \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})$ for which*

$$[\mathrm{d}\rho(X_{j_1}), \ldots, [\mathrm{d}\rho(X_{j_k}), Y] \ldots] \in \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})$$

for arbitrary $k \geq 1$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

(2) *If $1 \leq q < \infty$, then $\Psi_q(\rho)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})$. If $q = \infty$, then $\Psi_\infty(\rho)$ contains the ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and is dense in the norm-closed subspace $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \rho^{(\infty)}(\cdot)Y \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))\}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\mathcal{C}^1(\rho^{(q)}) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H}) \mid [\mathrm{d}\rho(X_j), Y] \in \mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H}) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, m\}.$$

Then both assertions are special cases of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3. □

We can now prove a corollary which shows that the first two conditions in [Me00, Th. 1] are equivalent irrespective of the unitary representation involved therein. This also shows that the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ part of the relation between differentiability and existence of commutators suggested after [Co95, Eq. (8.4)] holds true although the $\mathcal{C}^1$ part of that suggestion fails to be true, since the following corollary would be false with the class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ replaced by $\mathcal{C}^k$ for any $k < \infty$. In fact, recall from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that the corresponding inclusions are strict in a special instance of the present setting, which is precisely the special instance referred to in [Co95].

Corollary 3.2. *If $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ then the above mapping $\rho^{(\infty)}(\cdot)Y\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ with respect to the norm operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if it is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ with respect to the strong operator topology.*

Proof. The mapping $\rho^{(\infty)}(\cdot)Y\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is smooth with respect to any topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if it is smooth on any neighborhood of $\mathbf{1} \in G$. On the other hand, just as in the proof of [ABG96, Prop. 5.1.2(b)], one can see that this mapping is smooth with respect to the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if the iterated commutator condition in Corollary 3.1(1) is satisfied, hence the conclusion follows by Corollary 3.1(1), where the smoothness of $\rho^{(\infty)}(\cdot)Y$ is understood with respect to the norm operator topology on $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. □

Example 3.3. Let $G = \mathbb{H}_{2n+1}$ be the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group with the Schrödinger representation $\rho\colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. As recalled in [No12] for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the set $\Psi_p(\rho)$ of the above Corollary 3.1 is precisely the set of pseudo-differential operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ corresponding to the space of symbols

$$\{a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n})\ \partial^\alpha a \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})\}$$

(see also [BB12] for similar results on more general nilpotent Lie groups). Thus our Corollary 3.1 leads to the main results of [No12].

Example 3.4. The above Corollary 3.1 also provides additional information in the setting of pseudo-differential operators on a compact manifold acted on by a Lie group, as studied for instance in [Ta97] and [Me00]. Thus, it follows that the notions of U-smoothness and $\mathfrak{A}$-smoothness from [Ta97, Sect. 2] actually coincide.

Remark 3.5. It would be interesting to extend the above result of Ex. 3.3 to the setting of the magnetic Weyl calculus of [IMP10]. Such an extension is likely to require infinite-dimensional Lie groups.

Acknowledgment. This research has been partially supported by the Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131.

References

[ABG96] W.O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Georgescu, *C_0-groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N-body Hamiltonians*. Progress in Mathematics, 135. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.

[Be77] R. Beals, Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications. *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), no. 1, 45–57.

[BB10] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Smooth vectors and Weyl-Pedersen calculus for representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat.* **58** (2010), no.1, 17–46.

[BB12] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Boundedness for Weyl-Pedersen calculus on flat coadjoint orbits. *Preprint* arXiv:1203.0974v1 [math.AP], 2012.

[Co79] H.O. Cordes, On pseudo-differential operators and smoothness of special Lie-group representations. *manuscr. math.* **28** (1979), nos. 1-3, 51–69.

[Co95] H.O. Cordes, *The technique of pseudodifferential operators*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 202. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Gå47] L. Gårding, Note on continuous representations of Lie groups. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **33** (1947), 331–332.

[IMP10] V. Iftimie, M. Măntoiu, R. Purice, Commutator criteria for magnetic pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **35** (2010), no. 6, 1058–1094.

[Me00] S.T. Melo, Smooth operators for the regular representation on homogeneous spaces. *Studia Math.* **142** (2000), no. 2, 149–157.

[Ne10] K.-H. Neeb, On differentiable vectors for representations of infinite dimensional Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010), no. 11, 2814–2855.

[vNe92] J. van Neerven, *The adjoint of a semigroup of linear operators*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1529. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[No12] J. Nourrigat, Closure of the set of pseudodifferential operators. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **350** (2012), no. 7-8, 355–358.

[Po72] N.S. Poulsen, On C^∞-vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups. *J. Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), 87–120.

[Ta97] M.E. Taylor, Beals-Cordes-type characterizations of pseudodifferential operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), no. 6, 1711–1716.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro, ingrid.beltita@gmail.com

E-mail address: Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro, beltita@gmail.com