arXiv:1306.6941v6 [math.AT] 30 Jan 2016

log TQFT

Simon Scott

The goal here is to put into place an algebraic theory, or rather a categorification, of logarithmic representations and their log-determinant characters.

The motivation for investigating such logarithmic functors is that they provide a functorial setting for additive invariants arising as generalised Reidemeister torsions on bordism categories. Invariants of this type may be viewed as semi-classical, positioned between genera (classical bordism invariants) and TQFTs (quantum bordism invariants); the former are homomorphisms

$$\mu : \Omega_* \to R$$

on the ring Ω_* of bordism classes of closed manifolds, such as the signature of a 4k dimensional manifold, while a TQFT (topological quantum field theory) of dimension n refers to a symmetric monoidal functor

$$Z : \mathbf{Bord}_n \to \mathsf{B}$$

from the bordism category $\mathbf{Bord}_n$, whose objects are smooth closed (n-1)-dimensional manifolds M and whose morphisms are n-dimensional bordisms, to a target symmetric monoidal category B.

The class of semi-classical bordism invariants considered here arise as characters of log-additive simplicial maps

$$\log \, : \mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_n \to \mathcal{A} \tag{0.1}$$

from the nerve $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_n$ of the bordism category to a simplicial set of rings $\mathcal{A}$. Such a map (0.1), called a *log-functor*, associates to each bordism $W \in \mathrm{mor}(M_0, M_1)$ between closed manifolds M_0 and M_1 a logarithm $\log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(W)$ in a ring $\mathsf{F}(M_0 \sqcup M_1) \in \mathcal{A}$ along with a hierarchy of compatible inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathsf{F}(M_0 \sqcup M_2) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathsf{F}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2) & & \\ & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ \mathsf{F}(M_0 \sqcup M_1) & & & & \mathsf{F}(M_1 \sqcup M_2) \end{array} \tag{0.2}$$

such that when two bordisms $W \in \mathrm{mor}(M_0, M_1), W' \in \mathrm{mor}(M_1, M_2)$ are sewn together there is a log-additive identity in $\mathsf{F}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)$

$$\log_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(W \cup_{M_1} W') \;\approx\; \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(W) + \log_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(W'), \tag{0.3}$$

where $\approx$ indicates equality modulo finite sums of commutators. Neither commutators nor inclusion maps are seen by categorical trace maps $\tau_N : \mathsf{F}(N) \to R$ to a commutative ring R and so, irrespective of in which ring it may be convenient to view the logarithm of a bordism W, the resulting log-character $\tau(\log W) := \tau_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\log W) \in R$ is invariantly defined.

Characters of log-TQFTs capture a class of semi-local invariants that are of a somewhat more general nature than the local invariants that occur as genera but which, in view of the log-additive pasting property, must be far simpler and more restricted (possibly more delicate) than the globally determined invariants of a TQFT. Such trace-logs include instances of classical Whitehead and Reidemeister torsions and the topological signature σ and the (relative) Euler characteristic χ (note that σ is a genus while χ is not). Log-Determinants of this type can arise formally in semi-classical expansions of Feymann path integrals, such as Reidemeister torsion $T_M(a)$ in the stationary phase expansion of Chern-Simons TQFT $Z_{\mathrm{cs}}(M) \sim \sum_a c(a)\sqrt{T_M(a)}$ over irreducible flat connections [14].

On the other hand, generalising the classical topological signature σ, higher Novikov signatures are additive with respect to gluing [5] and may be conjectured to be characters of a log-TQFT on $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_n$ ranging (following a suggestion by Ryszard Nest) in Hoschchild homology $HH_k(\mathcal{A})$, the case $k = 0$ being the subject of this article.

1 Logarithmic representations of monoids

We begin with the notion of a logarithmic representation of a monoid $\mathcal{Z}$ into a ring $\mathsf{B} = (\mathsf{B}, \cdot, +)$. This is defined to be a homomorphism

$$\log : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}], \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}] = \{ \sum_{1 \le j \le n} [\beta_j, \beta'_j] \mid \beta_j, \beta'_j \in \mathsf{B}\} \tag{1.2}$$

is the subgroup of the abelian group $(\mathsf{B}, +)$ consisting of finite sums of commutators $[\beta_j, \beta'_j] := \beta_j \cdot \beta'_j - \beta'_j \cdot \beta_j$ and $\mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}] := (\mathsf{B}, +)/[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}]$ is the abelian quotient group. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathsf{B}$ we may use the notation

$$\mu \ \approx \ \nu \ \text{ if } \mu - \nu \in [\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}], \quad \text{ so } \mu = \nu \text{ in } \mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}]. \tag{1.3}$$

Thus, one has

$$\log(ba) = \log a + \log b \tag{1.4}$$

in $\mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}]$, where $ba = b \circ a$ is composition in $\mathcal{Z}$. A map $\ell og : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathsf{B}$ with

$$\ell og(ba) = \ell og(b) + \ell og(a) + \sum_j [c_j, c'_j]$$

for some $c_j, c_j' \in \mathsf{B}$, so $\ell og(ba) \;\approx\; \ell og(b) + \ell og(a)$ in B, defines a logarithm, and if the exact sequence $0 \to [\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] \to \mathsf{B} \to \mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] \to 0$ of abelian groups splits then the converse holds. Sums of logs are logs and so form an abelian group $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}(\mathcal{Z},\mathsf{B}) := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}])$.

A trace on B with values in a commutative unital ring $(R,\cdot,+)$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups $\tau : (\mathsf{B},+) \to (R,+)$ which vanishes on commutators $\tau([b,b']) = 0$, so $[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau)$. To give τ is equivalent to an abelian group homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\tau} : \mathsf{B}/[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] \to R.$$

Sums of traces are traces, forming an abelian group $\mathbb{T}\mathrm{race}(\mathsf{B},R)$. A log-character (or logarithmic determinant or trace-log) on $\mathcal{Z}$ is an evaluation of the canonical pairing

$$\mathbb{T}\mathrm{race}(\mathsf{B},R) \times \mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}(\mathcal{Z},\mathsf{B}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{Z},(R,+)), \qquad (\tau,\,\log\,) \mapsto \widetilde{\tau}\circ\log\,.$$

Such a character inherits the log-additivity property for $a, b \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$\widetilde{\tau}(\log ba) = \widetilde{\tau}(\log\, a) + \widetilde{\tau}(\log\, b) \quad \text{ in } R, \tag{1.5}$$

while composition with an exponential map $\varepsilon : R \to A^*$, $\varepsilon(x+y) = \varepsilon(x)\cdot\varepsilon(y)$, into the units of a commutative ring A associates a multiplicative determinant $a \mapsto \det a := e\circ\widetilde{\tau}\circ\log\,(a)$.

For example, let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathrm{Fred}$ be the monoid of Fredholm operators on a Hilbert space, and $\mathsf{B} = \mathcal{F}$ the ideal of finite-rank operators. The map

$$\log\, : \mathrm{Fred} \to \mathcal{F}/[\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}], \qquad \log a := \pi([a,p]), \tag{1.6}$$

where $p \in \mathrm{Fred}$ is any parametrix for a and $\pi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}/[\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}]$ the quotient map, is a logarithm, the abstract Fredholm index of a, whilst its numeric log-character with respect to the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}/[\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}] \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{C}, c \mapsto \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}\,(c)$, defined by the classical trace $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the usual integer valued Fredholm index

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}\,(\log a) = \mathrm{ind}\, a := \dim\ker(a) - \dim\mathrm{coker}(a)$$

and (1.5) is the classical additivity property of the index $\mathrm{ind}\, ba = \mathrm{ind}\, a + \mathrm{ind}\, b$. Likewise, on continuous families $\mathcal{Z} = \mathrm{Map}(M,\mathrm{Fred})$ of Fredholm operators, with continuous parametrix, parametrized by a manifold M, a log-character can be defined by sending $\mathsf{a} \in \mathrm{Map}(M,\mathrm{Fred})$ to its index bundle $\log \mathsf{a} := \mathrm{Ind}\,\mathsf{a} \in K_0(M)$. The top exterior power operation acts as an exponential map on the commutative ring $K_0(M)$ sending $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathsf{a}$ to the isomorphism class of the determinant line bundle $\mathrm{Det}\,\mathsf{a}$ in the group $A \cong H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ of complex line bundles over M, with the log-additivity property $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathsf{ba} = \mathrm{Ind}\,\mathsf{a} + \mathrm{Ind}\,\mathsf{b}$ in $K_0(M)$ exponentiating to the canonical multiplicativity property $\mathrm{Det}\,\mathsf{ba} = \mathrm{Det}\,\mathsf{a} \otimes \mathrm{Det}\,\mathsf{b}$ of the determinant line bundle in A. (These facts persist to the case of families of Fredholm operators between non-isomorphic bundles, but need to be stated in terms of log-functors on categories.)

Similarly, the odd Chern character admits a log-character description as the character of a logarithm $\log\, : \mathcal{Z} \to (\mathsf{B},+)/([\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] + d\mathsf{B})$ to a differential graded ring $\mathsf{B} = (\mathsf{B},d)$, where

$[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}] + d\mathsf{B}$ is the abelian subgroup of sums of graded commutators and exact elements db some $b \in B$. The classical Fredholm determinant (arising as the exponentiated character of a logarithmic representation of the universal cover of the general linear group) and the suspended eta invariant [7] are particular instances.

On general categories matters are complicated by the fact that the respective logarithms of a pair of composable morphisms will, in general, take values in different rings, and so log-additivity (1.4) only becomes meaningful within the higher structure (0.2), (0.3).

2 Logarithmic representations of categories

All categories will be assumed to be small. Denote the set of morphisms in a category $\mathbf{C}$ between objects $x, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ by $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x,y)$, or $\mathrm{mor}(x,y)$, and $\mathrm{end}(x) := \mathrm{mor}(x,x)$. $\mathbf{C}$ is monoidal if it has a bifunctor $\otimes : \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ which is associative with identity object $1 = 1_{\mathbf{C}}$ up to coherent isomorphism. Any two coherence isomorphisms between associativity bracketings of an n-fold product $x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ for $x_j \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ then coincide. To specify for each $\sigma \in S_n$ (symmetric group) a permutation isomorphism

$$\underbrace{x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n}_{:=x} \stackrel{s_\sigma(x)}{\longrightarrow} \underbrace{x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}}_{:=x_\sigma} \tag{2.1}$$

in $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x, x_\sigma)$ a braiding map $b_{w,y} : w \otimes y \to y \otimes w$ for each $w, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ is assumed with $b_{y,w} = b_{w,y}^{-1}$, giving $\mathbf{C}$ the structure of a symmetric monoidal category: $\otimes$ is then commutative up to coherent isomorphism and (2.1) is uniquely defined for each associativity bracketing of x and x_σ. A functor $\mathsf{F} : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{A}$ out of a monoidal category $\mathbf{C}$ will be said to be strict if $\mathsf{F}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)$ is independent of the choice of associativity bracketing of $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ and if F maps the coherence isomorphisms to identity morphisms in $\mathbf{A}$. (The assumption that F is strict can be readily dropped provided one keeps track of the isomorphisms $\mathsf{F}((x \otimes y) \otimes z) \to \mathsf{F}(x \otimes (y \otimes z))$, and so on; essential, for example, for a braided monoidal category).

Lemma 2.1 *For $x = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ one has a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mu_\sigma(x) := \mathsf{F}(s_\sigma(x)) : \mathsf{F}(x) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathsf{F}(x_\sigma), \tag{2.2}$$

independent of a choice of associativity bracketing of x or x_σ, and satisfying

$$\mu_{\sigma' \circ \sigma}(x) = \mu_{\sigma'}(x_\sigma) \circ \mu_\sigma(x). \tag{2.3}$$

The *product functors* of a monoidal category $\mathbf{C}$ are (iterations of) the functors $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ obtained by holding fixed one of the inputs of the bifunctor $\otimes$: for $y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ the right-product functor $\mathsf{m}_{\otimes y} : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ takes $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ to $x \otimes y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x,z)$ to $\alpha \otimes \iota \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x \otimes y, z \otimes y)$, with ι the identity morphism, the left-product functor $\mathsf{m}_{w\otimes}(x) = w \otimes x$ is defined symmetrically. The product functors are not monoidal.

The following construction allows the classical additivity of logarithms to be promoted to a categorical additivity on composed morphisms.

Definition 2.2 *Let $\boldsymbol{C} = (\boldsymbol{C}, \otimes)$ be a symmetric monoidal category and let $\boldsymbol{C}^* = (\boldsymbol{C}^*, \otimes)$ be a groupoid whose objects are those of $\boldsymbol{C}$ and whose morphisms are a specified closed subclass of the isomorphisms of $\boldsymbol{C}$ (containing the coherence and permutation isomorphisms* (2.1)*).*

A monoidal product representation of the reduced category $\boldsymbol{C}^*$ into an additive category $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a strict functor

$$F : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{M} \tag{2.4}$$

along with for each $y \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$ a natural transformation of functors

$$\eta_{\otimes y} : F \Rightarrow F_{\otimes y} \tag{2.5}$$

from $F : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{M}$ to $F_{\otimes y} := F \circ m_{\otimes y} : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{M}$ compatible with $\otimes$ and the braiding. (The functor F is not assumed to be monoidal and in general will not be.)

Lemma 2.3 *If $\boldsymbol{S}$ is a symmetric monoidal category, monoidal product representations pull-back with respect to symmetric monoidal functors $J : \boldsymbol{S}^* \to \boldsymbol{C}^*$.*

F is designed to represent the set of objects of $\mathbf{C}$ with its monoidal product, but not necessarily its morphisms. It is, however, sensitive to the permutation isomorphisms of Lemma 2.1, which intertwine with the covering maps $\eta_{\otimes y}$ as follows.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $y \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$. A monoidal product representation defines for each $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$ a morphism*

$$\eta_{\otimes y}(x) \in \mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{M}}(F(x), F(x \otimes y)) \tag{2.6}$$

covering $m_{\otimes y}$ such that for x, x_σ as in (2.1)

$$\eta_{\otimes y}(x_\sigma) \circ \mu_\sigma(x) = \mu_{\sigma\otimes 1}(x \otimes y) \circ \eta_{\otimes y}(x). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof: A natural transformation $\eta : \mathsf{G} \Rightarrow \mathsf{H}$ of functors $\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{H} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ defines for $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{A})$ a morphism $\eta(x) \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathsf{G}(x), \mathsf{H}(x))$ with $\eta(z) \circ \mathsf{G}(\alpha) = \mathsf{H}(\alpha) \circ \eta(x)$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(x, z)$. Applied to $\mathsf{G} := \mathsf{F}$ and $\mathsf{H} := \mathsf{F}_{\otimes y}$, (2.5) gives $\eta_{\otimes y}(x) := \eta(x)$ in (2.6). For (2.7), take $z = x_\sigma$ and $\alpha = s_\sigma(x) \in \mathrm{mor}(x, x_\sigma)$, so $\eta(z) \circ \mathsf{G}(\alpha) = \eta_{\otimes y}(x_\sigma) \circ \mathsf{F}(s_\sigma(x)) = \eta_{\otimes y}(x_\sigma) \circ \mu_\sigma(x)$ while $\mathsf{H}(\alpha) \circ \eta(x) = \mathsf{F}_{\otimes y}(s_\sigma(x)) \circ \eta_{\otimes y}(x)$ and

$$\mathsf{F}_{\otimes y}(s_\sigma(x)) = \mathsf{F}(\mathsf{m}_{\otimes y}(s_\sigma(x))) = \mathsf{F}(s_\sigma(x) \otimes \iota_y) = \mathsf{F}(s_{\sigma\otimes 1}(x \otimes y)) = \mu_{\sigma\otimes 1}(x \otimes y).$$

□

In particular, since F is strict there is for each $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ a canonical inclusion

$$\eta_x(1) : F(1) \hookrightarrow F(x). \tag{2.8}$$

Compatibility of the $\eta_{\otimes y}$ with $\otimes$ is the requirement $\eta_{\otimes(y\otimes z)} = \eta_{\otimes z} \circ \eta_{\otimes y}$, or, more fully,

$$\eta_{\otimes(y\otimes z)}(x) = \eta_{\otimes z}(x \otimes y) \circ \eta_{\otimes y}(x), \tag{2.9}$$

and compatibility with the braiding that

$$\eta_{\otimes(w\otimes z)}(x) = \mu_{1_x\otimes\sigma_{z,w}}(x \otimes z \otimes w)\eta_{\otimes(z\otimes w)}(x) \tag{2.10}$$

where $1_x \otimes \sigma_{z,w}$ is the permutation which fixes x and swaps w and z.

A monoidal product representation is *injective* if for each $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ the morphisms $\eta_{\otimes y}(x)$ are left-invertible : there is a

$$\delta_{\otimes y}(x) \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathsf{F}(x \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x)) \tag{2.11}$$

with $\delta_{\otimes y}(x) \circ \eta_{\otimes y}(x) = i$, the identity morphism, and satisfying $\delta_{\otimes z} \circ \delta_{\otimes y} = \delta_{\otimes(z\otimes y)}$.

Somewhat more generally, it is useful to combine the above maps to define *insertion morphisms* for $x = x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ and $0 \le k \le n+1$ and $w \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$

$$\eta^k_w = \eta^k_w(x) : \mathsf{F}(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \to \mathsf{F}(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k-1} \otimes w \otimes x_k \cdots \otimes x_n) \tag{2.12}$$

by

$$\eta^k_w(x) = \mu_{\sigma_{k,n+1}}(x \otimes w) \circ \eta_{\otimes w}(x), \tag{2.13}$$

where $\sigma_{k,n+1}$ is the permutation $(0,\ldots,n+1) \to (0,\ldots,k-1,n+1,k,\ldots,n)$. By *fiat*, $\eta_{\otimes y} := \eta^{n+1}_y(x)$ and $\eta_{y\otimes} := \eta^0_y(x)$. When it is clear what is meant, the superscript k and the domain specifier (x) may be omitted to write η_w.

For $\underline{w} = (w_1,\ldots,w_r) \in \mathrm{ob}(\Sigma(\mathbf{C}))$ the iterated insertion morphism

$$\eta_{\underline{w}} := \eta_{w_1}\eta_{w_2}\cdots\eta_{w_r} := \eta_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ \eta_{w_r} : \mathsf{F}(x) \to \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}}) \tag{2.14}$$

is unambiguously defined, independently of the ordering of the η_{w_j} (in the sense of Lemma 2.5); here, $x = x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ while $x_{\underline{w}}$ is the monoidal product of the x_i and w_l in a specified order. If the $\eta_{\otimes w}(x)$ are injective then so is (2.14): the *ejection morphism*

$$\delta^k_w = \delta^k_w(x) : \mathsf{F}(x_w) \to \mathsf{F}(x), \qquad \delta^k_w(x) = \delta_{\otimes w}(x) \circ \mu_{\sigma^{-1}_{k,n+1}}(x_w), \tag{2.15}$$

for $x_w = x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k-1} \otimes w \otimes x_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ and $0 \le k \le n$ and $w \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ defines a left-inverse for η^k_w. The commutation properties are:

Lemma 2.5

$$\eta^l_z \eta^k_w = \eta^k_w \, \eta^{l-1}_z, \quad k < l, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\delta^l_w \delta^k_z = \delta^{k-1}_z \delta^l_w, \quad k < l, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\delta^l_w \eta^k_z = \begin{cases} \eta^{k-1}_z \delta^l_w & \text{if } k < l, \\ \eta^k_z \delta^{l-1}_w & \text{if } k > l, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = l \text{ and } w = z. \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof: Here, $\eta^l_z \, \eta^k_w := \eta^l_z((x \otimes w)_{\sigma_{k,n+1}}) \circ \eta^k_w(x)$, where $x = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$, and so on. The case $\eta^{n+2}_z \, \eta^{n+1}_w = \eta^{n+1}_w \, \eta^{n+1}_z$ is

$$\eta_{\otimes z}(x \otimes w) \, \eta_{\otimes w}(x) = \mu_{1_x \otimes \sigma_{z,w}}(x \otimes z \otimes w) \, \eta_{\otimes w}(x \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}(x) \tag{2.19}$$

which is a restatement of the compatibility (2.9), (2.10). For the general case one has $\eta^l_z \, \eta^k_w := \mu_{\sigma_{l,m+2}}((x \otimes w)_{\sigma_{k,m+1}} \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}((x \otimes w)_{\sigma_{k,m+1}}) \, \mu_{\sigma_{k,m+1}}(x \otimes w) \, \eta_{\otimes w}(x)$, by (2.13). From (2.7), $\eta_{\otimes z}(x \otimes w) \, \mu_{\sigma_{k,m+1}}(x$ $\mu_{\sigma_{k,m+1} \otimes 1_z}(x \otimes w \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}(x \otimes w)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^l_z \, \eta^k_w \quad &= \quad \mu_{\sigma_{l,m+2}}((x \otimes w)_{\sigma_{k,m+1}} \otimes z) \, \mu_{\sigma_{k,m+1} \otimes 1_z}(x \otimes w \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}(x \otimes w) \, \eta_{\otimes w}(x) \\ &\overset{(2.19)}{=} \quad \mu_{\sigma_{l,m+2}}((x \otimes w)_{\sigma_{k,m+1}} \otimes z) \, \mu_{\sigma_{k,m+1} \otimes 1_z}(x \otimes w \otimes z) \mu_{1_x \otimes \sigma_{z,w}}(x \otimes z \otimes w) \\ &\qquad \circ \eta_{\otimes w}(x \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}(x) \\ &\overset{(2.3)}{=} \quad \mu_{\sigma_{l,m+2} \circ (\sigma_{k,m+1} \otimes 1_z) \circ (1_x \otimes \sigma_{z,w})}(x \otimes z \otimes w) \, \eta_{\otimes w}(x \otimes z) \, \eta_{\otimes z}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The elementary equality $\sigma_{l,m+2} \circ (\sigma_{k,m+1} \otimes 1_{m+2}) \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_{m+1,m+2}) = \sigma_{k,m+2} \circ (\sigma_{l-1,m+1} \otimes 1_{m+2})$ of permutations then yields (2.16). The other identities follow similarly.

□

The identities of Lemma 2.5 define a (parametrised weakly) simplicial set with p-simplices

$$\Delta_p = \{(\xi, x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \mid \xi \in F(x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p-1}), x_j \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})\} \ \subset \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{M}) \times \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C}^p)$$

with face maps $d_k : \Delta_p \to \Delta_{p-1}$, $(\xi, x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \mapsto (\delta^k_{x_k}(\xi), x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{p-1})$, and, for each $z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$, degeneracy maps

$$s_k(z) : \Delta_p \to \Delta_{p+1}, \ (\xi, x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \mapsto (\eta^k_z(\xi), x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, z, x_k, \ldots, x_{p-1}).$$

It is 'weakly' so insofar as the standard simplicial relation '$d_{j+1} s_j(z) = 1$' need not hold.

The morphisms $\delta^k_{\underline{w}}$ are not needed for the development of logarithms, but, when present, they enable more precision in the statement of some logarithm properties.

Example: The fundamental groupoid $\Pi_{\leq 1}(X)$ of a smooth manifold X is the category whose objects are the points x of X and morphisms are homotopy classes of smooth paths with collared ends, with monoidal product $\otimes := \sqcup$ disjoint union. A k-vector bundle $E \to X$ with

flat connection ∇ defines $\mathsf{F}_\nabla : \Pi_{\leq 1}(X) \to \mathbf{Alg}_k$ to the category of finite-dimensional k-algebras by assigning to $\underline{x} = x_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup x_n$ the algebra $\mathsf{F}_\nabla(\underline{x}) = \mathrm{End}_k(E_{x_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}_k(E_{x_n})$ with E_x the fibre of E over $x \in X$ and to $\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathrm{mor}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ the canonical isomorphism $\mathsf{F}_\nabla(\underline{x}) \cong \mathsf{F}_\nabla(\underline{y})$ induced by the (invertible) parallel transports $ß_\nabla(\gamma_i) \in \mathrm{Hom}(E_{x_i}, E_{y_i})$. Here, (2.1) is a permutation of the order of the disjoint union $x_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup x_n$ and (2.2) the corresponding permutation of the matrices $ß_\nabla(\gamma_i)$, while 1 is the empty set and $\mathsf{F}_\nabla(1) = \{0\}$ the zero algebra and (2.8) the trivial inclusion. The η_y on $\mathsf{F}_\nabla(\underline{x})$ are the canonical linear inclusions; in particular, $\eta_{\otimes y}$ is the map $T \mapsto T \oplus 0$, while $\delta_{\otimes y}$ is the corresponding projection map.

2.1 Tracial monoidal product representations

On a category of rings $\mathbf{R}$ one has the quotient functor $\Pi : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}/[\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}] \subset \mathbf{Abelian}$, to the category of abelian groups, already used for logarithms on monoids in §1, mapping $(R, \cdot, +) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto (R, +)/[R, R]$.

Definition 2.6 *A monoidal product representation F of a symmetric monoidal category $\boldsymbol{C}$ is said to be pretracial with respect to a background additive category $\boldsymbol{A}$ if the functor F ranges in the category of rings*

$$\mathsf{F} : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{Ring}$$

such that for each $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$

$$F(x) = \mathrm{end}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(\xi_x)$$

for some unique $\xi_x \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{A})$, and if the insertion morphisms (degeneracy maps) $\eta_{\otimes y}(x)$ of (2.6) are ring homomorphisms and the $\mu_\sigma(x)$ of (2.2) with $x = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ are ring isomorphisms. We may indicate this by $\mathsf{F} : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$.

F is said to be injective if the abelian group homomorphisms $\delta_{\otimes y}(x)$ of (2.11) preserve commutators: $\delta_{\otimes y}(x)([\mathsf{F}(x \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes y)]) \subset [\mathsf{F}(x), \mathsf{F}(x)]$.

Here, the ring product in $\mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{A}}(\xi_x)$ is defined by composition of morphisms and the abelian group product by the additive structure on $\mathbf{A}$.

Lemma 2.7 *Let F be pretracial and let $\mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*)$ be the subcategory of $\boldsymbol{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$ with objects $\mathsf{F}(x)$ for $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$. By composing with the quotient functor, F pushes-down to an induced monoidal product representation*

$$\mathsf{F}_\Pi : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*)/[\mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*), \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*)], \quad x \mapsto \mathsf{F}(x)/[\mathsf{F}(x), \mathsf{F}(x)]. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof: Since F is pretracial $\eta_{\underline{w}} : \mathsf{F}(x) \to \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}})$ is a ring homomorphism, taking commutators to commutators. As such, it pushes-down to a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}} : \mathsf{F}(x)/[\mathsf{F}(x), \mathsf{F}(x)] \to \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}})/[\mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}}), \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}})], \quad \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}}([\xi]) := \pi_x \circ \eta_{\underline{w}}(\xi), \tag{2.22}$$

with $\pi_x : \mathsf{F}(x) \to \mathsf{F}(x)/[\mathsf{F}(x), \mathsf{F}(x)]$ the quotient map, defining the insertion maps of a monoidal product representation. Since (2.16) persists to the quotient,

$$(\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)/[\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*), \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)], \widetilde{\eta}_z^j)$$

inherits the structure of a presimplicial set, while if F is injective then it inherits the structure of a simplicial set from $\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)$. □

A monoidal category $\mathbf{E}$ has a trace τ if there exist objects $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{E})$ with a non-empty closed subclass $\mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(x)$ of endomorphisms and a map

$$\tau_x : \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(x) \to \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}(1)$$

with the trace property that for $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, y)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{E}}(y, x)$ with $\beta \circ \alpha \in \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(x)$ and $\alpha \circ \beta \in \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(y)$ one has $\tau_x(b \circ \alpha) = \tau_y(a \circ \beta) \in \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}(1)$. An element $\delta \in \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(x)$ is called τ-trace class and τ a categorical trace. For example, in $\mathbf{Bord}_n$ all bordisms are trace class for the trace sending $W \in \mathrm{end}(M)$ to the closed manifold formed by gluing the two boundary portions $\overline{M}$ and M of W via the diffeomorphism $\partial W \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \overline{M} \sqcup M$, see [8], [12]. On the other hand, for the classical trace Tr on the category of Hilbert spaces only preferred sub ideals of bounded operators are trace class. Nevertheless, the τ superscript in $\mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}^{\tau}(x)$ will be omitted with the understanding that, where necessary, statements are meant for trace class morphisms.

Definition 2.8 *A pre-tracial monoidal product representation $F : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \boldsymbol{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$ is said to be a tracial monoidal product representation of $\boldsymbol{C}$ if $\boldsymbol{A}$ has an F-compatible trace τ. F-compatible means that τ assigns to each $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$ a trace $\tau_x : F(x) = \mathrm{end}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(\xi_x) \to \mathrm{end}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(1_{\boldsymbol{A}})$ satisfying the compatibility requirement that for all $x, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$*

$$\tau_{x \otimes y} \circ \eta_{\otimes y}(x) = \tau_x \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_{x_\sigma} \circ \mu_\sigma(x) = \tau_x. \tag{2.23}$$

Characters in a tracial monoidal product representation can be computed 'anywhere':

Lemma 2.9 *For a tracial monoidal product representation one has*

$$\tau_x = \tau_{x_w} \circ \eta_{\underline{w}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof: Replacing $\tau_{x \otimes z}$ by $\tau_{x \otimes w \otimes z} \circ \eta_w$ defines another trace on on $\mathsf{F}(x \otimes z)$, but

$$\tau_{x \otimes w \otimes z} \circ \eta_w \stackrel{(2.10)}{=} \tau_{x \otimes w \otimes z} \circ \mu_\sigma(x \otimes z \otimes w) \circ \eta_{\otimes w}(x \otimes z) \stackrel{(2.23)}{=} \tau_{x \otimes z \otimes w} \circ \eta_{\otimes w}(x \otimes z) \stackrel{(2.23)}{=} \tau_{x \otimes z}.$$

Then (2.24) follows by iteration. □

Each of the above structures pushes-down to the quotient monoidal product representation F_Π (noted in (2.22) for the insertion maps) while for the trace τ one has for each object $x \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$

a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{F}(x) & \stackrel{\tau_x}{\to} & \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{E}}(1) \\ \downarrow \pi_x & \overset{\tilde\tau_x}{\nearrow} & \\ \frac{\mathsf{F}(x)}{[\mathsf{F}(x),\mathsf{F}(x)]} & & \end{array} \quad .$$

From this view point, π_x is a 'universal trace' on $\mathsf{F}(x)$ insofar as any trace factors uniquely through it: one has $\tau_x = \widetilde\tau_x \circ \pi_x$ and $\widetilde\tau_x = \widetilde\tau_{x_{\underline w}} \circ \widetilde\eta_{\underline w}$, with the second identity consequent on (2.24). Matters may be summarised as the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathsf{F}(x) & & \stackrel{\eta_{\underline w}}{\Longrightarrow} & & \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline w}) \\ & \overset{\tau_x}{\searrow} & & \overset{\tau_{x_{\underline w}}}{\swarrow} & \\ \downarrow \pi_x & & \mathbb{C} & & \downarrow \pi_{x_{\underline w}} \\ & \overset{\tilde\tau_x}{\nearrow} & & \overset{\tilde\tau_{x_{\underline w}}}{\nwarrow} & \\ \frac{\mathsf{F}(x)}{[\mathsf{F}(x),\mathsf{F}(x)]} & & \stackrel{\tilde\eta_{\underline w}}{\Longrightarrow} & & \frac{\mathsf{F}(x_{\underline w})}{[\mathsf{F}(x_{\underline w}),\mathsf{F}(x_{\underline w})]} \end{array} . \tag{2.25}$$

In particular, (repeating (2.22)) $\pi_{x_{\underline w}} \circ \eta_{\underline w} = \widetilde\eta_{x_{\underline w}} \circ \pi_x$.

2.2 Logarithmic functors

The nerve $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{C}$ of a category $\mathbf{C}$ is the simplicial set whose p-simplices are diagrams

$$x_0 \stackrel{\alpha_0}{\to} x_1 \stackrel{\alpha_1}{\to} x_2 \to \cdots \to x_{p-1} \stackrel{\alpha_{p-1}}{\to} x_p \qquad \in \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C} \tag{2.26}$$

of morphisms $\alpha_j \in \mathrm{mor}(x_j, x_{j+1})$. The j^{th} face map $d_j : \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{N}_{p-1}\mathbf{C}$ of the simplex deletes x_j, replacing when $0 < j < p$

$$\cdots \to x_{j-1} \stackrel{\alpha_{j-1}}{\to} x_j \stackrel{\alpha_j}{\to} x_{j+1} \to \cdots \quad \text{ by } \quad \cdots \to x_{j-1} \stackrel{\alpha_j \circ \alpha_{j-1}}{\longrightarrow} x_{j+1} \to \cdots$$

and the j^{th} degeneracy map $s_j : \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{N}_{p+1}\mathbf{C}$ replaces

$$\cdots \to x_j \stackrel{\alpha_j}{\to} x_{j+1} \to \cdots \quad \text{ by } \quad \cdots \to x_j \stackrel{\iota}{\to} x_j \stackrel{\alpha_j}{\to} x_{j+1} \to \cdots . \tag{2.27}$$

$\mathcal{N}\mathbf{C}$ carries more data than $\mathbf{C}$ — the objects and morphisms of $\mathbf{C}$ are respectively identified with $\mathcal{N}_0\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{N}_1\mathbf{C}$, while there is no right inverse to the composition face map $d_1 : \mathrm{mor}_{x_1}(x_0, x_2) \to \mathrm{mor}(x_0, x_2)$. The classifying space $B\mathbf{C}$ of $\mathbf{C}$ is the geometric realisation of $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{C}$.

Logarithms on a category $\mathbf{C}$ have to be differentiated between according to the substrata of marked morphisms in $\mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C}$ on which they act. To this end, one has the stratum of $\underline{z} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$-marked p-simplices (2.26) between $x, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$

$$\mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,y) = \{x \overset{\alpha_0}{\to} x_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} x_2 \to \cdots \to x_{p-1} \overset{\alpha_{p-1}}{\to} y\} \ \subset \ \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C}$$

$$:\cong \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x,x_1) \times \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x_1,x_2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x_{p-1},y).$$

If $\mathrm{mor}(x_j, x_{j+1}) = \emptyset$ some j then $\mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,y) := \emptyset$, while $\mathrm{mor}_{\emptyset}(x,y) := \mathrm{mor}(x,y)$. One has the composition

$$\mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,w) \times \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}'}(w,y) \overset{\circ}{\to} \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}\bullet w \bullet \underline{z}'}(x,y),$$

relative to concatenation $\bullet$, so $(x,z) \bullet y = (x,z,y)$ and so on, as a partially defined composition

$$\mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C} \times \mathcal{N}_q\mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{N}_{p+q-1}\mathbf{C}$$

on compatible strata, while the face and degeneracy maps respectively restrict to simplicial maps

$$d_j : \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,y) \to \mathrm{mor}_{\delta_j(\underline{z})}(x,y), \qquad s_j : \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,y) \to \mathrm{mor}_{\sigma_j(\underline{z})}(x,y)$$

with $\delta_j : \mathbf{C}^p \to \mathbf{C}^{p-1}$ and $\sigma_j : \mathbf{C}^p \to \mathbf{C}^{p+1}$ defined in the evident way.

Recall that a simplicial map $f : X \to X'$ between simplicial sets $(X, d_j, s_j), (X', d'_j, s'_j)$ is given by maps $f_p : \Delta_p \to \Delta'_p$ between p-simplices which commute with the face and degeneracy maps, so that $f_{p-1}d_j = d'_j f_p$ and $f_p s_j = s'_j f_{p-1}$. Both these are implied by (but do not imply)

$$s'_j f_{p-1} d_j = f_p. \tag{2.28}$$

(2.28) is advantageous, here, insofar as it does not involve the boundary operators d'_j on X'. In the case where the range is only a presimplicial set (X', s'_j), so that $s'_l s'_k = s'_k s'_{l-1}$ for $k < l$, a map $f : (X, d_j, s_j) \to (X', s'_j)$ may be said to be *presimplicial* if (2.28) holds. (This applies equally when the domain is also only presimplicial (X, d_j).)

Definition 2.10 *Let $\boldsymbol{C} = (\boldsymbol{C}, \otimes)$ be a symmetric monoidal category and let*

$$\boldsymbol{F} : \boldsymbol{C}^* \to \mathbf{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$$

be a (strict) pretracial monoidal product representation. Then a log-functor (or logarithmic-functor) on $\boldsymbol{C}$ taking values in $\boldsymbol{F}$ is a presimplicial log-additive map

$$\log : (\mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{C}, d_j, s_j) \to (\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*)/[\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*), \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{C}^*)], \widetilde{\eta}^j). \tag{2.29}$$

Such a structure is said to define a logarithmic representation of $\boldsymbol{C}$.

Unwrapping the definition, a log-functor comprises the following:

1. A (strict) pre-tracial monoidal product representation (on the set $\mathcal{N}_0\mathbf{C}$ of 0-simplices): $\mathsf{F} : \mathbf{C}^* \to \mathbf{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$, and hence a quotient monoidal product representation

$$\mathbf{C}^* \to \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)/[\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*), \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)], \quad z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C}) \mapsto \mathsf{F}(z)/[\mathsf{F}(z), \mathsf{F}(z)],$$

with insertion maps

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}} : \mathsf{F}(z)/[\mathsf{F}(z), \mathsf{F}(z)] \to \mathsf{F}(z_{\underline{w}})/[\mathsf{F}(z_{\underline{w}}), \mathsf{F}(z_{\underline{w}})].$$

2. A simplicial system of (strict) logarithm maps (on the set $\mathcal{N}_1\mathbf{C}$ of 1-simplices) assigning to $x, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$, with x, y not both the monoidal identity $1 \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$, a map

$$\log_{x\otimes y} : \mathrm{mor}(x, y) \to \mathsf{F}(x \otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes y)], \tag{2.30}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \log_{x\otimes y}\alpha = \log (x \overset{\alpha}{\to} y)$$

and, more generally, (on the set $\mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C}$ of p-simplices) to each marking $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_{p-1})$ a map

$$\log_{x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y} : \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x, y) \to \mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y)] \tag{2.31}$$

where $x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y := x \otimes z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{p-1} \otimes y \neq 1$,

$$\underline{\alpha} \mapsto \log_{x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y} \underline{\alpha} := \log_{x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha_0}{\to} z_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} z_2 \to \cdots \to z_{p-1} \overset{\alpha_{p-1}}{\to} y),$$

such that for $x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y \in \mathrm{mor}_z(x, y)$ associated to $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}(x, z)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{mor}(z, y)$ one has in

$$\mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y)] \tag{2.32}$$

the ($p = 2$) log-additive property

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) := \widetilde{\eta}_{\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes z} \alpha) + \widetilde{\eta}_{x\otimes}(\log_{z\otimes y} \beta), \tag{2.33}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\widetilde{\eta}_z(\log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y)) = \widetilde{\eta}_{\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes z} \alpha) + \widetilde{\eta}_{x\otimes}(\log_{z\otimes y} \beta). \tag{2.34}$$

Notation: For brevity, in the left-hand side of (2.33) and (2.34) we write

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y} \beta\alpha := \log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y), \quad \log_{x\otimes y} \beta\alpha := \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y).$$

In practise, (2.33) is generally obtained consequent on an equivalence

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y} \beta\alpha = \eta_{\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes z} \alpha) + \eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{z\otimes y} \beta) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq m} [\nu_j, \nu'_j]$$

some $\nu_j, \nu'_j \in \mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y)$ and, likewise for (2.34). In this case, the presimpliciality of the log maps (2.30), (2.31) is for $p = 2$

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) - \eta_z \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y) \ \in \ [\mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes z \otimes y)] \tag{2.35}$$

$$\log_{x\otimes x\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} x \overset{\beta}{\to} y) - \eta_{x\otimes} \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y) \ \in \ [\mathsf{F}(x \otimes x \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes x \otimes y)] \tag{2.36}$$

$$\log_{x\otimes x\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} y \overset{\beta}{\to} y) - \eta_{\otimes y} \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y) \ \in \ [\mathsf{F}(x \otimes y \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes y \otimes y)] \tag{2.37}$$

and, more generally, with $\underline{z} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$, $\nu \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x, y)$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, that

$$\log_{\underline{z}} \nu - \eta_{x_j}(\log_{\delta_j(\underline{z})} d_j(\nu)) \ \in \ [\mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y)] \tag{2.38}$$

plus the corresponding two end-point special cases ($x_0 = x, x_p = y$) generalising (2.36) and (2.37). These are the identities (2.28) for the presimplicial structures at hand.

Remark 2.11 [1] A log-functor is not in general a functor of categories, but is a functor of ∞-categories.

[2] Taking the geometric realization of (both sides of) (2.29) gives a 'logarithm' representation $|\log| : B\mathbf{C} \to |(\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)/[\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*), \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{C}^*)]|$ of the (pre-) classifying space $B\mathbf{C}$ of the category $\mathbf{C}$.

The intertwining of the logarithm and the simplicial structures is clear when written as:

Lemma 2.12 *The log-additivity property* (2.34) *can be written*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_1 \log_{\delta_1(\underline{x})} \left(d_1(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) \right) = \widetilde{\eta}_0 \log_{\delta_0(\underline{x})} \left(d_0(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) \right) + \widetilde{\eta}_2 \log_{\delta_2(\underline{x})} \left(d_2(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) \right).$$

where $\underline{x} = x \otimes y \otimes z$, $\eta_0 := \eta_{x\otimes}, \eta_1 := \eta_z, \eta_2 := \eta_{\otimes y}$, $x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y \in \mathrm{mor}_z(x, y) \in \mathcal{N}_2 \boldsymbol{C}$.

Here, the end-point face maps $d_0, d_p : \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{N}_{p-1}\mathbf{C}$ are defined by deleting the 0^{th} or p^{th} morphism from a simplex; and the reason that (2.36), (2.37) are stated separately.

We note that a log-functor is effectively determined by its action on 1-simplices:

Lemma 2.13 *A simplicial system of logarithm maps* $\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y}$ *is determined up to terms in* $[\mathsf{F}, \mathsf{F}]$ *by the log maps* $\log_{x\otimes y}$ *on* $\mathrm{mor}(x, y)$ *for each* $x, y \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{C})$. *To define a compatible system of logarithm maps* $\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y}$ *it is enough to define the* $\log_{x\otimes y}$ *on* $\mathrm{mor}(x, y)$ *satisfying* (2.34).

Proof: Compatibility (2.35) gives $\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y} \delta = \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{z}}(\log_{x\otimes y} \delta)$ in $\mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x \otimes \underline{z} \otimes y)]$ which is the first statement of the lemma. Given $\log_{x\otimes y}$, the second statement is that $\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y} \delta := \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{z}}(\log_{x\otimes y} \delta)$, defines by default a compatible system of logs (2.31).

□

Two p simplices which collapse to the same $(p-r)$ simplex have the same logarithm, and, likewise, inflating simplices does not change logarithms:

Lemma 2.14 *If* $d_1(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) = d_1(x \overset{\alpha'}{\to} z \overset{\beta'}{\to} y)$ *(that is,* $\beta\alpha = \beta'\alpha'$*) in* $\mathrm{mor}(x,y)$ *then*

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y} \beta\alpha = \log_{x\otimes z\otimes y} \beta'\alpha' \tag{2.39}$$

in $\mathsf{F}(x\otimes z\otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x\otimes z\otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x\otimes z\otimes y)]$*. More generally, if for* $\underline{z} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ *and* $\nu, \nu' \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x,y)$ *and* $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$ *one has* $d_j(\nu) = d_j(\nu')$*, then*

$$\log_{\underline{z}} \nu = \log_{\underline{z}} \nu' \tag{2.40}$$

in $\mathsf{F}(x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x\otimes \underline{z}\otimes y)]$*. Iteratively, if* $d_k(d_j(\nu)) = d_k(d_j(\nu'))$ *then* (2.40) *continues to hold since*

$$\log_{\underline{z}} \nu = \widetilde{\eta}_{x_j}\widetilde{\eta}_{x_k} \log_{\delta_k(\delta_j(\underline{z}))} d_k(d_j(\nu)). \tag{2.41}$$

For $j < k$

$$\eta_{x_j}\eta_{x_k} \log_{\delta_k(\delta_j(\underline{z}))} d_k(d_j(\nu)) = \widetilde{\eta}_{x_{k+1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{x_j} \log_{\delta_j(\delta_{k-1}(\underline{z}))} d_j(d_{k-1}(\nu)). \tag{2.42}$$

Dually, for the degeneracy maps (2.28) *one has*

$$\log_{\sigma_j(\underline{z})} s_j(\nu) = \widetilde{\eta}^j_{x_j} \log_{\underline{z}} \nu \tag{2.43}$$

$$\log_{\sigma_k(\sigma_j(\underline{z}))} s_k(s_j(\nu)) = \widetilde{\eta}^k_{x_k}\eta^j_{x_j} \log_{\underline{z}} \nu \tag{2.44}$$

and a corresponding commutation formula to (2.42)*. For each of the above, the two end-point special cases corresponding to* (2.36) *and* (2.37) *also hold.*

Proof: By (2.35)

$$\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y) = \widetilde{\eta}_z \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\alpha}{\to} y) = \widetilde{\eta}_z \log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta'\alpha'}{\to} y) = \log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha'}{\to} z \overset{\beta'}{\to} y),$$

and in general $\log_{\underline{z}} \nu = \widetilde{\eta}_{x_j}(\log_{\delta_j(\underline{z})} d_j(\nu)) = \widetilde{\eta}_{x_j}(\log_{\delta_j(\underline{z})} d_j(\nu')) = \log_{\underline{z}} \nu$ by (2.38). The general version follows by iterating these equalities given that (2.41) holds, and that holds because the η_{x_l} are ring homomorphisms. (2.42) and its s_j counterpart are immediate from (2.5) and the simplicial identities $d^j d^k = d^k d^{j-1}$ and $s^j s^k = s^k s^{j+1}$ for $k < j$. The inflation formulae (2.43), (2.44) follow from (2.38) (resp. (2.42)) by replacing ν by $s_j(\nu)$ (resp. $s_k(s_j(\nu))$). The two end-point special cases of (2.40) hold from (2.36) and (2.37) by the same argument as the case $1 \le j \le p-1$, while for (2.43) this is shown in Proposition 2.15 (2.).

□

Log-functors transform naturally: if $\mathsf{J} : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, then, since $\mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{N}\mathbf{C}$ is functorial, a logarithmic representation of $\mathbf{C}$ pulls-back to one of $\mathbf{S}$. Further basic properties of log-functors are listed in the following lemma:

Proposition 2.15 *1. Let $p \in \mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{C}}(x,x)$ be a projection morphism: $p \circ p = p$. Then in $\boldsymbol{F}(x \otimes x \otimes x)$*

$$\eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{\,x\otimes x}\, p) \;\approx\; 0. \tag{2.45}$$

In particular, $\eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{\,x\otimes x}\, \iota) \;\approx\; 0$, where ι is the identity morphism. If $\boldsymbol{F}$ is injective, in the sense of Definition 2.8, then in $\boldsymbol{F}(x \otimes x)$

$$\log_{\,x\otimes x}\, p \;\approx\; 0. \tag{2.46}$$

2. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}(x,y)$ and identity morphisms $\iota_x \in \mathrm{mor}(x,x)$, $\iota_y \in \mathrm{mor}(y,y)$

$$\log_{\,x\otimes y\otimes y}(\iota_y \circ \alpha) \;\approx\; \eta_{\otimes y}(\log_{\,x\otimes y}\alpha) \qquad \textit{in } \boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes y\otimes y), \tag{2.47}$$

$$\log_{\,x\otimes x\otimes y}(\alpha \circ \iota_x) \;\approx\; \eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{\,x\otimes y}\alpha) \qquad \textit{in } \boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes x\otimes y). \tag{2.48}$$

Notation: $\log_{\,x\otimes y\otimes y}(\iota_y \circ \alpha) := \log_{\,x\otimes y\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} y \overset{\iota_y}{\to} y)$.

3. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{mor}(x,x)$ one has in $\boldsymbol{F}(x \otimes x \otimes x)$

$$\eta_{\otimes x}\log_{\,x\otimes x}\beta\alpha \;\approx\; \eta_{\otimes x}\log_{\,x\otimes x}\alpha + \eta_{\otimes x}\log_{\,x\otimes x}\beta. \tag{2.49}$$

4. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}(x,x)$ and an isomorphism $q \in \mathrm{mor}(w,x)$ one has in $\boldsymbol{F}(w\otimes x\otimes x\otimes w)$

$$\log_{\,w\otimes x\otimes x\otimes w}(q^{-1}\alpha q) \;\approx\; \eta_{w\otimes}\eta_{\otimes w}(\log_{\,x\otimes x}\alpha). \tag{2.50}$$

In the case $x = w$, considering $q^{-1}\alpha q \in \mathrm{mor}(x,x)$, if $\boldsymbol{F}$ is injective then

$$\log_{\,x\otimes x}(q^{-1}\alpha q) \;\approx\; \log_{\,x\otimes x}\alpha \tag{2.51}$$

in $\boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes x)$. In either case, for a log-determinant structure one has in $\mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(1,1)$

$$\tau(\log\, q^{-1}\alpha q) = \tau(\log\,\alpha) \tag{2.52}$$

for any choice of representatives $\log_{\,x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes x}\, q^{-1}\alpha q$ and $\log_{\,x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes x}\alpha$ of the logarithms.

5. Let $\underline{w}, \underline{w}' \in \mathrm{ob}(\Sigma(\boldsymbol{C}))$ and let $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{w}}(x,z) \subset \mathcal{N}_p\boldsymbol{C}, \beta \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{w}'}(z,y) \subset \mathcal{N}_q\boldsymbol{C}$. Then for a logarithmic representation one has in $\boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes z\otimes\underline{w}'\otimes y)$

$$\log_{\,x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes z\otimes\underline{w}'\otimes y}(\beta\alpha) \;\approx\; \eta_{\underline{w}'\bullet y}(\log_{\,x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes z}\alpha) + \eta_{x\bullet\underline{w}}(\log_{\,z\otimes\underline{w}'\otimes y}\beta). \tag{2.53}$$

6. Let $\underline{w} = (w_1,\dots,w_m) \in \mathrm{ob}(\Sigma(\boldsymbol{C}))$ and let $\alpha = \alpha_{m+1}\alpha_m\cdots\alpha_1 \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{w}}(x,y)$ with $\alpha_j : w_{j-1} \to w_j$ and $w_0 := x$, $w_{m+1} := y$. Then

$$\eta_{\underline{w}}\log_{\,x\otimes y}(\alpha_{m+1}\alpha_m\cdots\alpha_1) = \log_{\,x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes y}(\alpha_{m+1}\alpha_m\cdots\alpha_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\eta_{j-1,j}\left(\log_{\,w_{j-1}\otimes w_j}\alpha_j\right)$$

in $\boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes\underline{w}\otimes y)$ with $\eta_{j-1,j} := \eta_{w_0}\circ\cdots\circ\eta_{w_{j-2}}\circ\eta_{w_{j+1}}\circ\cdots\circ\eta_{w_m}$. In the case $w_0 = w_1 = \cdots = w_{m+1} = x$ and $\boldsymbol{F}$ is injective, this reduces in $\boldsymbol{F}(x\otimes x)$ to

$$\log_{\,x\otimes x}(\alpha_{m+1}\alpha_m\cdots\alpha_1) \;\approx\; \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\log_{\,x\otimes x}\alpha_j. \tag{2.54}$$

Proof: For 1. one has

$$\begin{aligned}\log_{x\otimes x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x \overset{p}{\to} x) &= \eta_{x\otimes}\log_{x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x) + \eta_{\otimes x}\log_{x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x)\\ &\overset{p\circ p=p}{=} \eta_{x\otimes}\log_{x\otimes x}(x \overset{p\circ p}{\to} x) + \eta_{\otimes x}\log_{x\otimes x}(x \overset{p\circ p}{\to} x)\\ &\overset{(2.36),(2.37)}{\approx} \log_{x\otimes x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x \overset{p}{\to} x) + \log_{x\otimes x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x \overset{p}{\to} x).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $0 \approx \log_{x\otimes x\otimes x}(x \overset{p}{\to} x \overset{p}{\to} x) \overset{(2.36)}{\approx} \eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{x\otimes x} p\circ p) = \eta_{x\otimes}(\log_{x\otimes x} p)$. The other statements follow similarly.

□

Comments: If the pretracial monoidal product representation $\mathsf{F} : \mathbf{C}^* \to \mathbf{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$ is endowed with a trace τ then the τ-character of the log-functor defines a *log-determinant functor representation* of $\mathbf{C}$, mapping each $w \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{A}}(1)$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x, y)$ to the character

$$\widetilde{\tau}(\log\,\alpha) := \widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y}\alpha) \in \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{A}}(1),$$

of $\log_{x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y}\alpha \in \mathsf{F}(x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y)/[\mathsf{F}(x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y), \mathsf{F}(x\otimes\underline{z}\otimes y)]$. The character of $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_z(x, y) \in \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{C}$ is invariantly defined: in $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(1, 1)$

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes z\otimes y}\left(\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}\alpha\right) = \widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes y}\left(\log_{x\otimes y}\alpha\right). \tag{2.55}$$

Likewise, for $\delta \in \mathrm{mor}(x, y)$ $\widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes z\otimes y}\left(\eta_z(\log_{x\otimes y}\delta)\right) = \widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes y}\left(\log_{x\otimes y}\delta\right)$, and more generally with $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_r)$, $x = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ one has

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{x_{\underline{z}}}\left(\log_{x_{\underline{z}}}\nu\right) = \widetilde{\tau}_x\left(\log_x\nu\right). \tag{2.56}$$

Indeed, for $w \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ one has $\log_{x_{\underline{w}}}(\nu) - \eta_{\underline{w}}(\log_{x_1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n}\nu) \in [\mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}}), \mathsf{F}(x_{\underline{w}})]$ by (2.41) whilst $[\mathsf{F}(w), \mathsf{F}(w)] \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\tau_w)$. Hence (2.24) yields the conclusion.

Here, (2.55) is shorthand for $\widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes z\otimes y}\left(\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(x \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} y)\right) = \widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes y}\left(\log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y)\right)$, or $\widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes z\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes z\otimes y}\beta\alpha) = \widetilde{\tau}_{x\otimes y}(\log_{x\otimes y} d_1(\beta\alpha))$. By the above, *the* logarithmic character (2.2), of a morphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(x, y)$ is independent of where it is computed.

For $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}(x, z)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{mor}(z, y)$ one has $\widetilde{\tau}\,(\log\,\beta\alpha) = \widetilde{\tau}\,(\log\,\alpha) + \widetilde{\tau}\,(\log\,\beta)$ in $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{A}}(1, 1)$.

The space $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}(\mathbf{C}, \mathsf{F})$ of logarithms on $\mathbf{C}$ with respect to a fixed monoidal product representation F is an abelian group $\log_1, \log_2 \in \mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}(\mathbf{C}, \mathsf{F}) \Rightarrow \log_1 + \log_2 \in \mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}(\mathbf{C}, \mathsf{F})$ with respect to the additive structure of the category $\mathbf{A}$, as is the space $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{og}^{\chi}(\mathbf{C})$ of logarithmic characters $\tau(\log\alpha)$ independently of a particular F

If $\mathbf{C}$ is an additive category then $\tau \circ \log$ is a log-representation from the maximal sub groupoid of $\mathbf{C}$, whose morphisms are the isomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}$, to the isomorphism torsion group $K_1^{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathbf{C})$ of [10].

By statement 5 (and 6) of Proposition 2.15 it is enough to require log-additivity on 1-simplicies to infer it on p-simplices in $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{C}$. On the other hand, as far as computing log-determinant characters is concerned, log-additivity (2.34) can be formulated more generally as the existence of $\underline{w}_0, \underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2 \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_0}(\log_{x\otimes z}\alpha), \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_1}(\log_{z\otimes y}\beta), \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_2}(\log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y))$ are all in the same $\mathsf{F}(v)$ with, in $\mathsf{F}(v)/[\mathsf{F}(v), \mathsf{F}(v)]$,

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_1}(\log_{x\otimes y}(x \overset{\beta\circ\alpha}{\to} y)) = \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_2}(\log_{x\otimes z}\alpha) + \widetilde{\eta}_{\underline{w}_0}(\log_{z\otimes y}\beta). \tag{2.57}$$

Despite Lemma 2.13, it can be natural to define simplicial logarithms directly on strata $\mathrm{mor}_{\underline{z}}(x, y)$ in p-simplices with $p > 1$. In particular, this allows a log-functor to be extended to $\delta \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(1, 1) = \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{C}}(1)$ factorisable as $\delta = \beta\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(1, z)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{C}}(z, 1)$ with $z \neq 1 \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ (this is always the case on $\mathbf{Bord}_n$). Choosing such a factorisation, define

$$\log_z \delta := \log_z(1 \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} 1) \ \in \mathsf{F}(z)/[\mathsf{F}(z), \mathsf{F}(z)]. \tag{2.58}$$

Here, we use $\log_z := \log_{1\otimes z\otimes 1}$ and $\mathsf{F}(1 \otimes z \otimes 1) = \mathsf{F}(z)$, as F is exact and log is strict, which depends on δ and z but is independent of the particular choice of α, β. In the presence of a trace one then further has

$$\log_1 : \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{C}}(1) \to \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{A}}(1), \qquad \log_1 \delta := \widetilde{\tau}(\log_z(1 \overset{\alpha}{\to} z \overset{\beta}{\to} 1)), \tag{2.59}$$

independently of the particular choice of α, β and of z and by log-additivity

$$\log_1 \delta := \widetilde{\tau}(\log_z \alpha) + \widetilde{\tau}(\log_z \beta) \tag{2.60}$$

as a particular case of the additivity of log-characters.

3 Log-structures on bordism categories

There are a number of bordism categories with natural logarithmic functors. Bordism classes will be denoted $\overline{W} \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{Bord_n}}(M_0, M_1)$, while $W = (W, \kappa_{\partial W}) \in \overline{W}$ will indicate a smooth representative of the class. Thus, W is an oriented smooth compact manifold of dimension $n+1$ whose boundary $\partial W \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{Bord}_n)$ is endowed with an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\kappa_{\partial W} : \partial W \to M_0^- \sqcup M_1$, the superscript indicating the reverse orientation on M_0. $\overline{W} = \overline{(W, \kappa_{\partial W})}$ denotes the equivalence class relative to oriented diffeomorphism.

Let $\mathsf{F} : \mathbf{Bord}_n^* \to \mathbf{Ring_{Add}}$ be an unoriented pretracial monoidal product representation. *Unoriented* is the assumption that $\mathsf{F}(M^{(-)}) = \mathsf{F}(M)$, where $M^{(-)}$ denotes M with one or more of its connected components with orientation reverse. A log-TQFT on $\mathbf{Bord}_n$ relative to F is a log-additive presimplicial map

$$\log : \mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_n \to \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{Bord}_n^*)/[\mathsf{F}(\mathbf{Bord}_n^*), \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{Bord}_n^*)],$$

defining for each p-simplex $M_0 \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\to} M_1 \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\to} M_2 \to \cdots \to M_{p-1} \overset{\overline{W}_{p-1}}{\to} M_p \in \mathcal{N}_p\mathbf{Bord}_n$ of bordisms between compact boundaryless manifolds M_j, a logarithm

$$\log_M(M_0 \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\to} M_1 \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\to} M_2 \to \cdots \to M_{p-1} \overset{\overline{W}_{p-1}}{\to} M_p) \ \in \ \mathsf{F}_\Pi(M) := \mathsf{F}(M)/[\mathsf{F}(M), \mathsf{F}(M)], \quad (3.1)$$

where $M = M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_p$, with

$$\log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(M_0 \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\to} M_1 \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\to} M_2) = \widetilde{\eta}_{M_1} \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(M_0 \overset{\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1}{\longrightarrow} M_2), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1$ is the composed bordism joined along M_1, and, on 1-simplices,

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1} \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) = \widetilde{\eta}_{M_2} \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0) + \widetilde{\eta}_{M_0} \log_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1) \quad (3.3)$$

in $\mathsf{F}_\Pi(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)$. Though F is unoriented, the logarithms $\log_M(\overline{W})$ *will* in general depend on the orientation of the bordisms $\overline{W}$. The M_j need not be connected. On the other hand, writing $M_j = N_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_k$ is reflected functoriality in a canonical isomorphism $\mathsf{F}(M_j) \cong \mathsf{F}(N_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_k)$. A permutation of the ordering $N_{\sigma(0)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_{\sigma(k)}$ yields (in accordance with (2.2)) a compatible canonical isomorphism $\mu_\sigma : \mathsf{F}(N_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_k) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathsf{F}(N_{\sigma(0)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_{\sigma(k)})$. In (3.1) there is no ambiguity because M is defined to be the given disjoint union in the order specified by the p-simplex.

The p-simplices of $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_n$ may be viewed as bordisms which retain data of how they were formed by gluing other bordisms. Boundaryless bordisms $\overline{W} \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{Bord_n}}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ need separate consideration: we are instructed by (2.58) to view $\overline{W}$ as a composed bordism $\emptyset \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\longrightarrow} M \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\longrightarrow} \emptyset$ relative to codimension 1 embedded submanifold $M \hookrightarrow W$ and set

$$\log_M \overline{W} := \log_M(\emptyset \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\longrightarrow} M \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\longrightarrow} \emptyset) \quad \in \ \mathsf{F}(M)/[\mathsf{F}(M), \mathsf{F}(M)].$$

Log-additivity then gives $\log_M \overline{W} = \log_M(\emptyset \overset{\overline{W}_0}{\to} M) + \log_M(M \overset{\overline{W}_1}{\to} \emptyset) \in \mathsf{F}(M)/[\mathsf{F}(M), \mathsf{F}(M)]$, and if tracial with character $\tau(\log \overline{W}) = \tau_M(\log \overline{W}_0) + \tau_M(\log \overline{W}_1) \in \ \mathrm{end}_{\mathbf{A}}(1)$ depending only on $\overline{W}$, not on its factorisation as $\overline{W}_0 \cup_M \overline{W}_1$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $C_M \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathbf{Bord_n}}(M, M)$ be the bordism class of $[0,1] \times M$. Then*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_M \log_{M \sqcup M}(C_M) = 0,$$

in $\mathsf{F}_\Pi(M \sqcup M \sqcup M)$ and $\log_{M \sqcup M}(C_M) = 0 \in \mathsf{F}_\Pi(M \sqcup M)$ if F is injective. For $\overline{W} \in \mathrm{mor}(M_0, M_1)$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{\sqcup N} \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(M \overset{\overline{W}}{\to} N) = \log_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup N}(M \overset{\overline{W}}{\to} N \overset{C_N}{\to} N) \quad (3.4)$$

in $\mathsf{F}_\Pi(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup N)$.

Proof: Restatements of Proposition 2.15 (1) and (2) to $\mathbf{Bord}_n$. □

A log-TQFT yields a TQFT, in the following sense:

Lemma 3.2 *A log-TQFT, defined by* $\log : \mathcal{N}\boldsymbol{Bord}_n \to \boldsymbol{Ring}_{\mathbf{Add}}$ *relative to a tracial* $F : \boldsymbol{Bord}_n^* \to (F(\boldsymbol{Bord}_n^*), \tau)$ *defines a monoid* $(\mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(1,1), +)$*-valued symmetric monoidal functor* $Z_{\log,\tau,\varepsilon} : \boldsymbol{Bord}_n \to \mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(1,1)$ *by setting* $Z_{\log,\tau,\varepsilon}(M) = \mathrm{mor}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(1,1)$ *and* $Z_{\log,\tau,\varepsilon}(\overline{W}) = \tau(\log \overline{W})$.

Conversely, log-TQFTs may arise from TQFTs, but we know of this in essentially trivial cases only. Non-trivial log-TQFTS are not hard to find, however.

Let $\mathbf{Bord}_n^*$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{Bord}_n$ whose morphisms are the coherence and permutation bordisms. Define a monoidal product representation $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty} : \mathbf{Bord}_n^* \to \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{F}}$ by setting $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M) := \Psi^{-\infty}(M) := \Psi^{-\infty}(M, \wedge T^*M)$ to be the algebra of smoothing operators on the de Rham complex $\Omega(M)$ with the coherence bordisms of the monoidal product $\sqcup$ mapped to the identity operator. An element $T \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)$ is specified by a Schwartz kernel

$$k_M \in C^\infty(M \times M, ((\wedge T^*M)^* \otimes |\Lambda|_M^{\frac{1}{2}}) \boxtimes (\wedge T^*M \otimes |\Lambda|_M^{\frac{1}{2}})) \tag{3.5}$$

taking values in form valued half-densities

If M is disconnected and is written as a disjoint union $M = M_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_m$ of $M_j \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{Bord}_n)$, then $\Omega(M) = \Omega(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega(M_m)$ with respect to which $T \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)$ is an $n \times n$ block matrix $(T_{i,j})$ of smoothing operators $T_{i,j} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M_j, M_i)$ specified by Schwartz kernels

$$k_{i,j} \in C^\infty(M_i \times M_j, ((\wedge T^*M_i)^* \otimes |\Lambda|_{M_i}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \boxtimes (\wedge T^*M_j \otimes |\Lambda|_{M_j}^{\frac{1}{2}})) \tag{3.6}$$

whose rows and columns are permuted by $\mu_\sigma(M)$ relative to a reordering σ of the M_j.

With $i : M := M_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_m \hookrightarrow M_N := M_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_m$, the insertion maps are the canonical inclusions

$$\eta_N : \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N), \qquad \eta_N(T) = i_N \circ T \circ i_N^*. \tag{3.7}$$

$\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}$ is pretracial, though not injective, and we may form the pushed-down insertion maps

$$\widetilde{\eta}_N = \widetilde{\eta}_N(M) : \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]} \to \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N)]}. \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 3.3 *The linear map*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M : \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \mathrm{Tr}_M(T) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Tr}_{M_j}(T_{j,j}) := \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{M_j} \mathrm{tr}\,(k_{j,j}(m,m)), \tag{3.9}$$

is a trace and, up to a multiplication by a constant, is the unique trace on $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\boldsymbol{Bord}_n^*)$. *The quotients* $\frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]}$ *are complex lines and the trace defines and is defined by a linear isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M : \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{C} \tag{3.10}$$

with

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M \circ \pi_M. \tag{3.11}$$

One has

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M = \mathrm{Tr}_{M_N} \circ \eta_N \quad \text{on } \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_N} \circ \widetilde{\eta}_N \quad \text{on } \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]. \tag{3.13}$$

We omit the straightforward proof.

The pushed-down insertion map $\widetilde{\eta}_N(M)$ in (3.8) is hence a linear isomorphism of complex lines, and fits into the commutative diagram (2.25) which, here, is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M) & & \xrightarrow{\eta_N(M)} & & \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N) \\
& \overset{\mathrm{Tr}_M}{\searrow} & & \overset{\mathrm{Tr}_{M_N}}{\swarrow} & \\
\downarrow \pi_M & & \mathbb{C} & & \downarrow \pi_{M_N} \\
& \underset{\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M}{\nearrow} & & \underset{\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_N}}{\nwarrow} & \\
\frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]} & & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\eta}_N(M)} \cong & & \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_N)]}
\end{array} \tag{3.14}$$

and one has $\widetilde{\eta}_N(M) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M$. Likewise, in view of the isomorphism (3.10), $\pi_M(A)$ may be characterised as *the abstract trace* of $A \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)$, one has $\pi_M = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_M^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_M$.

The classical trace hence refines $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}$ to a tracial monoidal product representation $(\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}, \mathrm{Tr})$. There is, on the other hand, the 'larger' monidal product representation

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty} : \mathbf{Bord}_n^* \to \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{F}}, \qquad M \mapsto \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M) \tag{3.15}$$

with $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$ the algebra of continuous operators on $\Omega(M)$ defined by Schwartz kernels which are smoothing off the 'matrix diagonal' and pseudodifferential along it, in the following sense. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_m$ be the connected components of M and let $k_{i,j}$ be the restriction to $M_i \times M_j$ of the distributional kernel of $T \in \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$. Then $k_{i,j}$ is required to be a smoothing kernel (3.6) if $i \neq j$, while if $i = j$ it may, more generally, be an integer order pseudodifferential operator (ψdo) kernel $k_{j,j} \in \mathcal{D}'(M_j \times M_j, ((\wedge T^*M_j)^* \otimes |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{M_j}) \boxtimes (\wedge T^*M_j \otimes |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{M_j}))$ in the space of conormal distributions on form-valued half-densities. Thus, there is an atlas of $M_j \times M_j$ in which $k_{j,j}$ can be written in each localisation as an oscillatory integral

$$k_{j,j}(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi.(x-y)}\, \mathbf{b}^{[j]}(x,y,\xi)\; đ\xi\, |dx|^{\frac{1}{2}} |dy|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.16}$$

of a symbol (amplitude) $\mathbf{b}^{[j]}(x,y,\xi)$ of order $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\}$ (depending on the trivialisation). $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$ is filtered by the subspaces $\mathsf{F}_{p,\,-\infty}(M) = \Psi^{p,\,-\infty}(M)$ of operators with classical ψdos on the diagonal up to order $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $M = M_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_m$ then $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$ is identified with the matrix algebra $(T_{i,j})$ of operators $T_{i,j}$ with smoothing kernels off the matrix diagonal and with integer order ψdo oscillatory kernel (3.16) if $i = j$.

$\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}$ is pretracial with quotient functor $\rho_M : \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M) \to \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)/[\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]$. It has a trace structure complementary to the classical trace and not quite unique:

Lemma 3.4 *Let M_j be the connected components of M. Then the linear space of traces on $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$ has (complex) dimension m: on $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)$ each $\mathbf{c} = (c_1,\dots,c_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ parametrises the linear sum of residue traces*

$$res^{\mathbf{c}}_{M}(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j res_{M_j}(B_{jj}) := \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \int_{S^*M_j} b^{[j]}_{-n}(x,\eta)\, đ_S\eta\, |dx|. \tag{3.17}$$

Each such trace defines and is defined by a linear homomorphism

$$\widetilde{res}^{\mathbf{c}}_{M} : \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}(M)]} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C} \quad with \quad res^{\mathbf{c}}_{M} = \widetilde{res}^{\mathbf{c}}_{M} \circ \rho_M. \tag{3.18}$$

These structures behave well with respect to diffeomorphisms:

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\mathsf{F} : \boldsymbol{Bord}^*_n \to \boldsymbol{Alg}_{\mathsf{F}}$, $M \mapsto (\mathsf{F}(M), \tau_M)$, be either one of the tracial monoidal product representations $(\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}, \mathrm{Tr}\,)$ or $(\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z},\,-\infty}, res\,)$. Let $M^{(-)}$ be M with one or more of its connected components with orientation reversed. Then $\mathsf{F}\big(M^{(-)}\big) = \mathsf{F}(M)$. A diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to N$ between $M, N \in \mathrm{ob}(\boldsymbol{Bord}_n)$ induces a canonical continuous isomorphism of algebras $\phi_\sharp : \mathsf{F}(M) \to \mathsf{F}(N)$, preserving the filtration by ΨDO order, and pushes-down to a continuous linear map $\widetilde{\phi}_{M,\,N} : \mathsf{F}(M)/[\mathsf{F}(M),\mathsf{F}(M)] \to \mathsf{F}(N)/[\mathsf{F}(N),\mathsf{F}(N)]$.*

Trace invariance: there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathsf{F}(M) & & \xrightarrow{\phi_\sharp} & & \mathsf{F}(N) \\
& {\scriptstyle\tau_M}\searrow & & \swarrow{\scriptstyle\tau_N} & \\
\downarrow \pi_M & & \mathbb{C} & & \downarrow \pi_N \\
& {\scriptstyle\tilde\tau_M}\nearrow & & \nwarrow{\scriptstyle\tilde\tau_N} & \\
\frac{\mathsf{F}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}(M),\mathsf{F}(M)]} & & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}_\sharp} & & \frac{\mathsf{F}(N)}{[\mathsf{F}(N),\mathsf{F}(N)]}
\end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

For $(\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}, \mathrm{Tr}\,)$ the map $\widetilde{\phi}_\sharp$ is independent of the choice of ϕ: if M and N are diffeomorphic there is a canonical linear isomorphism of complex lines:

$$\vartheta_{M,\,N} : \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M)]} \to \frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(N)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(N), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(N)]}. \tag{3.20}$$

This is readily checked; thus, the diffeomorphism ϕ induces a bundle isomorphism $\wedge TN^* \to \wedge TM^*$ and hence a continuous linear pull-back isomorphism $\phi_* : \Omega(N) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \Omega(M)$, with respect to which $\phi_\sharp(T) := \phi_*^{-1} \circ T \circ \phi_*$ is an algebra isomorphism defining an abelian groupisomorphism $[\mathsf{F}(M), \mathsf{F}(M)] \stackrel{\cong}{\to} [\mathsf{F}(N), \mathsf{F}(N)]$. which with (3.5) gives (3.20). For the diagram,one uses the universality property of traces and Lidskii's theorem.

3.0.1 The topological signature

For a compact oriented manifold W of dimension $4k$ with boundary ∂W, the topological signature $\mathrm{sgn}(W)$ of W, defined to be the signature of the quadratic form

$$\widehat{H}^{2k}(W) \times \widehat{H}^{2k}(W) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (\xi, \xi') \mapsto < \xi \cup \xi', [W] >, \tag{3.21}$$

with $\widehat{H}^{2k}(W)$ the image of the inclusion $H^{2k}(W, \partial W) \to H^{2k}(W)$ This arises as a character of a logarithmic representation on bordisms as follows.

On a smooth representative $W \in \overline{W}$ of a bordism class $\overline{W} \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1)$, a choice of Riemannian metric g_W is made which in a collar neighbourhood U_j of each boundary component ∂W_j is a product metric $g_{U_j} = du_j^2 + g_{\partial W_j}$ with u_j a choice of normal coordinate in $(-1, 0]$ if ∂W_j is a component of M_0^- and in $[0, 1)$ if ∂W_j is a component of M_1; all logarithms will be independent of the choice of g_W and the choice of representative W. Associated to g_W is a Hodge star isomorphism $* : \Omega^p(W) \to \Omega^{4k-p}(W)$ and a signature operator

$$\eth^W = d + d^* : \Omega^+(W) \to \Omega^-(W)$$

between the eigenspaces $\Omega^\pm(W)$ of the involution $i^{p(p-1)}*$ on the de Rham complex.

Recall from [1], since W is isometric to a product near each boundary component ∂W_j the operator $\eth^W$ acts along tangential boundary directions by a self-adjoint signature operator B_j on the de Rham algebra $\Omega(\partial W_j)$, equal to $B_j^{2p} := (-1)^{k+p+1}(*d_j - d_j*)$ on $\Omega^{2p}(\partial W_j)$ and to $B_j^{2p-1} := (-1)^{k+p}(*d_j + d_j*)$ on $\Omega^{2p-1}(\partial W_j)$. Let $B_j^{ev} = \bigoplus_p B_j^{2p}$, $B_j^{odd} = \bigoplus_p B_j^{2p-1}$. Then B preserves form parity $B_j = B_j^{ev} \oplus B_j^{odd}$ relative to the de Rham algebra written as a direct sum of even and odd forms. The self-adjoint first-order elliptic operators B_j^{ev} and B_j^{odd} are spectrally identical, one has

$$h_j := \mathrm{Tr}\,(\Pi_0[B_j^{ev}]) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\Pi_0[B_j^{odd}]) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Pi_0[B_j]) \tag{3.22}$$

and $\eta_j := \eta(B_j^{ev}, 0) = \eta(B_j^{odd}, 0) = \frac{1}{2}\,\eta(B_j, 0)$, where $\Pi_0[S] \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\partial W_j)$ is the smoothing projection onto $\ker(S)$, and $\eta(S, 0)$ the η-invariant of an elliptic self-adjoint ψdo S. Let

$$\Pi_0^{ev} = \bigoplus_j \Pi_0[B_j^{ev}] \quad \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\partial W), \tag{3.23}$$

and likewise for Π_0^{odd}, and set $h := \mathrm{Tr}_{\partial W}(\Pi_0^{ev}) = \sum_j h_j$, $\eta := \eta(B^{ev}, 0) = \sum_j \eta_j$. The APS projection is the order zero ψdo projector

$$\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W_j} \ \in \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\partial W) := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(\partial W_j, \wedge T^*\partial W_j) \tag{3.24}$$

where $\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W_j}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the span of eigenforms of B_j with eigenvalue $\lambda \geq 0$. The Calderón projection, on the other hand, $C[\eth^W] \in \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\partial W)$ is a projector onto the subspace $K(\eth^W) \subset \Omega(\partial W)$ of boundary sections which are restrictions to the boundary of interior solutions $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^W) \subset \Omega(W)$; the Poisson operator $\mathcal{K}[\eth^W] : \Omega(\partial W) \to \Omega(W)$ associated to $\eth^W$ restricts in each Sobolev completion to a canonical isomorphism

$$K(\eth^W) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^W) \quad \text{ and then } \quad C[\eth^W] := \varrho\mathcal{K}[\eth^W], \tag{3.25}$$

where $\varrho : \Omega(W) \to \Omega(\partial W)$ is restriction to the boundary. See for instance §7 of [4].

Relative to an identification with its connected components $\partial W = \partial W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \partial W_n$ the projections may be written as $n \times n$ block matrices: $\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W}$ is a diagonal direct sum of order zero ψdos whilst the Calderón projector $C[\eth^W]$ has order zero ψdos along the diagonal and has non-zero off-diagonal smoothing operator terms. The crucial analytic fact is:

Lemma 3.6

$$C[\eth^W] - \Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W} \ \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\partial W). \tag{3.26}$$

Proof: Since $\eth^W$ has the form $\sigma(du)(\partial_u + B_j)$ in a collar neighbourhood U_i of each connected component ∂W_i, the argument in [11] (Prop. 2.2), or the more general argument of [4] (Prop. 4.1), for the case for a single boundary readily adapts to the present multi-boundary context. □

The projection operators above are sensitive to orientation. For an oriented manifold N, let N^- denote the manifold with orientation reversed.

Lemma 3.7 $\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W^-} = \Pi_{\leq}^{\partial W}$ *is the projection onto the span of eigenforms with eigenvalue* $\lambda \leq 0$. *Likewise,* $C[\eth^W]$ *and* $C[\eth^{W^-}]$ *are complementary projections modulo smoothing operators.*

Proof: Reversing the orientation on ∂W reverses the sign of the Riemannian volume form, and so the Hodge star $* \mapsto -*$. Thus $B_j^{2p} := (-1)^{k+p+1}(*d_j - d_j*)$ and $B_j^{2p-1} := (-1)^{k+p}(*d_j + d_j*)$ change sign, swapping negative and positive eigenvalues, which is the first assertion. Since $\partial(W^-) = (\partial W)^-$, the statement for the Calderón projection then follows from (3.26). □

A representative W for a bordism in $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1)$ comes with an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\kappa : \partial W \to M_0^- \sqcup M_1$. One has that $\kappa_\sharp(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W})$, $\kappa_\sharp(C[\eth^W]) \in \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)$ are order zero ψdo projections, while

$$\kappa_\sharp(C[\eth^W]) - \kappa_\sharp(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W}) = \kappa_\sharp(C[\eth^W] - \Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W}) \ \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1) \tag{3.27}$$

are smoothing operators. Also $\kappa_\sharp(\Pi_0^{ev}) \in \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)$. To define a logarithm

$$\log^{\mathrm{sgn}} : \mathcal{N}\mathbf{Bord}_{4k} \to \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\mathbf{Bord}_{4k}^*)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\mathbf{Bord}_{4k}^*), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(\mathbf{Bord}_{4k}^*)]$$

it is enough to specify it on 1-simplices

$$\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \; : \; \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1) \to \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)].$$

Define

$$\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}) := \pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp \left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W} + \Pi_0^{ev} \right) \tag{3.28}$$

— equal to the sum of order zero ψdo projections in $\mathsf{F}^0_{\mathbb{Z}, -\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)$ —

$$= \pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp(C[\eth^W]) - \pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W}) + \pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp(\Pi_0^{ev}).$$

From (3.19) and (3.20)

$$\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}) = \vartheta_{\partial W,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \pi_{\partial W} \left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W} + \Pi_0^{ev} \right). \tag{3.29}$$

Proposition 3.8 *The right-hand side of* (3.28) *depends only on the (oriented) bordism class* $\overline{W}$ *of* W *(independent of* g_W*) and has log-character*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}) = \mathrm{sgn}(W). \tag{3.30}$$

For use here and elsewhere, we note the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9 *Let* $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ *be a Hilbert space polarised by infinite-dimensional subspaces* $H_\pm$*, and let* $\Pi_\pm$ *be the orthogonal projections with ranges* $H_\pm$*. Let* P_0, P_1 *be projections on* H *with* $P_j - \Pi_+$ *of trace-class (*$j = 0, 1$*) on* H*. Let* $W_j := \mathrm{ran}(P_j) \subset H$*, and let* $\mathrm{ind}_{W_0, W_1} a$ *denote the index of a Fredholm operator* $a : W_0 \to W_1$*. Then* $P_0 - P_1$ *is trace class on* H *and* $P_1 P_0 : W_0 \to W_1$ *is a Fredholm operator, and one has*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{W_0, W_1}(P_1 P_0) = \mathrm{Tr}_H(P_0 - P_1). \tag{3.31}$$

Proof: Follows in a straightforward way using the methods of §7.1 of [9]. □

Proof of Proposition 3.8: Let $\eth_{\geq}^W$ be the APS boundary value problem [1]. Thus, $\eth_{\geq}^W = \eth^W$ with domain restricted to those sections $s \in \Omega^+(W)$ with $\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W}(s_{|\partial W}) = 0$. Then, in the notation of Lemma 3.9,

$$\mathrm{ind}\, \eth_{\geq}^W = \mathrm{ind}_{K(\eth_{\geq}^W),\, \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W})} \left(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W} \circ C(\eth_{\geq}^W) \right) \tag{3.32}$$

with $K(\eth_{\geq}^W)$ in (3.25) viewed as a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $H^{\partial W}$ of L^2 boundary sections polarised with $H_+^{\partial W} = \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_{\geq}^{\partial W})$, $H_-^{\partial W} = \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_{<}^{\partial W})$ (the identity (3.32) for Dirac-type

operators is well known, see for instance [3], [11]). With h and η defined following (3.23) and $L(w)$ the Hirzebruch L-polynomial in the Pontryagin forms, the APS signature theorem gives the first two equalities in

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{sgn}(W) &\overset{[1],\ \mathrm{Thm}\ 4.14}{=} \int_W L(w) - \eta \\
&\overset{[1],\ \mathrm{eqn}\ 4.7}{=} \mathrm{ind}\,(\eth^W_{\geq}) + h \\
&\overset{(3.32)}{=} \mathrm{ind}_{K(\eth^W_{\geq}),\,\mathrm{ran}(\Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq})}\left(\Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq} \circ C[\eth^W_{\geq}]\right) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\partial W}(\Pi^{ev}_0) \\
&\overset{(3.31)}{=} \mathrm{Tr}_{\partial W}(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq}) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\partial W}(\Pi^{ev}_0) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}_{\partial W}(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq} + \Pi^{ev}_0) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\kappa_\sharp(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq} + \Pi^{ev}_0)) \\
&\overset{(3.11)}{=} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}).
\end{aligned}$$

The character $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is thus an oriented-homotopy invariant of W. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} : \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)] \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{C}$ is a linear isomorphism by Lemma 3.3, $\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}$ is hence a homotopy invariant of the manifold W; that is, with $\simeq_O$ indicating oriented homotopy equivalence,

$$W \simeq_O W' \Rightarrow \mathrm{sgn} W = \mathrm{sgn} W' \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W} - \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}') = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W} = \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \overline{W}' \quad \text{in } \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1)].$$

In particular, the logarithm is an invariant of the bordism class of W in $\mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1)$, and independent of any choice of Riemannian metric on W.

□

It is useful to note:

Lemma 3.10 $\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W})$ *in* (3.28)*, or* (3.29)*, is unchanged if* B^{ev} *is replaced by* B^{odd}

Proof: The difference is $\pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp \left(\Pi^{ev}_0 - \Pi^{odd}_0\right)$ which has character

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp \left(\Pi^{ev}_0 - \Pi^{odd}_0\right)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\Pi^{ev}_0 - \Pi^{odd}_0)$$

which by (3.22) is zero. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}$ is a isomorphism, the assertion follows. □

We may therefore better write

$$\begin{aligned}
\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}) &= \pi_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \kappa_\sharp \left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq} + U^{\partial W}\right) \\
&= \vartheta_{\partial W,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1} \circ \pi_{\partial W} \left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_{\geq} + U^{\partial W}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $U^{\partial W}$ denoting either of the projections; this flexibility is important later.

The principal task at hand is to show log-additivity:

Theorem 3.11 *With respect to composition of bordisms*

$$\mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1) \times \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_1, M_2) \to \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_2), \quad (\overline{W}_0, \overline{W}_1) \mapsto \overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1,$$

one has in $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)]$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) \;=\; \widetilde{\eta}_{M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0) \;+\; \widetilde{\eta}_{M_0} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1). \tag{3.33}$$

Applying the trace $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}$ to (3.33), one has from (3.30):

Corollary 3.12

$$\mathrm{sgn}(W \cup_{M_1} W') = \mathrm{sgn}(W) + \mathrm{sgn}(W'). \tag{3.34}$$

(3.34) was originally observed by Novikov (c1967) [1] and proved for closed $W \cup_{M_1} W'$ in [2].

Corollary 3.13 $\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0)$ *is independent of the boundary diffeomorphism* κ*, and so depends only on the oriented diffeomorphism class of* W *(in fact, homotopy class).* $\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1)$ *is independent of the gluing diffeomorphism* ϕ *between the identified boundary components of* $W_0 \in \overline{W}_0$ *and* $W_1 \in \overline{W}_1$ *used to form* $\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1 := \overline{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}$*. The same statements hold for* $\mathrm{sgn}(W_0)$ *and* $\mathrm{sgn}(W_0 \cup_\phi W_1)$*.*

The proof of Theorem 3.11 will occupy the remainder of this section.

Proposition 3.14 *The equality* (3.33) *holds if*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) \;=\; \widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0) \;+\; \widetilde{\eta}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1) \tag{3.35}$$

holds in $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)]$.

Proof:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1)) \overset{(3.13)}{=} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1))$$

$$\overset{(3.13)}{=} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1)),$$

and, similarly,

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0)) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0)),$$

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1)) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\widetilde{\eta}_{M_0} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1)).$$

Hence, if (3.35) holds, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}$ evaluated on

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) - \widetilde{\eta}_{M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0) - \widetilde{\eta}_{M_0} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1)$$

[1] Contrasting with (Wall) non-additivity of the signature for higher codimension partitions [13].

is zero. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}$ is from (3.10) a linear isomorphism, (3.33) follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.13 allows one to work with the geometric boundary of a representative W_0 of $\overline{W} \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_1)$, rather than M_0, M_1. Thus, $\partial W_0 = X_0^- \sqcup X_1$ along with orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\alpha_{\partial W_0} : X_0 \to M_0$ and $\beta_{\partial W_0} : X_1 \to M_1$. Likewise, $W_1 \in \overline{W}_1 \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_1, M_2)$ has $\partial W_1 = Y_1^- \sqcup Y_2$ and oriented diffeomorphisms $\alpha_{\partial W_1} : Y_1 \to M_1$ and $\beta_{\partial W_1} : Y_2 \to M_2$. Let $\phi = \alpha_{\partial W_1}^{-1} \circ \beta_{\partial W_0} : X_1 \stackrel{\cong}{\to} Y_1$. The space $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$ formed from W_0 and W_1 by identifying $x \in X_1$ with $\phi(x) \in Y_1$ has a smooth manifold structure compatible with those of W_0 and W_1 which is unique modulo oriented diffeomorphisms which fix $M_0, \phi(X_1) = Y_1$ and M_2. Then $\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1 := \overline{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1} \in \mathrm{mor}_{\mathrm{Bord}_{4k}}(M_0, M_2)$ is the equivalence class of $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$ modulo such diffeomorphisms compatible with $\alpha_{\partial W_0}$ and $\beta_{\partial W_1}$. One has, further, the closed oriented hypersurface $N = \{[x] \mid x \in X_1\} \subset W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$ with $[x]$ the equivalence class in the identification space $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$. We may choose a choose a Riemannian metric on $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$ which is isometric to a product in some collar neighbourhood $U \cong (-1, 1) \times N$ of N in $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1$, with N identified with $\{0\} \times N \subset U$. Define, then,

$$\log_{X_0 \sqcup X_1}(\overline{W}_0) := \pi_{X_0 \sqcup X_1}\left(C[\tilde{\eth}^{W_0}] - \Pi_{\geq}^{X_0^- \sqcup X_1} + U^{X_0 \sqcup X_1}\right),$$

$$\log_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_1) := \pi_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2}\left(C[\tilde{\eth}^{W_1}] - \Pi_{\geq}^{Y_1^- \sqcup Y_2} + U^{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2}\right),$$

$$\log_{X_0 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) := \pi_{X_0 \sqcup Y_2}\left(C[\tilde{\eth}^{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}] - \Pi_{\geq}^{X_0^- \sqcup Y_2} + U^{X_0 \sqcup Y_2}\right).$$

In terms other than $\Pi_\geq$ the orientation is not felt and so is not indicated.

Proposition 3.15 *The equality* (3.35) *holds if*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{X_1 \sqcup Y_1} \log_{X_0 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) \;=\; \widetilde{\eta}_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2} \log_{X_0 \sqcup X_1}(\overline{W}_0) \;+\; \widetilde{\eta}_{X_0 \sqcup X_1} \log_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_1) \tag{3.36}$$

holds in $\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2)/[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2)]$.

Proof: Let $V_j, Z_j, M, N \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathbf{Bord}_n)$ with V_j and Z_j diffeomorphic and M and N diffeomorphic. Let $V := V_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup V_m$ and $Z := Z_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Z_m$. By (3.20), there are then canonical identifications $\theta_{V,Z} : \mathsf{F}_\Pi(V) \to \mathsf{F}_\Pi(Z)$ and $\vartheta_{V_N, Z_M} : \mathsf{F}_\Pi(V_N) \to \mathsf{F}_\Pi(Z_M)$, where

$$V_N := V_1 \sqcup \cdots X_{k-1} \sqcup N \sqcup X_k \sqcup \cdots \sqcup V_m, \quad Z_M := Z_1 \sqcup \cdots Z_{k-1} \sqcup M \sqcup Z_k \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Z_m.$$

Moreover, the following diagram is easily seen to commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{F}_\Pi(V_N) & \stackrel{\vartheta_{V_N, Z_M}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{F}_\Pi(Z_M) \\ \uparrow \widetilde{\eta}_N^k & & \uparrow \widetilde{\eta}_M^k \\ \mathsf{F}_\Pi(V) & \stackrel{\vartheta_{V,Z}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{F}_\Pi(Z) \end{array} . \tag{3.37}$$

Hence, taking $M := M_1 \sqcup M_2, N := Y_1 \sqcup Y_2, V := X_0 \sqcup X_1, Z := M_0 \sqcup M_1, V_M := X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2, Z_M := M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1}(\overline{W}_0) &= \widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2} \circ \vartheta_{X_0 \sqcup X_1,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1} \log_{X_0 \sqcup X_1}(\overline{W}_0) \\ &= \vartheta_{X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2} \left(\widetilde{\eta}_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2} \log_{X_0 \sqcup X_1}(\overline{W}_0) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_0 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_1 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_1) = \vartheta_{X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2} \left(\widetilde{\eta}_{X_0 \sqcup X_1} \log_{Y_1 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_1) \right)$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{M_1 \sqcup M_1} \log^{\mathrm{sgn}}_{M_0 \sqcup M_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) = \vartheta_{X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2} \left(\widetilde{\eta}_{X_1 \sqcup Y_1} \log_{X_0 \sqcup Y_2}(\overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1) \right).$$

Hence (3.35) $= \underbrace{\vartheta_{X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2,\, M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2}}_{\text{linear isomorphism}} ((3.36))$. □

Proposition 3.16

The equality (3.36) *holds.*

Proof: It is convenient to take $W \in \overline{W}_0$ and $W' \in \overline{W}_1$ by cutting $W_0 \cup_\phi W_1 \in \overline{W}_0 \cup \overline{W}_1$ along the hypersurface N: let $W := (W_0 \cup_\phi W_1) \backslash (W_1 \backslash N), W' := (W_0 \cup_\phi W_1) \backslash (W_0 \backslash N)$. Set $X := X_0, Y := Y_2$. Then

$$\partial W = X^- \sqcup N, \quad \partial W' = N^- \sqcup Y, \quad X_1 = N = Y_1. \tag{3.38}$$

From the sequences of inclusions $N \rightrightarrows W \sqcup W' \to W \cup_\phi W'$ one has the Mayer-Vietoris type sequence $0 \to \Omega^*(W_0 \cup_\phi W_1) \to \Omega^*(W) \oplus \Omega^*(W') \to \Omega^*(N)$ in which the first map is signed restriction of a form $\omega \mapsto (\omega_{|W}, -\omega_{|W'})$ and the second the sum of the boundary restrictions $(\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma_{|N} + \sigma'_{|N}$ ('restriction' means $\sigma_{|N} := j_N^*(\sigma)$ for $j_N : N \hookrightarrow W$ the inclusion, and so on). We assume for now that at least one of W and W' has disconnected boundary. Then the non-exact sequence Mayer Vietori sequence becomes exact on restriction to the kernels

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^{W \cup_\phi W'}) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^W) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^{W'}) \to \Omega^*(N) \to 0, \tag{3.39}$$

by observing that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^{W \cup_\phi W'})$ is the kernel of the map $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^W) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^{W'}) \to \Omega^*(N)$. But in a open set $U = (-1, 1) \times Y$, with Y an odd-dimensional compact boundaryless manifold, the Riemannian metric can be chosen to be a product metric $g_{|U} = du^2 + g_Y$, and so that $\eth^U = (du\wedge + i_{du})(\partial_u + D_Y)$ relative to the (self-adjoint) signature operator $\eth^Y$ on Y. This implies any solution ψ to $\eth^U$ has the form $\psi(u, y) = \sum_k e^{-\lambda_k u} \psi_k(0) \phi_k(y)$ for a spectral resolution (λ_k, ϕ_k) of $\eth^Y$. The metric on $W \cup_N W'$ may be chosen to be a product in a tubular neighbourhood $(-1, 1) \times N$ of the partitioning hypersurface N. Hence, matching of higher normal derivatives along N of elements of $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^W)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\eth^{W'})$ follows from their zeroeth order matching pointwise along N (with a change of sign taking into account the sign of u in $(-1, 1)$).

In view of the isomorphism (3.25), restricting solutions to the boundaries of the manifolds W and W' refines (3.39) to an exact sequence of maps on boundary sections

$$0 \to K(\eth^{W \cup_\phi W'}) \to K(\eth^W) \oplus K(\eth^{W'}) \to \Omega^*(N) \to 0. \tag{3.40}$$

Let H^N be the space of forms $\Omega(N)$, or in the following can be taken to be its L^2 completion, on N. The sequence (3.40) fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & K(\eth^{W\cup_\phi W'}) & \to & K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'}) & \to & H^N & \to & 0 \\ & & \downarrow G_0 & & \downarrow G_1 & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle id} & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}\oplus U^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}) & \to & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial W}\oplus U^{\partial W})\\ \oplus \\ \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial W'}\oplus U^{\partial W'})\end{array} & \to & H^N & \to & 0 \end{array} \tag{3.41}$$

where in $\Psi^0(X\sqcup N\sqcup Y)$

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 &= (\Pi_>^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}\oplus U^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')})\circ C[\eth^{W_0\cup_\phi W_1}], \\ G_1 &= (\Pi_>^{\partial W}\oplus U^{\partial W})\circ C[\eth^W]\ \oplus\ (\Pi_>^{\partial W'}\oplus U^{\partial W'})\circ C[\eth^{W'}], \\ &= \big((\Pi_>^{\partial W}\oplus U^{\partial W})\oplus\ (\Pi_>^{\partial W'}\oplus U^{\partial W'})\big)\circ C[\eth^W]\oplus C[\eth^{W'}]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Next we show that the diagram has exact rows and is commutative up to adding a smoothing operator to the vertical Fredholm maps. We may write relative to (3.38) and using Lemma 3.7

$$\Pi_>^{\partial W}\oplus U^{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_<^X\oplus U_-^X & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_>^N\oplus U_+^N \end{pmatrix} \ \in\ \Psi^0(X\sqcup N)$$

with $U_+^X = \Pi_0^{ev}(B_X)$ and $U_-^X = \Pi_0^{odd}(B_X)$, mindful of Lemma 3.10. While

$$\Pi_>^{\partial W'}\oplus U^{\partial W'} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_<^N\oplus U_-^N & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_>^Y\oplus U_+^Y \end{pmatrix} \ \in\ \Psi^0(N\sqcup Y),$$

$$\Pi_>^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}\oplus U^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_<^X\oplus U_-^X & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_>^Y\oplus U_+^Y \end{pmatrix} \ \in\ \Psi^0(X\sqcup Y).$$

These choices for the projections $U_\pm^V$ provide a canonical identification

$$\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}\oplus U^{\partial(W\cup_\phi W')}) = \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_<^X\oplus U_-^X)\oplus\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^Y\oplus U_+^Y)$$

and, since $(\Pi_>^N\oplus U_+^N)\oplus(\Pi_<^N\oplus U_-^N) = id_N$, a canonical identification

$$\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial W}\oplus U^{\partial W})\oplus\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^{\partial W'}\oplus U^{\partial W'}) = \mathrm{ran}(\Pi_<^X\oplus U_-^X)\oplus H_N\oplus\mathrm{ran}(\Pi_>^Y\oplus U_+^Y), \tag{3.43}$$

hence defining the maps in the lower exact sequence of the diagram.

The exactness of the top row has been accounted for above. As $K(\eth^{W\cup_\phi W'})\subset H_X\oplus H_Y$, an element $\zeta\in K(\eth^{W\cup_\phi W'})$ may be written uniquely as $\zeta=(\xi_X,\eta_Y)$ with $\xi_X\in H_X,\ \eta_Y\in H_Y$.

For convenience, and since it does not affect any previous construction, we also include the involution $(\alpha,\beta)\mapsto(\alpha,-\beta)$ on $K(\eth^{W'})\subset H_N\oplus H_Y$, so that the inclusion

$$K(\eth^{W\cup_\phi W'})\to K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'})\quad\text{ is }\ (\xi_X,\eta_Y)\mapsto(\xi_X,\nu_N)\oplus(-\nu_N,\eta_Y),$$

where $\nu_N=\nu_N(\xi_X,\eta_Y)$ is uniquely defined via unique continuation and the Poisson operator; (ξ_X,η_Y) corresponds uniquely via the Poisson operator to an element of $\operatorname{Ker}(\eth^{W\cup_\phi W'})$, then restrict to the hypersurfaces X, N and Y.

Now replace G_1 by $\mathcal{G}_1=((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\oplus I_N)\circ C[\eth^W]+(I_N\oplus(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+))\circ C[\eth^{W'}]$ as a map

$$K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'})\to\operatorname{ran}(\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\oplus H_N\oplus\operatorname{ran}(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+),$$

where $C[\eth^W]$ and $(\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\oplus I_N$ mean $C[\eth^W]\oplus 0$ and $(\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\oplus I_N\oplus 0$, and so on.

Lemma 3.17 *With G_1 replaced by $\mathcal{G}_1$ the diagram* (3.41) *commutes.*

Proof: $\mathcal{G}_1$ evaluated on $(\xi_X,\lambda_N)\oplus(\mu_N,\eta_Y)\in K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'})$ is $\mathcal{G}_1((\xi_X,\lambda_N),(\mu_N,\eta_Y))=((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\xi_X,\ \lambda_N+\mu_N,\ (\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)\eta_Y)$. With G_1 replaced by $\mathcal{G}_1$: the left-hand square of (3.41) is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}(\xi_X,\eta_Y) & \to & ((\xi_X,\lambda),(-\lambda,\eta_Y))\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ ((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\xi_X,(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)\eta_Y) & \to & ((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\xi_X,\,0,\,(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)\eta_Y)\end{array}$$

and the right-hand square is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}((\xi_X,\lambda_N),(\mu_N,\eta_Y)) & \to & \lambda_N+\mu_N\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ ((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\xi_X,\,\lambda_N+\mu_N,\,(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)\eta_Y) & \to & \lambda_N+\mu_N.\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 3.18

$$G_1-\mathcal{G}_1:K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'})\to\operatorname{ran}(\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\oplus H_N\oplus\operatorname{ran}(\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)$$

is the restriction of a smoothing operator $H_X\oplus H_N\oplus H_N\oplus H_Y\to H_X\oplus H_N\oplus H_Y$.

Proof: For $(\xi_X,\lambda_N)\oplus(\mu_N,\eta_Y)\in K(\eth^W)\oplus K(\eth^{W'})$

$$G_1((\xi_X,\lambda_N),(\mu_N,\eta_Y)):=((\Pi^X_<\oplus U^X_-)\xi_X,\ (\Pi^N_>\oplus U^N_+)\lambda_N+(\Pi^N_<\oplus U^N_-)\mu_N,\ (\Pi^Y_>\oplus U^Y_+)\eta_Y).$$

Hence $(G_1-\mathcal{G}_1)((\xi_X,\lambda_N),(\mu_N,\eta_Y))=(0,\ (\Pi^N_<\oplus U^N_-)\lambda_N+(\Pi^N_<\oplus U^N_+)\mu_N,\ 0)$. Since $U^N_\pm$ is smoothing we may ignore this term, and it is enough to show that $(\xi_X,\lambda_N)\to(0,\ \Pi^N_<\lambda_N)$ and $(\mu_N,\eta_Y)\to$

$(\Pi^N_< \mu_N,\, 0)$ are (restrictions of) smoothing operators. For this, on $(\xi_X, \lambda_N) \in K(\eth^W) = \mathrm{ran}(C[\eth^W](\xi_X, \lambda_N))$ one has $(\xi_X, \lambda_N) = C[\eth^W](\xi_X, \lambda_N)$. Writing $C[\eth^W] = \begin{pmatrix} C^{X,\,X} & C^{N,\,X} \\ C^{X,\,N} & C^{N,\,N} \end{pmatrix}$ as a 2x2 block matrix on $H_X \oplus H_N$, we see $C^{X,\,N} : H_X \to H_N$ and $C^{N,\,X} : H_N \to H_X$ are smoothing, in view of (3.26), this gives $\lambda_N = C^{X,\,N}\xi_X + C^{N,\,N}\lambda_N$ and that the first of the maps in question is the restriction of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Pi^N_< C^{X,\,N} & \Pi^N_< C^{N,\,N} \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(X \sqcup N)$. Since $C^{X,\,N}$ is smoothing, we have only to show that $\Pi^N_< C^{N,\,N} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(N)$. But (3.26) states $\begin{pmatrix} C^{X,\,X} & C^{N,\,X} \\ C^{X,\,N} & C^{N,\,N} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^X_< & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi^N_> \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(X \sqcup N)$ and, in particular, that $C^{N,\,N} - \Pi^N_> \in \Psi^{-\infty}(N)$. Hence, $\Pi^N_< C^{N,\,N} = \Pi^N_<(C^{N,\,N} - \Pi^N_>)$ is smoothing. □

Since G_1 is from (3.42) the direct sum of the operators $(\Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}) \circ C[\eth^W] : K(\eth^W) \to \mathrm{ran}(\Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W})$ and $(\Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}) \circ C[\eth^{W'}] : K(\eth^{W'}) \to \mathrm{ran}(\Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'})$ and from (3.32) these are Fredholm, then G_1 is a Fredholm operator with index

$$\mathrm{ind}\,(G_1) = \mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}) \circ C[\eth^W]\right) \;+\; \mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}) \circ C[\eth^{W'}]\right).$$

By Lemma 3.18 $\mathrm{ind}\,(G_1) = \mathrm{ind}\,(\mathcal{G}_1)$. By Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 5 on p.202 of [6] $\mathrm{ind}\,(\mathcal{G}_1) = \mathrm{ind}\,(G_0) + \mathrm{ind}\,(id_{H_N}) = \mathrm{ind}\,(G_0)$. Hence $\mathrm{ind}\,(G_0) = \mathrm{ind}\,(G_1)$. That is, $\Pi^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}_> \oplus U^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}) \circ C[\eth^{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}]$ has index equal to $\mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}) \circ C[\eth^W]\right) \;+\; \mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}) \circ C[\eth^{W'}]\right)$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}) \circ C[\eth^W]\right) &\overset{(3.31)}{=} \mathrm{Tr}_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}\right) \\
&\overset{(3.11)}{=} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{X \sqcup N}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}\right)\right) \\
&\overset{(3.13)}{=} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y}\left(\widetilde{\eta}_{N \sqcup Y}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}) \circ C[\eth^{W'}]\right) &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y}\left(\widetilde{\eta}_{X \sqcup N}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^{W'}] - \Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}\right)\right)\right), \\
&\mathrm{ind}\,\left((\Pi^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}_> \oplus U^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}) \circ C[\eth^{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}]\right) \\
&= \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y}\left(\widetilde{\eta}_{N \sqcup N}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup Y}\left(C[\eth^{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}] - \Pi^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}_> \oplus U^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}\right)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The (reduced) trace $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}_{X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y}$ therefore vanishes on the element

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{N \sqcup N}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup Y}\left(C[\eth^{W_0 \cup_\phi W_1}] - \Pi^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}_> \oplus U^{\partial(W \cup_\phi W')}\right)\right)$$

$$-\widetilde{\eta}_{X \sqcup N}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^{W'}] - \Pi^{\partial W'}_> \oplus U^{\partial W'}\right)\right) - \widetilde{\eta}_{N \sqcup Y}\left(\pi_{X \sqcup N}\left(C[\eth^W] - \Pi^{\partial W}_> \oplus U^{\partial W}\right)\right)$$

in $\frac{\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y)}{[\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(X \sqcup N \sqcup N \sqcup Y)]}$ By (3.10), this element is zero, which is (3.36). □

A closer look at the identity (3.33) reveals that it is equivalent to the Calderon projections fitting together with respect to gluing in the following way:

Corollary 3.19 *With* $C(\eth^{W_1})^\perp := (I \oplus 0) - C(\eth^{W_1}) \in \Psi^0(M_1 \sqcup M_2)$*., one has*

$$\eta_{M_1} C(\eth^{W_0 \cup_{M_1} W_1}) - \eta_{M_2} C(\eth^{W_0}) - \eta_{M_0} C(\eth^{W_1})^\perp \in [\mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2), \mathsf{F}_{-\infty}(M_0 \sqcup M_1 \sqcup M_2)].$$

References

[1] Atiyah, M.F., Patodi, V.K. and Singer, I.M. (1975). Spectral asymmetry and Riemmannian geometry. I. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **77**, 43 – 69.

[2] Atiyah, M.F. and Singer, I.M. (1968). The index of elliptic operators III, Ann. of Math. **87**, 546 – 604.

[3] Booß–Bavnbek, B., Wojciechowski, K.P. (1993). Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators, Birkhäuser, Boston.

[4] Grubb, G. (1999). Trace expansions for pseudodifferential boundary problems for Dirac-type operators and more general systems. Arkiv f. Mat. **37**, 4586.

[5] Leichtnam, E., Luck, W., Kreck, M. (2002). On the cut-and-paste property of higher signatures of a closed oriented manifold, Topology **41**, 725 – 744.

[6] Maclane, S (1971). Categories for the Working Mathematician. Math. Springer-Verlag.

[7] Melrose, R.B. (1995). The eta invariant and families of pseudodifferential operators. Math. Res. Lett., **2**, 541-561.

[8] Ponto, K., Shulman, M. (2011). Traces in symmetric monoidal categories. arXiv: 1107.6032, to appear in Expositiones Mathematicae.

[9] Pressley, A., Segal, G.B. (1986). Loop Groups, OUP Math. Monographs.

[10] Ranicki, A. (1985). The algebraic theory of torsion I. LNM **1126**, Springer 199 – 237

[11] Scott, S. (1995). Determinants of Dirac boundary value problems over odd-dimensional manifolds. Commun. Math. Phys. **173**, 43 – 76.

[12] Stolz, S., Teichner P. (2012). Traces in monoidal categories. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**, 4425-4464.

[13] Wall, C. T. C. (1969). Non-additivity of the signature. Invent. Math. **7**, 269 – 274.

[14] E. Witten (1988). Topological quantum field theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **117**.

Department of Mathematics
King's College London