

# The inertia of weighted unicyclic graphs <sup>\*</sup>

Guihai Yu<sup>a,b</sup>, Xiao-Dong Zhang<sup>c‡</sup>, Lihua Feng<sup>d</sup>

a. School of Mathematics, Shandong Institute of Business and Technology  
Yantai, Shandong, China, 264005.

b. Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University  
Tianjin, China, 300071.

c. Department of Mathematics and MOE-LSC, Shanghai Jiao Tong University  
Shanghai, China, 200240.

d. Department of Mathematics, Central South University  
Railway Campus, Changsha, Hunan, China, 410075

e-mail: yuguihai@126.com; xiaodong@sjtu.edu.cn; fenglh@163.com

## Abstract

Let  $G_w$  be a weighted graph. The *inertia* of  $G_w$  is the triple  $In(G_w) = (i_+(G_w), i_-(G_w), i_0(G_w))$ , where  $i_+(G_w), i_-(G_w), i_0(G_w)$  are the number of the positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix  $A(G_w)$  of  $G_w$  including their multiplicities, respectively.  $i_+(G_w), i_-(G_w)$  is called the *positive, negative index of inertia* of  $G_w$ , respectively. In this paper we present a lower bound for the positive, negative index of weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with fixed girth and characterize all weighted unicyclic graphs attaining this lower bound. Moreover, we characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with two positive, two negative and at least  $n - 6$  zero eigenvalues, respectively.

**Key words:** Weighted unicyclic graphs; Adjacency matrix; Inertia.

**AMS Classifications:** 05C50, 15A18.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a simple graph of order  $n$  with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The *adjacency matrix*  $A(G) = (a_{ij})$  of graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is a symmetric  $(0, 1)$ -matrix such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$  and 0 otherwise. A weighted graph  $G_w$  is a pair  $(G, w)$  where  $G$  is a simple graph with edge set  $E(G)$ , called the *underlying graph* of  $G_w$ , and  $w$  is a weight function from  $E(G)$  to the set of nonzero real numbers. The *adjacency matrix* of  $G_w$  on  $n$  vertices is defined as the matrix  $A(G_w) = (a_{ij})$  such that  $a_{ij} = w(v_i v_j)$  if  $v_i$  is adjacent

---

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11101245, 61202362, 11271256, 11271208), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2013M530869), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong (Nos. BS2010SF017, ZR2011AQ005).

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author.

to  $v_j$  and 0 otherwise. The characteristic polynomial of  $G_w$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $A(G_w)$ , denoted by

$$P_{G_w}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A(G_w)) = \lambda^n + a_1^* \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_n^*.$$

The *inertia* of  $G_w$  is defined to be the triple  $In(G_w) = (i_+(G_w), i_-(G_w), i_0(G_w))$ , where  $i_+(G_w), i_-(G_w), i_0(G_w)$  are the numbers of the positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of  $A(G_w)$  including multiplicities, respectively.  $i_+(G_w)$  and  $i_-(G_w)$  are called the *positive, negative index of inertia* (abbreviated *positive, negative index*) of  $G_w$ , respectively. The number  $i_0(G_w)$  is called the *nullity* of  $G_w$ . The rank of  $n$ -vertex graph  $G_w$ , denoted by  $r(G_w)$ , is defined as the rank of  $A(G_w)$ . Obviously,  $r(G_w) = i_+(G_w) + i_-(G_w) = n - i_0(G_w)$ .

A graph  $G_w$  is called *acyclic* (resp. *unicyclic, bipartite*) if its underlying graph  $G$  is *acyclic* (resp. *unicyclic, bipartite*). An *induced subgraph* of  $G_w$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$  with the same weights. For a subgraph  $H_w$  of  $G_w$ , let  $G_w - H_w$  be the subgraph obtained from  $G_w$  by deleting all vertices of  $H_w$  and all incident edges. For  $V' \subseteq V(G_w)$ ,  $G_w - V'$  is the subgraph obtained from  $G_w$  by deleting all vertices in  $V'$  and all incident edges. A vertex of a graph  $G_w$  is called *pendant* if it has degree one, and is called *quasi-pendant* if it is adjacent to a pendant vertex. For a weighted graph  $G_w$  on at least two vertices, a vertex  $v \in V(G_w)$  is called *unsaturated* in  $G_w$  if there exists a maximum matching  $M$  of  $G$  in which no edge is incident with  $v$ ; otherwise,  $v$  is called *saturated* in  $G_w$ .

A simple graph may be regarded as a weighted graph in which the weight of each edge is +1. A signed graph may be regarded as a weighted graph in which the weight of each edge is +1 or -1. Moreover, the sign of a signed cycle, denoted by  $sgn(C)$ , is defined as the sign of the product of all edge weights +1 or -1 on  $C$ . The signed cycle  $C$  is said to be *positive* (or *negative*) if  $sgn(C) = +$  (or  $sgn(C) = -$ ). A signed graph is said to be *balanced* if all its cycles are positive, otherwise it is called *unbalanced*.

The study of eigenvalues of weighted graph has attracted much attention. Several results about the (Laplacian) spectral radius of weighted graphs were derived in [1, 9, 8, 26, 27]. The inertia of unweighted graphs has attracted some attention. Gregory et al. [18] studied the subadditivity of the positive, negative indices of inertia and developed certain properties of Hermitian rank which were used to characterize the biclique decomposition number. Gregory et al. [19] investigated the inertia of a partial join of two graphs and established a few relations between inertia and biclique decompositions of partial joins of graphs. Daugherty [10] characterized the inertia of unicyclic graphs in terms of matching number and obtained a linear-time algorithm for computing it. Yu et al. [29] investigated the minimal positive index of inertia among all unweighted bicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with pendant vertices, and characterized the bicyclic graphs with positive index 1 or 2. Fan et al. [12] introduced the nullity of signed graphs and characterized the unicyclic signed graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 2, n - 3, n - 4, n - 5$  respectively. Fan et al. [11] characterized the signed graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 2, n - 3$ , respectively, and determined the unbalanced bicyclic signed graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 3$  or  $n - 4$  and bicyclic signed graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 5$ . Sciriha [25] (see also [3]) char-

acterized the unweighted graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 2$  or  $n - 3$ , respectively. Cheng et al. [4, 5] determined the unweighted graphs of order  $n$  with nullity  $n - 4$  or  $n - 5$ . Guo et al. [20] studied some relations between the matching number and the nullity. The nullity of unweighted graphs has been studied well in the literature, see [2] for a survey. However, a characterization of unweighted graphs of order  $n$  with nullity at most  $n - 6$  is still an open problem. There is also a large body of knowledge related to the inertia of unweighted graphs due to its many applications in chemistry (see [6, 15, 21, 23] for details). Motivated by the above description, we shall characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with nullity at least  $n - 6$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are introduced. In Section 3, we present a lower bound for the positive, negative index of weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with girth  $k$  ( $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ) and characterize all weighted unicyclic graphs attaining this lower bound. Moreover, we characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with two positive (negative) eigenvalues and the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with rank 4, respectively. In Section 4, we determine the weighted unicyclic graphs with rank 6. In Section 5, we characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with rank 2, 3, 5, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $M$  be a Hermitian matrix. The three types of elementary congruence matrix operations (ECMOs) of  $M$  are defined as follows:*

- (1) *interchanging  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows of  $M$ , while interchanging  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th columns of  $M$ ;*
- (2) *multiplying  $i$ -th row of  $M$  by non-zero number  $k$ , while multiplying  $i$ -th of column of  $M$  by  $k$ ;*
- (3) *adding  $i$ -th row of  $M$  multiplied by a non-zero number  $k$  to the  $j$ -th row, while adding  $i$ -th column of  $M$  multiplied by  $k$  to the  $j$ -th column.*

**Lemma 2.2** [22] *(Sylvester's law of inertia) Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix and  $P$  be an  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrix. Then*

$$i_+(PMP^T) = i_+(M);$$

$$i_-(PMP^T) = i_-(M).$$

By Sylvester's law of inertia, ECMOs do not change the inertia of a Hermitian matrix.

Moreover, the following result is well known.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix and  $N$  be the real matrix obtained by bordering  $M$  as follows:*

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} M & y \\ y^T & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $y$  is a real column vector and  $a$  is a real number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_+(N) - 1 &\leq i_+(M) \leq i_+(N), \\ i_-(N) - 1 &\leq i_-(M) \leq i_-(N). \end{aligned}$$

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $H_w$  be an induced subgraph of  $G_w$ . Then  $i_+(H_w) \leq i_+(G_w)$ ,  $i_-(H_w) \leq i_-(G_w)$ .*

It is known that the following result hold.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted bipartite graph. Then  $i_+(G_w) = i_-(G_w)$ .*

The following Lemma is essential belong to Theorem 1.1(b) in [19], Lemma 2.3 in [24], or Lemma 2.9 in [29].

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted graph containing a pendant vertex  $v$  with the unique neighbor  $u$ . Then  $i_+(G_w) = i_+(G_w - u - v) + 1$ ,  $i_-(G_w) = i_-(G_w - u - v) + 1$  and  $i_0(G_w) = i_0(G_w - u - v)$ .*

**Example 2.7** *Let  $P_w$  be a weighted path of order  $n = 2s + t$ . Then*

$$\left( i_+(P_w), i_-(P_w), i_0(P_w) \right) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 0 \right) & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 \right) & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $u, v$  be two pendant vertices of a weighted graph  $G_w$ .  $u, v$  are called *pendant twins* if they have the same neighbor in  $G_w$ .

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $u, v$  be pendant twins of a weighted graph  $G_w$ . Then  $i_+(G_w) = i_+(G_w - u) = i_+(G_w - v)$ ,  $i_-(G_w) = i_-(G_w - u) = i_-(G_w - v)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u'$  be the common neighbor of  $u, v$  with  $w_1 = w(uu')$ ,  $w_2 = w(vu')$ . Then the adjacency matrix of  $G_w$  can be expressed as

$$A(G_w) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|c|c} 0 & 0 & w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_2 & 0 \\ \hline w_1 & w_2 & 0 & \alpha \\ \hline 0^t & & \alpha^t & B \end{array} \right),$$

where  $B$  is the adjacency matrix of  $G_w - u - v - u'$  and the first three rows and columns are labeled by  $u, v$  and  $u'$ . So we have

$$i_+(G_w) = i_+ \left( \begin{array}{cc|c|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & w_2 & 0 & \alpha \\ \hline 0^t & & \alpha^t & B \end{array} \right) \quad \text{by the third ECMO}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i_+ \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & w_2 & 0 \\ \hline w_2 & 0 & \alpha \\ \hline 0^t & \alpha^t & B \end{array} \right) \\
&= i_+(G_w - u).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $i_+(G_w) = i_+(G_w - v)$ ,  $i_-(G_w) = i_-(G_w - u) = i_-(G_w - v)$ . ■

A subgraph  $U$  of an unweighted graph  $G$  is called an *elementary subgraph* if each component of  $U$  is a single edge or a cycle. Let  $p(U)$ ,  $c(U)$  be the number of components and the number of cycles contained in an elementary subgraph  $U$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.9** [7] *The coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of the weighted graph  $G_w$  can be expressed as*

$$a_i^* = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_i} (-1)^{p(U)} 2^{c(U)} \prod_{e \in E(U)} (w(e))^{\zeta(e,U)},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is the set of all elementary subgraphs  $U$  contained in the underlying graph  $G$  having exactly  $i$  vertices,  $\zeta(e,U) = 1$  if  $e$  is contained in some cycle of  $U$  and 2 otherwise.

The following Lemma for un-weighted graph is known (for example see [13]).

**Lemma 2.10** *Let  $T_w$  be a weighted tree of order  $n$  with matching number  $m(T_w)$ . Then*

$$i_+(T_w) = i_-(T_w) = m(T_w), \quad i_0(T_w) = n - 2m(T_w).$$

**Proof.** For completeness, we present a proof. It is natural that any elementary subgraph in  $T$  consists only of copies of  $K_2$  and has an even number of vertices. By Lemma 2.9, the coefficients of  $P_{T_w}(\lambda)$  with odd subscript are zero. So we only consider the coefficients with even subscript.

If  $i > m(T_w)$ , there exists no elementary subgraph and  $a_{2i}^* = 0$ . Therefore we suppose  $0 \leq i \leq m(T_w)$  in the sequel. In view of Lemma 2.9, we have  $a_{2i}^* = (-1)^i \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{2i}} \prod_{e \in E(U)} (w(e))^2$ . So  $a_{2m(T_w)}$  is the last non-zero coefficient of  $P_{T_w}(\lambda)$ . It yields that  $i_0(T_w) = n - 2m(T_w)$ . So we have  $i_+(T_w) = i_-(T_w) = m(T_w)$  by Lemma 2.5. ■

**Remark.** Lemma 2.10 shows that the inertia of a weighted tree is independent of the weights.

**Corollary 2.11** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted forest of order  $n$  with matching number  $m(G_w)$ . Then*

$$i_+(G_w) = i_-(G_w) = m(G_w), \quad i_0(G_w) = n - 2m(G_w).$$

The following result is an extension of Theorem 5.2 in [24].

**Lemma 2.12** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted graph. Then  $|i_+(G_w) - i_-(G_w)| \leq c(G_w)$ , where  $c(G_w)$  is the number of all odd cycles in  $G_w$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5, it suffices to consider the non-bipartite graphs. We apply induction on the number of odd cycles in  $G_w$ . Now assume that  $G_w$  contains at least one odd cycle and  $|i_+(G_w - v) - i_-(G_w - v)| \leq c(G_w - v)$  holds for a vertex  $v$  of some odd cycle in  $G_w$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |i_+(G_w) - i_-(G_w)| &\leq |i_+(G_w - v) - i_-(G_w - v)| + 1 \\ &\leq c(G_w - v) + 1 \\ &\leq c(G_w). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

### 3 Inertia of weighted unicyclic graphs

Let  $C_k^w$  be a weighted cycle with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  such that  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(C_k^w)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ),  $v_1 v_k \in E(C_k^w)$ . Let  $w_i = w(v_i v_{i+1})$  and  $w_k = w(v_k v_1)$ . For  $C_k^w$ , let  $W = \prod_{i=1}^k w_i$ . For an even integer  $k$ , let  $W_e = w_2 w_4 \cdots w_k$  and  $W_o = \frac{W}{W_e}$ .

**Definition 3.1** A weighted even cycle  $C_k^w$  is said to be of Type A (resp. Type B) if  $W_o + (-1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} W_e = 0$  (resp.  $W_o + (-1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} W_e \neq 0$ ).

A weighted odd cycle  $C_k^w$  is said to be of Type C (resp. Type D) if  $(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} W > 0$  (resp.  $(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} W < 0$ ).

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $C_n^w$  be a weighted cycle of order  $n$ . Then

$$\left( i_+(C_n^w), i_-(C_n^w), i_0(C_n^w) \right) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2}, 2 \right), & \text{if } C_n^w \text{ is of Type A,} \\ \left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 0 \right), & \text{if } C_n^w \text{ is of Type B,} \\ \left( \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 0 \right), & \text{if } C_n^w \text{ is of Type C,} \\ \left( \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, 0 \right), & \text{if } C_n^w \text{ is of Type D.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $V(C_n^w) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(C_n^w)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ),  $v_1 v_n \in E(C_n^w)$ . Let  $w_i = w(v_i v_{i+1})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) and  $w_n = w(v_n v_1)$ . Then

$$A(C_n^w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_n \\ w_1 & 0 & w_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 & 0 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ w_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Case 1.**  $n$  is even. Applying ECMOs on  $A(C_n^w)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_+(C_n^w) &= i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_n \\ w_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ w_n & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ w_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 \\ w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} \\ w_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 1 + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} \\ w_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^2 \frac{w_2 w_4 w_n}{w_1 w_3} \\ 0 & 0 & w_5 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ -\frac{w_2 w_n}{w_1} & 0 & (-1)^2 \frac{w_2 w_4 w_n}{w_1 w_3} & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2 + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_5 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^2 \frac{w_2 w_4 w_n}{w_1 w_3} \\ w_5 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ (-1)^2 \frac{w_2 w_4 w_n}{w_1 w_3} & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{n-4}{2} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_{n-3} & 0 & c_1 \\ w_{n-3} & 0 & w_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & w_{n-2} & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ c_1 & 0 & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{where } c_1 = (-1)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \frac{w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-4} w_n}{w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-5}}) \\
&= \frac{n-4}{2} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ w_{n-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{where } c_2 = w_{n-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-2} w_n}{w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-3}}) \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_2 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that

$$i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_2 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c_2 = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } c_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$i_+(C_n^w) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{2}, & \text{if } w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-3} w_{n-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-2} w_n = 0, \\ \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-3} w_{n-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-2} w_n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, one has

$$i_-(C_n^w) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{2}, & \text{if } w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-3} w_{n-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-2} w_n = 0, \\ \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-3} w_{n-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-2} w_n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Case 2.**  $n$  is odd. By modifying the above procedure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_+(C_n^w) &= \frac{n-3}{2} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_{n-2} & c_3 \\ w_{n-2} & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ c_3 & w_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } c_3 = (-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \frac{w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-3} w_n}{w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-4}} \\
&= \frac{n-3}{2} + i_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_{n-2} & 0 \\ w_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } c_4 = 2(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{w_2 w_4 \cdots w_{n-1} w_n}{w_1 w_3 \cdots w_{n-2}} \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2} + \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c_4 > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } c_4 < 0. \end{cases} \\
i_-(C_n^w) &= \frac{n-1}{2} + \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c_4 < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } c_4 > 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

It is evident that the sign of  $c_4$  is the same as that of  $(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)$ , which leads to the desired result. ■

### 3.1 Minimal positive (negative) index of weighted unicyclic graphs

Let  $U_{n,k}$  ( $n > k$ ) be an unweighted unicyclic graph obtained from a cycle  $C_k$  by attaching  $n - k$  pendant vertices to a vertex of  $C_k$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$  with girth  $k$  ( $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ). Then  $i_+(G_w) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ ,  $i_-(G_w) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . These bounds are sharp if the underlying graph of  $G_w$  is  $U_{n,k}$ .*

**Proof.** It is evident that the underlying graph of  $G_w$  must contain  $U_{k+1,k}$  as an induced subgraph. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6,  $i_+(U_{k+1,k}) = i_+(P_{k-1}) + 1 = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ , so by Lemma 2.4  $i_+(G_w) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . Similarly,  $i_-(G_w) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.6, any weighted graph with  $U_{n,k}$  as its underlying graph has the same positive (negative) index as  $U_{k+1,k}$ . Therefore  $i_+(U_{n,k}) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  and  $i_-(U_{n,k}) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . ■

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$  with girth  $k$  ( $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ). Then  $i_0(G_w) \leq n - 2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . The bound is sharp if the underlying graph of  $G_w$  is  $U_{n,k}$ .*

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$  with pendant vertices. Then  $i_+(G_w) \geq 2$ ,  $i_-(G_w) \geq 2$  and  $i_0(G_w) \leq n - 4$ . These bounds are sharp if the underlying graph of  $G_w$  is  $U_{n,3}$  or  $U_{n,4}$ .*

### 3.2 Weighted unicyclic graphs with the minimal positive (negative) index

The following result is an extension of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 in [16].

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $T_w$  be a weighted tree with  $u \in V(T_w)$  and  $G_w^0$  be a weighted graph different from  $T_w$ . Let  $G_w$  be a graph obtained from  $G_w^0$  and  $T_w$  by joining  $u$  with certain vertices of  $G_w^0$ . Then the following statements hold:*

(1). *If  $u$  is a saturated vertex in  $T_w$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} i_+(G_w) &= i_+(T_w) + i_+(G_w^0) = m(T_w) + i_+(G_w^0), \\ i_-(G_w) &= i_-(T_w) + i_-(G_w^0) = m(T_w) + i_-(G_w^0). \end{aligned}$$

(2). *If  $u$  is an unsaturated vertex in  $T_w$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} i_+(G_w) &= i_+(T_w - u) + i_+(G_w^0 + u) = m(T_w) + i_+(G_w^0 + u), \\ i_-(G_w) &= i_-(T_w - u) + i_-(G_w^0 + u) = m(T_w) + i_-(G_w^0 + u), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_w^0 + u$  is the subgraph of  $G_w$  induced by the vertices of  $G_w^0$  and  $u$ .

Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph and  $C_k^w$  be the unique weighted cycle of  $G_w$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$ , let  $G_w\{v\}$  be the weighted tree rooted at  $v$  and containing  $v$ . Clearly,  $G_w\{v\}$  is an induced subgraph of  $G_w$ . From Lemma 3.6, it follows that

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph and  $C_k^w$  be the unique weighted cycle of  $G_w$ . Then the following statements hold:*

(1). *If there exists a vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v\}$ , then*

$$i_+(G_w) = i_+(G_w\{v\}) + i_+(G_w - G_w\{v\}),$$

$$i_-(G_w) = i_-(G_w\{v\}) + i_-(G_w - G_w\{v\}).$$

(2). *If there does not exist a vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v\}$ , then*

$$i_+(G_w) = i_+(G_w - C_k^w) + i_+(C_k^w),$$

$$i_-(G_w) = i_-(G_w - C_k^w) + i_-(C_k^w).$$

Let  $G^*$  be an unweighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$  obtained from a cycle  $C_k$  and a star  $K_{1,n-k-1}$  of order  $n-k$  by inserting an edge between a vertex on  $C_k$  and the center of  $K_{1,n-k-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_{n,k}^w$  ( $3 \leq k \leq n-2$ ) be the set of weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with girth  $k$ . In the following we shall characterize all weighted unicyclic graphs with minimal positive (negative) index  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  among all graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,k}^w$ .

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}_{n,k}^w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph associated the unique weighted cycle  $C_k^w$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then  $i_+(G_w) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  if and only if either (1) or (2) holds.*

(1). *If there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $m(G_w\{v_i\}) = 1$  (equivalently,  $G_w\{v_i\}$  is a star) and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_i\}) = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ .*

(2). *If there does not exist a vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v\}$ , then  $G \cong G^*$  and  $C_k^w$  is of  $\begin{cases} \text{Type A for even } k, \\ \text{Type D for odd } k. \end{cases}$*

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $v_i = v_1$ . We shall verify the following claim.

**Claim.**  $G_w\{v_1\}$  is a star and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

Since  $v_1 \in V(C_k^w)$  is saturated in  $G_w\{v_1\}$ , from Lemmas 2.10 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_+(G_w) &= i_+(G_w\{v_1\}) + i_+(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) \\ &= m(G_w\{v_1\}) + m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $k$  is even, then

$$m(G_w\{v_1\}) + m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) = \frac{k}{2}.$$

Note that  $m(G_w\{v_1\}) \geq 1$  and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) \geq \frac{k-2}{2}$  since  $G_w\{v_1\}$  contains  $P_{k-1}$ . So it follows that  $m(G_w\{v_1\}) = 1$  and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) = \frac{k-2}{2}$ .

If  $k$  is odd, then

$$m(G_w\{v_1\}) + m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) = \frac{k+1}{2}.$$

Note that  $m(G_w\{v_1\}) \geq 1$  and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) \geq \frac{k-1}{2}$ . So it follows that  $m(G_w\{v_1\}) = 1$  and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) = \frac{k-1}{2}$ . This completes the proof of Claim.

Now assume that any vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$  is unsaturated in  $G_w\{v\}$ . By Lemmas 2.10 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_+(G_w) &= i_+(C_k^w) + i_+(G_w - C_k^w) \\ &= i_+(C_k^w) + m(G_w - C_k^w). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$m(G_w - C_k^w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } C_k^w \text{ is of Type A,} \\ 0, & \text{if } C_k^w \text{ is of Type B,} \\ 0, & \text{if } C_k^w \text{ is of Type C,} \\ 1, & \text{if } C_k^w \text{ is of Type D.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m(G_w - C_k^w) = 0$ , then any vertex not on  $C_k^w$  is a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex on  $C_k^w$  in  $G_w$ . This contradicts the fact that  $v$  is unsaturated in  $G_w\{v\}$  for any  $v \in V(C_k^w)$ . So  $m(G_w - C_k^w) = 1$ , i.e.  $G_w - C_k^w$  is a star. This implies the result. ■

Similar to Theorem 3.8, we have

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}_{n,k}^w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph associated the unique weighted cycle  $C_k^w$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then  $i_-(G_w) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  if and only if either (1) or (2) holds.*

(1). *If there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $m(G_w\{v_i\}) = 1$  (equivalently,  $G_w\{v_i\}$  is a star) and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_i\}) = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ .*

(2). *If there does not exist a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $G \cong G^*$  and  $C_k^w$  is of  $\begin{cases} \text{Type A for even } k, \\ \text{Type C for odd } k. \end{cases}$*

From Corollary 3.4 and Theorems 3.8, 3.9, it follows that

**Theorem 3.10** *Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}_{n,k}^w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph associated the unique weighted cycle  $C_k^w$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then  $i_0(G_w) = n - 2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  if and only if either (1) or (2) holds.*

(1). *If there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $m(G_w\{v_i\}) = 1$  (equivalently,  $G_w\{v_i\}$  is a star) and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_i\}) = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ .*

(2). *If there does not exist a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $G \cong G^*$  and  $C_k^w$  is of Type A.*

### 3.3 Weighted unicyclic graphs with two positive (negative) eigenvalues

First we define the following four classes of unweighted unicyclic graphs:

$U_1^{r,s}$  ( $r, s \geq 0, r + s = n - 3$ ) is a unicyclic graph of order  $n$  obtained by attaching  $r$  and  $s$  pendant vertices at two different vertices of  $C_3$ , respectively,  $r$  or  $s$  is allowed to be 0.

$U_2^{p,q}$  ( $p, q \geq 0, p + q = n - 4$ ) is a unicyclic graph of order  $n$  obtained by attaching  $p, q$  pendant vertices at two nonadjacent vertices of  $C_4$ , respectively,  $p$  or  $q$  is allowed to be 0.

$U_3^{n-4}$  is a unicyclic graph of order  $n$  obtained from  $C_3$  and  $K_{1,n-4}$  by inserting an edge between a vertex of  $C_3$  and the center of  $K_{1,n-4}$ .

$U_4^{n-5}$  is a unicyclic graph of order  $n$  obtained from  $C_4$  and  $K_{1,n-5}$  by inserting an edge between a vertex of  $C_4$  and the center of  $K_{1,n-5}$ .




Figure 1: Four unicyclic graphs  $U_1^{r,s}$ ,  $U_2^{p,q}$ ,  $U_3^{n-4}$ ,  $U_4^{n-5}$

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_+(G_w) = 2$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs:  $C_3^w$  of Type C;  $C_4^w$  of Type B;  $C_5^w$  of Type D;  $C_6^w$  of Type A; the weighted graphs with  $U_1^{r,s}$  or  $U_2^{p,q}$  as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $U_3^{n-4}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_3^w$  is of Type D; the weighted graphs with  $U_4^{n-5}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.*

**Proof.** The sufficiency can be easily verified by Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2. Next we consider the necessity. Assume that the girth of  $G_w$  is  $k$ .

If  $k = n$ , by virtue of Lemma 3.2,  $G_w$  is one of the following weighted cycles:  $C_3^w$  of Type C;  $C_4^w$  of Type B;  $C_5^w$  of Type D;  $C_6^w$  of Type A.

If  $k = n - 1$ , by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.10,  $G_w$  is one of the weighted graphs with  $U_1^{1,0}$  or  $U_2^{1,0}$  as the underlying graph.

If  $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$ , then by Theorem 3.3,  $2 = i_+(G_w) \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ , which implies that  $k = 3$  or 4 and  $i_+(G_w) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 3.8 that (1) or (2) in Theorem 3.8 holds. We consider the following two cases. If (1) in Theorem 3.8 holds, i.e, there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w \setminus \{v_i\}$ , then  $G_w \setminus \{v_i\}$  is a star and  $m(G_w - G_w \setminus \{v_i\}) = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor = 1$ , i.e,  $G_w - G_w \setminus \{v_i\}$  is a star. Hence  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graphs with  $U_1^{r,s}$  or  $U_2^{p,q}$  as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $U_3^{n-4}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_3^w$  is of Type D; the weighted graphs with  $U_4^{n-5}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.

If (2) in Theorem 3.8 holds, i.e, there does not exist a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w \setminus \{v\}$ , then  $G_w$  is  $U_3^{n-4}$  and  $C_3^w$  is Type D, or  $G_w$  is  $U_4^{n-5}$  and  $C_4^w$  is the Type A. Hence the assertion holds. ■

Similar to the above result, we have

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_-(G_w) = 2$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs:  $C_3^w$  of Type D;  $C_4^w$  of Type B;  $C_5^w$  of Type C;  $C_6^w$  of Type A; the weighted graphs with  $U_1^{r,s}$  or  $U_2^{p,q}$  as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $U_3^{n-4}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_3^w$  is of Type C; the weighted graphs with  $U_4^{n-5}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.*

Note that there does not exist weighted unicyclic graph  $G_w$  with  $i_+(G_w) = 1$  and  $i_-(G_w) = 3$ , or  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  and  $i_-(G_w) = 1$  from Lemma 2.12. Combining this fact with Theorems 3.11 and 3.12, we have

**Theorem 3.13** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_0(G_w) = n - 4$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs:  $C_4^w$  of Type B;  $C_6^w$  of Type A; the weighted graphs with  $U_1^{r,s}$  or  $U_2^{p,q}$  as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $U_4^{n-5}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.*

From Theorem 3.13, we get that

**Corollary 3.14** [12] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a unicyclic signed graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_0(\Gamma) = n - 4$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is one of the following signed graphs of order  $n$ : unbalance  $C_4$ ; unbalance  $C_6$ ; the signed graphs with  $U_1^{r,s}$  or  $U_2^{p,q}$  as the underlying graph; the balance signed graph with  $U_4^{n-5}$  as the underlying graph.*

**Corollary 3.15** [28] *Let  $U$  be an unweighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_0(U) = n - 4$  if and only if  $U$  is one of the following graphs of order  $n$ :  $U_1^{r,s}$ ,  $U_2^{p,q}$ , or  $U_4^{n-5}$ .*

## 4 Weighted unicyclic graphs with rank 6

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with three positive (negative) eigenvalues and girth  $k$ . Then  $k \leq 8$ .*

**Proof.** If  $k \geq 9$ , then  $G_w$  must contain  $P_8^w$  as an induced subgraph. By Lemma 2.4,  $i_+(G_w) \geq 4$  ( $i_-(G_w) \geq 4$ ) which is a contradiction. ■

In what follows we shall characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs with three positive eigenvalues. Let  $\mathcal{U}^*$  be the set of weighted unicyclic graphs without pendant twins. By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs among all graphs in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . Let  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) be the unweighted graph obtained from  $C_8$  (resp.  $C_7$ ) by attaching a pendant edge on a vertex of  $C_8$  (resp.  $C_7$ ).

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with girth  $k$ . Then

(1). If  $k = 7$ ,  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is  $C_7^w$  of Type D.

(2). If  $k = 8$ ,  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is  $C_8^w$  of Type A.

**Proof.** The sufficiency can be easily verified by Lemma 3.2. Next we consider the necessity.

If  $G_w$  is a cycle, by Lemma 3.2  $G_w$  is  $C_8^w$  of Type A, or  $C_7^w$  of Type D. Assume that the underlying graph of  $G_w$  contains  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  as an induced subgraph. By Lemma 2.6, we have  $i_+(G_w) \geq i_+(G_1) = 1 + i_+(P_7) = 4$  and  $i_+(G_w) \geq i_+(G_2) = 1 + i_+(P_6) = 4$  which contradicts the fact that  $i_+(G_w) = 3$ . This completes the proof. ■




Figure 2: Four unweighted graphs in Theorem 4.3

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with girth 6. Then  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graphs with  $G_3$ ,  $G_4$  or  $G_5$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $G_6$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_6^w$  is of Type A.




Figure 3: Five unweighted graphs excluded by  $i_+(G_w) = 3$

**Proof.** The sufficiency can be easily verified by Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2. Next we consider the necessity.

By Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2, the graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 7, 8, \dots, 11$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 3) as the underlying graph have four positive eigenvalues. Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted graph with girth 6 and positive index 3.

**Case 1.**  $G_w - C_6^w$  is a set of isolated vertices.

If the order of the graph  $G_w - C_6^w$  is 1,  $G_w$  is the graph with  $G_3$  as the underlying graph.

If the order of the graph  $G_w - C_6^w$  is 2,  $G_w$  is the graph with  $G_4$  as the underlying graph.

The graph with  $G_7$  or  $G_8$  as the underlying graph has four positive eigenvalues.

If the order of the graph  $G_w - C_6^w$  is at least 3,  $G_w$  is the graph with  $G_5$  as the underlying graph. Moreover, other graphs must contain  $G_7$  as an induced subgraph and have more than three positive eigenvalues.

**Case 2.**  $G_w - C_6^w$  contains  $P_2$  as an induced subgraph.

Then  $G_w$  is the graph with  $G_6$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_6^w$  is of Type A. Any other graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since its underlying graph contain one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 7, 8, \dots, 11$ ) as an induced subgraph. ■

Similar to Theorem 4.3, we have

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with girth 5. Then  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 12, \dots, 15$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 4) as the underlying graph; the weighted graph with  $G_{16}$  (as depicted in Fig. 4) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_5^w$  is of Type D.*




Figure 4: Five unweighted graphs in Theorem 4.4

**Theorem 4.5** *Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with girth 4. Then  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 18, 19, \dots, 28$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $G_{17}$  (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type B; the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 29, 30, \dots, 34$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.*

**Proof.** The sufficiency is easily verified by Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2. Next we consider the necessity.

By Lemmas 2.6 and 3.2, the graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 35, 36, \dots, 50$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 6) as the underlying graph have four positive eigenvalues. Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted graph with girth 4 and positive index 3. For convenience, denote by  $G^\diamond$  the underlying graph of  $G_w - C_4^w$ .

**Case 1.**  $G^\diamond$  is a set of isolated vertices.

$G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{18}$ , or  $G_{19}$  as the underlying graph.

**Case 2.**  $G^\diamond$  contains  $P_2$ , but no  $P_3$ , as an induced subgraph.

If  $G^\diamond = P_2$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{17}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type B.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of an isolated vertex and  $P_2$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{20}$ ,  $G_{21}$  or  $G_{22}$  as the underlying graph.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of two isolated vertices and  $P_2$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{23}$  or  $G_{24}$  as its underlying graph.




Figure 5: Eighteen unweighted graphs in Theorem 4.5

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of more than two isolated vertices and  $P_2$ , any weighted graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since its underlying graph contains  $G_{35}$  or  $G_{36}$  as an induced subgraph.

If  $G^\diamond$  is two copies of  $P_2$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{29}$ ,  $G_{30}$  or  $G_{31}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of some isolated vertices and two  $P_2$ 's, any weighted graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since its underlying graph contains one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 35, 36, \dots, 39$ ) as an induced subgraph.

If  $G^\diamond$  contains three  $P_2$ 's as its induced subgraph, any weighted graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since its underlying graph contains one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 35, 36, 37$ ) as an induced subgraph.

**Case 3.**  $G^\diamond$  contains  $P_3$ , but no  $P_4$ , as an induced subgraph.

If  $G^\diamond = P_3$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{25}$  as its underlying graph.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of one isolated vertex and  $P_3$ ,  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graphs with  $G_{26}$  or  $G_{27}$  as the underlying graph.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of two isolated vertices and  $P_3$ ,  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{28}$  as the underlying graph.

If  $G^\diamond$  is the union of more than two isolated vertices and  $P_3$ , any graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since it contains  $G_{40}$ ,  $G_{41}$  or  $G_{42}$  as an induced subgraph.

If  $G^\diamond$  contains the union of  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  as its induced subgraph, any graph has more than three positive eigenvalues since it contains  $G_{40}$ ,  $G_{43}$  or  $G_{44}$  as an induced subgraph.

**Case 4.**  $G^\diamond$  contains  $P_4$ , but no  $P_5$ , as an induced subgraph.




Figure 6: Sixteen unweighted graphs excluded by  $i_+(G_w) = 3$

$G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{32}$ , or  $G_{33}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A. All other graphs have more than three positive eigenvalues since their underlying graphs contain one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 40, 41, \dots, 47$ ) as an induced subgraph.

**Case 5.**  $G^\diamond$  contains  $P_5$  as an induced subgraph.

$G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $G_{34}$  as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A. All other graphs have more than three positive eigenvalues since their underlying graph contain one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 40, 41, \dots, 49$ ) as an induced subgraph. ■

Similar to Theorem 4.5, we have

**Theorem 4.6** Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with girth 3. Then  $i_+(G_w) = 3$  if and only if  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 52, 53, \dots, 60$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 7) as the underlying graph; the weighted graphs with  $G_{51}$  (as depicted in Fig. 7) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_3^w$  is of Type C; the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 61, 62, \dots, 65$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 7) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_3^w$  is of Type D.

**Theorem 4.7** Let  $G_w \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with rank 6 and girth  $k$ . Then  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$ , or 8 and

- (1). if  $k = 3$ , then  $G_w$  is one of the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 52, 53, \dots, 60$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 7) as the underlying graph;
- (2). if  $k = 4$ , then  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 18, 19, \dots, 28$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph; the weighted graph with  $G_{17}$  (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type B; the weighted graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 29, \dots, 34$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_4^w$  is of Type A;




Figure 7: Fifteen unweighted graphs in Theorem 4.6

- (3). if  $k = 5$ , then  $G_w$  is one of the weighted graphs with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 12, \dots, 15$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 4) as the underlying graph;
- (4). if  $k = 6$ , then  $G_w$  is one of the following graphs: the weighted graph with  $G_3$ ,  $G_4$  or  $G_5$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph; the weighted graph with  $G_6$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph in which the cycle  $C_6^w$  is of Type A;
- (5). if  $k = 8$ , then  $G_w$  is  $C_8^w$  of Type A.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.12,  $r(G_w) = 6$  if and only if  $i_+(G_w) = i_-(G_w) = 3$  for any weighted unicyclic  $G_w$ . So it suffices to characterize the weighted unicyclic graphs with rank 6 among all weighted unicyclic graphs with three positive eigenvalues. That is to say, we eliminate graphs  $G_w$  with  $i_-(G_w) \neq 3$  among all graphs with three positive eigenvalues. So the results follow from Lemma 4.1 and Theorems 4.2–4.6. ■

From Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 3.2, we have

**Corollary 4.8** Let  $G \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be an unweighted unicyclic graph with rank 6 and girth  $k$ . Then  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6, \text{ or } 8$  and

- (1). if  $k = 3$ , then  $G$  is one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 52, 53, \dots, 60$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 7);
- (2). if  $k = 4$ , then  $G$  is one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 18, 19, \dots, 34$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5);
- (3). if  $k = 5$ , then  $G$  is one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 12, \dots, 15$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 4);
- (4). if  $k = 6$ , then  $G$  is one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 3, 4, 5$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 2);
- (5). if  $k = 8$ , then  $G$  is  $C_8$ .

**Corollary 4.9** Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be a unicyclic signed graph with rank 6 and girth  $k$ . Then  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6, \text{ or } 8$  and

- (1). if  $k = 3$ , then  $\Gamma$  is one of the signed graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 52, 53, \dots, 60$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 7) as the underlying graph;
- (2). if  $k = 4$ , then  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs: the signed graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 18, 19, \dots, 28$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph; the unbalanced signed graph with  $G_{17}$  (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph; the balanced signed graph with one of  $G_i$ 's ( $i = 29, \dots, 34$ ) (as depicted in Fig. 5) as the underlying graph;
- (3). if  $k = 5$ , then  $\Gamma$  is one of the signed graphs with  $G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}$  or  $G_{15}$  (as depicted in Fig. 4) as the underlying graph;
- (4). if  $k = 6$ , then  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs: the signed graph with  $G_3, G_4$  or  $G_5$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph; the balanced signed graph with  $G_6$  (as depicted in Fig. 2) as the underlying graph;
- (5). if  $k = 8$ , then  $\Gamma$  is the balanced cycle  $C_8$ .

By Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.7, we have

**Theorem 4.10** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph with rank 6. Then the underlying graph of  $G_w$  must contain one of the graphs described in Theorem 4.7 as an induced subgraph.*

By Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.10, we can characterize all weighted (unweighted) unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with rank 6 by attaching some appropriate pendant vertices to certain neighbors of a few pendant vertices of the graphs described in Corollary 4.8.

**Example 4.11** *All unweighted unicyclic graphs of order 8 with rank 6 are  $C_8$  and the 45 graphs depicted in Fig. 8. This result corresponds to the one obtained by Cvetković and Rowlinson [7].*

## 5 Weighted unicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3, 5

Fan et al [12] characterized the unicyclic signed graph of order  $n$  with rank 2, 3, 4, 5, respectively. In this section we shall consider the same question following the ideas in [12]. In Section 3, we characterized the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with rank 4. Here we shall determine the weighted unicyclic graphs of order  $n$  with rank 2, 3, 5, respectively.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$  and  $C_k^w$  be the unique cycle in  $G_w$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then*

- (1).  $i_0(G_w) = n - 2$  ( $r(G_w) = 2$ ) if and only if  $G_w$  is the weighted cycle  $C_4$  which is of Type A.
- (2).  $i_0(G_w) = n - 3$  ( $r(G_w) = 3$ ) if and only if  $G_w$  is the cycle  $C_3$  with arbitrary weights.




Figure 8: 45 unweighted unicyclic graphs on eight vertices

**Proof.** It is obvious that the sufficiency for (1) or (2) holds by Lemma 3.2. Next we consider the necessity.

Assume that  $i_0(G_w) = n - 2$ . If  $G_w$  is a weighted cycle, then  $G_w$  is the weighted cycle  $C_4$  which is of Type A by Lemma 3.2. Next assume that  $G_w$  contains pendant edges. Suppose there exists a vertex  $v_i$  in  $C_k^w$  such that it is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $v_1 \in V(C_k^w)$  is saturated in  $G_w\{v_1\}$ . By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_0(G_w) &= i_0(G_w\{v_1\}) + i_0(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) \\ &= n - 2m(G_w\{v_1\}) - 2m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m(G_w\{v_1\}) \geq 1$  and  $m(G_w - G_w\{v_1\}) \geq 1$ ,  $i_0(G_w) \leq n - 4$ . This is a contradiction.

Suppose there does not exist a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ . By Lemma 3.7,

$$i_0(G_w) = i_0(G_w - C_k^w) + i_0(C_k^w)$$

$$= n - k - 2m(G_w - C_k^w) + i_0(C_k^w).$$

It yields that  $i_0(C_k^w) = k + 2(m(G_w - C_k^w) - 1) \geq k \geq 3$  which is a contradiction.

Assume that  $i_0(G_w) = n - 3$ . If  $G_w$  is a weighted cycle, by Lemma 3.2,  $G_w$  is  $C_3^w$  with arbitrary weights. Assume that  $G_w$  contains at least one pendant edge. By the above discussion, if there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $i_0(G_w) \leq n - 4$  which is a contradiction. If there does not exist a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ , then  $i_0(C_k^w) = k + 2m(G_w - C_k^w) - 3 \geq k - 1 \geq 2$ . So only if  $k = 3$ ,  $i_0(G_w) = 2$ . But  $i_0(C_3^w) = 0$ . This case cannot occur. ■

The following results are immediate from Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 5.2** *Let  $G$  be an unweighted unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then*

(1).  $i_0(G) = n - 2$  ( $r(G) = 2$ ) if and only if  $G$  is the cycle  $C_4$ .

(2).  $i_0(G) = n - 3$  ( $r(G) = 3$ ) if and only if  $G$  is the cycle  $C_3$ .

**Corollary 5.3** [12] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a unicyclic signed graph of order  $n$ . Then*

(1).  $i_0(\Gamma) = n - 2$  ( $r(\Gamma) = 2$ ) if and only if  $\Gamma$  is the balanced cycle  $C_4$ .

(2).  $i_0(\Gamma) = n - 3$  ( $r(\Gamma) = 3$ ) if and only if  $\Gamma$  is the cycle  $C_3$ .

Let  $H_{n,3}^1$  be an unweighted unicyclic graph obtained by joining a vertex of  $C_3$  and the center of  $K_{1,n-4}$ , the star of order  $n - 3$ .

**Theorem 5.4** *Let  $G_w$  be a weighted unicyclic graph of order  $n \geq 5$ . Then  $i_0(G_w) = n - 5$  ( $r(G_w) = 5$ ) if and only if  $G_w$  is the weighted graph with  $C_5$ , or  $H_{n,3}^1$  as the underlying graph.*

**Proof.** It is obvious that the sufficiency holds by Lemmas 3.2 and 2.6.

Necessity: If  $G_w$  is a weighted cycle, by Lemma 3.2,  $G_w$  is  $C_5^w$  with arbitrary weights. Next assume that  $G_w$  contains at least one pendant edge. Suppose there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(C_k^w)$  which is saturated in  $G_w\{v_i\}$ . Then  $i_0(G_w) = n - 2m(G_w\{v_i\}) - 2m(G_w - G_w\{v_i\})$ . So  $2m(G_w\{v_i\}) + 2m(G_w - G_w\{v_i\}) = 5$  which is a contradiction.

Assume that for any vertex  $v \in V(C_k^w)$  it is not saturated in  $G_w\{v\}$ . By Lemma 3.2, we have  $i_0(G_w) = n - k - 2m(G_w - C_k^w) + i_0(C_k^w)$ . Hence

$$i_0(C_k^w) = k + 2m(G_w - C_k^w) - 5. \quad (*)$$

Note that  $i_0(C_k^w) = 0$ , or 2. If  $i_0(C_k^w) = 0$ , then  $k = 3$  and  $m(G_w - C_k^w) = 1$  which implies  $G_w - C_k^w$  is a star. Hence the underlying graph  $G$  of  $G_w$  is isomorphism to  $H_{n,3}^1$ . If  $i_0(C_k^w) = 2$ , we have  $k \leq 5$ . Then  $k = 4$  by Lemma 3.2. From (\*),  $2m(G_w - C_k^w) = 3$  which is a contradiction. This case cannot hold. ■

The next results follow from Theorem 5.4.

**Corollary 5.5** [20] Let  $G$  be a unicyclic graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_0(G) = n - 5$  ( $r(G) = 5$ ) if and only if  $G$  is the cycle  $C_5$  or  $H_{n,3}^1$ .

**Corollary 5.6** [12] Let  $\Gamma$  be a unicyclic signed graph of order  $n$ . Then  $i_0(\Gamma) = n - 5$  ( $r(\Gamma) = 5$ ) if and only if  $\Gamma$  is the following graphs: the cycle  $C_5$ ; the signed graph with  $H_{n,3}^1$  as the underlying graph.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are grateful to two anonymous referees for many helpful comments and suggestion to an earlier version of this paper.

## References

- [1] A. Berman, M. Farber, A lower bound for the second largest Laplacian eigenvalues of weighted graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 22 (2011) 1179–1184.
- [2] B. Borovićanin, I. Gutman, Nullity of graphs, *Applications of Graph Spectra* (D. Cvetković, I. Gutman eds.), Math. Inst., Belgrade, 2009, pp. 107–122.
- [3] B. Cheng, B.L. Liu, On the nullity of graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 16 (2007) 60–67.
- [4] G.J. Cheng, L.H. Huang, H.G. Yeh, A characterization of graphs with rank 4, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434(8) (2011) 1793–1798.
- [5] G.J. Cheng, L.H. Huang, H.G. Yeh, A characterization of graphs with rank 5, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436(11) (2012) 4241–4250.
- [6] L. Collatz, U. Sinogowitz, Spektren endlicher grafen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 21 (1957) 63–77.
- [7] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, Spectra of unicyclic graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 3 (1978) 7–23.
- [8] K.C. Das, Extremal graph characterization from the bounds of the spectral radius of weighted graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011) 7420–7426.
- [9] K.C. Das, R.B. Bapat, A sharp upper bound on the spectral radius of weighted graphs, *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 3180–3186.
- [10] S. Daugherty, The inertia of unicyclic graphs and the implications for closed-shells, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008) 849–858.
- [11] Y.Z. Fan, W. Du, C. Dong, The nullity of bicyclic signed graphs, *arXiv: 1207.6765v1*.
- [12] Y.Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, A note on the nullity of unicyclic signed graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 1193–1200.
- [13] S. Fiorini, I. Gutman, I. Sciriha, Trees with maximum nullity, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 397 (2005) 245–251.

- [14] S. Fiorini, R.J. Wilson, *Edge-Colourings of Graphs*, Pitman, London, 1977, pp. 25–26.
- [15] P.W. Fowler, D.E. Manolopoulos, *An Atlas of Fullerenes*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [16] S.C. Gong, Y.Z. Fan, Z. Yin, On the nullity of graphs with pendant trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010) 1374–1380.
- [17] D.A. Gregory, B. Heyink, K.N. Vander Meulen, Inertia and biclique decompositions of joins of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 88 (2003) 135–151.
- [18] D.A. Gregory, V.L. Watts, B.L. Shader, Biclique decompositions and Hermitian rank, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 292 (1999) 267–280.
- [19] D.A. Gregory, B. Heyink, K.N. Vander Meulen, Inertia and biclique decompositions of joins of graphs, *J. of Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 88 (2003) 135–151.
- [20] J. Guo, W. Yan, Y. Yeh, On the nullity and the matching number of unicyclic graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 1293–1301.
- [21] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals, *Topics in Current Chemistry* 42 (1973) 49–93.
- [22] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [23] H.C. Longuet-Higgins, Some studies in molecular orbital theory I. Resonance structures and molecular orbitals in unsaturated hydrocarbons, *J. Chem. Phys.* 18 (1950) 265–274.
- [24] H.C. Ma, W.H. Yang, S.G. Li, Positive and negative inertia index of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 331–341.
- [25] I. Sciriha, On the rank of graphs, in: Y. Alavi, D.R. Lick, A. Schwenk, *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms*, vol. II, New Issue Press, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Michigan, 1999, pp. 769–778.
- [26] S. Sorgun, Ş. Büyükköse, On the bounds for the largest Laplacian eigenvalues of weighted graphs, *Discrete Optim.* 9 (2012) 122–129.
- [27] S. Sorgun, Ş. Büyükköse, The new upper bounds on the spectral radius of weighted graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012) 5231–5238.
- [28] X. Tan, B. Liu, On the nullity of unicyclic graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 408 (2005) 212–220.
- [29] G. Yu, L. Feng, Q. Wang, Bicyclic graphs with small positive index of inertia, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 2036–2045.