

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JCAP

Observing remnants by fermions' tunneling

Deyou Chen,^a Houwen Wu,^b Haitang Yang^b

^aCollege of Physics and Electronic Information, China West Normal University,
Nanchong 637009, China

^bCenter for Theoretical Physics, College of Physical Science and Technology,
Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

E-mail: dchen@cwnu.edu.cn, 2013222020003@stu.scu.edu.cn, hyanga@scu.edu.cn

Abstract. The standard Hawking formula predicts the complete evaporation of black holes. In this paper, we introduce effects of quantum gravity into fermions' tunneling from Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr black holes. The quantum gravity effects slow down the increase of Hawking temperatures. This property naturally leads to a residue mass in black hole evaporation. The corrected temperatures are affected by the quantum numbers of emitted fermions. Meanwhile, the temperature of the Kerr black hole is a function of θ due to the rotation.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Generalized Dirac equation	2
3	Fermion's tunneling in the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime	4
4	Fermion's tunneling in the Kerr spacetime	7
5	Discussion and conclusion	11

1 Introduction

Hawking radiation is interpreted as a quantum tunneling process at black holes' horizons. In the original research [1], the standard Hawking formula was derived. It implies that the complete evaporation of black holes. This result can be seen as a direct consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

The semi-classical tunneling method is an effective way to study the radiation [2]. Using this method, much fruit has been achieved [3–8]. Taking into account the dynamics of space-time, Parikh and Wilczek first researched the tunneling radiation of massless scalar particles in spherically symmetrical black holes [3]. The result shows that the tunneling rate is related to the change of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. The corrected temperature is higher than the standard one, which implies that the varied spacetime accelerates the black holes' evaporation. Equations of motion of massless and massive particles have different features. Massless particles move along the null geodesics. The motion of massive particles obeys de Broglie wave and is the phase velocity of outgoing particles. Thus using the relation between phase velocity and group velocity, this work was extended to the tunneling radiation of massive and charged scalar particles [4, 5]. This result is full in consistence with that of Parikh and Wilczek. Subsequently, Kerner and Mann successfully extended this work to the radiation of fermions [6]. The standard Hawking temperature was recovered. In this work, one doesn't need the assumption that the particle move along the radial geodesics.

On the other hand, various theories of quantum gravity imply the existence of a minimal observable length [9–13]. This length can be realized in the model of generalized uncertainty principle (GUP), which in turn is a consequence of the modified fundamental commutation relations. To study quantum effects of black holes, the traditional semi-classical methods quantize the emitted particle fields only and leave the gravitational background in a classical manner. While, the GUP model, introducing gravitational effects into quantum mechanics, is a different direction towards the quantum theory of gravity. The general form of the modified commutator, completely irrelevant to the emitted particles, is a representation of the quantum property of gravity itself. Therefore, in some sense, the GUP model is a simple realization of the quantization of gravity, but not the emitted particles, though the modifications are imposed upon the emitted particles in calculations.

Introducing GUP into the black hole physics [14–21], many interest results have been discovered. In [15], the remnant mass, corrections to the area law and heat capacity were obtained. A model for quantum black holes was introduced in [16] and the authors showed

that the Wheeler-Dewitt equation is similar to the equation of motion of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Then the entropy and Hawking temperature of Schwarzschild black hole were addressed with GUP. The black hole thermodynamics and the remnants were discussed in [17–20]. In [21], the authors modified the commutation relation between the radial coordinate and its conjugate momentum by the expression of GUP. They considered the existence of natural cutoffs as a minimal length, a minimal momentum and a maximal momentum. Then by combining Parikh-Wilczek semi-classical tunneling method and GUP, the radiation of massless scalar particles in the Schwarzschild black hole was discussed. It turns out that the corrected Hawking temperature is dependent on the energy of emitted particles. However, all the above researches focus on scalar particles and to our knowledge, there is little discussion about fermions in literature.

In this paper, we investigate remnants by fermions’ tunneling across the horizons of Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr black holes. In the discussion, effects of quantum gravity are taken into account. Our calculation shows that the quantum gravity correction is related not only to the black hole’s mass but also to the quantum numbers of emitted fermions. Moreover, the quantum gravity correction explicitly retards the temperature rising in the process of black hole evaporation. Therefore, at some point during the evaporation, the quantum correction balances the traditional temperature rising tendency. This leads to the existence of the remnants.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, from the modified fundamental commutation relation, we generalize Dirac equation in curved spacetime. In section 3, incorporating GUP, we investigate the tunneling of charged fermions in the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. The tunneling of uncharged fermions in the Kerr black hole is discussed and the remnants are derived in section 4. Section 5 is devoted to our discussion and conclusion.

2 Generalized Dirac equation

An important model to realize the minimal observable length is the GUP

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} [1 + \beta \Delta p^2], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\beta = \beta_0/M_p^2$. M_p is the Planck mass. β_0 is a dimensionless parameter marking quantum gravity effects. We set $c = G = k_B = 1$ in this paper. We relaxing the upper bound of β_0 from simple electroweak consideration $\beta_0 < 10^5$ [22]. Kempf et. al. [23] first made modifications on the commutation relations $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} [1 + \beta p^2]$, where x_i and p_i are position and momentum operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_{0i}, \\ p_i &= p_{0i}(1 + \beta p^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

respectively. x_{0i} and p_{0j} satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[x_{0i}, p_{0j}] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$. Then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 &= p_i p^i = -\hbar^2 [1 - \beta\hbar^2 (\partial_j \partial^j)] \partial_i \cdot [1 - \beta\hbar^2 (\partial^j \partial_j)] \partial^i \\ &\simeq -\hbar^2 [\partial_i \partial^i - 2\beta\hbar^2 (\partial^j \partial_j) (\partial^i \partial_i)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In the last step, only leading order term of β is kept. Following [24], to realize quantum gravity effects, the definition of generalized frequency is found to be

$$\tilde{\omega} = E(1 - \beta E^2), \quad (2.4)$$

with the definition of energy operator $E = i\hbar\partial_0$. Considering the energy mass shell condition $p^2 + m^2 = E^2$, we get the expression of energy [21, 24–26]

$$\tilde{E} = E[1 - \beta(p^2 + m^2)]. \quad (2.5)$$

For massless particles, $m = 0$, the tunneling in Schwarzschild spacetime was studied and the corrected black hole's temperature was given in [21]. In this paper, we investigate the radiation of spin-1/2 fermions in curved spacetime where effects of quantum gravity are taken into account. Generalized Dirac equation based on GUP in flat spacetime has been gotten in [25]. In curved spacetime, Dirac equation with an electromagnetic field is

$$i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + \Omega_\mu + \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu \right) \psi + \frac{m}{\hbar} \psi = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Omega_\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2} \omega_\mu{}^{ab} \Sigma_{ab}$, $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ is the spin connection defined by the ordinary connection and the tetrad $e^\lambda{}_b$

$$\omega_\mu{}^a{}_b = e_\nu{}^a e^\lambda{}_b \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu - e^\lambda{}_b \partial_\mu e_\lambda{}^a. \quad (2.7)$$

The Greek indices are raised and lowered by the curved metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The Latin indices are governed by the flat metric η_{ab} . To construct the tetrad, one uses the following definitions,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu{}^a e_\nu{}^b \eta_{ab}, \quad \eta_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu} e^\mu{}_a e^\nu{}_b, \quad e^\mu{}_a e_\nu{}^a = \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad e^\mu{}_a e_\mu{}^b = \delta_a^b. \quad (2.8)$$

In the definition of Ω_μ , Σ_{ab} 's are the Lorentz spinor generators defined by

$$\Sigma_{ab} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \quad \{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, it is readily to construct the γ^μ 's in curved spacetime as

$$\gamma^\mu = e^\mu{}_a \gamma^a, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.10)$$

Equation (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$-i\gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi = \left(i\gamma^i \partial_i + i\gamma^\mu \Omega_\mu + i\gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu + \frac{m}{\hbar} \right) \psi. \quad (2.11)$$

Inserting equations (2.3) and (2.5) into equation (2.11) and neglecting higher orders of β yield the generalized Dirac equation in curved spacetime

$$-i\gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi = \left(i\gamma^i \partial_i + i\gamma^\mu \Omega_\mu + i\gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu + \frac{m}{\hbar} \right) (1 + \beta \hbar^2 \partial_j \partial^j - \beta m^2) \psi, \quad (2.12)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left[i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\gamma^i\partial_i(1 - \beta m^2) + i\gamma^i\beta\hbar^2(\partial_j\partial^j)\partial_i + \frac{m}{\hbar}(1 + \beta\hbar^2\partial_j\partial^j - \beta m^2) + i\gamma^\mu\frac{i}{\hbar}eA_\mu(1 + \beta\hbar^2\partial_j\partial^j - \beta m^2) + i\gamma^\mu\Omega_\mu(1 + \beta\hbar^2\partial_j\partial^j - \beta m^2) \right] \psi = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

This is the equation of motion of charged fermions. When $e = 0$, it describes the motion of uncharged fermions. In the following sections, equation (2.13) is adopted to discuss the tunneling radiation of fermions in the Reissner-Nordstrom and the Kerr spacetimes.

3 Fermion's tunneling in the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime

The Reissner-Norstrom black hole describes a spherically symmetric static spacetime with charge Q . The metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + g(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (3.1)$$

with the electromagnetic potential

$$A_\mu = (A_t, 0, 0, 0) = \left(\frac{Q}{r}, 0, 0, 0 \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$f(r) = g(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} = \frac{(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}{r^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$ are locations of the outer horizon and the inner horizon, respectively. For a spin-1/2 particle, there are two states corresponding to spin up and spin down. In this paper, without losing generality, we only consider the state with spin up. The calculation of the spin down state is parallel. Therefore, we suppose the wave function of the emitted fermions is

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \\ B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}I(t, r, \theta, \phi)\right), \quad (3.4)$$

where I is the action and A, B are functions of t, r, θ, ϕ . There are many choices to construct the γ^μ matrices. We first explore the tetrad. For the metric (3.1), one can easily construct

$$e_\mu{}^a = \text{diag}\left(\sqrt{f}, 1/\sqrt{g}, r, r\sin\theta\right). \quad (3.5)$$

Then the γ^μ matrices are

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)}} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\theta = \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^r &= \sqrt{g(r)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\phi = \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

In the equation above, σ^i 's are the Pauli matrices, $\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}$. Inserting the wave function and gamma matrices into the generalized Dirac equation (2.13), applying the WKB approximation, and keep only the leading order of \hbar , we get the the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}-iA \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \partial_t I - B(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g} \partial_r I - Am\beta \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\ + B\beta \sqrt{g} \partial_r I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + Am(1 - \beta m^2) \\ - iA \frac{eA_t}{\sqrt{f}} \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta \left(g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right) \right] = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}iB \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \partial_t I - A(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g} \partial_r I - Bm\beta \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\ + A\beta \sqrt{g} \partial_r I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + Bm(1 - \beta m^2) \\ + iB \frac{eA_t}{\sqrt{f}} \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta \left(g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right) \right] = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A \left\{ -(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \beta \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. - i(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I + i\beta \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B \left\{ -(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \beta \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. - i(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I + i\beta \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

It is difficult to solve the action from the above equations. Considering the property of the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime and the question we are addressing, following the standard process, we carry out separation of variables

$$I = -\omega t + W(r) + \Theta(\theta, \phi),\tag{3.11}$$

where ω is the energy of emitted fermions. We first observe the last two equations in (3.7)-(3.10). Inserting equation (3.11) into equations (3.9) and (3.10), cancelling respectively A and B , we find that they are the same equation and can be written as

$$\left(\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta\Theta + i\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi\Theta\right) \left[\beta g^{rr}(\partial_r W)^2 + \beta g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta\Theta)^2 + \beta g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi\Theta)^2 + \beta m^2 - 1\right] = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

which implies

$$\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta\Theta + i\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi\Theta = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

since the terms in the square bracket can not be balanced to vanish. The solution of Θ is a complex function (other than the trivial one $\Theta = \text{constant}$) and has contribution to the action. However, it has no contribution to the tunneling rate. Now we consider equations (3.7) and (3.8), from which the radial action is derived and the temperature of black hole is determined. Substituting equation (3.11) into equations (3.7), (3.8) and canceling A and B yield

$$A_6 (\partial_r W)^6 + A_4 (\partial_r W)^4 + A_2 (\partial_r W)^2 + A_0 = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_6 &= \beta^2 g^3 f, \\ A_4 &= \beta g^2 f (m^2 \beta - 2) - \beta^2 g^2 e^2 A_t^2, \\ A_2 &= g f (1 - \beta m^2) (1 + \beta m^2) + 2\beta g e A_t [-\omega + e A_t (1 - \beta m^2)], \\ A_0 &= -m^2 f (1 - \beta m^2)^2 - [\omega - e A_t (1 - \beta m^2)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Neglecting higher order terms of β and solving equation (3.14) at the event horizon yield the solution of the radial action. The particle's tunneling rate is determined by the imaginary part of the action,

$$\begin{aligned} Im W_\pm(r) &= Im \int dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{g f}} \sqrt{m^2 f + [\omega - e A_t (1 - \beta m^2)]^2} \left(1 + \beta m^2 + \beta \frac{\tilde{\omega}_0^2}{f} - \frac{\beta e A_t \tilde{\omega}_0}{f}\right) \\ &= \pm \pi \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} (\omega - e A_{t+}) \times (1 + \beta \Xi), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $+$ ($-$) are solutions of outgoing (ingoing) waves, $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega - e A_t$ and $A_{t+} = \frac{Q}{r_+}$ is the electromagnetic potential at the event horizon. Ξ is given by

$$\Xi = \frac{3m^2}{2} + \frac{em^2 A_{t+}}{\omega - e A_{t+}} + 2 \frac{4e\omega Q r_+ r_- + \omega^2 r_+^3 - 2e^2 Q^2 (r_+ + r_-) - e\omega Q r_+^2 - 2\omega^2 r_+^2 r_-}{(r_+ - r_-)^2 r_+} \quad (3.17)$$

Using $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$, The right hand side of eqn. (3.17) is reduced into $\frac{3m^2}{2} + \frac{em^2 A_{t+}}{\omega - e A_{t+}} + 2 \frac{2eQ^2(\omega Q - eM) + \omega r_+ (\omega - e A_{t+})(r_+^2 - Q^2)}{(r_+ - r_-)^2 r_+}$. It is easily found that $\Xi > 0$. Thus the tunneling rate of fermions at the event horizon is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{P_{\text{(emission)}}}{P_{\text{(absorption)}}} = \frac{\exp(-2\text{Im}W_+ - 2\text{Im}\Theta)}{\exp(-2\text{Im}W_- - 2\text{Im}\Theta)} \\
&= \exp\left[-4\pi\frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-}(\omega - eA_{t+}) \times (1 + \beta\Xi)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

This is the Boltzmann factor for an object with the effective temperature

$$T = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+^2 (1 + \beta\Xi)} = T_0 (1 - \beta\Xi), \tag{3.19}$$

where $T_0 = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+^2}$ is the original Hawking temperature of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. Therefore, the corrected temperature relies on the quantum numbers (charge, mass, energy) of the emitted fermions. Moreover, the quantum effects explicitly decelerates the temperature increase during the evaporation. Thus, it is conceivable that the two tendencies will be cancelled at some point in the radiation and remnants are left.

4 Fermion's tunneling in the Kerr spacetime

In this section, we investigate the fermion's tunneling at the event horizon of the Kerr black hole where GUP is taken into account. Here for simplicity, we suppose the emitted fermions are uncharged, therefore we set the electromagnetic field charge vanishing in equation (2.13). The Kerr metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}\right)dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}dr^2 + \left[(r^2 + a^2) + \frac{2Mra^2\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\right]\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2 \\
&\quad + \rho^2 d\theta^2 - \frac{4Mra\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}dtd\varphi,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^2 &= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta, \\
\Delta &= r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 = (r - r_+)(r - r_-).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ are locations of the outer and inner horizons. M is the black hole's mass and a is the angular momentum per unit mass. To calculate the fermion's tunneling, one can directly construct the γ^μ matrices from the metric (4.1). One of such constructions can be found in [6]. For convenience, we perform the dragging coordinate transformation $\phi = \varphi - \Omega t$ on the metric (4.1), where

$$\Omega = \frac{(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)a}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2\theta}, \tag{4.3}$$

is the black hole's angular velocity. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -\frac{\Delta\rho^2}{(r^2+a^2)^2-\Delta a^2\sin^2\theta}dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}dr^2 + \rho^2d\theta^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{(r^2+a^2)^2-\Delta a^2\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \\
&\equiv -F(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{G(r)}dr^2 + K^2(r)d\theta^2 + H^2(r)d\phi^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From the comparability between the metric (4.4) and the metric (3.1), a tetrad for the metric (4.4) can be figured out $e_\mu{}^a = \text{diag}(\sqrt{F}, 1/\sqrt{G}, K, H)$. Then the gamma matrices are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(r)}} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\theta = \frac{1}{K(r)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma^r &= \sqrt{G(r)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\phi = \frac{1}{H(r)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We again only need to consider the spin up states. Therefore the wave function (3.4) also applies to our current calculation. Similar to what we did in the last section, after inserting the wave function and the gamma matrices into the generalized uncharged Dirac equation, we get four equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&-iA\frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}\partial_t I - B(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{G}\partial_r I - Am\beta \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
&\quad + B\beta\sqrt{G}\partial_r I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + Am(1-\beta m^2) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&iB\frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}\partial_t I - A(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{G}\partial_r I - Bm\beta \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
&\quad + A\beta\sqrt{G}\partial_r I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + Bm(1-\beta m^2) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&A \left\{ - (1-\beta m^2) \frac{1}{K} \partial_\theta I + \beta \frac{1}{K} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - i(1-\beta m^2) \frac{1}{H} \partial_\phi I + i\beta \frac{1}{H} \partial_\phi I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&B \left\{ - (1-\beta m^2) \frac{1}{K} \partial_\theta I + \beta \frac{1}{K} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - i(1-\beta m^2) \frac{1}{H} \partial_\phi I + i\beta \frac{1}{H} \partial_\phi I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We then carry out separation of variables as

$$I = -(\omega - j\Omega)t + W(r, \theta) + j\phi, \quad (4.10)$$

where ω and j are the energy and angular momentum of emitted fermions, respectively. We first observe equations (4.8) and (4.9). It turns out they are identical and can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{1}{K}\partial_\theta I + i\frac{1}{H}\partial_\phi I\right) \left[\beta g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + \beta g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + \beta g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 + \beta m^2 - 1\right] = 0, \quad (4.11)$$

which reduces to

$$\frac{1}{K}\partial_\theta I + i\frac{1}{H}\partial_\phi I = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

and implies $g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 = 0$. In previous work, $W(r, \theta)$ could be separated furthermore as $W(r)\Theta(\theta)$. Here we still take the form of $W(r, \theta)$, fixing θ at a certain value θ_0 , then solve $W(r, \theta_0)$ at the event horizon [27] from equations (4.6) and (4.7). Substitute equation (4.10) into equations (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$B_6(\partial_r W)^6 + B_4(\partial_r W)^4 + B_2(\partial_r W)^2 + B_0 = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_6 &= \beta^2 G^3 F, \\ B_4 &= \beta G^2 F(m^2\beta - 2), \\ B_2 &= GF\left[(1 - \beta m^2)^2 + 2\beta m^2(1 - m^2\beta)\right], \\ B_0 &= -m^2(1 - \beta m^2)^2 F - (\omega - j\Omega)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Neglecting higher order terms of β , we solve equation (4.13) at the event horizon and get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\pm &= \pm \int dr \sqrt{\frac{(\omega - j\Omega)^2 + m^2 F}{FG}} \left[1 + \beta \left(m^2 + \frac{(\omega - j\Omega)^2}{F}\right)\right] \\ &= \pm i\pi(\omega - j\Omega_+) \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_+ - r_-} (1 + \beta\Pi) + (\text{real part}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where $+$ ($-$) are solutions of outgoing (ingoing) waves, $\Omega_+ = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}$ is the angular velocity at the event horizon, and the (real part) does not contribute to the tunneling rate. The value of Π is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{3m^2}{2} - \frac{3\omega_0}{(r_+ - r_-)\rho_+^2} [j(r_+ + r_-)a - j\Omega_+(4(r_+^2 + a^2)r_+ - (r_+ - r_-)a^2 \sin^2 \theta_0)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega_0^2}{(r_+ - r_-)\rho_+^2} [12(r_+^2 + a^2)r_+ - 3(r_+ - r_-)a^2 \sin^2 \theta_0 \\ &\quad - \frac{2(r_+^2 + a^2)^2}{r_+ - r_-} - \frac{2(r_+^2 + a^2)^2 r_+}{\rho_+^2}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

with $\omega_0 = \omega - j\Omega_+$, $\rho_+^2 = r_+^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta_0$. Using $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, it is again not hard to show that Π is positive. Thus the tunneling rate of uncharged fermions at the event horizon of Kerr black hole is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \frac{P_{\text{(emission)}}}{P_{\text{(absorption)}}} = \frac{\exp(-2 \operatorname{Im} W_+)}{\exp(-2 \operatorname{Im} W_-)} \\ &= \exp \left[-4\pi (\omega - j\Omega_+) \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_+ - r_-} (1 + \beta\Pi) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (4.17)$$

This is the expression of Boltzmann factor with a temperature

$$T = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)} \frac{1}{(1 + \beta\Pi)} = T_0(1 - \beta\Pi), \quad (4.18)$$

where $T_0 = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)}$ is the original Hawking temperature of the Kerr black hole. Similar to the results of Reissner-Nordstrom, the corrected temperature is lower than the original Hawking temperature and is related not only to the black hole's mass and angular momentum, but also to the quantum numbers (mass, angular momentum, energy) of emitted fermions. The quantum effects also stop the temperature increase at a balance point during the evaporation and leave remnants of the black hole.

When $\beta = 0$, the the original Hawking temperatures of Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr black holes are recovered by Eqs. (3.19) and (4.18), respectively. When $Q = 0$ and $a = 0$, the Reissner-Nordstrom metric and the Kerr metric are reduced to the Schwarzschild metric. Then the corrected Hawking temperature

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta(3m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right] \quad (4.19)$$

is that of Schwarzschild black hole. To estimate the residue mass, it is enough to consider massless particles. To avoid the temperature T becoming negative, the value of ω should satisfy $\omega < \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$, where a factor of 2 is omitted since we are only concerned with the order of magnitude. The temperature stops increasing when

$$(M - dM)(1 + \beta\omega^2) \simeq M. \quad (4.20)$$

Then using the condition $dM = \omega$ and $\beta = \beta_0/M_p^2$ where M_p is the Planck mass and $\beta_0 < 10^5$ [22] is a dimensionless parameter marking quantum gravity effects, we get

$$M_{\text{Res}} \simeq \frac{M_p^2}{\beta_0 \omega} \gtrsim \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}, \quad T_{\text{Res}} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\beta_0}}{8\pi M_p}. \quad (4.21)$$

This result is consistent with those obtained in [14, 15, 28]. Compared with previous results, our calculation explicitly shows how the residue mass of black holes arises due to quantum gravity effects. It is known that the WKB-type of approximation is basically the same as working with a 1+1-dimensional spacetime. As a consequence, all large non-extremal black holes look basically the same (like Rindler space). It is also true for the Reissner-Nordstrom and the Kerr black holes. Our investigation in this paper shows this consequence [29].

Refer to (4.21), there is an upper bound of the temperature for the remnants. This temperature may be far above the Planck temperature since $\beta_0 < 10^5$. It is not clear if the concept of temperature still holds beyond the Planck temperature. Since we are taking an effective model in this work, we expect a full quantum theory of gravity can answer this question.

5 Discussion and conclusion

In this paper, incorporating effects of quantum gravity, we derived the generalized Dirac equation in curved spacetime based on the modified fundamental commutation relations [23]. We investigated the fermions' tunneling in the Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr black holes. In both spacetime configurations, we showed that the corrected Hawking temperature is not only determined by the properties of the black holes, but also dependent on the quantum numbers (charge, angular momentum, mass, energy) of the emitted particles. Our calculation implies that the temperature increasing during the evaporation is slowed down by the quantum effects. At some point, these two tendencies will be balanced and lead to remnants of the black holes. The remnants was derived as $M_{\text{Res}} \gtrsim \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$ by the emission of the massless particles.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful for S.Q. Wu and P. Wang for their useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 11205125, 11175039, 11375121) and SiChuan Province Science Foundation for Youths (Grant No. 2012JQ0039).

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, *Particle creation by black holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199.
- [2] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, *Effect of selfinteraction on charged black hole radiance*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 437** (1995) 231.
- [3] M.K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, *Hawking radiation as tunneling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 5042.
- [4] J. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *Charged particles' tunnelling from the Kerr-Newman black hole*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 638** (2006) 110.
- [5] Q.Q. Jiang, S.Q. Wu and X. Cai, *Hawking radiation as tunneling from the Kerr and Kerr-Newman black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 73** (2006) 064003.
- [6] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, *Fermions tunnelling from black holes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25** (2008) 095014.
- [7] S. Hemming and E. Keski-Vakkuri, *The spectrum of strings on BTZ black holes and spectral flow in the $SL(2,R)$ WZW model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 626** (2002) 363.
A.J.M. Medved, *Radiation via tunneling from a de Sitter cosmological horizon*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 66** (2002) 124009.
E.C. Vagenas, *Semiclassical corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the BTZ black hole via selfgravitation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 533** (2002) 302.
M. Arzano, A.J.M. Medved and E.C. Vagenas, *Hawking radiation as tunneling through the quantum horizon*, *JHEP* **0509** (2005) 037.
S.Q. Wu and Q.Q. Jiang, *Remarks on Hawking radiation as tunneling from the BTZ black holes*, *JHEP* **0603** (2006) 079.
M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Hawking radiation as tunneling: The D dimensional rotating case*, *J. Phys.* **A 39** (2006) 6601.

- B. Chatterjee, A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, *Tunnelling from black holes in the Hamilton-Jacobi approach*, *Phys. Lett. B* **661** (2008) 307.
- V. Akhmedova, T. Pilling, A. de Gill and D. Singleton, *Temporal contribution to gravitational WKB-like calculations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **666** (2008) 269.
- E.T. Akhmedov, T. Pilling and D. Singleton, *Subtleties in the quasi-classical calculation of Hawking radiation*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17** (2008) 2453.
- V. Akhmedova, T. Pilling, A. de Gill and D. Singleton, *Comments on anomaly versus WKB/tunneling methods for calculating Unruh radiation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **673** (2009) 227.
- R. Banerjee and B.R. Majhi, *Quantum tunneling and back reaction*, *Phys. Lett. B* **662** (2008) 62.
- D. Singleton, E.C. Vagenas, T. Zhu and J.R. Ren, *Insights and possible resolution to the information loss paradox via the tunneling picture*, *JHEP* **1008** (2010) 089.
- [8] R. Li and J.R. Ren, *Dirac particles tunneling from BTZ black hole*, *Phys. Lett. B* **661** (2008) 370.
- D.Y. Chen, Q.Q. Jiang and X.T. Zu, *Hawking radiation of Dirac particles via tunnelling from rotating black holes in de Sitter spaces*, *Phys. Lett. B* **665** (2008) 106.
- D.Y. Chen, H. Yang and X.T. Zu, *Hawking radiation of black holes in the $z = 4$ Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **681** (2009) 463.
- Q.Q. Jiang, *Dirac particles' tunnelling from black rings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 044009.
- K. Lin and S.Z. Yang, *Fermion tunneling from higher-dimensional black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 064035.
- R. Di Criscienzo and L. Vanzo, *Fermion tunneling from dynamical horizons*, *Europhys. Lett.* **82** (2008) 60001.
- S.A. Hayward, R.D. Criscienzo, L. Vanzo et al, *Local Hawking temperature for dynamical black holes*, *Class Quant Grav.* **26** (2009) 062001.
- [9] P.K. Townsend, *Small-scale structure of spacetime as the origin of the gravitational constant*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2795.
- [10] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Can spacetime be probed below the string size?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **216** (1989) 41.
- [11] K. Konishi, G. Paffuti and P. Provero, *Minimum physical length and the generalized uncertainty principle in string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **234** (1990) 276.
- [12] L.J. Garay, *Quantum gravity and minimum length*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** (1995) 145.
- [13] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Relativity in space-times with short distance structure governed by an observer independent (Planckian) length scale*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** (2002) 35.
- [14] R.J. Adler, P. Chen and D.I. Santiago, *The generalized uncertainty principle and black hole remnants*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33** (2001) 2101.
- [15] R. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, *Generalised Uncertainty Principle, remnant mass and singularity problem in black hole thermodynamics*, *Phys. Lett. B* **688** (2010) 224.
- [16] A. Bina, S. Jalalzadeh and A. Moslehi, *Quantum black hole in the Generalized Uncertainty Principle framework*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 023528.
- [17] J.L. Said and K.Z. Adami, *The Generalized Uncertainty Principle in $f(R)$ gravity for a charged black hole*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 043008.
- [18] L. Xiang and X.Q. Wen, *Black hole thermodynamics with generalized uncertainty principle*, *JHEP* **0910** (2009) 046.
- [19] B. Majumder, *Black hole entropy and the modified uncertainty principle: A heuristic analysis*, *Phys. Lett. B* **703** (2011) 402.
- [20] K. Zeinali, F. Darabi and H. Motavalli, *Modified generalized uncertainty principle and black hole thermodynamics*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27** (2012) 1250227.

- [21] K. Nozari and S. Saghafi, *Natural cutoffs and quantum tunneling from black hole horizon*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 005.
- [22] S. Liberati, L. Maccione and T.P. Sotiriou, *Scale hierarchy in Horava-Lifshitz gravity: a strong constraint from synchrotron radiation in the Crab nebula*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 151602.
- [23] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R.B. Mann, *Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 52** (1995) 1108.
- [24] W. Greiner, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: Wave Equation*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [25] K. Nozari and M. Karami, *Minimal length and generalized Dirac equation*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 20** (2005) 3095.
- [26] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, et. al., *Collider signatures in the Planck regime*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 575** (2003) 85.
- [27] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, *Tunnelling, temperature and Taub-NUT black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 73** (2006) 104010.
- [28] P. Chen and R.J. Adler, *Black hole remnants and dark matter*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **124** (2003) 103.
L. Xiang, *A note on the black hole remnant*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 647** (2007) 207.
- [29] S.P. Robinson and F. Wilczek, *Relationship between Hawking radiation and gravitational anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 011303.
S. Iso, H. Umetsu and F. Wilczek, *Hawking radiation from charged black holes via gauge and gravitational anomalies*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 74** (2006) 044017.