

# Proton stability in low-scale extra-dimensional grand unified theories

Mitsuru Kakizaki<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Physics, University of Toyama, Toyama 930-8555, Japan*

(Dated: February 25, 2022)

## Abstract

We propose an extra-dimensional mechanism that adequately suppresses the rate of proton decay mediated by the  $X$ -bosons in grand unified theories. The  $X$ -bosons and their Kaluza-Klein modes are localized in the extra spatial dimensions due to the kink configuration of the adjoint Higgs multiplet that is responsible for the spontaneous breaking of the unified gauge group while the standard model gauge bosons freely propagate in the bulk. By localizing fermion multiplets apart from the  $X$ -bosons in the extra dimensions, the resulting four-dimensional couplings that give rise to proton decay are exponentially suppressed, leading to the longevity of proton even when the mass scale of the  $X$ -bosons is much lower than the usual unification scale.

arXiv:1307.0535v1 [hep-ph] 1 Jul 2013

---

\*Electronic address: kakizaki@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp

The discovery of a particle whose properties are consistent with the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has completed the SM as a low-energy effective theory [1]. Although the SM has successfully explained almost all phenomena, the complicated structure of the SM gauge bosons and fermions is still unexplained.

Grand unified theories (GUTs) provide a unified description of elementary particles and their interactions in the SM and its extensions [2]. The observed pattern of the three SM gauge coupling constants can be naturally explained by assuming the existence of hypothetical grand unification at some high energy scale [3]. The electric charge operator composed of the generators of a larger (semi-)simple group leads to the quantization of the electric charges of the SM particles, which are embedded into irreducible representations of the grand unified group. The idea of grand unification makes an exciting prediction that the proton is not absolutely stable [4]. Proton decay is a consequence of the quark-lepton transition induced by the exchange of the GUT-scale gauge bosons (referred to as the  $X$ -bosons), which are required in order to complete the gauge multiplet of the grand unified group. The predicted proton decay rate is estimated to be

$$\Gamma_p \sim g_X^4 \frac{m_p^5}{M_X^4}, \quad (1)$$

where  $m_p$  and  $M_X$  are the proton and  $X$ -boson masses, respectively, and  $g_X$  is the coupling constant of the  $X$ -boson interaction with the SM fermions. However, proton decay has not been observed. The lower bound on the partial lifetime for  $p \rightarrow e^+\pi$ , the main  $X$ -boson-mediated decay mode in conventional GUTs, is set by the Super-Kamiokande experiment [5]:

$$\tau_p(p \rightarrow e^+\pi) > 8.2 \times 10^{33} \text{ years}, \quad (90\% \text{ C.L.}). \quad (2)$$

This limit is converted to the lower bound on the GUT scale:

$$M_X/g_X \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}. \quad (3)$$

Theoretically, the values for  $M_X$  and  $g_X$  are predicted by solving renormalization group equations. In non-supersymmetric GUTs, the  $X$ -boson mass is typically smaller than  $10^{15}$  GeV and  $g_X \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ , conflicting with the results of the proton decay experiment. In supersymmetric (SUSY) GUTs, the GUT scale is pushed up to  $10^{16}$  GeV, suppressing adequately

the  $X$ -boson-mediated proton decay rate. However, the exchange of the color triplet partners of the SM Higgs doublets induces new operators that mediate proton decay through superparticle-loop diagrams [6]. Generically, the colored-Higgs-mediated proton decay rate is less suppressed and imposes a strong constraint on SUSY GUTs [7]. Interesting ideas about proton decay have been proposed not only in usual four-dimensional models but also in extra-dimensional models. Orbifold constructions of SUSY GUTs eliminate colored-Higgs-mediated proton decay, and can enhance the  $X$ -boson-mediated proton decay rate to an observable level, depending on the matter field location [8, 9]. An alternative approach is to localize chiral multiplets in extra dimensions using the kink configuration of topological defects [10–12]. In such a context, separation of the colored-Higgs multiplets from the matter multiplets leads to exponentially small overlaps of the wave functions, and therefore colored-Higgs-mediated proton decay can be suppressed to a negligible level [13, 14].

In this paper, we propose a new mechanism for suppressing  $X$ -boson-mediated proton decay by localizing the  $X$ -bosons apart from SM fermions in extra dimensions. We assume that the vacuum expectation value (vev) of an adjoint GUT Higgs multiplet  $\Sigma$  has a non-trivial configuration in the extra dimensions, as proposed in Ref.[13]. Given this assumption, we find that the gauge bosons corresponding to the broken generators obtain mass, and are localized at the zero of  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ , like the chiral fermion localization at the zero of a domain wall field. On the other hand, the SM gauge bosons remain massless and freely propagate in the bulk. In the resulting four-dimensional low energy effective theory, the GUT gauge invariance is not manifest. The couplings between the  $X$ -bosons and SM fermions, which are determined by the overlaps of their wave functions, can have completely different values from the SM gauge couplings. Due to the exponential profiles of the wave functions, the expectation that the  $X$ -boson mass should be larger than  $10^{15}$  GeV is violated. In this letter, we concentrate on an  $SU(5)$  construction in five-dimensional space-time for simplicity, and exemplify how the gauge field localization and proton decay suppression are achieved. We will see that a GUT singlet domain wall field is also introduced in order to produce the correct chiralities for the SM fermions.

First we consider  $SU(5)$  GUT symmetry breaking caused by the kink configuration of an adjoint Higgs field  $\Sigma(24)^A_B$  ( $A, B = 1, \dots, 5$ ) in five-dimensional space-time, whose coordinates are denoted by  $x^M = (x^\mu, x^5)$  ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ). The non-trivial field configuration of  $\Sigma$  is also responsible for violation of higher dimensional translation invariance, which is a

source for localization of fields. Let us consider the following  $Z_2$  symmetric Lagrangian for  $\Sigma$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \text{tr}(\partial^M \Sigma)(\partial_M \Sigma) + \frac{M_\Sigma^2}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma^2 - \frac{a}{4} (\text{tr} \Sigma^2)^2 - \frac{b}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma^4, \quad (4)$$

where  $M_\Sigma^2 (> 0)$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are five-dimensional parameters. The  $SU(5)$  invariance and higher dimensional translation invariance are simultaneously broken by assuming that the expectation value satisfies the boundary conditions of

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \begin{cases} V \text{diag}(2, 2, 2-3, -3), & x^5 \rightarrow \infty, \\ -V \text{diag}(2, 2, 2-3, -3), & x^5 \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $V = M_\Sigma / \sqrt{2(15a + 7b)}$ . Notice that there is no  $SU(5)$  rotation that transforms the latter to the former. Normalizing the hypercharge component  $\Sigma_Y$  as  $\Sigma = \Sigma_Y Y + \dots$ , with  $Y = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2, -3, -3)/(2\sqrt{15})$ , the solution of the equation of motion for  $\Sigma_Y$  with the boundary conditions (5) has a domain-wall profile:

$$\langle \Sigma_Y(x^5) \rangle = \sigma \tanh(mx^5), \quad \sigma = 2\sqrt{15}V, \quad m = \frac{M_\Sigma}{2}. \quad (6)$$

Here, we set the kink at the origin of the  $x^5$  axis.

Next we show that the gauge bosons corresponding to the broken generators obtain mass and are localized at the zero of  $\langle \Sigma_Y \rangle$ . Since the GUT gauge boson multiplet couples to the adjoint Higgs multiplet  $\Sigma$ , the  $X$ -bosons acquire a position-dependent mass after the GUT symmetry breaking,

$$M_X^2(x^5) = 25g_5^2 V^2 \tanh^2(mx^5), \quad (7)$$

where  $g_5$  is the five-dimensional  $SU(5)$  gauge coupling constant. The  $X$ -boson kinetic and mass terms of the five-dimensional Lagrangian are

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = X^{\dagger\mu} [\partial^\nu \partial_\nu - \partial_5^2 + M_X^2(x^5)] X_\mu. \quad (8)$$

In the four-dimensional viewpoint, there appear a tower of massive  $X$ -boson states. We consider the case where the cutoff scale  $\Lambda$  is smaller than  $5g_5 V$  so that freely propagating Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes of the  $X$ -bosons are eliminated: all KK  $X$ -bosons are localized and discretized. Otherwise, the proton lifetime would be unacceptably short. The KK mode

wave functions  $f_X^{(n)}(x^5)$  and mass eigenvalues  $M_{X^{(n)}}^2$  are found by solving the eigenvalue equations of

$$[-\partial_5^2 + M_X^2(x^5)]f_X^{(n)}(x^5) = M_{X^{(n)}}^2 f_X^{(n)}(x^5). \quad (9)$$

Due to the kink configuration of  $\langle \Sigma_Y \rangle$ , the wave functions have exponential profiles centered at  $x^5 = 0$ :

$$f_X^{(n)}(\xi) \sim (1 - \xi^2)^{(c-n)/2} {}_2F_1 \left( -n, 2c - n + 1; c - n + 1; \frac{1 - \xi}{2} \right), \\ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots < c, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\xi = \tanh(mx^5), \quad c = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{100g_5^2 V^2}{m^2}} - 1 \right) > 0, \quad (11)$$

and  ${}_2F_1$  is a hypergeometric function. The corresponding mass eigenvalues are

$$M_{X^{(n)}}^2 = [(2n + 1)c - n^2]m^2. \quad (12)$$

In particular, the normalized zero mode wave function is

$$f_X^{(0)}(x^5) = \frac{m^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(c + 1/2)}{\Gamma(c)}} \frac{1}{\cosh^c(mx^5)}, \quad (13)$$

with the mass being  $M_{X^{(0)}}^2 = cm^2$ . The rough profiles of the wave functions are easily derived if we use the approximation of  $\tanh(mx^5) \simeq mx^5$ . In this approximation, the eigenvalue equations are the same as those of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator system, and the wave functions are expressed in terms of the Hermite polynomials. The zero mode wave function has a Gaussian profile,

$$f_X^{(0)}(x^5) = \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left( -\frac{\mu^2 (x^5)^2}{2} \right), \quad (14)$$

with

$$\mu^2 = 5g_5 V m. \quad (15)$$

The mass of the zero mode is given by  $M_X^{(0)} = \mu$ . For the SM gauge bosons, on the other hand, no five-dimensional mass term is generated. Therefore, the zero modes of the SM

gauge boson fields have flat wave functions and remain massless, ensuring the SM gauge invariance.

Let us turn to the fermion sector. In the minimal  $SU(5)$  GUT, the quark doublet  $q_L$ , the charge conjugated right-handed up-type quark  $u_R^c$ , the charge conjugated right-handed down-type quark  $d_R^c$ , the left-handed lepton doublet  $l_L$  and the charge conjugated right-handed lepton singlet  $e_R^c$  are embedded into an anti-quintuplet  $\Phi(5^*) = (d_R^c, l_L)$  and a decuplet  $\Psi(10) = (q_L, u_R^c, e_R^c)$  for each generation. Notice that in five-dimensional space-time, the minimal spinor representation is 4-dimensional. One way to obtain a chiral zero mode fermion from a five-dimensional Dirac fermion is to couple the fermion bilinear to the kink background of a scalar field. In our model, the Yukawa coupling of  $\text{tr}(\langle \Sigma \rangle \bar{\Phi} \Phi)$  does not suffice for producing the correct chiral structure of the SM fermions. When  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  gives a positive Dirac mass to the colored triplet, the Dirac mass of the doublet is negative. As a result, the triplet and doublet zero modes have different chiralities.

To obtain the correct fermion chiralities, we introduce a singlet scalar  $S$  that has a domain wall profile,

$$\langle S(x^5) \rangle = v_S \tanh(m_S(x^5 - l)), \quad (16)$$

where  $v_S$  is the vev of  $S$  at  $x^5 \rightarrow \infty$ . The five-dimensional Lagrangian includes the Yukawa coupling of  $\Phi(5^*)$  to the background fields  $\Sigma$  and  $S$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \text{tr}(\bar{\Phi}[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \gamma_5 \partial_5 - M_\Phi]\Phi) + \lambda_\Sigma \text{tr}(\Sigma \bar{\Phi} \Phi) + \lambda_S S \text{tr}(\bar{\Phi} \Phi). \quad (17)$$

where  $\gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ . Here,  $M_\Phi$ ,  $\lambda_\Sigma$  and  $\lambda_S$  are five-dimensional parameters. The Yukawa interaction terms for  $\Psi(10)$  have similar forms. We assume that the domain wall profiles are stable enough although their couplings to the fermion bilinears violate the  $Z_2$  invariance. For simplicity, we choose  $M_\Phi = 0$  and omit  $\lambda_\Sigma$ , which is a source of the  $SU(5)$  violating profiles of the quarks and leptons. Then, all fermions are localized at the zero of  $\langle S \rangle$ , and their wave functions have the same profile. The left-handed (right-handed) KK fermion wave functions  $f_L^{(n)}$  ( $f_R^{(n)}$ ) are determined by the following coupled equations:

$$\begin{aligned} [-\partial_5 + M_F(x^5)] f_L^{(n)}(x^5) &= m^{(n)} f_R^{(n)}(x^5), \\ [\partial_5 + M_F(x^5)] f_R^{(n)}(x^5) &= m^{(n)} f_L^{(n)}(x^5), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $M_F(x^5) = -\lambda_S \langle S \rangle$ . For  $\lambda_S > 0$ , a left-handed chiral zero mode survives and the wave




FIG. 1: Profiles of the zero mode wave functions of the  $X$ -bosons, SM gauge bosons and fermions. The zero modes of the  $X$ -bosons (fermions) are localized at the zero of  $\langle \Sigma_Y \rangle$  ( $\langle S \rangle$ ).

functions of their KK fermions have the same form as those of the  $X$ -bosons,

$$f_L^{(n)}(\xi_F) \sim (1 - \xi_F^2)^{(c_F - n)/2} {}_2F_1 \left( -n, 2c_F - n + 1; c_F - n + 1; \frac{1 - \xi_F}{2} \right),$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots < c_F,$$
(19)

where

$$\xi_F = \tanh[m_s(x^5 - l)], \quad c_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda_S v_S}{M_S} > 0.$$
(20)

The right-handed zero mode is projected out and their KK modes start from level-one,

$$f_R^{(n)}(\xi_F) \sim (1 - \xi_F^2)^{(c_F - n)/2} {}_2F_1 \left( -n + 1, 2c_F - n; c_F - n + 1; \frac{1 - \xi_F}{2} \right),$$

$$n = 1, 2, 3, \dots < c_F.$$
(21)

At each KK level except for the zero mode, fermions are vector-like and the KK Dirac mass is given by

$$M_F^{(n)} = m_s \sqrt{2nc_F - n^2}.$$
(22)

The profiles of the zero mode wave functions of the  $X$ -bosons, SM gauge bosons and fermions are schematically shown in Fig. 1. The zero modes of the  $X$ -bosons (fermions) are localized at the zero of  $\langle \Sigma_Y \rangle$  ( $\langle S \rangle$ ) while the SM gauge bosons freely propagate in the bulk of the extra dimension.




FIG. 2: Contour of the effective  $X$ -boson mass scale  $M_X^{(0)}/g_X^{(0)}$  in the  $(ml, M_X^{(0)})$  plane. We take  $f_L^{(0)}(x^5) = f_X^{(0)}(x^5 - l)$ ,  $c = 10$  and  $g_5\sqrt{cm} = 1$ . Non-observation of proton decay requires  $M_X^{(0)}/g_X^{(0)} \gtrsim 10^{15}$  GeV .

Now we are at the stage to discuss the way to suppress the four-dimensional couplings responsible for proton decay. By separating the zero modes of the  $X$ -bosons from those of the SM fermions, the gauge coupling constants are exponentially suppressed due to small overlaps of their wave functions:

$$g_X^{(0)} = g_5 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^5 \left[ f_L^{(0)}(x^5) \right]^2 f_X^{(0)}(x^5). \quad (23)$$

It is natural to assume that the five-dimensional gauge coupling is related to the cutoff scale of this model as  $g_5 \simeq 1/\sqrt{\Lambda}$ . Let us estimate the effective  $X$ -boson mass scale  $M_X^{(0)}/g_X^{(0)}$  in the case where the cutoff scale is set to the highest possible value:  $\Lambda \simeq 5g_5V \simeq cm$ . Even if the  $X$ -boson mass itself is not large,  $M_X^{(0)}/g_X^{(0)}$  can be significantly enhanced. Figure 2 shows the contour of  $M_X^{(0)}/g_X^{(0)}$  in the  $(ml, M_X^{(0)})$  plane. Here, we assume the universal profile  $f_L^{(0)}(x^5) = f_X^{(0)}(x^5 - l)$ , and take  $c = 10$  and  $g_5\sqrt{cm} = 1$ . For  $ml \gtrsim 4$ ,  $X^{(0)}$ -boson-mediated proton decay is sufficiently suppressed even when  $M_{X^{(0)}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$  TeV (See Eq.(3)). Exchange of higher KK modes of the  $X$ -bosons  $X^{(n)}$  can also contribute to proton decay. Such contributions can be suppressed to a negligible level by a larger separation between the fermion zero modes and  $X^{(n)}$ .

Let us discuss the case where the mass scale of the new particles of our model is close to the current LHC reach. Since the five-dimensional SM gauge bosons have no mass term, the masses of their first KK modes are given by the inverse of the size of the extra dimension  $L^{-1}$ . The fact that the LHC has already pushed the limits on colored particle masses to  $\mathcal{O}(1)$



TeV is translated into the bound on the compactification scale  $L^{-1} \sim 1$  TeV. From Fig.2, the proton decay rate is adequately suppressed if the distance between the SM fermions and the  $X^{(0)}$ -bosons in the extra dimension satisfies the relation of  $4/m \lesssim l < L$ . These discussions require  $M_X^{(0)} \gtrsim 10$  TeV and  $M_F^{(1)} \gtrsim 10$  TeV for  $c = 10$ . Therefore, our model predicts that the  $X$ -bosons or the first KK modes of the SM fermions are not directly accessible at the LHC even when the first KK modes of the SM gauge bosons are discovered. The effects of the existence of the terascale  $X$ -bosons may be indirectly observed through future precision measurements at the International Linear Collider. To directly probe the structure of our model, colliders with higher energies are necessary.

In GUTs, the electroweak Higgs doublet necessarily has a colored Higgs triplet. The SM fermions must couple to the Higgs doublet to acquire mass while their couplings to the colored Higgs triplet should be extremely small to avoid colored-Higgs-induced proton decay. For these reasons, different profiles between the Higgs doublet and triplet are needed. Such a difference can be achieved by using the same mechanism as we have applied to the GUT gauge bosons. In addition to boson exchange diagrams, dimension six proton decay operators suppressed by the inverse of the cutoff scale may exist. These dimension six operators can be avoided by separating quarks and leptons in the extra dimensions. It is also worth investigating the possibilities of generating the realistic fermion masses and mixing angles using the wave function overlaps in the context of our model [15, 16].

The running of the gauge coupling constants is accelerated above the compactification scale due to the existence of a tower of KK modes, and the gauge coupling constants may be unified at a very low energy scale [17]. It is beyond the scope of this letter to analyze the effects of KK modes on the gauge coupling unification.

In this letter, we have proposed a new extra-dimensional mechanism to suppress the  $X$ -boson-induced proton decay rate to an experimentally acceptable level. The kink configuration of the vev of the adjoint Higgs multiplet which breaks the grand unified symmetry allows localization of the KK modes of the  $X$ -bosons. The exponentially small overlaps of the wave functions of the KK  $X$ -bosons and those of the SM fermions are achieved by the separation of the  $X$ -boson and fermion fields in the extra dimension, and stabilize the proton. Although we have concentrated on the simplest model of an  $SU(5)$  GUT with one extra

dimension, the same idea is applicable to other gauge groups or extra dimension models.

---

- [1] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012); S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012).
- [2] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974).
- [3] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 451 (1974).
- [4] For reviews, see, e.g., P. Langacker, Phys. Rept. **72**, 185 (1981); P. Nath and P. Fileviez Perez, Phys. Rept. **441**, 191 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601023].
- [5] H. Nishino *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 141801 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0676 [hep-ex]].
- [6] N. Sakai and T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. B **197**, 533 (1982); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 287 (1982).
- [7] T. Goto and T. Nihei, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 115009 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9808255]; H. Murayama and A. Pierce, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 055009 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0108104]; J. Hisano, D. Kobayashi, T. Kuwahara and N. Nagata, arXiv:1304.3651 [hep-ph].
- [8] Y. Kawamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 999 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0012125]; G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Phys. Lett. B **511**, 257 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0102301]; L. J. Hall and Y. Nomura, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 055003 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0103125];
- [9] A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B **613**, 3 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0106166]; A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Phys. Lett. B **539**, 119 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0204037].
- [10] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3398 (1976).
- [11] V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **125**, 139 (1983).
- [12] N. Arkani-Hamed and M. Schmaltz, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 033005 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9903417].
- [13] M. Kakizaki and M. Yamaguchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **107**, 433 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0104103].
- [14] N. Maru, Phys. Lett. B **522**, 117 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0108002].
- [15] E. A. Mirabelli and M. Schmaltz, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 113011 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9912265].
- [16] M. Kakizaki and M. Yamaguchi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 1715 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110266].
- [17] K. R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 55 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803466]; Nucl. Phys. B **537**, 47 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9806292].