

The NMSSM at the Cosmic Frontier for Snowmass 2013

Mathew McCaskey¹ and Gabe Shaughnessy²,

¹Department of Physics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66044, USA

²Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

February 25, 2022

Abstract

We examine the NMSSM at the cosmic frontier in the post Higgs discovery world. For DM relic abundance consistent with measurement, we find the neutralino can either be singlino or bino dominated. Wino and higgsino DM generally yield a lower abundance, but offer opportunities of detection at IceCube. For both cases, future SI direct detection experiments cover a majority of the model, including nearly all of the bino and higgsino scenarios.

The MSSM is arguably one of the most popular models beyond the SM that attempts to solve the hierarchy problem. However, despite the successes of the MSSM there are still a few shortcomings. Among them, the MSSM superpotential contains the term

$$W_{MSSM} \supset \mu H_u H_d \quad (1)$$

where the scale of μ is an input parameter that has no a-priori connection to the electroweak scale. The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (NMSSM) is a simple extension to the MSSM that aims to alleviate this problem, where the μ parameter is promoted to a dynamical field. The singlet terms of the NMSSM superpotential are written as

$$W_{NMSSM} \supset \lambda_S S H_u H_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} S^3 \quad (2)$$

where λ_S and κ are dimensionless parameters. When the singlet obtains a VEV an effective μ parameter is generated. The additional scalar of the NMSSM and similar singlet extended SUSY models increases the field content, including offering another dark matter candidate, the singlino, \tilde{S} , which has been extensively studied [1].

To investigate the behavior of the NMSSM with current data, we perform a fit assuming decoupled sfermions to focus on the role of the singlet and singlino. We explore two cases, where χ_1^0 can account for the dark matter relic abundance in its entirety, or it is a subcomponent. In our fit, we require consistency with SUSY searches [2] and present $h(125)$ measurements at the LHC [3]. In the case of a saturated relic abundance, we assume a 10% theoretical uncertainty on the measured value of $\Omega_{DM} h^2$. The relic abundance for these cases are shown in the left panels of Fig. 1.

The LHC $h(125)$ measurements indicate a SM-like Higgs boson. Therefore, if $\tan \beta \gg 1$, the lightest Higgs is typically dominated by H_u . As a consequence, the $h\chi_1^0\chi_1^0$ coupling that primarily controls




Figure 1: Relic abundance (left), scaled SI cross section (middle) and SD cross section (right) for the saturated (top) and unsaturated (bottom) DM relic abundance scenarios. Limits on σ_{SI} from Xenon100 [4], LUX [5], and Xenon 1 Ton [6], and σ_{SD} from IceCube [7]. Figures taken from Ref. [8].

σ_{SI} avoids tension with the Xenon100 exclusion if χ_1^0 is mainly bino or wino. When χ_1^0 is a wino or higgsino, the masses χ_2^0 and χ_1^\pm are comparable to χ_1^0 , leading to significant co-annihilation effects. Moreover, this scenario offers a difficult scenario at the LHC for discovering these states as the decay products will typically be soft and evade the selection cuts.

In the saturated relic abundance scenario, χ_1^0 can be either bino or singlino. Some key observables are illustrated in the top row of Fig. 1.

- In the bino scenario, σv_{tot} is often below $3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, therefore $\chi_1^0 \chi_1^\pm$ and $\chi_1^0 \chi_2^0$ co-annihilation is required, with mass splitting of $\mathcal{O}(20 \text{ GeV})$. The SI scattering cross sections are within reach of future detectors such as Xenon 1 Ton and LUX.
- In the singlino scenario, σ_{SI} is reduced since usually dominant h exchange is suppressed by the lack of a singlet component. The singlino case can have a generally smaller σ_{SI} .

In either case, the SD cross section is more than a factor of 10 below the current bound placed by COUPP [9] and IceCube [7]. A light scalar singlet with $M_s < 100 \text{ GeV}$ is possible, which may offer interesting signatures at the LHC such as $h \rightarrow ss \rightarrow b\bar{b} + b\bar{b}(\tau\tau)$.

The unsaturated case is dominated by either higgsino or wino DM. In both cases, co-annihilation with the associated χ_1^\pm results in a low relic abundance, thus the direct detection sensitivities are

scaled by the local density $\rho_{\chi_1^0} \propto \xi \equiv \Omega_{\chi_1^0} h^2 / 0.11$.

- The higgsino scenario accounts for the upper band of relic density and scaled SI cross section in the corresponding panels in Fig. 1, and is therefore within reach of future detectors such as LUX or Xenon 1 Ton.
- A wino dominated χ_1^0 is more suppressed than the higgsino scenario, and resides in the lower band of the relic abundance and σ_{SI} and may fall beyond the reach of Xenon 1 Ton.

Since the primary exchange for σ_{SD} occurs through a Z boson, the cross section is proportional to the higgsino asymmetry. This asymmetry is generally suppressed for large neutralino masses. The current experimental sensitivity of COUPP is about an order of magnitude larger than the scaled scattering rate, $\xi\sigma_{\text{SD}}$. However, the sensitivity from IceCube does not critically depend on ξ . Therefore, for masses below 500 GeV, IceCube may soon be sensitive to both higgsino and wino scenarios.

Acknowledgements

GS is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under contract DE-FG-02-95ER40896. MM is supported by U. S. Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-04ER14308 and by National Science Foundation grant PHY-0653250.

References

- [1] V. Barger, P. Langacker and H. -S. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **630**, 85 (2005). V. Barger, P. Langacker, I. Lewis, M. McCaskey, G. Shaughnessy and B. Yencho, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 115002 (2007). A. Djouadi, M. Drees, U. Ellwanger, R. Godbole, C. Hugonie, S. F. King, S. Lehti and S. Moretti *et al.*, JHEP **0807**, 002 (2008).
- [2] U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion and C. Hugonie, JHEP **0502**, 066 (2005). G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 747 (2009). [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2013-035.
- [3] [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2013-034. [CMS Collaboration], CMS-PAS-HIG-13-005.
- [4] E. Aprile *et al.* [XENON100 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 181301 (2012) [arXiv:1207.5988 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [5] D. S. Akerib *et al.* [LUX Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. **45**, 34 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4569 [astro-ph.IM]].
- [6] E. Aprile [XENON1T Collaboration], arXiv:1206.6288 [astro-ph.IM].
- [7] R. Abbasi *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **85**, 042002 (2012) [arXiv:1112.1840 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [8] M. McCaskey and G. Shaughnessy, in preparation.
- [9] E. Behnke *et al.* [COUPP Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 052001 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3094 [astro-ph.CO]].