

Isoscalar amplitude dominance in e^+e^- annihilation to $N\bar{N}$ pair close to the threshold.

V.F. Dmitriev,^{*} A.I. Milstein,[†] and S.G. Salnikov[‡]
Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics,
and
Novosibirsk State University,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
 (Dated: February 25, 2022)

We use the Paris nucleon-antinucleon optical potential for explanation of experimental data in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ near threshold. It turns out that final-state interaction due to Paris optical potential allows us to reproduce available experimental data. It follows from our consideration that the isoscalar form factor is much larger than the isovector one.

PACS numbers: 13.75.Cs, 13.66.Bc, 13.40.Gp

Keywords: Electromagnetic form factors of proton and neutron

I. INTRODUCTION

At present, QCD can not describe quantitatively the low-energy nucleon-antinucleon interaction, and various phenomenological approaches have been suggested in order to explain numerous experimental data, see, e.g., Refs. [1–6] and recent reviews [7, 8]. However, parameters of the models still can not be extracted with a good accuracy from the experimental data [9].

Very recently, renewed interest in low-energy nucleon-antinucleon physics has been stimulated by the experimental observation of a strong enhancement of decay probability at low invariant mass of $p\bar{p}$ in the processes $J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$ [10], $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ p\bar{p}$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 p\bar{p}$ [11–13], $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ p\bar{p}$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^0 p\bar{p}$ [14], $\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$ [15]. One of the most natural explanation of this enhancement is final state interaction of the proton and antiproton [16–21].

A similar phenomenon was observed in the investigation of the proton (antiproton) electric, $G_E(Q^2)$, and magnetic, $G_M(Q^2)$, form factors in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ [22–24]. Namely, it was found that the ratio $|G_E(Q^2)/G_M(Q^2)|$ strongly depends on $Q^2 = 4E^2$ (in the center-of-mass frame) in the narrow region of the energy E near the threshold of $p\bar{p}$ production. Such strong dependence at small E is related to the large-scale interaction of proton and antiproton. Therefore, it is possible to apply the approaches of [1–6] for an explanation of experimental data in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$. In the present paper, we use the Paris nucleon-antinucleon optical potential $V_{N\bar{N}}$ which has the form [4, 5]:

$$V_{N\bar{N}} = U_{N\bar{N}} - iW_{N\bar{N}}, \quad (1)$$

where the real part $U_{N\bar{N}}$ is the G -parity transform of the well established Paris NN potential for the long- and medium-range distances ($r \gtrsim 1\text{fm}$), and some phenomenological part for the short distances. The absorptive part $W_{N\bar{N}}$ of the optical potential takes into account the inelastic channels of $N\bar{N}$ interaction, i.e. annihilation into mesons. It is essential at short distances and depends on the kinetic energy of the particles. We perform calculations in the non-relativistic approximation. The Coulomb interaction between proton and antiproton is important only for the kinetic energy $T \lesssim (\pi\alpha)^2 M \sim 1\text{ MeV}$, where α is the fine structure constant, and M is the proton mass. Here we consider the process for kinetic energies $T \gg 1\text{ MeV}$, therefore we neglect the Coulomb interaction.

Taking into account that the difference of the cross sections $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow n\bar{n}$ is small [24, 25], we calculate the cross sections at a given isospin final states and compare them with the experimental data for $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$. As a result we found that the amplitude with the isospin $I = 0$ strongly dominates. Besides, our prediction for the ratio $|G_E(Q^2)/G_M(Q^2)|$ depends on the parameters of the Paris potential but independent of the form factor at threshold. The ratio is also in a qualitative agreement with experimental data.

^{*}Electronic address: V.F.Dmitriev@inp.nsk.su

[†]Electronic address: A.I.Milstein@inp.nsk.su

[‡]Electronic address: salsergey@gmail.com

II. AMPLITUDE OF THE PROCESS

In the nonrelativistic approximation, the amplitude of $N\bar{N}$ pair production in a certain isospin channel $I = 0, 1$ near threshold can be presented as follows (in units $4\pi\alpha/Q^2$):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\lambda\mu}^I &= \sqrt{2}\epsilon_\lambda^* \left[\mathcal{G}_s^I \mathbf{e}_\mu + \mathcal{G}_d^I \frac{\mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{e}_\mu - 3(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\mu) \mathbf{k}}{6M^2} \right], \\ \mathcal{G}_s^I &= \mathcal{F}_1^I(Q^2) + \mathcal{F}_2^I(Q^2) + \frac{\beta^2}{6} [\mathcal{F}_2^I(Q^2) - \mathcal{F}_1^I(Q^2)], \\ \mathcal{G}_d^I &= \mathcal{F}_1^I(Q^2) - \mathcal{F}_2^I(Q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\beta = k/M \ll 1$, \mathbf{e}_μ is a virtual photon polarization vector, corresponding to the projection of spin $J_z = \mu = \pm 1$, and ϵ_λ is the spin-1 function of $N\bar{N}$ pair, $\lambda = \pm 1, 0$ is the projection of spin on the vector \mathbf{k} . Two tensor structures in Eq.(2) correspond to the s-wave and d-wave production amplitudes. The total angular momentum of the $N\bar{N}$ pair is fixed by a production mechanism. The functions $\mathcal{F}_1^I(Q^2)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^I(Q^2)$ are the Dirac form factors of the $N\bar{N}$ pair which include the effects of final state interaction and have a pronounced Q^2 behavior near the threshold. Summation over the polarization of nucleon pair and averaging over the polarization of virtual photon is performed using the equations,

$$\sum_{\lambda=1,2,3} \epsilon_\lambda^{i*} \epsilon_\lambda^j = \delta^{ij}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1,2} e_\mu^{i*} e_\mu^j = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\perp}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{ij} - P^i P^j / P^2), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{P} is the electron momentum.

Our aim is to single out the effects of final state interaction. In order to do that, we write the amplitude (2) in the form

$$T_{\lambda\mu}^I = \sqrt{2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)*}(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \left[G_s^I \mathbf{e}_\mu + G_d^I \frac{\mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{e}_\mu - 3(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\mu) \mathbf{p}}{6M^2} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)}(\mathbf{p})$ is the Fourier transform of the function $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)}(\mathbf{r})$, the wave function of the $N\bar{N}$ pair in coordinate space. This wave function is the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)*}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{H} = M\beta^2 \Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)*}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{M} + V_{N\bar{N}}, \quad (5)$$

where $V_{N\bar{N}}$ is the optical potential. Note that $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)*}(\mathbf{r})$ is the left eigenfunction of the bi-orthogonal set of eigenfunctions of the non-Hermitian operator \hat{H} . The asymptotic form of the wave function at large distances reads

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \epsilon_\lambda e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + f_{\lambda\lambda'} \frac{e^{-ikr}}{r} \epsilon_{\lambda'}. \quad (6)$$

In Eq.(4) the form factors G_s and G_d are

$$\begin{aligned} G_s^I &= F_1^I + F_2^I + \frac{\beta^2}{6} (F_2^I - F_1^I), \\ G_d^I &= F_1^I - F_2^I, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the "bare" Dirac form factors F_1 and F_2 do not account for the effect of final state interaction. Near threshold these form factors are smooth functions of Q^2 and can be treated as phenomenological constants.

III. WAVE FUNCTION

Let us introduce the vector spherical functions $\mathbf{Y}_{J\mu}^L(\mathbf{n})$ as

$$\mathbf{Y}_{J\mu}^L(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_m C_{Lm, 1\mu-m}^{J\mu} Y_{Lm}(\mathbf{n}) \epsilon_{\mu-m}, \quad (8)$$

where $Y_{Lm}(\mathbf{n})$ are spherical harmonics, $C_{Lm,1\mu-m}^{J\mu}$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r$. In Eq. (8) the quantization axes is directed along the vector \mathbf{k} . Then the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)}(\mathbf{r})$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I(-)}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_J \sqrt{4\pi(2J+1)} C_{J0,1\lambda}^{J\lambda} v_J^I(r) \mathbf{Y}_{J\lambda}^J(\mathbf{n}) \\ &+ \sum_J \sqrt{4\pi(2J-1)} C_{J-1,1\lambda}^{J\lambda} \left[u_{1J}^{I*}(r) \mathbf{Y}_{J\lambda}^{J-1}(\mathbf{n}) + w_{1J}^{I*}(r) \mathbf{Y}_{J\lambda}^{J+1}(\mathbf{n}) \right] \\ &+ \sum_J \sqrt{4\pi(2J+3)} C_{J+1,1\lambda}^{J\lambda} \left[u_{2J}^{I*}(r) \mathbf{Y}_{J\lambda}^{J-1}(\mathbf{n}) + w_{2J}^{I*}(r) \mathbf{Y}_{J\lambda}^{J+1}(\mathbf{n}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here the functions $v_J^I(r)$, $u_{nJ}^I(r)$, and $w_{nJ}^I(r)$ have the asymptotic form at large distances

$$\begin{aligned} v_J^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} \left[S_0^{IJ} e^{i[kr-J\pi/2]} - e^{-i[kr-J\pi/2]} \right], \\ u_{1J}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} \left[S_{11}^{IJ} e^{i[kr-(J-1)\pi/2]} - e^{-i[kr-(J-1)\pi/2]} \right], \\ w_{1J}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} S_{12}^{IJ} e^{i[kr-(J+1)\pi/2]}, \\ u_{2J}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} S_{21}^{IJ} e^{i[kr-(J-1)\pi/2]}, \\ w_{2J}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} \left[S_{22}^{IJ} e^{i[kr-(J+1)\pi/2]} - e^{-i[kr-(J+1)\pi/2]} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where S_0^{IJ} and S_{ij}^{IJ} are some functions of energy with $|S_0^{IJ}| \leq 1$ and $|S_{ij}^{IJ}| \leq 1$. Due to angular momentum conservation, only the terms with $J = 1$ and $L = J \pm 1$ (i.e., $L = 0, 2$) contribute to the matrix element (4). Then the amplitude (4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\lambda\mu}^I &= \sqrt{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left[G_s^I \mathbf{e}_\mu - G_d^I \frac{\mathbf{e}_\mu \Delta - 3(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_\mu) \nabla}{6M^2} \right] \psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{I*}(\mathbf{r}), \\ \psi_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^I(\mathbf{r}) &= [u_{11}^{I*}(r) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\lambda + w_{11}^{I*}(r) \sqrt{4\pi} \mathbf{Y}_{1\lambda}^2(\mathbf{n})] \\ &+ \sqrt{5} C_{20,1\lambda}^{1\lambda} [u_{21}^{I*}(r) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\lambda + w_{21}^{I*}(r) \sqrt{4\pi} \mathbf{Y}_{1\lambda}^2(\mathbf{n})]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_s^I &= G_s^I u_{11}^I(0) + \frac{5G_d^I}{\sqrt{2}M^2} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{w_{11}^I(r)}{r^2} \right), \\ \mathcal{G}_d^I &= \frac{6G_s^I}{\sqrt{2}\beta^2} u_{21}^I(0) + 15G_d^I \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{w_{21}^I(r)}{k^2 r^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first term in \mathcal{G}_d^I contains the large factor $6/\beta^2$ while the second term in \mathcal{G}_s^I is small due to the proton mass M in denominator. Thus, in the non-relativistic approximation the amplitude $T_{\lambda\mu}^I$ reads,

$$T_{\lambda\mu}^I = G_s^I \left\{ \sqrt{2} u_{11}^I(0) (\mathbf{e}_\mu \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\lambda^*) + u_{21}^I(0) [(\mathbf{e}_\mu \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\lambda^*) - 3(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\mu)(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\lambda^*)] \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/k$. The interpretation of this equation is the following. As a result of re-scattering due to the tensor forces, the pair produced at the origin in s -wave has a non-zero amplitude to transfer to d -wave.

IV. CROSS SECTION AND SACHS FORM FACTORS

The cross section corresponding to the amplitude (4) has the form in the center-of-mass frame (see, e.g., Ref. [26])

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\beta\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \left[|G_M(Q^2)|^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{4M^2}{Q^2} |G_E(Q^2)|^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]. \quad (14)$$

Here θ is the angle between the electron (positron) momentum \mathbf{P} and the momentum of the final particle \mathbf{k} . In terms of the "dressed" form factors \mathcal{G}_s^I and \mathcal{G}_d^I the electromagnetic Sachs form factors, corresponding to the contribution of the amplitude with the isospin I , have the form

$$\begin{aligned} G_M^I &= \mathcal{G}_s^I + \frac{\beta^2}{6} \mathcal{G}_d^I = G_s^I [u_{11}^I(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u_{21}^I(0)], \\ \frac{2M}{Q} G_E^I &= \mathcal{G}_s^I - \frac{\beta^2}{3} \mathcal{G}_d^I = G_s^I [u_{11}^I(0) - \sqrt{2} u_{21}^I(0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus, in the non-relativistic approximation the ratio G_E^I/G_M^I is independent of the constant G_s^I ,

$$\frac{G_E^I}{G_M^I} = \frac{u_{11}^I(0) - \sqrt{2} u_{21}^I(0)}{u_{11}^I(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u_{21}^I(0)}. \quad (16)$$

Note that the electromagnetic interaction is important only in the narrow region where $\beta \sim \pi\alpha$ and the nucleon energy is $E = M\beta^2/2 \sim 0.3\text{MeV}$. In this paper we will not consider this narrow region and neglect the electromagnetic interaction in the potential. Then, the amplitude of $p\bar{p}$ pair production, $T_{\lambda\mu}^{(p)}$, and the amplitude of $n\bar{n}$ pair production, $T_{\lambda\mu}^{(n)}$, has the form

$$T_{\lambda\mu}^{(p)} = \frac{T_{\lambda\mu}^1 + T_{\lambda\mu}^0}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad T_{\lambda\mu}^{(n)} = \frac{T_{\lambda\mu}^1 - T_{\lambda\mu}^0}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The contribution of the isospin I to the total cross section of the nucleon pair production reads

$$\sigma^I = \frac{2\pi\beta\alpha^2}{Q^2} |G_s^I|^2 [|u_{11}^I(0)|^2 + |u_{21}^I(0)|^2]. \quad (17)$$

Thus, to describe the energy dependence of the ratio G_E^I/G_M^I and the cross section σ^I in the non-relativistic approximation, it is necessary to know the functions $u_{11}^I(0)$ and $u_{21}^I(0)$.

Let us write the hamiltonian H^I for the isospin I as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} H^I &= \frac{p_r^2}{M} + V_0^I(r)\delta_{L0} + V_2^I(r)\delta_{L2} + V_3(r)S_{12}, \\ S_{12} &= 6(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 - 4, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where \mathbf{S} is the spin operator for the spin-one system of produced pair, $(-p_r^2)$ is the radial part of the Laplace operator, and L denotes the orbital angular momentum. Then the radial wave functions u_{n1}^I and w_{n1}^I , $n = 1, 2$, satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_r^2}{M}\chi + \mathcal{V}\chi &= 2E\chi, \\ \mathcal{V} &= \begin{pmatrix} V_0^I & -2\sqrt{2}V_3^I \\ -2\sqrt{2}V_3^I & V_2^I - 2V_3^I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} u_{n1}^I \\ w_{n1}^I \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The asymptotic form of the solutions at large distances is, Eq. (10),

$$\begin{aligned} u_{11}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} [S_{11}^{I1} e^{ikr} - e^{-ikr}], \\ w_{11}^I(r) &= -\frac{1}{2ikr} S_{12}^{I1} e^{ikr}, \\ u_{21}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} S_{21}^{I1} e^{ikr}, \\ w_{21}^I(r) &= \frac{1}{2ikr} [-S_{22}^{I1} e^{ikr} + e^{-ikr}]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is known that the difference of the cross section of the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow n\bar{n}$ is small [24, 25]. Therefore it is natural to suggest that one of the isospin amplitudes is much larger than another one. Fig. 1 shows the cross section σ^0 at $|G_s^0|^2 = 101.8$ (solid line) as well as the cross section $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$. The only free parameter $|G_s^0|^2$ has been found by normalizing the theoretical curve to the data at the third experimental point above threshold. It is seen that the cross section for $I = 0$ perfectly reproduces the shape of the data in a wide range of energy near threshold (from threshold up to $2E = 2.2$ GeV). Besides, for this case the value of $|G_s^0| = |F_1^0 + F_2^0| \approx 10$ looks reasonable compared to the value $|G_s^1| \approx 100$ obtained by assumption of isovector dominance.

Fig. 2 shows the cross section σ^1 normalized at the same data point. It is seen that the shape of the theoretical curve does not reproduce the energy behaviour of the measured cross section. In addition, the value of the fitting parameter $|G_s^1|^2 = 10184$ does not look reasonable.

Fig. 3 shows the ratio $|G_E^0/G_M^0|$, see Eq.(16), together with the experimental data Ref.[24]. Our prediction is in a qualitative agreement with the data.

Isoscalar dominance in a model of final-state interaction based on the Paris potential has a simple explanation. At very small distances, the Paris potential corresponding to $I = 1$ is strongly repulsive while the potential for $I = 0$ is strongly attractive. As a result, the wave function for $I = 1$ at small distances is strongly suppressed as compare to the case $I = 0$.

To conclude, using the Paris optical potential, we show that the isoscalar amplitude dominates in the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow N\bar{N}$. Our prediction for the cross section is in good agreement with the data. The prediction for $|G_E^0/G_M^0|$ obtained under assumption of the isoscalar dominance agrees qualitatively with the data. To confirm our statement on the isoscalar dominance, other optical potential models should be considered.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation.

-
- [1] C.B. Dover and J.M. Richard, Phys. Rev. C **25**, 1952 (1982).
 - [2] V. Mull, J. Haidenbauer, T. Hippchen, and K. Holinder, Phys. Rev. C **44**, 1337 (1991).
 - [3] V. Mull and K. Holinder, Phys. Rev. C **51**, 2360 (1995).
 - [4] J. Côté, M. Lacombe, B. Loiseau, B. Moussallam, and R. Vinh Mau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1319 (1982).
 - [5] M. Pignone, M. Lacombe, B. Loiseau, and R. Vinh Mau, Phys. Rev. C **50**, 2710 (1994).
 - [6] R. Timmermans, Th.A. Rijken, and J.J. de Swart, Phys. Rev. C **50**, 48 (1994), Phys. Rev. C **52**, 1145 (1994).
 - [7] E. Klempt, F. Bradamante, A. Martin, and J.M. Richard, Phys. Rep. **368**, 119 (2002).
 - [8] E. Klempt, C. Batty, and J.M. Richard, Phys. Rep. **413**, 197 (2005).
 - [9] J.M. Richard, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 1143 (1994).
 - [10] J.Z. Bai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 022001 (2003).
 - [11] K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 181803 (2002).
 - [12] K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 151802 (2002).
 - [13] B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 051101 (R) (2005).
 - [14] M.Z. Wang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 131801 (2004).
 - [15] S.B. Athar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 032001 (2006).
 - [16] B. Kerbikov, A. Stavinsky, and V. Fedotov, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 055205 (2004).
 - [17] D.V. Bugg, Phys. Lett. B **598**, 8 (2004).
 - [18] B. S. Zou and H. C. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 034004 (2004).
 - [19] B. Loiseau and S. Wycech, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 011001 (2005).
 - [20] A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer, S. Krewald, Ulf-G. Meissner, and A.W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 054010 (2005).
 - [21] J. Haidenbauer, Ulf-G. Meissner, A. Sibirtsev, arXiv:hep-ph/0605127.
 - [22] G. Bardin *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **411**, 3 (1994).
 - [23] T.A. Armstrong *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1212 (1993); M. Ambrogiani *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 032002 (1999); M. Andreotti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **559**, 20 (2003).
 - [24] B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 012005 (2006).
 - [25] A. Antonelli *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **517**, 20 (1998).
 - [26] V.B. Berestetski, E.M. Lifshits, L.P. Pitayevski, *Relativistic Quantum Theory* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1971).




FIG. 1: Calculated isoscalar cross section, normalized to the data at the third point.




FIG. 2: Calculated isovector cross section, normalized to the data at the third point.




FIG. 3: Calculated ratio $|G_E^0/G_M^0|$. No free parameters for fixed optical potential.