

# A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO REDUCTIONS OF TYPE-Q ABS EQUATIONS

MIKE HAY, PHIL HOWES, NOBUTAKA NAKAZONO, AND YANG SHI

**ABSTRACT.** We present a class of reductions of Möbius type for the lattice equations known as Q1, Q2, and Q3 from the ABS list. The deautonomised form of one particular reduction of Q3 is shown to exist on the  $A_1^{(1)}$  surface which belongs to the multiplicative type of rational surfaces in Sakai's classification of Painlevé systems. Using the growth of degrees of iterates, all other mappings that result from the class of reductions considered here are shown to be linearisable. Any possible linearisations are calculated explicitly by constructing a birational transformation defined by invariant curves in the blown up space of initial values for each reduction.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Integrable lattice equations and mappings are discrete analogues of the well known integrable partial and ordinary differential equations. The integrability of discrete systems has been the focus of vigorous activity recently, from which it has emerged that geometry plays a central role. Of primary interest are lattice equations and discrete Painlevé equations, where the geometry manifests itself in various ways. In [1], a set of lattice equations was constructed and classified by a property known as “three-dimensional consistency”, this set of equations is known as the “ABS list” and has received much attention. These equations on quad graphs can be considered discrete analogues of integrable partial differential equations in 1+1 dimensions. The discrete Painlevé equations are discrete analogues of the continuous Painlevé equations, nonlinear second order differential equations possessing the Painlevé property: all moveable singularities are poles. It has been shown by Sakai [28] that discrete Painlevé systems arise naturally in the actions of Weyl (reflection) groups of affine type.

It is well known that solutions of integrable PDEs invariant under the action of Lie groups satisfy ODEs of Painlevé type [5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 20]. The solutions are called similarity reductions of these PDEs. It was first found [21] that a discrete Painlevé equation arises as a similarity reduction of the lattice KdV equation much in the same way continuous Painlevé equations can be derived as scaling reductions of integrable PDEs. Although, the connection between symmetry invariant solution of integrable lattice equations and the recent classification of discrete Painlevé equations [28] is not yet well understood, there have been much interest and intense work in this direction. In particular, the main approaches for obtaining discrete Painlevé equations by reductions of integrable lattice equations have been periodic constraints [9, 10, 25], nonlinear constraints derived by the infinite matrix approach [21, 22], group invariant solutions [17, 32], and circle pattern interpretations [2].

In this paper we present a class of periodic reductions for the equations Q1, Q2, and Q3 in the ABS list [1]. As a sufficient condition for the resulting reductions to be at most second order in the independent variable, we consider here the reductions of the form  $u(k, l+1) = m(u(k+1, l))$ , where  $m$  is a Möbius transformation. The invertibility of the

reduction constraint means that it can be expressed naturally on any staircase in the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice. As we see in this paper, the condition that the resulting equation is second order allows us to use the algebro-geometric tools for mappings of the plane. The systematic approach allows us to give a complete description of reductions of the equations known as Q1, Q2, and Q3 (of the type  $u(k, l+1) = m(u(k+1, l))$ ). Taking reductions that result in higher order mappings introduces several issues that the algebraic tools are not currently adequate to deal with. While some higher order reductions (a longer staircase periodicity condition) result in mappings that permit a reparametrisation into second order form, these are beyond the scope of this article. Similar Möbius type reductions exist for Q4, the resulting equations are all non-linearisable, and calculating the deautonomisation proves too complex to be considered in this article.

The main result of this paper is a  $q$ -Painlevé equation resulting from a deautonomised reduction of Q3, which is presented in Section 5. The deautonomisation is provided by singularity confinement [9], which preserves the integrability of the reduction whilst allowing for the parameters to vary with the independent variable. The equation is shown to exist on the  $A_1^{(1)}$  surface which belongs to the multiplicative type of surfaces in Sakai's classification of Painlevé systems [28]. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first example of such an equation being found as a reduction of an ABS equation.

For each reduction, we explore the integrability by examining the space of initial conditions, in the sense of [23, 28]. Initially we calculate the degree growth, or “algebraic entropy”, as introduced in [4]. When the degree growth is linear, indicating linearisability of the reduction, we use the geometry of the space of initial conditions to provide an explicit transformation of variables which linearises the system.

In the remainder of Section 1, we provide some definitions which will be used throughout the article. In Section 2, we examine the set of reductions which are considered admissible for Q1, Q2 and Q3. In Section 3, we examine the reductions of Q1 and Q2, and show that in these cases all reductions are linearisable. We linearise the equations explicitly by constructing a birational transformation of the dependent variables which brings each reduction into a system of Riccati maps which may be solved in cascade, using the theory developed in [31]. This approach has the advantage of being an algorithmic process, in which the explicit linearisation can be found as opposed to ad hoc methods used previously, usually requiring guesswork based on knowledge of the linearisation of the autonomous forms of the equation, cf [14, 25]. In Section 4, we will consider reductions from Q3, where we observe three reductions which are shown to be linearisable. In Section 5, we show that there exists a periodic reduction (an involution) of Q3 which is shown to be quadratic in degree growth. For this mapping we provide a deautonomisation via singularity confinement, and show that the configuration of the base points is that of the  $A_1^{(1)}$  surface in the classification of Painlevé systems [28]. Finally, we make some concluding remarks in Section 6.

**1.1. Space of initial conditions.** For each reduction, we will investigate its integrability properties by constructing the space of initial conditions, as first introduced in [23] and developed as a classification for discrete Painlevé systems in [28]. When the number of base points is infinite, the mapping does not pass the singularity confinement test. However, for these mappings it is seen that the degree growth is linear and may be lifted to an “analytically stable mapping”, in the sense of [31]. Recast as a first order system in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , we investigate the base points by blowing up. In the proceeding, we refer to the surface of initial conditions (base point-free blown up space) as  $X$ , and the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  as  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 1.** A base point is a point  $(x, y)$  which is a singularity of the mapping  $\varphi$  or its inverse,  $\varphi^{-1}$ . It may be identified as a point which is the blow down of, or blow up to a line, or an indeterminacy in the discrete vector field (the discrete phase space determined by the dynamical system).

We use the notation where a blow up  $\pi$  at the point  $(x, y) = (a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  is denoted by

$$(x, y) \xleftarrow{\pi} ((x-a)/(y-b), y-b) \cup (x-a, (y-b)/(x-a)). \quad (1)$$

The exceptional divisor,  $E$ , is the inverse image of  $(a, b)$  under the map  $\pi$ . We will often use the terms ‘exceptional divisor’ and ‘blow up of the point’ interchangeably.

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  denote the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at  $n$  base points  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let the exceptional curve (curve with self-intersection -1 and birationally equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ) created by the blow up at  $p_i$  be denoted by  $e_i$  and let the linear equivalence classes of total transform of  $x = \text{constant}$  and  $y = \text{constant}$  be denoted by  $h_x$  and  $h_y$ , respectively. From [11] we know  $\text{Pic}(X)$ , the Picard group of  $X$  is given by

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}h_x + \mathbb{Z}h_y + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_i.$$

The intersection form  $(|)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (h_x|h_y) &= (h_y|h_x) = 1, & (h_x|h_x) &= (h_y|h_y) = 0, \\ (h_x|e_i) &= (e_i|h_x) = (h_y|e_i) = (e_i|h_y) = 0, & (e_i|e_j) &= -\delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The anticanonical divisor of  $X$  is given by

$$\delta = -K_X = 2h_x + 2h_y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i.$$

**Definition 2.** The algebraic entropy [4] of a mapping is given by the limit

$$\varepsilon = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d_n,$$

where  $d_n$  is the degree of the  $n$ -th iterate of the mapping in the initial data, in homogeneous coordinates.

It is known [34] that the algebraic entropy of the ABS equations is zero and the degree growth of their initial data is polynomial. For generic nonlinear equations, the algebraic entropy is greater than zero and the degree growth in their initial data of solutions is exponential. The decrease in the degree growth of the ABS equations comes from factorisations occurring in iterations of the equation. By performing a reduction, the family of solutions is restricted by the periodicity of the reduction, and thus we may find additional cancellations in the resulting mappings, possibly resulting in further decreased degree growth. Because of this, reductions from the integrable but not linearisable ABS equations may result in linearisable mappings.

We give a complete description of all the (integrable) reductions in the class we consider. It was observed [27] that mappings having low growth (either no growth or linear growth) can be linearised. Hence for each map a calculation of the degrees of the first few iterates is a good test to determine the linearisability of a mapping, we compute these for the reductions obtained in this paper.

## 2. ADMISSIBLE REDUCTIONS

This paper will consider three Q type quad-equations, namely Q1, Q2, Q3, in the ABS classification [1] of integrable lattice equations possessing 3D consistency. These quad equations take the form  $Q(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}) = 0$ , where  $Q(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}})$  is an irreducible multi-affine polynomial in  $u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Q3_\delta &= \sinh(\alpha)(u\bar{u} + \hat{u}\hat{\bar{u}}) - \sinh(\beta)(u\hat{u} + \bar{u}\hat{\bar{u}}) \\ &\quad - \sinh(\alpha - \beta)(\hat{u}\bar{u} + u\hat{\bar{u}} - \delta^2 \sinh(\alpha)\sinh(\beta)), \\ Q2_\delta &= \alpha(u - \hat{u})(\bar{u} - \hat{\bar{u}}) - \beta(u - \bar{u})(\hat{u} - \hat{\bar{u}}) + \alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta)(u + \hat{u} + \bar{u} + \hat{\bar{u}} - \alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \alpha\beta), \\ Q1_\delta &= \alpha(u - \hat{u})(\bar{u} - \hat{\bar{u}}) - \beta(u - \bar{u})(\hat{u} - \hat{\bar{u}}) + \delta^2 \alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the parametrisation of  $Q3_\delta$  is due to Hietarinta [13]. Here  $u = u(k, l)$ , and the hat/bar notation used throughout is  $\bar{u} = u(k+1, l)$ ,  $\hat{u} = u(k, l+1)$ .

We investigate all possible reductions of the form  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$ , where  $m(u) = (a_1 u + a_2)/(a_3 u + a_4)$ , is a Möbius transformation, where  $a_1, \dots, a_4$  are independent of  $k$  and  $l$ . We call a reduction *admissible* if the reduced equation is consistent on the lattice, i.e. the solution to the reduction is Möbius invariant on the lattice. More specifically where the ABS equation in question is written  $Q(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}) = 0$ , the reduced equation on the quadrilateral  $Q = Q(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}) = Q(u, \bar{u}, m(\bar{u}), m(\bar{\bar{u}}))$  must be equal (up to a nonzero multiplicative factor) to the reduced equation on the quadrilateral  $\widehat{Q} = Q(\hat{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}, \hat{\hat{u}}, \hat{\hat{\bar{u}}}) = Q(m(u), m(\bar{u}), m(m(\bar{u})), m(m(\bar{\bar{u}})))$ . In this way, the reduced equation (without loss of generality written in terms of bars rather than hats) is the same on any infinite (1,1) staircase on the lattice. A (1,1) staircase is a connected path which is non-decreasing, or non-increasing on the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice along which the initial conditions and periodic condition  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$  are satisfied [33]. While, in the past, the (1,1) reduction is used to describe the periodic condition  $\hat{u} = \bar{u}$ , here it is more appropriate to use the term for the reductions of the type  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$ . In this paper,  $Q$  is written as shorthand for the quadrilateral equation which depends on the four vertices of the quadrilateral.

**2.1. Reductions.** By following the above procedure, we find that the admissible reductions  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$  for the equation  $Q1_\delta$  are

$$\begin{aligned} m(u) &= a \pm u, \text{ for any } \delta, \\ m(u) &= \frac{a_1 u + a_2}{a_3 u + a_4}, \text{ when } \delta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided  $a_2 a_3 - a_1 a_4 \neq 0$ .

The only admissible reduction  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$  for the equation  $Q2$  is

$$m(u) = u. \tag{3}$$

The admissible reductions  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$  for the equation  $Q3_\delta$  are

$$\begin{aligned} m(u) &= \pm u, \text{ for any } \delta, \\ m(u) &= \frac{a}{u} \text{ when } \delta = 0, \\ m(u) &= c u \text{ when } \delta = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The reductions  $\hat{u} = \bar{u}$  of Q2 and Q3 were carried out in [14, 25]. The resulting mappings were shown to be linearisable. It is expected that higher order reductions could lead to mappings that are not linearisable, whose nonautonomous forms will be related to discrete Painlevé equations. As previously mentioned, the issue with taking higher order reductions is identifying the reduced equation. In some cases [12, 24], one can integrate the reduction to a second order difference equation, but this proves difficult in general. The process of identifying a second order equation is problematic as one requires either that the equation is in a known form, or a transformation can be found that brings it into a known form, which is practically difficult. If one attempts to identify an equation by taking an appropriate continuous limit, one is faced with the problem that many discrete equations have the same continuous limit. Two equations can not be transformed to each other unless they share the same surface of initial conditions and their actions on the Picard group are conjugate to each other [29]. Only then is it theoretically possible to find a change of variables which will bring one equation to the other. For this reason, we study the surface of initial conditions and its action on the Picard group of that surface, so as to identify the equation in a way that is independent of its presented form.

For those cases where  $m$  is an involution, in taking reductions  $\hat{u} = m(\bar{u})$ , we are effectively taking a sub-case of a higher order (2,2) reduction. The bonus is that instead of resulting in a fourth order equation which would need to be integrated twice (if possible) to bring it into a identifiable form, the equation is automatically second order. This allows one to use the algebro-geometric tools to identify the geometry of the reductions.

### 3. REDUCTIONS OF Q1 AND Q2

In this section, we examine the admissible reductions for the Q1 and Q2 equations, as stated in Section 2. In each case we show the reduced equation, some of which are clearly linear or linearisable, while others are not. In those cases where linearisation is not immediately obvious, the exact degree growth is calculated through the realisation of the space of initial conditions [30]. Although infinitely many blow ups are required for non-confined singularities, we may still obtain a great wealth of information from their explicit construction. That is, we can rigorously determine growth properties, as well as find pencils of invariant curves which determine linearising transformations by the theory developed in [31].

**3.1. Q1: Where  $\hat{u} = a + \bar{u}$ .** This leads to the equation

$$\alpha(u - \bar{u} - a)(\bar{u} - \bar{\bar{u}} - a) + \beta(u - \bar{u})(\bar{u} - \bar{\bar{u}}) + \delta^2 \alpha \beta (\alpha - \beta) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Upon the substitution  $y = u - \bar{u}$  we have

$$\alpha(y - a)(\bar{y} - a) + \beta y \bar{y} + \delta^2 \alpha \beta (\alpha - \beta) = 0, \quad (6)$$

and as this is a discrete Riccati equation, the general solution for  $y$  can be easily obtained.

**3.2. Q1: Where  $\hat{u} = a - \bar{u}$ .** This leads to the equation

$$\alpha(u + \bar{u} - a)(\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{u}} - a) + \beta(u - \bar{u})(\bar{u} - \bar{\bar{u}}) + \delta^2 \alpha \beta (\alpha - \beta) = 0, \quad (7)$$

where the  $a$  can be removed by a shift  $u \mapsto u + a/2$ , resulting in

$$\alpha(u + \bar{u})(\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{u}}) + \beta(u - \bar{u})(\bar{u} - \bar{\bar{u}}) + \delta^2 \alpha \beta (\alpha - \beta) = 0. \quad (8)$$

By calculating the first degrees of (8) in the iterates of the mapping, we obtain the degree growth sequence 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, ..., which would suggest the mapping has linear degree growth, and thus is linearisable. It is not immediately clear what transformation will linearise (8) as was the case in (5). To find the linearising transformation, and to confirm the trend in degree growth, we construct the space of initial conditions, in the sense of [28]. Equation (8) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{\delta^2 \alpha \beta (\beta - \alpha) - \alpha x(x + y) + \beta x(x - y)}{\alpha(x + y) + \beta(x - y)}, \\ \bar{y} &= x,\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where  $x = \bar{u}$  and  $y = u$ . In  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , this system has base points at

$$\begin{aligned}p_1 : (x, y) &= \left( \frac{\delta(\alpha - \beta)}{2}, \frac{-\delta(\alpha + \beta)}{2} \right), \quad p_2 : (x, y) = \left( \frac{-\delta(\alpha - \beta)}{2}, \frac{\delta(\alpha + \beta)}{2} \right), \\ p_3 : (x, y) &= \left( \frac{-\delta(\alpha + \beta)}{2}, \frac{\delta(\alpha - \beta)}{2} \right), \quad p_4 : (x, y) = \left( \frac{\delta(\alpha + \beta)}{2}, \frac{-\delta(\alpha - \beta)}{2} \right), \\ p_5 : (1/x, 1/y) &= (0, 0).\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

The surface  $Y$  obtained by the blow up,  $\pi$ , of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , is free of indeterminacy as a mapping from  $Y$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We call  $F$  the blow up of  $p_1$ , and similarly we call  $G, f, g, E$  the blow ups of  $p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5$  respectively, i.e.

$$p_1 \xleftarrow{\pi} F, \quad p_2 \xleftarrow{\pi} G, \quad p_3 \xleftarrow{\pi} f, \quad p_4 \xleftarrow{\pi} g, \quad p_5 \xleftarrow{\pi} E.\tag{11}$$

We find however that the lines  $h_y - E$  (given by the relation  $1/y = 0$ ) and  $h_x - E$  (given by the relation  $1/x = 0$ ) are blown down by the mapping in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}h_x - E &\xrightarrow{\varphi} (y/x, 1/y) = \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta - \alpha}, 0 \right) := p_6, \\ h_y - E &\xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} (1/x, x/y) = \left( 0, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta - \alpha} \right) := p_7.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$


We demonstrate the procedure for the first of (12) as follows: The line  $h_x - E$  is parametrized by the equations  $(X = 1/x = 0, y = y)$ , upon substitution into (9) we have  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (\infty, \infty) = p_5$ . The image of  $p_5$  in  $Y$  is the exceptional line  $E$ , coordinatized by  $(y/x, 1/y) = (u, 0)$ . The image of  $h_x - E$  on  $E$  is given by  $(\bar{y}/\bar{x}, 0)$  where  $(X = 1/x = 0, y = y)$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{y}/\bar{x} &= -\frac{\alpha(1 + Xy) + \beta(1 - Xy)}{\alpha(1 + Xy - \beta^2\delta^2X^2) - \beta(1 - Xy - \alpha^2\delta^2X^2)} \Big|_{X=0}, \\ &= \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta - \alpha},\end{aligned}$$

and hence we arrive at the first of (12).

Upon the blow up of  $p_6 \xleftarrow{\pi} b_1$  and  $p_7 \xleftarrow{\pi} d_1$ , we find that these exceptional lines  $b_1$  and  $d_1$  are blown down in the following way:

$$b_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} (y/x, 1/y) = (0, 0) := p_8,\tag{13}$$



The first of these can be seen as the line  $b_1$  is parametrized by the relations

and we find the image of  $v=0$ ,  $u=u$  in  $Y$  is the point on the exceptional line  $E$  given by  $(y/x, 1/y) = (0, 0)$ .

The action of the mapping on elements of the Picard group is shown in Figure 1. The Picard group is given by

The actions on the generators of the Picard group are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
h_y &\mapsto 2h_y + h_x - E - f - g - b_1, \quad h_x \mapsto h_y, \\
E &\mapsto h_y - b_1, \quad f \mapsto F, \quad g \mapsto G, \quad F \mapsto h_y - f, \quad G \mapsto h_y - g, \\
d_i &\mapsto c_{i-1}, \quad i \geq 2, \\
b_i &\mapsto a_i, \quad a_i \mapsto b_{i+1}, \quad c_i \mapsto d_i, \quad i \geq 1, \\
d_1 &\mapsto h_y - E.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We can calculate the above directly by substituting in the lines and finding the resulting image. For example, the generic line  $y = \text{constant} = c$ , denoted  $h_{y=c}$ , has the image

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} &= \frac{\delta^2 \alpha \beta (\beta - \alpha) - \alpha x(x + c) + \beta x(x - c)}{\alpha(x + c) + \beta(x - c)}, \\
\bar{y} &= x,
\end{aligned}$$

for which  $x$  can be simply eliminated. Direct substitution (in the relevant coordinate charts) shows that the image curve intersects the lines  $E, f, g, b_1$  exactly once each, and also it intersects the lines  $h_y$  twice and  $h_x$  once (being quadratic in  $\bar{y}$  and monic in  $\bar{x}$ ).

The mapping (14) is a linear system, and so we can diagonalise to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^n(h_y) \\
&= 2nh_y + 2(n-1)h_x - n(f+g) - (n-1)(F+G) - 2(n-1)E - 2b_1 + (a_i, b_i \text{ terms}).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The degree of the  $n$ -th iterate in the initial data,  $(x_0, y_0)$ , is given by the maximum of the coefficients of  $h_y$  or  $h_x$  in  $\varphi^n(h_y)$  or  $\varphi^n(h_x)$ . These coefficients are equal to the intersection of a generic degree one initial curve with the lines  $x = \text{constant}$  or  $y = \text{constant}$ , which is equal to the degree of the mapping, by Bézout's theorem. Given that  $\varphi^n(h_x) = \varphi^{n-1}(h_y)$ , and the degree is increasing, the maximum degree is given by the largest of the coefficients of  $h_x$  and  $h_y$  in  $\varphi^n(h_y)$ . In this case the degree of the  $n$ -th iterate of the mapping is indeed  $2n$  (the coefficient of  $h_y$ ), which agrees with the numerical evidence.

Although the system (9) has infinitely many base points, we can lift the mapping to an “analytically stable system” in the sense of [31]. An analytically stable system is one in which there are no singularity patterns of the type  $e \mapsto \text{sequence of points} \mapsto e$  where  $e$  is an effective divisor. In our case we find this is possible by only blowing up the points resulting in the curves  $f, F, g, G, E$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned}
h_y &\mapsto 2h_y + h_x - E - f - g, \\
h_x &\mapsto h_y, \\
E &\mapsto h_y, \\
f &\mapsto F, \\
g &\mapsto G, \\
F &\mapsto h_y - f, \\
G &\mapsto h_y - g.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This action is a linear system, with a non-trivial eigenspace with the eigenvalue 1. It leaves invariant a family of curves,  $C$ , whose representation in the Picard group is



$$C = 2h_y + 2h_x - f - g - F - G - 2E, \quad (17)$$

that is  $\varphi(C) = C$ . A generic degree 2 curve in  $x$  and  $y$  is given by

$$c_{22}x^2y^2 + c_{12}xy^2 + c_{21}x^2y + c_{20}x^2 + c_{02}y^2 + c_{11}xy + c_{10}x + c_{01}y + c_{00} = 0, \quad (18)$$

however since  $C = 2h_y + 2h_x - f - g - F - G - 2E$ ,  $C$  is a curve of degree 2 in both  $x$  and  $y$ , which passes through the points (11)  $p_1, \dots, p_4$  and  $p_5$  with multiplicity 1, 1, 1, 1 and 2 respectively. We use this fact to reduce the number of coefficients  $c_{ij}$ . This family is described by the pencil of curves

$$c_1(\alpha^2(x+y)^2 - \beta^2(x-y)^2) - c_2(\delta^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2(x^2 + y^2)) = 0. \quad (19)$$

The ratio  $c_1/c_2$  defines a pencil of curves isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . If we define

$$\begin{aligned} v &:= \frac{c_1}{c_2} = \frac{\delta^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2(x^2 + y^2)}{\alpha^2(x+y)^2 - \beta^2(x-y)^2}, \\ w &:= \frac{y + \frac{\delta(\alpha+\beta)}{2}}{x - \frac{\delta(\alpha-\beta)}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we have chosen  $w$  such that  $w=\text{constant}$  intersects  $v=\text{constant}$  precisely once, so that the inverse transform is unique. The transformation,  $w$ , is found by finding another family of curves in  $\text{Pic } X$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (and thus has self intersection 0), which gives a single intersection with (17). One candidate is  $W = h_x + h_y - f - E$ , which results in  $w$ . Hence the linearisation (20) effectively maps the coordinates  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  to  $(v, w) \in S \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , where the dynamics on  $v$  are simple, given by  $h_v \mapsto h_v$ . By taking the upshift of (20), substituting in (9) and then substituting in the inverse transform for  $x$  and  $y$  in terms of  $v$  and  $w$ , the system (9) is written as a cascade of discrete Riccati equations which can be linearised in the standard way.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v} &= \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2\alpha^2\beta^2v}{\alpha^2\beta^2((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)v + 2)}, \\ \bar{w} &= \frac{f_1vw + f_{2,+}v + f_3w + f_4}{-f_1vw - f_{2,-}v - f_3w + f_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \\ f_{2,\pm} &= \alpha(\alpha \pm \beta)^2, \\ f_3 &= 2\alpha, \\ f_4 &= 2\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Equations (21) are two discrete Riccati type equations which may be solved in cascade.

**3.3. Q1: Where**  $\hat{u} = \frac{a_1\bar{u}+a_2}{a_3\bar{u}+a_4}$ ,  $\delta = 0$ ,  $a_2a_3 - a_1a_4 \neq 0$ .

This leads to a cumbersome equation which has degree growth  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots$ , i.e. linear growth. To spare the reader unnecessarily complex calculations, in this Section we will consider only the sub-case  $\hat{u} = a/\bar{u}$ , which retains the essential features of the full reduction. This far simpler looking equation reads

$$\alpha(u\bar{u} - a)(\bar{u}\bar{u} - a) - a\beta(u - \bar{u})(\bar{u} - \bar{u}) = 0. \quad (23)$$

Again the degree growth is  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots$ , which suggests linear growth. As before we verify this by calculating the degree growth exactly and finding the linearising transform through the blown up space of initial conditions.

Let  $x = \bar{u}$  and  $y = u$  so we recast (23) as the system  $\varphi : (x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , where  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= \frac{c^2((\beta - \alpha)xy + \alpha c^2 - \beta x^2)}{c^2(\alpha - \beta)x + \beta c^2y - \alpha x^2y}, \\ \bar{y} &= x, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $c^2 = a$  in (23).

This mapping is indeterminate at the points  $(x, y) = (\pm c, \pm c)$ . The blow up  $\pi$  at  $(c, c) \xleftarrow{\pi} E$  and  $(-c, -c) \xleftarrow{\pi} F$  lifts the mapping  $\varphi$  to a analytically stable mapping. There are, however, further indeterminate points, which arise due to the blow downs of the lines  $x = \pm c$  by  $\varphi$  and  $y = \pm c$  by  $\varphi^{-1}$ , which in turn result in an infinite sequence of blow downs as in Section 3.2. The explicit sequence can be observed in Figure 2.

The action of the mapping on elements of the Picard group are shown in Figure 2. The actions on the generators of the Picard group are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_y &\mapsto 2h_y + h_x - E - b_1 - F - g_1, \quad h_x \mapsto h_y, \quad E \mapsto h_y - b_1, \\ a_i &\mapsto b_{i+1}, \quad b_i \mapsto a_i, \quad d_i \mapsto c_i, \quad i \geq 1, \\ c_1 &\mapsto h_y - E, \quad F \mapsto h_y - g_1, \quad j_1 \mapsto h_y - F, \\ g_i &\mapsto h_i, \quad h_i \mapsto g_{i+1}, \quad k_i \mapsto j_i, \quad i \geq 1, \\ c_i &\mapsto d_{i-1}, \quad j_i \mapsto k_{i-1}, \quad i \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From (25) we find


$$\varphi^n(h_y) = (n+1)h_y + nh_x - n(E + F) - (a, b, g, h \text{ terms}). \quad (26)$$

Thus the degree of the  $n$ -th iterate of the mapping is indeed  $n+1$  (the coefficient of  $h_y$ ).

The analytically stable map obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the points resulting in  $E$  and  $F$  will give a linearising transformation. In this case we find

$$\begin{aligned} h_y &\mapsto 2h_y + h_x - E - F, \\ h_x &\mapsto h_y, \\ e &\mapsto h_y, \\ f &\mapsto h_y. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From (27), there exists the invariant class of divisors



which is representative of the pencil of curves (isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ )

By choosing

the resulting equations for  $s$  and  $t$  are

The equation for  $s$  is trivially solved and the equation for  $t$  is just a discrete Riccati equation. Thus the system (24) is linearised by (30).

3.4. **Q2, where  $\hat{u} = \bar{u}$ .** The reduction  $\hat{u} = \bar{u}$  was covered in [14], where it was shown to be linearisable.

#### 4. REDUCTIONS FROM Q3

4.1. **Q3, where  $\hat{u} = \bar{u}$ .** This was discussed in [14], where it was shown to be linearisable. The nonautonomous form of this reduction, deduced by singularity confinement, was found in [25], where it was also shown to be linearisable.

4.2. **Q3, where  $\hat{u} = -\bar{u}$ .** This results in the equation

$$(\sinh \alpha + \sinh \beta)(u\bar{u} + \bar{u}\bar{u}) + \sinh(\alpha - \beta)(u\bar{u} + \bar{u}^2 + \delta^2 \sinh \alpha \sinh \beta) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Equation (32) for  $\delta \neq 0$ , has degree growth 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, ..., whereas for  $\delta = 0$  the degree growth is 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ..., which suggests linearisability (owing to linear growth) in both cases. In  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , on letting  $x = \bar{u}$ ,  $y = u$  we recast the equation (32) as the system  $\varphi : (x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , where

$$\bar{x} = -\frac{xy(\sinh(\gamma - z) + \sinh(\gamma + z)) - \sinh(2z)x^2 + \delta^2 \sinh(\gamma - z)\sinh(\gamma + z)}{x(\sinh(\gamma - z) + \sinh(\gamma + z)) - y\sinh(2z)}, \quad (33)$$

$$\bar{y} = x,$$

where we have made the change of variables  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma - z$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma + z$ . In  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , this system has base points at

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : (x, y) &= (\sinh z, \sinh \gamma), \\ p_2 : (x, y) &= (-\sinh z, -\sinh \gamma), \\ p_3 : (x, y) &= (\sinh \gamma, \sinh z), \\ p_4 : (x, y) &= (-\sinh \gamma, -\sinh z), \\ p_5 : (1/x, 1/y) &= (0, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Interestingly, from a geometric standpoint, this set of base points is the same as that of the mapping of Section 3.2. In fact, geometrically we have the same infinite sequence of blow ups required to regularise the space of initial conditions. The action on the Picard group is the same as that of Section 3.2, and so we omit the calculations. We find that the pencil of curves

$$c_1 \delta^2 (\sinh \gamma x - \sinh z y)(\sinh \gamma y - \sinh z x) + c_2 [(\sinh^2 \gamma + \sinh^2 z) \delta^2 - (x^2 + y^2)] = 0 \quad (35)$$

is preserved by the system (33). If we put

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \frac{c_1}{c_2} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - \delta^2 (\sinh^2 \gamma + \sinh^2 z)}{\delta^2 (x \sinh z - y \sinh \gamma)(y \sinh z - x \sinh \gamma)}, \\ t &= \frac{y - \delta \sinh \gamma}{x - \delta \sinh z}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

then

$$\bar{s} = \frac{16 \sinh(z) \sinh(\gamma) - s \delta^2 (\cosh(2\gamma) - \cosh(2z))^2}{\delta^2 (\cosh(2\gamma) - \cosh(2z))^2 (s \delta^2 \sinh(z) \sinh(\gamma) + 1)}, \quad (37)$$

$$\bar{t} = \frac{a_0 + a_1 t}{a_2 + a_3 t},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \sinh(z) (s\delta^2 \cosh(2\gamma) - s\delta^2 + 2) - 2s\delta^2 \sinh^3(\gamma) + 2\sinh(\gamma), \\ a_1 &= (\sinh(\gamma) - \sinh(z)) (2s\delta^2 \sinh(z) \sinh(\gamma) + 2), \\ a_2 &= \sinh(z) (-s\delta^2 (\cosh(2z) - 2\sinh(z) \sinh(\gamma)) + s\delta^2 + 2) + 2\sinh(\gamma), \\ a_3 &= 2(\sinh(z) - \sinh(\gamma)) (s\delta^2 \sinh(z) \sinh(\gamma) + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The system (37) can be solved in cascade, as Riccati equations. The case where  $\delta = 0$  causes (36) to not be well defined, however this is a sub case ( $c = -1$ ) in the following section.

**4.3. Q3, where  $\hat{u} = c\bar{u}$ ,  $\delta = 0$ .** This results in the equation

$$\sinh(\alpha)u(c^2\bar{u} + \underline{u}) - c\sinh(\beta)u(\underline{u} + \bar{u}) - c\sinh(\alpha - \beta)(\underline{u}\bar{u} + u^2) = 0. \quad (39)$$

This equation has degree growth  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ , and as a system where  $y = \underline{u}$ , has a similar singularity structure to the equation in Section 3.3, where the base points here are at zero and infinity, rather than  $\pm c$ . Hence a similar calculation of the linearisation ensues, and the linearising transform can be calculated in this way. However, by observation, if we divide (39) by  $u\underline{u}$  and let  $w = u/\underline{u}$ , then we arrive at

$$\sinh(\alpha)(c^2\bar{w}w + 1) - c\sinh(\beta)(\bar{w}w + 1) - c\sinh(\alpha - \beta)(\bar{w} + w) = 0, \quad (40)$$

which is a discrete Riccati equation.

### 5. Q3, WHERE $\hat{u} = a/\bar{u}$ , $\delta = 0$

This reduction presents a particularly interesting case. Here, we find the only non-linearisable mapping produced by the class of reductions considered in this paper, showing that indeed it is possible to achieve Painlevé type equations from Q3 using this method. Note that the constant  $a$  in the reduction  $\hat{u} = a/\bar{u}$  can be scaled away by a change of variables  $u \mapsto \sqrt{a}u$ . Hence we may assume without loss of generality  $a = 1$ . In this case, the autonomous reduction is given by

$$\sinh \alpha (\bar{u}u^2\underline{u} + 1) - \sinh \beta (\bar{u}\underline{u} + u^2) - \sinh(\alpha - \beta)(\bar{u}u + u\underline{u}) = 0, \quad (41)$$

where  $u = u(n)$ ,  $\bar{u} = u(n+1)$  and  $\underline{u} = u(n-1)$ . The degree growth of equation (41) is  $1, 2, 5, 8, 13, 18, 25, 32, \dots$ , which appears to be quadratic, and thus not linearisable.

It is known that singularity confinement can be used to find conditions on the parameters in an equation which permit deautonomisation whilst preserving integrability [9]. With the benefit of hindsight, we replace the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\gamma$  and  $z$  by

$$\alpha = \gamma - z, \quad \beta = \gamma + z. \quad (42)$$

Then, equation (41) can be rewritten as

$$\sinh(\gamma - z)(\bar{u}u^2\underline{u} + 1) - \sinh(\gamma + z)(\bar{u}\underline{u} + u^2) + \sinh(2z)(\bar{u}u + u\underline{u}) = 0. \quad (43)$$

Surprisingly, this is precisely the change of parameters which was carried out in [25] which led to deautonomisation. It would appear as though the difference and addition of the parameters (42) naturally define the singular points in the reduction.

To carry out singularity confinement, we ask when  $\bar{u}$  is independent of  $\underline{u}$ . This happens when  $(u, \bar{u}) = (\pm e^{\pm z}, \pm e^{\pm \gamma})$  (double sign in same order), in which case equation (43) holds for any  $\underline{u}$ . Moreover, from equation (43) <sub>$n \mapsto n+1$</sub>  (i.e., equation (43) where  $n \mapsto n+1$ ) we obtain  $\bar{\bar{u}} = \pm e^{\pm z}$ , and then from equation (43) <sub>$n \mapsto n+2$</sub>  we find that  $\bar{\bar{u}}$  is also free, so we have recovered the lost degree of freedom.

**5.1. Deautonomisation.** Let us consider the nonautonomous case of equation (43) where  $\gamma$  and  $z$  both depend on the independent variable  $n$ , that is,  $\gamma = \gamma(n)$  and  $z = z(n)$ . In the nonautonomous case, the solution enters the singularity through  $u = e^z$ , and leaves through  $\bar{u} = e^{\bar{z}}$ . Calculating  $\bar{u}$  from equation (43) with  $u = e^z$  and also from equation (43) <sub>$n \mapsto n+2$</sub>  with  $\bar{\bar{u}} = e^{\bar{z}}$  in such a way that  $\underline{u}$  and  $\bar{\bar{u}}$  are free, we obtain  $\bar{u} = e^\gamma = e^{\bar{\gamma}}$ , which means that  $\gamma$  depends on whether  $n$  is even or odd. Let

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \gamma_e & (n = 2k) \\ \gamma_o & (n = 2k+1) \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_e, \gamma_o \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary constants. Finally, we need to consider the consistency of the equation around  $\bar{u}$ . Substituting

$$u = e^z, \quad \bar{u} = e^\gamma, \quad \bar{\bar{u}} = e^{\bar{z}}, \quad (45)$$

into equation (43) <sub>$n \mapsto n+1$</sub> , we arrive at

$$e^{\bar{z}}(e^{2\bar{\gamma}} - e^{2z})(e^{z+\bar{z}+2\gamma} + 1) - e^{\bar{z}}(e^{2\gamma} + e^{z+\bar{z}})(e^{2(\bar{z}+\bar{\gamma})} - 1) + e^{\gamma+\bar{\gamma}}(e^{4\bar{z}} - 1)(e^z + e^{\bar{z}}) = 0. \quad (46)$$

We can easily verify that

$$z = (\gamma_e - \gamma_o)n + p, \quad (47)$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{C}$  is an arbitrary constant, is a solution of equation (46). Therefore, we obtain the nonautonomous form of equation (43):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sinh((\gamma_o - \gamma_e)n + \gamma - p) (\bar{u}u^2\underline{u} + 1) - \sinh((\gamma_e - \gamma_o)n + \gamma + p) (\bar{u}\underline{u} + u^2) \\ & + \sinh(2(\gamma_e - \gamma_o)n + 2p) (\bar{u}u + \bar{u}u) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Moreover, letting

$$x(k) = u(2k-1), \quad y(k) = u(2k), \quad (49)$$

we recast equation (48) as the system

$$\tilde{x} = -\frac{\sinh(z + \gamma_e)y^2 - \sinh(2z)xy + \sinh(z - \gamma_e)}{\sinh(z - \gamma_e)xy^2 - \sinh(2z)y + \sinh(z + \gamma_e)x}, \quad (50a)$$

$$\tilde{y} = -\frac{\sinh(z + \gamma_e)\tilde{x}^2 + \sinh(2(z + \gamma_e - \gamma_o))y\tilde{x} + \sinh(z + \gamma_e - 2\gamma_o)}{\sinh(z + \gamma_e - 2\gamma_o)y\tilde{x}^2 - \sinh(2(z + \gamma_e - \gamma_o))\tilde{x} + \sinh(z + \gamma_e)y}, \quad (50b)$$

where  $x = x(k)$ ,  $y = y(k)$ ,  $z = 2(\gamma_e - \gamma_o)k + p$  and  $\sim$  means  $k \mapsto k+1$ . This system has base points at

$$p_1 : (x, y) = (-e^{-z+\gamma_e-\gamma_o}, -e^{-\gamma_o}), \quad p_2 : (x, y) = (e^{-z+\gamma_e-\gamma_o}, e^{-\gamma_o}), \quad (51a)$$

$$p_3 : (x, y) = (-e^{\gamma_e}, -e^z), \quad p_4 : (x, y) = (e^{\gamma_e}, e^z), \quad (51b)$$

$$p_5 : (x, y) = (-e^{-\gamma_e}, -e^{-z}), \quad p_6 : (x, y) = (e^{-\gamma_e}, e^{-z}), \quad (51c)$$

$$p_7 : (x, y) = (-e^{z-\gamma_e+\gamma_o}, -e^{\gamma_o}), \quad p_8 : (x, y) = (e^{z-\gamma_e+\gamma_o}, e^{\gamma_o}). \quad (51d)$$

The base points  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) and  $p_i$  ( $i = 5, \dots, 8$ ) lie on

$$L_1 : x = e^{-z+\gamma_e} y, \quad (52a)$$

$$L_2 : x = e^{z-\gamma_e} y, \quad (52b)$$

respectively.

**5.2. The space of initial condition and the affine Weyl group.** The relations between rational surfaces and affine Weyl groups are studied in [18]. Furthermore, in [28] Sakai relates them to the discrete Painlevé systems. In this section, following Sakai's method, we describe the time evolution of system (50) in terms of the corresponding affine Weyl group.

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  denote blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the eight base points given in (51). The Picard group of rational surface  $X$  is given by

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}h_x + \mathbb{Z}h_y + \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathbb{Z}e_i, \quad (53)$$

which is defined earlier in Section 1.1. The anticanonical divisor of rational surface  $X$ :

$$\delta = 2h_x + 2h_y - \sum_{i=1}^8 e_i, \quad (54)$$

is uniquely decomposed into the prime divisors as the following:

$$\delta = D_1 + D_2, \quad (55)$$

where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the proper transforms of the lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ :

$$D_1 = h_x + h_y - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4, \quad D_2 = h_x + h_y - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8, \quad (56)$$

respectively. Therefore, the rational surface  $X$  is said to be of the  $A_1^{(1)}$  type, following Sakai's classification [28].

The orthogonal complement  $\delta^\perp = \{v \in \text{Pic}(X) \mid (v|D_1) = (v|D_2) = 0\}$  has eight generators

$$\alpha_1 = -e_1 + e_2, \quad \alpha_2 = -e_2 + e_3, \quad \alpha_3 = -e_3 + e_4, \quad \alpha_4 = h_y - e_4 - e_5, \quad (57)$$

$$\alpha_5 = e_5 - e_6, \quad \alpha_6 = e_6 - e_7, \quad \alpha_7 = e_7 - e_8, \quad \alpha_0 = h_x - h_y, \quad (58)$$

which satisfy

$$\delta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + 2\alpha_0. \quad (59)$$


The root lattice  $Q(E_7^{(1)}) = \sum_{i=0}^7 \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$  can be identified as type  $E_7^{(1)}$  since

$$(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = -C_{ij} \quad (60)$$

where  $(C_{ij})_{i,j=0}^7$  is the Cartan matrix of type  $E_7^{(1)}$ :

$$(C_{ij})_{i,j=0}^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

The corresponding Dynkin diagram is given by the following.



We define the reflections  $s_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, 7$ ) across the hyperplane orthogonal to the root  $\alpha_i$  by

$$s_i(v) = v + (v|\alpha_i)\alpha_i \quad (62)$$

for all  $v \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and the diagram automorphism  $\sigma$  by

$$\sigma : (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8) \mapsto (e_8, e_7, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_3, e_2, e_1). \quad (63)$$

The actions of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)}) = \langle s_0, \dots, s_7, \sigma \rangle$  on  $\text{Pic}(X)$  and  $Q(E_7^{(1)})$  are summarised in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Blanks in the tables represent invariance. Moreover,  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$  forms the extended affine Weyl group of type  $E_7^{(1)}$ . Namely, the generators satisfy the fundamental relations

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad i = 0, \dots, 7, \quad (64)$$

$$(s_i \circ s_{i+1})^3 = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6, \quad (65)$$

$$(s_4 \circ s_0)^3 = 1, \quad (66)$$

$$(s_i \circ s_j)^2 = 1, \quad \text{otherwise}, \quad (67)$$

$$\sigma^2 = 1, \quad (68)$$

$$\sigma \circ s_{(1,2,3,5,6,7)} = s_{(7,6,5,3,2,1)} \circ \sigma. \quad (69)$$

	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	$s_5$	$s_6$	$s_7$	$s_0$	$\sigma$
$h_x$				$h_x + h_y - e_4 - e_5$				$h_y$	
$h_y$								$h_x$	
$e_1$	$e_2$								$e_8$
$e_2$	$e_1$	$e_3$							$e_7$
$e_3$		$e_2$	$e_4$						$e_6$
$e_4$			$e_3$	$h_y - e_5$					$e_5$
$e_5$				$h_y - e_4$	$e_6$				$e_4$
$e_6$					$e_5$	$e_7$			$e_3$
$e_7$						$e_6$	$e_8$		$e_2$
$e_8$							$e_7$		$e_1$

TABLE 1. The action of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$  on  $\text{Pic}(X)$ .



	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	$s_5$	$s_6$	$s_7$	$s_0$	$\sigma$
$\alpha_1$	$-\alpha_1$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$							$\alpha_7$
$\alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$-\alpha_2$	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$						$\alpha_6$
$\alpha_3$		$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$	$-\alpha_3$	$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$					$\alpha_5$
$\alpha_4$			$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	$-\alpha_4$	$\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$			$\alpha_4 + \alpha_0$	
$\alpha_5$				$\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$	$-\alpha_5$	$\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$			$\alpha_3$
$\alpha_6$					$\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$-\alpha_6$	$\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$		$\alpha_2$
$\alpha_7$						$\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$	$-\alpha_7$		$\alpha_1$
$\alpha_0$				$\alpha_4 + \alpha_0$				$-\alpha_0$	

TABLE 2. The action of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$  on  $Q(E_7^{(1)})$ .

Define the translations  $T_i = R_i^2$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 7, 0$ ) where

$$R_1 = s_2 \circ s_1 \circ s_2 \circ s_3 \circ s_4 \circ s_5 \circ s_6 \circ s_0 \circ s_4 \circ s_3 \circ s_5 \circ s_4 \circ s_0 \circ s_7 \circ s_6 \circ s_5 \circ s_4 \circ s_3 \circ s_2, \quad (70)$$

$$R_2 = s_1 \circ s_2 \circ R_1 \circ s_2 \circ s_1, \quad (71)$$

$$R_3 = s_2 \circ s_3 \circ R_2 \circ s_3 \circ s_2, \quad (72)$$

$$R_4 = s_3 \circ s_4 \circ R_3 \circ s_4 \circ s_3, \quad (73)$$

$$R_5 = \sigma \circ R_3 \circ \sigma, \quad (74)$$

$$R_6 = \sigma \circ R_2 \circ \sigma, \quad (75)$$

$$R_7 = \sigma \circ R_1 \circ \sigma, \quad (76)$$

$$R_0 = s_4 \circ s_0 \circ R_4 \circ s_0 \circ s_4. \quad (77)$$

The actions of the translations on the generators of  $Q(E_7^{(1)})$  are given by

$$T_1 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (2\delta, -\delta, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (78)$$

$$T_2 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (-\delta, 2\delta, -\delta, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (79)$$

$$T_3 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, -\delta, 2\delta, -\delta, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (80)$$

$$T_4 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, 0, -\delta, 2\delta, -\delta, 0, 0, -\delta), \quad (81)$$

$$T_5 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, 0, 0, -\delta, 2\delta, -\delta, 0, 0), \quad (82)$$

$$T_6 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, 0, 0, 0, -\delta, 2\delta, -\delta, 0), \quad (83)$$

$$T_7 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\delta, 2\delta, 0), \quad (84)$$

$$T_0 : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + (0, 0, 0, -\delta, 0, 0, 0, 2\delta), \quad (85)$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7, \alpha_0)$ . Note that  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 7, 0$ ) commute with each other and

$$T_1 \circ T_2^2 \circ T_3^3 \circ T_4^4 \circ T_5^3 \circ T_6^2 \circ T_7 \circ T_0^2 = 1. \quad (86)$$

Let the mapping  $\varphi : (x, y) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  be the time evolution of the system (50). In a similar manner as Section 3.2, we obtain the action of the mapping  $\varphi$  on  $\text{Pic}(X)$  as

$$\varphi : \begin{pmatrix} h_x \\ h_y \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \\ e_6 \\ e_7 \\ e_8 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_x \\ h_y \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \\ e_6 \\ e_7 \\ e_8 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (87)$$

We can verify that the mapping  $\varphi$  can be written in terms of the generators of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & \sigma \circ s_3 \circ s_5 \circ s_4 \circ s_5 \circ s_0 \circ s_3 \circ s_4 \circ s_0 \circ s_6 \circ s_5 \circ s_2 \circ s_3 \circ s_1 \circ s_2 \circ s_7 \circ s_4 \\ & \circ s_3 \circ s_2 \circ s_5 \circ s_6 \circ s_4 \circ s_5 \circ s_3 \circ s_4 \circ s_3 \circ s_7 \circ s_6 \circ s_7 \circ s_1 \circ s_2 \circ s_1 \circ s_0. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

The action of the mapping  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (89)$$

which implies that  $\varphi$  is not a translation on the root lattice, but the action of two successive iterations of  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi^2 : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + 2\delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - 2\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (90)$$

which means  $\varphi^2$  can be expressed in terms of translations  $T_3 \circ T_4^2 \circ T_5$ .

### 5.3. Reconstruction of system (50) from the birational representation of $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$ .

Since the configuration of the base points of system (50) does not change through the deautonomisation, we use the birational representation of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$ , which may be verified directly, given in [3] where the geometrical properties of an autonomous version of system (50) were investigated.

The  $q$ -Painlevé system of  $A_1^{(1)}$ -surface type has the following eight base points [19]:

$$p_1 : (x, y) = (-vu_1, -u_1), \quad p_2 : (x, y) = (-vu_2, -u_2), \quad (91a)$$

$$p_3 : (x, y) = (-vu_3, -u_3), \quad p_4 : (x, y) = (-vu_4, -u_4), \quad (91b)$$

$$p_5 : (x, y) = (-v^{-1}u_5, -u_5), \quad p_6 : (x, y) = (-v^{-1}u_6, -u_6), \quad (91c)$$

$$p_7 : (x, y) = (-v^{-1}u_7, -u_7), \quad p_8 : (x, y) = (-v^{-1}u_8, -u_8). \quad (91d)$$

The above base points coincide with base points (51) when the parameters are specialized by setting

$$u_1^{1/2} = e^{-\gamma_o/2}, \quad u_2^{1/2} = -ie^{-\gamma_o/2}, \quad u_3^{1/2} = e^{z/2}, \quad u_4^{1/2} = -ie^{z/2}, \quad (92a)$$

$$u_5^{1/2} = e^{-z/2}, \quad u_6^{1/2} = -ie^{-z/2}, \quad u_7^{1/2} = e^{\gamma_o/2}, \quad u_8^{1/2} = -ie^{\gamma_o/2}, \quad (92b)$$

$$v = e^{-z+\gamma_e}. \quad (92c)$$

The birational actions of  $\widetilde{W}(E_7^{(1)})$  on the parameters  $v$  and  $u_j$  are as follows [3]:

$$s_i : (u_i, u_{i+1}) \mapsto (u_{i+1}, u_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7,$$

$$s_4 : (u_4, u_5, v) \mapsto (u_5, u_4, vu_4^{1/2}u_5^{-1/2}),$$

$$s_0 : (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, v)$$

$$\mapsto (vu_1, vu_2, vu_3, vu_4, v^{-1}u_5, v^{-1}u_6, v^{-1}u_7, v^{-1}u_8, v^{-1}),$$

$$\sigma : (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8) \mapsto (u_8^{-1}, u_7^{-1}, u_6^{-1}, u_5^{-1}, u_4^{-1}, u_3^{-1}, u_2^{-1}, u_1^{-1}),$$

and those on the variables  $x$  and  $y$  are given by

$$s_4(x) = u_4^{1/2}u_5^{1/2} \frac{(v - v^{-1})xy + (vu_4 - v^{-1}u_5)x + (-u_4 + u_5)y}{(-u_4 + u_5)x + (vu_4 - v^{-1}u_5)y + (v - v^{-1})u_4u_5},$$

$$s_0 : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x),$$

$$\sigma : (x, y) \mapsto (x^{-1}, y^{-1}).$$

Now we are in the position to give the explicit expressions of  $q$ -Painlevé equations of  $A_1^{(1)}$ -surface type. First, we consider the translation  $T_0$ . The translation  $T_0$  act on the parameters as

$$T_0 : (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \mapsto (q^{-1}u_1, q^{-1}u_2, q^{-1}u_3, q^{-1}u_4), \quad (93)$$

$$(u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, v) \mapsto (qu_5, qu_6, qu_7, qu_8, q^2v), \quad (94)$$

where

$$q = \frac{v^2 u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2}}{u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}, \quad (95)$$

and on the variables as

$$\frac{(fT_0(g) - q^2v^2)(fg - v^2)}{(fT_0(g) - 1)(fg - 1)} = \frac{(f - b_1v)(f - b_2v)(f - b_3v)(f - b_4v)}{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)(f - b_7)(f - b_8)}, \quad (96a)$$

$$\frac{(fg - v^2)(T_0^{-1}(f)g - q^{-2}v^2)}{(fg - 1)(T_0^{-1}(f)g - 1)} = \frac{(g - b_1^{-1}v)(g - b_2^{-1}v)(g - b_3^{-1}v)(g - b_4^{-1}v)}{(g - b_5^{-1})(g - b_6^{-1})(g - b_7^{-1})(g - b_8^{-1})}, \quad (96b)$$

where

$$f = -v^{1/2}x, \quad g = -\frac{v^{1/2}}{y}, \quad b_i = v^{1/2}u_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4), \quad b_j = \frac{u_j}{v^{1/2}} \quad (j = 5, \dots, 8). \quad (97)$$

The system (96) is usually referred to as a  $q$ -Painlevé equation of  $A_1^{(1)}$ -surface type [19, 28].

Next, we consider the mapping  $\varphi$ . From (88) the action of  $\varphi$  on the parameters  $v$  and  $u_i$  is given by

$$\varphi : (u_1, u_2, u_3) \mapsto \left( \frac{u_2^{1/2}}{u_1^{1/2}u_7^{1/2}u_8^{1/2}}, \frac{u_1^{1/2}}{u_2^{1/2}u_7^{1/2}u_8^{1/2}}, \frac{v^2 u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_4}{u_5 u_6 u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}} \right), \quad (98)$$

$$(u_4, u_5, u_6) \mapsto \left( \frac{v^2 u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_3}{u_5 u_6 u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}, \frac{u_6 u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}{v^2 u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_3 u_4}, \frac{u_5 u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}{v^2 u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_3 u_4} \right), \quad (99)$$

$$(u_7, u_8, v) \mapsto \left( \frac{u_8^{1/2}}{u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_7^{1/2}}, \frac{u_7^{1/2}}{u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}, \frac{u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}}{v u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2}} \right), \quad (100)$$

and that on the variables  $x$  and  $y$  is given by

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2}} \frac{A_1 y^2 + A_2 x y + A_3 + A_4 x + A_5 y}{A_6 x y^2 + A_7 y + A_8 x + A_9 y^2 + A_{10} x y}, \quad (101a)$$

$$\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{u_1^{1/2} u_2^{1/2} u_7^{1/2} u_8^{1/2}} \frac{B_1 \varphi(x)^2 + B_2 y \varphi(x) + B_3 + B_4 y \varphi(x)^2 + B_5 \varphi(x)}{B_6 y \varphi(x)^2 + B_7 \varphi(x) + B_8 y + B_9 y \varphi(x) + B_{10}}, \quad (101b)$$

where

$$A_1 = v^2 u_3 u_4 - u_5 u_6, \quad A_2 = v(-u_3 u_4 + u_5 u_6), \quad (102)$$

$$A_3 = (-1 + v^2) u_3 u_4 u_5 u_6, \quad A_4 = v(-u_3 u_4 (u_5 + u_6) + (u_3 + u_4) u_5 u_6), \quad (103)$$

$$A_5 = v^2 u_3 u_4 (u_5 + u_6) - (u_3 + u_4) u_5 u_6, \quad A_6 = -1 + v^2, \quad (104)$$

$$A_7 = v(-u_3 u_4 + u_5 u_6), \quad A_8 = v^2 u_3 u_4 - u_5 u_6, \quad (105)$$

$$A_9 = v(-(u_3 + u_4) + (u_5 + u_6)), \quad A_{10} = v^2 (u_3 + u_4) - (u_5 + u_6), \quad (106)$$

$$B_1 = v u_1 u_2 u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} u_7 u_8 (v^2 u_3 u_4 - u_5 u_6), \quad (107)$$

$$B_2 = v^4 u_1 u_2 u_3^2 u_4^2 - u_5^2 u_6^2 u_7 u_8, \quad (108)$$

$$B_3 = v u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} (u_5 u_6 u_7 u_8 - v^2 u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4), \quad (109)$$

$$B_4 = v u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} (v^2 u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 (u_7 + u_8) - (u_1 + u_2) u_5 u_6 u_7 u_8), \quad (110)$$

$$B_5 = v^2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_6 ((u_1 + u_2) u_7 u_8 - u_1 u_2 (u_7 + u_8)), \quad (111)$$

$$B_6 = v u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} (u_5 u_6 u_7 u_8 - v^2 u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4), \quad (112)$$

$$B_7 = v^4 u_1 u_2 u_3^2 u_4^2 - u_5^2 u_6^2 u_7 u_8, \quad (113)$$

$$B_8 = v u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} (v^2 u_3 u_4 - u_5 u_6), \quad (114)$$

$$B_9 = v^2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_6 (-(u_1 + u_2) + (u_7 + u_8)), \quad (115)$$

$$B_{10} = v u_3^{1/2} u_4^{1/2} u_5^{1/2} u_6^{1/2} (v^2 (u_1 + u_2) u_3 u_4 - u_5 u_6 (u_7 + u_8)). \quad (116)$$

After we make the specialization (92), the action of  $\varphi$  is reduced to

$$\varphi : (z, \gamma_e, \gamma_o) \mapsto (z + 2(\gamma_e - \gamma_o), \gamma_e, \gamma_o),$$

$$\varphi(x) = -\frac{\sinh(z + \gamma_e) y^2 - \sinh(2z) x y + \sinh(z - \gamma_e)}{\sinh(z - \gamma_e) x y^2 - \sinh(2z) y + \sinh(z + \gamma_e) x}, \quad (117a)$$

$$\varphi(y) = -\frac{\sinh(z + \gamma_e) \varphi(x)^2 + \sinh(2(z + \gamma_e - \gamma_o)) y \varphi(x) + \sinh(z + \gamma_e - 2\gamma_o)}{\sinh(z + \gamma_e - 2\gamma_o) y \varphi(x)^2 - \sinh(2(z + \gamma_e - \gamma_o)) \varphi(x) + \sinh(z + \gamma_e) y}, \quad (117b)$$

which is equivalent to system (50) with the correspondence  $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}$ .

In what follows, a discrete Painlevé equation being “full-parameter” means that it has the same number of parameters as the corresponding surface-type discrete Painlevé equation in Sakai’s list [28]. For instance, system (101) is the full-parameter version of system (117) (or system (50)). Often a discrete Painlevé equation can be extended up to the full-parameter version by singularity confinement [9, 26]. However, system (50) has a reduced number of parameters after applying the singularity confinement criterion. The reason is some of the coefficients in system (101) become zero:

$$A_4 = A_5 = A_9 = A_{10} = B_4 = B_5 = B_9 = B_{10} = 0, \quad (118)$$

under the condition (92). In such a case, singularity confinement cannot be used to extend the equation up to the full-parameter version.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper has shown that Möbius type reductions of Q1, Q2 and Q3 give rise to second order mappings, all but one of which are linearisable. In the linearisable cases the explicit linearisation was given using a constructive methodology that requires no guess work. The only mapping that was not linearisable was produced by a reduction from Q3. Singularity confinement was applied to this mapping to deautonomise the parameters while preserving integrability. The nonautonomous form of the equation was shown to be a  $q$ -Painlevé equation, in the sense of [28]. This is the first case of an equation with the rational surface of  $A_1^{(1)}$  type being found as a reduction of a lattice equation. The advantage of utilising the geometry of the space of initial conditions is that it enables a coordinate invariant description of the equation, that is, the identification of the equation without the need to find a transformation to some known example. Future work should carry out the analysis of Q4 from the ABS list, as well as exploring higher order staircase reductions of the ABS equations as a natural generalisation of the approach used in this paper [15, 19, 26].

**Acknowledgement.** This work has been supported by DFG Research Unit “Polyhedral Surfaces” and the Australian Research Council grant DP130100967.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. E. Adler, A. Bobenko, and Y. B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 233(3):513–543, 2003.
- [2] S. I. Agafonov and A. I. Bobenko. Discrete  $z^\gamma$  and Painlevé equations. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2000(4):165–193, 2000.
- [3] J. Atkinson, P. Howes, N. Joshi, and N. Nakazono. A geometric approach and singular solutions to a reduction of Q4. *in preparation*.
- [4] M. Bellon and C. Viallet. Algebraic entropy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 204(2):425–437, 1999.
- [5] M. Boiti and F. Pempinelli. Similarity solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Il Nuovo Cimento. B. Serie 11*, 51(1):70–78, 1979.
- [6] M. Boiti and F. Pempinelli. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Bäcklund transformations and Painlevé transcendents. *Nuovo Cimento B (11)*, 59(1):40–58, 1980.
- [7] M. Boiti and F. Pempinelli. Similarity solutions and Bäcklund transformations of the Boussinesq equation. *Il Nuovo Cimento. B. Serie 11*, 56(1):148–156, 1980.
- [8] B. Dubrovin. Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory. In *The Painlevé property*, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., pages 287–412. Springer, New York, 1999.

- [9] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, and V. Papageorgiou. Do integrable mappings have the Painlevé property? *Physical Review Letters*, 67(14):1825–1828, 1991.
- [10] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, J. Satsuma, R. Willox, and A. Carstea. Reductions of integrable lattices. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 12(Suppl. 1):363–371, 2005.
- [11] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [12] M. Hay, J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi, and F. Nijhoff. A Lax pair for a lattice modified KdV equation, reductions to q-Painlevé equations and associated Lax pairs. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(2):F61, 2006.
- [13] J. Hietarinta. Searching for CAC-maps. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 12(Suppl. 2):223–230, 2005.
- [14] N. Joshi, B. Grammaticos, T. Tamizhmani, and A. Ramani. From integrable lattices to non-QRT mappings. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 78(1):27–37, 2006.
- [15] K. Kajiwara, N. Nakazono, and T. Tsuda. Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$ . *International Mathematics Research Notices*, (4):930–966, 2011.
- [16] P. Kaliappan and M. Lakshmanan. Kadomtsev-Petviashvili and two-dimensional sine-Gordon equations: reduction to Painlevé transcendents. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 12(10):L249–L252, 1979.
- [17] D. Levi and M. Petrera. Continuous symmetries of the lattice potential KdV equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(15):4141, 2007.
- [18] E. Looijenga. Rational surfaces with an anticanonical cycle. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 114(2):267–322, 1981.
- [19] M. Murata, H. Sakai, and J. Yoneda. Riccati solutions of discrete Painlevé equations with Weyl group symmetry of type  $E_8^{(1)}$ . *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 44(3):1396–1414, 2003.
- [20] A. C. Newell. The interrelation between Bäcklund transformations and the inverse scattering transform. In *Bäcklund transformations, the inverse scattering method, solitons, and their applications (Workshop Contact Transformations, Vanderbilt Univ., Nashville, Tenn., 1974)*, pages 227–240. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 515. Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [21] F. Nijhoff and V. Papageorgiou. Similarity reductions of integrable lattices and discrete analogues of the Painlevé II equation. *Physics Letters A*, 153(6):337–344, 1991.
- [22] F. Nijhoff, A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, and Y. Ohta. On discrete Painlevé equations associated with the lattice KdV systems and the Painlevé VI equation. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 106(3):261–314, 2001.
- [23] K. Okamoto. Sur les feuilletages associés aux équations du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé, espaces des conditions initiales. *Science Council of Japan. Japanese Journal of Mathematics. New Series*, 5:1–79, 1979.
- [24] C. Ormerod, P. H. van der Kamp, and G. Quispel. Discrete Painlevé equations and their Lax pairs as reductions of integrable lattice equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 46(9):095204, 2013.
- [25] A. Ramani, A. Carstea, and B. Grammaticos. On the non-autonomous form of the Q4 mapping and its relation to elliptic Painlevé equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(32), 2009.
- [26] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, and J. Hietarinta. Discrete versions of the Painlevé equations. *Physical Review Letters*, 67(14):1829–1832, 1991.
- [27] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, S. Lafortune, and Y. Ohta. Linearizable mappings and the low-growth criterion. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 33(31):L287, 2000.
- [28] H. Sakai. Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 220(1):165–229, 2001.
- [29] H. Sakai. Problem: Discrete Painlevé equations and their Lax forms. *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, B2:195–208, 2007.
- [30] T. Takenawa. Algebraic entropy and the space of initial values for discrete dynamical systems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 34(48):10533, 2001.
- [31] T. Takenawa, M. Eguchi, B. Grammaticos, Y. Ohta, A. Ramani, and J. Satsuma. The space of initial conditions for linearizable mappings. *Nonlinearity*, 16(2):457–477, 2003.
- [32] A. Tongas, D. Tsoubelis, and P. Xenitidis. Affine linear and D4 symmetric lattice equations: symmetry analysis and reductions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(44):13353–13384, 2007.

- [33] P. H. van der Kamp and G. R. W. Quispel. The staircase method: integrals for periodic reductions of integrable lattice equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 43(46):465207, 34, 2010.
- [34] C. Viallet. Algebraic entropy for lattice equations. [arXiv:math-ph/0609043](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0609043), 2006.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE AND INFN SEZIONE DI ROMA TRE

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY