

The semi-discrete AKNS system: Conservation laws, reductions and continuum limits

Wei Fu¹, Zhijun Qiao², Junwei Sun¹, Da-jun Zhang^{1*}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R.China*

²*Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas-Pan American, Edinburg, Texas 78541, U.S.A.*

February 27, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, the semi-discrete Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy is shown in spirit composed by the Ablowitz-Ladik flows under certain combinations. Furthermore, we derive its explicit Lax pairs and infinitely many conservation laws, which are non-trivial in light of continuum limit. Reductions of the semi-discrete AKNS hierarchy are investigated to include the semi-discrete Korteweg-de Vries (KdV), the semi-discrete modified KdV, and the semi-discrete nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies as its special cases. Finally, under the uniform continuum limit we introduce in the paper, the above results of the semi-discrete AKNS hierarchy, including Lax pairs, infinitely many conservation laws and reductions, recover their counterparts of the continuous AKNS hierarchy.

Keywords: the semi-discrete AKNS hierarchy, Lax pairs, conservation laws, reductions, continuum limits

PACS: 02.30.Ik

1 Introduction

Discrete systems are attracting more and more attentions in the study of nonlinear lattice models, but more complicated and harder than continuous systems, mainly due to nonlocal forms of discrete systems with a lack of Leibniz rule and non-uniqueness of discretization. A discrete model could be related to different continuous models because it depends on different continuum limits. Conversely, a continuous equation could also have several discrete versions upon the discretization procedure. Generally speaking, a nonlinear system is called “integrable”, that means the system can be exactly solved, or it possesses enough solvable characteristics, such as a Lax pair, enough independent conserved quantities and symmetries. These integrable characteristics should be kept for discretization of continuous integrable systems so that discretized systems are still integrable. If a system is not fully discrete (e.g. with a discrete spatial variable n and a continuous temporal variable t), we call it a semi-discrete system.

As one of integrable discretization techniques, the Miwa transformation [1] gave rise to a discretization for the famous Sato theory [2–6]. Miwa’s transformation added discrete independent variables into the continuous dispersion relation, which essentially breaks the original spatial and

*E-mail address: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

temporal independence into the discretization. As a consequence, when taking continuum limit to recover a continuous integrable system from a discrete integrable system obtained through the discrete Sato theory, one needs to allocate independent variables so that the new independent variables coincide with the desired continuous dispersion relation.

One can also discretize spectral problems in the Lax pairs by replacing the derivatives of eigenfunctions with their differences. For example, the Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) spectral problem [7, 8]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,n+1} \\ \phi_{2,n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_n & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,n} \\ \phi_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

is a discretized version of the well known Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) spectral problem [9, 10]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & q \\ r & -\eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this discretization approach, the spatial and temporal independence is kept, but we still lose the correspondence between discrete equations and their continuous counterparts. Obviously, the forward difference $\phi_{j,n+1} - \phi_{j,n}$ is not the unique discretization representative of $\phi_{j,x}$. Therefore, we usually need to combine the equations in the AL hierarchy so as to obtain the semi-discrete AKNS (sdAKNS) hierarchy. In a recent paper [11], the combinatorial relation between the AL hierarchy and the AKNS hierarchy is proved through the continuum limit and the algebra on infinitely many symmetries.

In this paper we will revisit the sdAKNS hierarchy. It is well known that the AKNS spectral problem (1.2) provides integrable backgrounds for several nonlinear systems of physical significance [9]. The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation, the modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation, the sine-Gordon equation, and the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation can be derived as reductions of the AKNS hierarchy. The reductions can also pass the integrable characteristics of the AKNS hierarchy to the reduced systems, such as the infinitely many conservation laws [12], infinitely many symmetries [13, 14], and so on. For the AL hierarchy, its integrable characteristics, such as conservation laws, symmetries and Hamiltonian structures, have well been studied [11, 15–21].

The main purpose in this paper is to give Lax pairs, infinitely many conservation laws and reductions of the sdAKNS hierarchy, and investigate their continuum limits, particularly, the conservation laws. The so-called infinitely many conserved densities derived from the AL spectral problem (cf. [17]) look like nontrivial but in fact they are trivial in light of continuum limit. Later on, we will see that all of these conserved densities go to the first conserved density of the AKNS hierarchy in the same continuum limit. It is necessary for one to derive new and nontrivial infinitely many conservation laws for the sdAKNS hierarchy.

The whole paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we recall some results of the AKNS systems, including hierarchies, Lax pairs, conservation laws, and reductions. In Sec. 3, first, we re-derive the sdAKNS hierarchy and their Lax pairs so that they are ready to consider continuum limits. The Lax pairs are also used to derive conservation laws. We derive new infinitely many conservation laws, which are not trivial under our continuum limit. The explicit combinatorial relations between the known conservation laws and the new conservation laws are proved. As reductions of the sdAKNS hierarchy, we obtain the semi-discrete KdV (sdKdV), semi-discrete mKdV (sdmKdV), and semi-discrete NLS (sdNLS) hierarchies together with their recursion

operators. Finally, in Sec. 4 we present a uniform continuum limit, and under the limit the above results of the sdAKNS system recover their counterparts of the continuous AKNS system.

2 The AKNS system

Let us briefly review the well known continuous AKNS system. We just display some results of our interest in the paper. They will be targeted at the continuum limits of the sdAKNS system.

2.1 The AKNS hierarchy and Lax pairs

The AKNS spectral problem coupled with a time evolution part reads [9]

$$\Phi_x = M_{[A]}\Phi, \quad M_{[A]} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & q \\ r & -\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\Phi_{t_s} = N_{[A],s}\Phi, \quad N_{[A],s} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{[A],s} & B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} & -A_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1b)$$

where η is a spectral parameter independent of time, $q = q(x, t)$ and $r = r(x, t)$ are potential functions and $A_{[A],s}$, $B_{[A],s}$ and $C_{[A],s}$ are polynomials of η depending on q, r and their derivatives. The sub- s is used in the paper to correspond the s -th equation in the AKNS hierarchy. We also note that in the paper the subscripts $[A]$, $[K]$, $[M]$, $[N]$ and $[V]$ are added to denote the notations for the AKNS, KdV, mKdV, NLS and Volterra systems, respectively. To obtain the AKNS hierarchy, from the zero curvature equation

$$\partial_{t_s} M_{[A]} - \partial_x N_{[A],s} + [M_{[A]}, N_{[A],s}] = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where $[M_{[A]}, N_{[A],s}] = M_{[A]}N_{[A],s} - N_{[A],s}M_{[A]}$, one can expand

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^s \begin{pmatrix} b_k \\ c_k \end{pmatrix} (2\eta)^{s-k}$$

and then rewrite (2.2) as the following,

$$u_{t_s} = K_{[A],s} = L_{[A]}^s \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma \sum_{k=1}^s L_{[A]}^{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix} (2\eta)^{s-k}, \quad (2.3b)$$

$$A_{[A],s} = -\partial_x^{-1}(r, -q) \begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}(2\eta)^s, \quad (2.3c)$$

where $L_{[A]}$ is the recursion operator defined as

$$L_{[A]} = -\sigma \partial_x + 2\sigma \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \partial_x^{-1}(r, q), \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

(2.3a) is referred to as the AKNS hierarchy. Actually, the hierarchy can start from $s = 0$ by defining $K_{[A],0} = (q, -r)^T$. Thus, the AKNS hierarchy is expressed as

$$u_{t_s} = K_{[A],s} = L_{[A]}^s \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2.5)$$

The first four flows are

$$K_{[A],0} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$K_{[A],1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6b)$$

$$K_{[A],2} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{xx} - 2q^2r \\ -r_{xx} + 2qr^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6c)$$

$$K_{[A],3} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{xxx} - 6qrq_x \\ r_{xxx} - 6qrr_x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6d)$$

(2.1) is called the Lax pair of the hierarchy (2.5), where the first four $N_{[A],s}$ read

$$N_{[A],0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$N_{[A],1} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & q \\ r & -\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7b)$$

$$N_{[A],2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\eta^2 - qr & 2\eta q + q_x \\ 2\eta r - r_x & -2\eta^2 + qr \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7c)$$

$$N_{[A],3} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\eta^3 - 2\eta qr + qr_x - q_x r & 4\eta^2 q + 2\eta q_x + q_{xx} - 2q^2 r \\ 4\eta^2 r - 2\eta r_x + r_{xx} - 2qr^2 & -4\eta^3 + 2\eta qr - qr_x + q_x r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7d)$$

2.2 Conservation laws

The infinitely many conservation laws of the AKNS hierarchy can be constructed from their Lax pairs (cf. [12]). Starting from the AKNS spectral problem (2.1a), i.e.

$$\phi_{1,x} = \eta\phi_1 + q\phi_2, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\phi_{2,x} = r\phi_1 - \eta\phi_2, \quad (2.8b)$$

and setting $\omega_{[A]} = \frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1}$, we are able to obtain the Riccati equation

$$2\eta\omega_{[A]} = -\omega_{[A],x} - q\omega_{[A]}^2 + r. \quad (2.9)$$

This equation is solved by a series-form

$$\omega_{[A]} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_{[A]}^{(j)} (2\eta)^{-j} \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(1)} = r, \quad \omega_{[A]}^{(2)} = -r_x, \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(j+1)} = -\omega_{[A],x}^{(j)} - q \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega_{[A]}^{(k)} \omega_{[A]}^{(j-k)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.11b)$$

Here we list the first few of $\omega_{[A]}^{(j)}$:

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(1)} = r, \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(2)} = -r_x, \quad (2.12b)$$

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(3)} = r_{xx} - qr^2, \quad (2.12c)$$

$$\omega_{[A]}^{(4)} = -r_{xxx} + q_x r^2 + 4qrr_x. \quad (2.12d)$$

Next, from the Lax pair (2.1) one can find

$$(\ln \phi_2)_x = \eta + q\omega_{[A]}, \quad (2.13a)$$

$$(\ln \phi_2)_{t_s} = A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s}\omega_{[A]}, \quad (2.13b)$$

where $A_{[A],s}$ and $B_{[A],s}$ are expressed by (2.3c) and (2.3b), respectively. The compatibility condition $(\ln \phi_2)_{x,t_s} = (\ln \phi_2)_{t_s,x}$ leads to a formal conservation law

$$(q\omega_{[A]})_{t_s} = (A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s}\omega_{[A]})_x. \quad (2.14)$$

To derive infinitely many conservation laws, one needs to insert (2.10) into (2.14) and expand (2.16) into a series in terms of 2η . We note that after expansion one finds

$$A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s}\omega_{[A]} = \frac{1}{2}(2\eta)^s + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{[A],s}^{(j)}(2\eta)^{-j}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the term $\frac{1}{2}(2\eta)^s$ comes from $A_{[A],s}|_{u=0}$ and contributes nothing to the conservation laws. The coefficients of every different power of 2η in (2.14) compose the infinitely many conservation laws

$$\partial_{t_s} \rho_{[A]}^{(j)} = \partial_x J_{[A],s}^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.16)$$

We note that the infinitely many conserved densities $\{\rho_{[A]}^{(j)}\}$ are shared by all the equations in the AKNS hierarchy. The first three of the conserved densities are

$$\rho_{[A]}^{(1)} = qr, \quad (2.17a)$$

$$\rho_{[A]}^{(2)} = -qr_x, \quad (2.17b)$$

$$\rho_{[A]}^{(3)} = qr_{xx} - q^2 r^2. \quad (2.17c)$$

The associated fluxes depend on which equation is considered in the hierarchy. For example, if we consider $u_{t_2} = K_{[A],2}$, we have $A_{[A],2} = 2\eta^2 - qr$ and $B_{[A],2} = 2\eta q + q_x$ and from (2.15) the first three fluxes are

$$J_{[A],2}^{(1)} = qx r - qr_x, \quad (2.18a)$$

$$J_{[A],2}^{(2)} = qr_{xx} - qx r_x - q^2 r^2, \quad (2.18b)$$

$$J_{[A],2}^{(3)} = qx r_{xx} - qr_{xxx} + 4q^2 r r_x. \quad (2.18c)$$

2.3 Reductions

It is known that the KdV, mKdV, NLS, and sine-Gordon families are able to be derived from the AKNS hierarchy (2.5) as its reductions. Here, let us only list the cases of the KdV, mKdV, and NLS systems.

2.3.1 The KdV family

The KdV family is reduced from the odd numbered equations in the AKNS hierarchy by taking $(q, r) = (q, -1)$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}_{t_{2s+1}} = K_{[A], 2s+1}|_{r=-1} = (L_{[A]}|_{r=-1})^{2s} \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{[K]}^s q_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$L_{[K]} = \partial_x^2 + 4q + 2q_x \partial_x^{-1} \quad (2.20)$$

is the recursion operator of the KdV family. (2.19) is simplified to the following,

$$qt_{2s+1} = K_{[K], 2s+1} = L_{[K]}^s q_x, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.21)$$

which are referred to as the KdV family. When $s = 1$, we obtain the KdV equation

$$qt_3 = K_{[K], 3} = q_{xxx} + 6qq_x. \quad (2.22)$$

If we eliminate ϕ_1 from (2.1) with $s = 1$ and the constraint $(q, r) = (q, -1)$, we obtain the following scalar form Lax pair for the KdV equation:

$$\phi_{xx} = (\eta^2 - q)\phi, \quad (2.23a)$$

$$\phi_{t_3} = -q_x \phi + (4\eta^2 + 2q)\phi_x, \quad (2.23b)$$

where we have taken $\phi_2 = \phi$.

2.3.2 The mKdV family

The mKdV family is derived from the odd numbered equations of the AKNS hierarchy by taking $(q, r) = (q, \mp q)$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q \end{pmatrix}_{t_{2s+1}} = K_{[A], 2s+1}|_{r=\mp q} = (L_{[A]}|_{r=\mp q})^{2s} \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ \mp q_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (L_{[M]}^\pm)^s q_x \\ \mp (L_{[M]}^\pm)^s q_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$L_{[M]}^\pm = \partial_x^2 \pm 4q^2 \pm 4q_x \partial_x^{-1} q, \quad (2.25)$$

which leads to the mKdV family

$$qt_{2s+1} = K_{[M], 2s+1}^\pm = (L_{[M]}^\pm)^s q_x, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (2.26)$$

When $s = 1$, we obtain the mKdV equation

$$qt_3 = K_{[M], 3}^\pm = q_{xxx} \pm 6q^2 q_x. \quad (2.27)$$

The Lax pairs for the mKdV hierarchy are obtained from the Lax pairs for the odd numbered equations of the AKNS hierarchy with the constraint $(q, r) = (q, \mp q)$.

2.3.3 The NLS family

Replacing t_s with $i^{s-1}t_s$ and taking $r = \mp q^*$ in the AKNS hierarchy (2.5), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix}_{t_s} = K_{[A],s}|_{r=\mp q^*} = (-i)^{s-1}(L_{[A]}|_{r=\mp q^*})^s \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \pm q^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.28)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix}_{t_s} = K_{[N],s}^\pm = (-i)^{s-1}(L_{[N]}^\pm)^s \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \pm q^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$L_{[N]}^\pm = -\sigma \partial_x + 2\sigma \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix} \partial_x^{-1}(\mp q^*, q), \quad (2.30)$$

and $*$ stands for the complex conjugate. (2.29) gives the NLS family, in which the third equation ($s = 2$) is the NLS equation

$$q_{t_2} = K_{[N],2}^\pm = -i(q_{xx} \pm 2|q|^2 q). \quad (2.31)$$

We note that sometimes the NLS family also means (2.29) only with $s = 0, 2, 4, \dots$.

3 The sdAKNS system

3.1 The sdAKNS hierarchy and Lax pairs

3.1.1 The AL hierarchy

The sdAKNS hierarchy can be derived from the AL hierarchy [11]. Let us start from the AL spectral problem and its time evolution part,

$$E\bar{\Phi} = \bar{M}\Phi, \quad \bar{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_n & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{U} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}_1(n) \\ \bar{\phi}_2(n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{N}_s \bar{\Phi}, \quad \bar{N}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_s & \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s & \bar{D}_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.1b)$$

where E is a shift operator defined as $Ef(n) = f(n+1)$, λ is the spectral parameter independent of time, $Q_n = Q(n, t)$ and $R_n = R(n, t)$ are potential functions, and $\bar{A}_s, \bar{B}_s, \bar{C}_s$ and \bar{D}_s are Laurent polynomials of λ depending on Q_n, R_n and their shift operators. From the discrete zero curvature equation

$$\bar{M}_{\bar{t}_s} = (E\bar{N}_s)\bar{M}_s - \bar{M}_s\bar{N}_s, \quad (3.2)$$

we have

$$\bar{A}_s = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n E, -Q_n) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s \end{pmatrix} + \bar{A}_s^{(0)}, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\bar{D}_s = \frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n, -Q_n E) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s \end{pmatrix} + \bar{D}_s^{(0)}, \quad (3.3b)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_n \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_s} = (\lambda \bar{L}_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s \end{pmatrix} + (\bar{A}_s^{(0)} - \bar{D}_s^{(0)}) \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\bar{A}_s^{(0)} = \bar{A}_s|_{\bar{U}=0}$, $\bar{D}_s^{(0)} = \bar{D}_s|_{\bar{U}=0}$ and

$$\bar{L}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n \\ R_n E \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n, -Q_n E), \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\bar{L}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -E & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n E \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n E, -Q_n). \quad (3.5b)$$

The inverse of \bar{L}_1 and \bar{L}_2 can explicitly be written as

$$\bar{L}_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n}, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\bar{L}_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n}, \quad (3.6b)$$

where

$$\mu_n = 1 - Q_n R_n. \quad (3.7)$$

To derive the AL hierarchy, we expand $(\bar{B}_s, \bar{C}_s)^T$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^s \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{2(s-j)+1}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (3.8)$$

Inserting it into (3.4) and taking $\bar{A}_s^{(0)} = -\bar{D}_s^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2s}$, we obtain the following recursion structure

$$\bar{U}_{t_s} = -\bar{L}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(s)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j+1)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{L}_1^{-1} \bar{L}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s-1, \quad (3.9b)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(1)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{L}_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9c)$$

i.e.

$$\bar{U}_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s = \bar{L}^{s-1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} \\ -R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{L}_1^{-1} \bar{L}^{j-1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad (3.10b)$$

where (3.10a) is the positive AL hierarchy and \bar{L} is its recursion operator defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L} = \bar{L}_2 \bar{L}_1^{-1} = & \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n E \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n E, Q_n E^{-1}) \\ & + \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} -E Q_n \\ R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.11)$$

Since in the AL spectral problem (2.8a) λ and $1/\lambda$ appear symmetrically, one can also expand (\bar{B}_s, \bar{C}_s) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_s \\ \bar{C}_s \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=s}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{2(s-j)-1}, \quad s = -1, -2, \dots \quad (3.12)$$

and take $\bar{A}_s^{(0)} = -\bar{D}_s^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2s}$. In that case, we obtain

$$\bar{U}_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s = \bar{L}^{s+1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ -R_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = -1, -2, \dots, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_s^{(j)} \\ \bar{c}_s^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{L}_2^{-1} \bar{L}^{j+1} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = -1, -2, \dots, s, \quad (3.13b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L}^{-1} = \bar{L}_1 \bar{L}_2^{-1} = & \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n E \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n E^{-1}, Q_n E) \\ & + \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ -E R_n \end{pmatrix} (E-1)^{-1} (R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.14)$$

The positive hierarchy (3.10a) and negative hierarchy (3.13a) can be combined together by defining $\bar{K}_0 = (Q_n, -R_n)^T$, and the whole AL hierarchy is expressed as (see [18])

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_s = \bar{L}^s \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.15)$$

Let us display the first few flows below,

$$\bar{K}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\bar{K}_1 = \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} \\ -R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16b)$$

$$\bar{K}_{-1} = \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ -R_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16c)$$

$$\bar{K}_2 = \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{n+1} Q_{n+2} - Q_{n+1} (Q_n R_{n-1} + Q_{n+1} R_n) \\ -\mu_{n-1} Q_{n-2} + R_{n-1} (Q_{n+1} R_n + Q_n R_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16d)$$

$$\bar{K}_{-2} = \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{n-1} Q_{n-2} - Q_{n-1} (Q_n R_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} R_n) \\ -\mu_{n+1} Q_{n+2} + R_{n+1} (Q_{n-1} R_n + Q_n R_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.16e)$$

As for the Lax pairs, we can first recover $(\bar{B}_s, \bar{C}_s)^T$ from the expansions (3.8) and (3.12) with (3.10b) and (3.13b), respectively, and then recover $(\bar{A}_s, \bar{D}_s)^T$ from (3.3a) and (3.3b). We list several matrices \bar{N}_s in Appendix A where \bar{N}_0 is for the equation $\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_0} = \bar{K}_0$.

3.1.2 The sdAKNS hierarchy derived from the AL hierarchy [11]

The sdAKNS hierarchy can be given through combining the AL flows in a suitable way. Define initial flows

$$\bar{K}_{[A],0} = \bar{K}_0, \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\bar{K}_{[A],1} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{K}_1 - \bar{K}_{-1}), \quad (3.17b)$$

where \bar{K}_0 and $\bar{K}_{\pm 1}$ are the AL flows that we obtained previously. The sdAKNS hierarchy is [11]

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[A],s} = \begin{cases} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^j \bar{K}_{[A],0}, & s = 2j, \\ \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^j \bar{K}_{[A],1}, & s = 2j + 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} &= \bar{L} - 2I + \bar{L}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} E - 2 + E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E - 2 + E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} - Q_{n+1}E \\ R_{n-1} - R_{n+1}E \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n E \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (R_n E, Q_n E^{-1}) - \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n \\ R_n E \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (R_n E^{-1}, Q_n E). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The first few flows of the sdAKNS hierarchy are

$$\bar{K}_{[A],0} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20a)$$

$$\bar{K}_{[A],1} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} \\ R_{n+1} - R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20b)$$

$$\bar{K}_{[A],2} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} - Q_n R_n (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}) \\ -R_{n+1} + 2R_n - R_{n-1} + Q_n R_n (R_{n+1} + R_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20c)$$

$$\bar{K}_{[A],3} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n \begin{pmatrix} (E - E^{-1})(Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1}) \\ + Q_{n+1} Q_{n+2} R_{n+1} - Q_n (Q_{n+1} R_{n-1} - Q_{n-1} R_{n+1}) \\ - Q_{n-2} Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - R_n (Q_{n+1}^2 - Q_{n-1}^2) \\ (E - E^{-1})(R_{n+1} - 2R_n + R_{n-1}) \\ + Q_{n-1} R_{n-2} R_{n-1} + R_n (Q_{n+1} R_{n-1} - Q_{n-1} R_{n+1}) \\ - Q_{n+1} R_{n+1} R_{n+2} - Q_n (R_{n+1}^2 - R_{n-1}^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.20d)$$

3.1.3 The sdAKNS hierarchy and Lax pairs: revisit

For the equation

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[A],s} \quad (3.21)$$

in the sdAKNS hierarchy, the flow $\bar{K}_{[A],s}$ is actually some combination of the AL flows $\{\bar{K}_j\}$. So, we can suppose that the Lax pair of the equation (3.21) is of the following form

$$E \bar{\Phi} = \bar{M}_{[A]} \bar{\Phi}, \quad \bar{M}_{[A]} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_n & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.22a)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{N}_{[A],s} \bar{\Phi}, \quad \bar{N}_{[A],s} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_{[A],s} & \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} & \bar{D}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.22b)$$

where (3.22a) is just the AL spectral problem (3.1a), and $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$ should be the corresponding combinations of $\{\bar{N}_j\}$. For example, since $\bar{K}_{[A],1} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{K}_1 - \bar{K}_{-1})$, we have

$$\bar{N}_{[A],1} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{N}_1 - \bar{N}_{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - Q_n R_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} & Q_n \lambda + Q_{n-1} \lambda^{-1} \\ R_{n-1} \lambda + R_n \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - Q_{n-1} R_n + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{N}_{\pm 1}$ are given in Appendix A. However, it is hard, for a generic s , to give a clear description that how $\bar{A}_{[A],s}$, $\bar{B}_{[A],s}$, $\bar{C}_{[A],s}$ and $\bar{D}_{[A],s}$ are expressed through the Laurent polynomials in λ . Note that the compatible condition (zero curvature equation) of (3.22) reads

$$\bar{M}_{[A],\bar{t}_s} = (E\bar{N}_{[A],s})\bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{N}_{[A],s}, \quad (3.23)$$

i.e.

$$\bar{A}_{[A],s} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n E, -Q_n) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)}, \quad (3.24a)$$

$$\bar{D}_{[A],s} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n, -Q_n E) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)} \quad (3.24b)$$

and

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = (\lambda \bar{L}_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + (\bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)} - \bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)}) \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $\bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = \bar{A}_{[A],s}|_{\bar{U}=0}$ and $\bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = \bar{D}_{[A],s}|_{\bar{U}=0}$.

To find a suitable expression of $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$ available for investigating continuous limits, let us re-derive the sdAKNS hierarchy. In the following we make use of the Gâteaux derivative to derive the sdAKNS flows $\{\bar{K}_{[A],s}\}$ and the related $\{\bar{N}_{[A],s}\}$. For the given functions $\bar{F} = \bar{F}(\bar{U})$ and $\bar{G} = \bar{G}(\bar{U})$,

$$\bar{F}'[G] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \bar{F}(\bar{U} + \epsilon \bar{G})|_{\epsilon=0}$$

is called the Gâteaux derivative of $\bar{F}(\bar{U})$ w.r.t. \bar{U} in the direction $\bar{G}(\bar{U})$. For $\bar{F}(\bar{U})$ it is easy to see that $\bar{F}'_{\bar{t}}(\bar{U}) = \bar{F}'[\bar{U}_{\bar{t}}]$. Adopting this fact, we may rewrite the zero curvature equation (3.23) as

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]}[\bar{K}_{[A],s}] = (E\bar{N}_{[A],s})\bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{N}_{[A],s}, \quad (3.26)$$

To derive the Lax pairs of the sdAKNS hierarchy, let us consider

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]} \left[\bar{X}_{[A]} - \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 \bar{Y}_{[A]} \right] = (E\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]})\bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}, \quad (3.27)$$

where $\bar{X}_{[A]} = (\bar{X}_{[A],1}, \bar{X}_{[A],2})^T$ and $\bar{Y}_{[A]} = (\bar{Y}_{[A],1}, \bar{Y}_{[A],2})^T$ are vector functions of \bar{U} but independent of λ , and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{[A]} & \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} & \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $\bar{Y}_{[A]} = 0$, we assign the following two initial flows

$$\bar{X}_{[A]} = \bar{K}_{[A],0} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{X}_{[A]} = \bar{K}_{[A],1},$$

where $\bar{K}_{[A],0}$ and $\bar{K}_{[A],1}$ are defined in (3.17). Correspondingly we can take

$$\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]} = \bar{N}_{[A],0} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]} = \bar{N}_{[A],1},$$

respectively. When $\bar{Y}_{[A]} \neq 0$, we restrict $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}|_{\bar{U}=0} = 0$ and rewrite (3.27) as

$$\bar{X}_{[A]} - \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 \bar{Y}_{[A]} = (\lambda \bar{L}_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{[A]} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n E, -Q_n) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.29a)$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{[A]} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n, -Q_n E) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.29b)$$

To solve (3.28), we expand $(\bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]}, \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]})^T$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^+ \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^+ \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^- \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^- \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (3.30)$$

and substitute it into (3.28). Then we have

$$\bar{Y}_{[A]} = -\bar{L}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^+ \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.31a)$$

$$\bar{X}_{[A]} + 2\bar{Y}_{[A]} = \bar{L}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^- \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^- \end{pmatrix} - \bar{L}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^+ \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.31b)$$

$$\bar{Y}_{[A]} = \bar{L}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[A]}^- \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{[A]}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.31c)$$

which gives rise to

$$\bar{X}_{[A]} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} \bar{Y}_{[A]} \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix} = (-\lambda \bar{L}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2^{-1}) \bar{Y}_{[A]}, \quad (3.33)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} = \bar{L} - 2I + \bar{L}^{-1}$ is given as (3.19). This actually indicates the recursive relation

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]} \left[\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} \bar{Y}_{[A]} - \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 \bar{Y}_{[A]} \right] = (E \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}) \bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]} \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}. \quad (3.34)$$

Repeating such a relation we can reach the form

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]} \left[\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^j \bar{Y}_{[A]} - \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2j} \bar{Y}_{[A]} \right] = (E \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}) \bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]} \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}, \quad (3.35)$$

where in the matrix $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_{[A]}$, we denote

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{[A]} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{[A]} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2(j-k)} \left(-\lambda \bar{L}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2^{-1}\right) \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^{k-1} \bar{Y}_{[A]}. \quad (3.36)$$

Thus, we can take $\bar{Y}_{[A]} = \bar{K}_{[A],0}$ and $\bar{Y}_{[A]} = \bar{K}_{[A],1}$ in (3.35), respectively, and obtain the following zero curvature representation of the flow $\bar{K}_{[A],s}$,

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]}[\bar{K}_{[A],s}] = (E\bar{N}_{[A],s})\bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{N}_{[A],s}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.37)$$

where the elements of the matrix $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{2(j-k)} \left(-\lambda \bar{L}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2^{-1} \right) \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^{k-1} \bar{K}_{[A],0}, \quad s = 2j, \quad (3.38a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{2(j-k)} \left(-\lambda \bar{L}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2^{-1} \right) \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]}^{k-1} \bar{K}_{[A],1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{2j} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda Q_n + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_{n-1} \\ \lambda R_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 2j + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.38b)$$

and

$$\bar{A}_{[A],s} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n E, -Q_n) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)}, \quad (3.39a)$$

$$\bar{D}_{[A],s} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(E-1)^{-1}(R_n, -Q_n E) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)}, \quad (3.39b)$$

$$\bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = -\bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda})^s, & s = 2j, \\ \frac{1}{4}(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda})^{s-1}(\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}), & s = 2j + 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.39c)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$.

The first four $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$ are listed in Appendix B.

We note that the Lax pairs of the sdAKNS hierarchy are unique once we restrict

$$\bar{N}_{[A],s}|_{\bar{U}=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)}$ and $\bar{D}_{[A],s}^{(0)}$ are defined as (3.39c). This is guaranteed by the following fact (cf. [18,19]).

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2)^T$ is a vector function of \bar{U} but independent of λ and \bar{N} is a 2×2 matrix Laurent polynomial in λ , living on \bar{U} . Then the matrix equation*

$$\bar{M}'_{[A]}[\bar{X}] = (E\bar{N})\bar{M}_{[A]} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{N}, \quad \bar{N}|_{\bar{U}=0} = 0 \quad (3.40)$$

has only zero solution $\bar{X} = 0, \bar{N} = 0$.

3.2 Conservation laws

3.2.1 Conservation laws: Trivial in continuum limit

Similar to the continuous case of the AKNS system, we begin with the spectral problem of the sdAKNS hierarchy, i.e. the AL spectral problem

$$E\bar{\phi}_1 = \lambda\bar{\phi}_1 + Q_n\bar{\phi}_2, \quad (3.41a)$$

$$E\bar{\phi}_2 = R_n\bar{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\bar{\phi}_2. \quad (3.41b)$$

Setting $\bar{\omega}_{[A]} = \frac{\bar{\phi}_2}{\bar{\phi}_1}$, we arrive at the discrete Riccati equation [17]

$$\lambda E \bar{\omega}_{[A]} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{\omega}_{[A]} - Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]} E \bar{\omega}_{[A]} + R_n, \quad (3.42)$$

which is solved by

$$\bar{\omega}_{[A]} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} \lambda^{-2j+1}, \quad (3.43)$$

with

$$\bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} = R_{n-1}, \quad \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} = R_{n-2}, \quad (3.44a)$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j+1)} = E^{-1} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} - Q_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(k)} E^{-1} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j-k)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots. \quad (3.44b)$$

From the Lax pair (3.22) we have

$$(E - 1)(\ln \bar{\phi}_1) = \ln(1 + \lambda^{-1} Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]}), \quad (\ln \bar{\phi}_1)_{t_s} = \bar{A}_{[A],s} + \bar{B}_{[A],s} \bar{\omega}_{[A]},$$

which provides the formal conservation law

$$[\ln(1 + \lambda^{-1} Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]})]_{\bar{t}_s} = (E - 1)(\bar{A}_{[A],s} + \bar{B}_{[A],s} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}). \quad (3.45)$$

Then, for the equation $\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[A],s}$ in the sdAKNS hierarchy, with corresponding $\bar{A}_{[A],s}$ and $\bar{B}_{[A],s}$ in the above formula, we can expand (3.45) in terms of λ^2 and get

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_s} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} \lambda^{-2j} = (E - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{[A]}^{(j)} \lambda^{-2j}. \quad (3.46)$$

The coefficients of λ^{-2j} provide the infinitely many conservation laws for the equation $\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[A],s}$:

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_s} \bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = (E - 1) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{[A]}^{(j)} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (3.47)$$

However, under the continuum limit given in [11], all these conservation laws go to the first conservation law (i.e. $j = 1$ in (2.16)) of the continuous case (see Proposition 4.4). In other words, such infinitely many conservation laws are not new in terms of the continuum limit.

3.2.2 Conservation laws: Meaningful in continuum limit

We need to derive new forms of the conservation laws so that they are meaningful in the continuum limit. To do so, let us introduce

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{\omega}_{[A]}(\lambda), \quad (3.48)$$

where λ and z are related through

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{z}}. \quad (3.49)$$

Rewriting the discrete Riccati equation (3.42) in terms of $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}$ and z , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{z}\bar{\Omega}_{[A]} = (E^{-1} - 1)\bar{\Omega}_{[A]} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\right)Q_{n-1}\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}E^{-1}\bar{\Omega}_{[A]} + R_{n-1}. \quad (3.50)$$

To solve it, the following expansion

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j \quad (3.51)$$

yields

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} = R_{n-1}, \quad \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} = R_{n-2}(1 - Q_{n-1}R_{n-1}) - R_{n-1}, \quad (3.52a)$$

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j+1)} = (E^{-1} - 1)\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} - Q_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(k)} E^{-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j-k)} - Q_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(k)} E^{-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j+1-k)} \quad (3.52b)$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. The first few $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ are

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} = R_{n-1}, \quad (3.53a)$$

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} = R_{n-2}(1 - Q_{n-1}R_{n-1}) - R_{n-1}, \quad (3.53b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(3)} = & R_{n-1} + 2R_{n-2}(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) + Q_{n-1}R_{n-2}^2(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & + R_{n-3}(Q_{n-2}R_{n-2} - 1)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.53c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(4)} = & 3R_{n-2} + 3Q_{n-1}^2R_{n-2}^2 + Q_{n-1}^2R_{n-2}^3 - R_{n-1} \\ & - 3Q_{n-1}R_{n-2}R_{n-1} - 3Q_{n-1}^2R_{n-2}^2R_{n-1} - Q_{n-1}^3R_{n-2}^3R_{n-1} \\ & - Q_{n-2}R_{n-3}^2(Q_{n-2}R_{n-2} - 1)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & - R_{n-4}(Q_{n-3}R_{n-3} - 1)(Q_{n-2}R_{n-2} - 1)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & - R_{n-3}(Q_{n-2}R_{n-2} - 1)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-2} + 3)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.53d)$$

Meanwhile, the formal conservation law (3.45) can be written as

$$\left[\ln(1 + Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}) \right]_{\bar{t}_s} = (E - 1)(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}), \quad (3.54)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}(z) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}(\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{1+z}{z}}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s}(z) = \lambda \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s}(\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{1+z}{z}}}. \quad (3.55)$$

Note that we have expansions

$$\ln(1 + Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j, \quad (3.56a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j, \quad (3.56b)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = \bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)}|_{\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{1+z}{z}}}$ and $\bar{A}_{[A],s}^{(0)}$ is defined by (3.39c). Then, comparing the coefficients of z^j of the both sides of (3.54), we obtain infinitely many conservation laws

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_s} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = (E-1) \bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (3.57)$$

for the equation $\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[A],s}$. Explicit formulae of $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ can be given with the help of the following proposition (see Proposition 2 in [22]).

Proposition 3.2. *The following expansion holds,*

$$\ln \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i z^i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j(\mathbf{y}) z^j, \quad (3.58a)$$

where

$$h_j(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=j} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|-1} (|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|-1)! \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}, \quad (3.58b)$$

and

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots), \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots), \quad \alpha_i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}, \quad (3.58c)$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}! = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i!), \quad |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i, \quad \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \alpha_i. \quad (3.58d)$$

The first few of $\{h_j(\mathbf{y})\}$ are

$$h_1(\mathbf{y}) = y_1, \quad (3.59a)$$

$$h_2(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2} y_1^2 + y_2, \quad (3.59b)$$

$$h_3(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{3} y_1^3 - y_1 y_2 + y_3, \quad (3.59c)$$

$$h_4(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{4} y_1^4 + y_1^2 y_2 - y_1 y_3 - \frac{1}{2} y_2^2 + y_4. \quad (3.59d)$$

Thus, for $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{y}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.60)$$

with $y_i = Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$. The first few of $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ are

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(1)} = Q_n R_{n-1}, \quad (3.61a)$$

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} Q_n [2R_{n-2}(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) + R_{n-1}(Q_n R_{n-1} + 2)], \quad (3.61b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(3)} = & \frac{1}{3} Q_n^3 R_{n-1}^3 + Q_n^2 R_{n-1} [R_{n-1} + R_{n-2}(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1)] \\ & + Q_n [R_{n-1} + 2R_{n-2}(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) + Q_{n-1}R_{n-2}^2(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & + R_{n-3}(Q_{n-2}R_{n-2} - 1)(Q_{n-1}R_{n-1} - 1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61c)$$

All the equations in the sdAKNS hierarchy share the same conserved densities. The associated fluxes depend on the time part of the Lax pairs. For example, in the case of $s = 2$, we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (-1)^j z^{-j} - Q_n R_{n-1}, \quad (3.62a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],2} = Q_n z^{-1} + (Q_n - Q_{n-1}), \quad (3.62b)$$

and the first few fluxes are

$$\bar{J}_{[A],2}^{(1)} = Q_n R_{n-2} (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) - Q_{n-1} R_{n-1}, \quad (3.63a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_{[A],2}^{(2)} = & Q_n [R_{n-3} (Q_{n-2} R_{n-2} - 1) + R_{n-2} (Q_{n-1} R_{n-2} - 1)] (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & + Q_{n-1} [R_{n-1} + R_{n-2} (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.63b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_{[A],2}^{(3)} = & (Q_n - Q_{n-1}) [R_{n-1} + 2R_{n-2} (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) + Q_{n-1} R_{n-2}^2 (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & + R_{n-3} (Q_{n-2} R_{n-2} - 1) (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1)] + Q_n [3R_{n-2} + 3Q_{n-1} R_{n-2}^2 \\ & + Q_{n-1}^2 R_{n-2}^3 - R_{n-1} - 3Q_{n-1} R_{n-2} R_{n-1} - 3Q_{n-1}^2 R_{n-2}^2 R_{n-1} \\ & - Q_{n-1}^3 R_{n-2}^3 R_{n-1} - Q_{n-2} R_{n-3}^2 (Q_{n-2} R_{n-2} - 1) (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & - R_{n-4} (Q_{n-3} R_{n-3} - 1) (Q_{n-2} R_{n-2} - 1) (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1) \\ & - R_{n-3} (Q_{n-2} R_{n-2} - 1) (Q_{n-1} R_{n-2} + 3) (Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - 1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63c)$$

The infinitely many conservation laws are not trivial in the continuum limit (see Sec.4.4).

3.2.3 Conservation laws: Combinatorial relation

The conserved density $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ is actually certain combination of the conserved densities $\{\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(i)}\}$ and the same combinatorial relation is used by those conservation laws with $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$. Let us first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j(\mathbf{y}) z^j = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} h_s(\mathbf{x}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right)^s, \quad (3.64)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, then we have

$$h_j(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{s=1}^j (-1)^{j-s} C_{j-1}^{s-1} h_s(\mathbf{x}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.65)$$

where

$$C_m^n = \frac{m!}{n!(m-n)!}, \quad m \geq n.$$

Proof. Expanding the r.h.s. of (3.64) in terms of z and comparing the coefficients of z^j , we can find that

$$h_1(\mathbf{y}) = h_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.66a)$$

$$h_2(\mathbf{y}) = h_2(\mathbf{x}) - h_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.66b)$$

$$h_3(\mathbf{y}) = h_3(\mathbf{x}) - 2h_2(\mathbf{x}) + h_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.66c)$$

$$h_4(\mathbf{y}) = h_4(\mathbf{x}) - 3h_3(\mathbf{x}) + 3h_2(\mathbf{x}) - h_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.66d)$$

which cope with the formula (3.65). Let us go to prove that (3.65) holds for generic j . This is equivalent to prove

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right)^s = \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-s} C_{j-1}^{s-1} z^j. \quad (3.67)$$

Based on (3.66), let us suppose that (3.67) is true for $s \leq i$. Then, when $s = i + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right)^{i+1} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right)^i \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right) \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} (-1)^{l-i} C_{l-1}^{i-1} z^l \\ &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-i-1} \left(\sum_{l=i}^{j-1} C_{l-1}^{i-1} \right) z^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the combinatorial formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^m C_{n+k}^n = C_{n+m+1}^{n+1},$$

we immediately obtain

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} z^k \right)^{i+1} = \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-(i+1)} C_{j-1}^i z^j,$$

which means (3.67) is true for $s = i + 1$. Thus, thanks to the mathematical inductive method, we complete the proof. \square

Now, noting that with the help of the polynomials $\{h_j(\mathbf{y})\}$ we have $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{y})$ and $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{x})$ where $y_i = Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$ and $x_i = Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$, we immediately reach the following relation for $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ and $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The conserved densities $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ and $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ obey the following combinatorial relation,*

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = \sum_{s=1}^j (-1)^{j-s} C_{j-1}^{s-1} \bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(s)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (3.68)$$

3.3 Reductions

3.3.1 The sdKdV hierarchy

Considering the odd-numbered equations in the sdAKNS hierarchy under the constraint $(Q_n, R_n) = (Q_n, -1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_{2s+1}} &= \bar{K}_{[A], 2s+1} |_{R_n = -1} = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} |_{R_n = -1})^s \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \mu_n (Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}_{[K]}^s \frac{\mu_n}{2} (Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.69)$$

where $\mu_n = 1 + Q_n$ and

$$\bar{L}_{[K]} = \mu_n(E + E^{-1}) - (2 - Q_n - Q_{n+1}) + \mu_n(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1})(E - 1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\mu_n}. \quad (3.70)$$

Thus, the sdKdV hierarchy is given by

$$Q_{n, \bar{t}_{2s+1}} = \bar{K}_{[K], 2s+1} = \bar{L}_{[K]}^s \frac{1}{2} \mu_n (Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.71)$$

and $\bar{L}_{[K]}$ is the recursion operator of the sdKdV hierarchy. The first two equations are

$$Q_{n, \bar{t}_1} = \bar{K}_{[K], 1} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n (Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}), \quad (3.72a)$$

$$Q_{n, \bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_{[K], 3} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n (E - E^{-1}) [Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} + Q_n(Q_{n+1} + Q_n + Q_{n-1})]. \quad (3.72b)$$

The Lax pairs of those odd-numbered equations in the sdAKNS hierarchy under the constraint $(Q_n, R_n) = (Q_n, -1)$ are reduced to the Lax pairs of the sdKdV hierarchy. With regards to the scalar forms, eliminating $\bar{\phi}_1$ from the Lax pairs, one can obtain the scalar form of Lax pairs for the sdKdV hierarchy:

$$E^2 \bar{\phi} = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) E \bar{\phi} - (1 + Q_n) \bar{\phi}, \quad (3.73a)$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{\bar{t}_{2j+1}} = \alpha_{2j+1} \bar{\phi} + \beta_{2j+1} E \bar{\phi}, \quad (3.73b)$$

where we have taken $\bar{\phi}_2 = \bar{\phi}$. For the two equations in (3.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\frac{1}{4} \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{n-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^{-2}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1}, \\ \alpha_3 &= -\frac{1}{4} \lambda^4 - \frac{1}{2} Q_n \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} [Q_{n-2} (1 + Q_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (Q_{n-1} - 1)(Q_{n-1} + Q_n - 1)] - \frac{1}{2} Q_n \lambda^{-2} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^{-4}, \\ \beta_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{n-1} + Q_n - 1) \lambda + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{n-1} + Q_n - 1) \lambda^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

The sdKdV hierarchy obtained here are related to the Volterra (also known as the Langmuir or Kac-van Moerbeke, cf. [23]) hierarchy. Starting from the linear problems

$$E^2 \bar{\phi} = \zeta E \bar{\phi} - V_n \bar{\phi}, \quad (3.74a)$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{\bar{t}_{2s+1}} = \bar{A}_{[V], 2s+1} \bar{\phi} + \bar{B}_{[V], 2s+1} E \bar{\phi}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.74b)$$

where $V_n = V(n, t)$ is a potential function and ζ is the spectral parameter, the Volterra hierarchy can be cast as follows,

$$V_{n, \bar{t}_{2s+1}} = \bar{K}_{[V], 2s+1} = \bar{L}_{[V]}^s \frac{1}{2} V_n (E - E^{-1}) V_n, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.75)$$

where the recursion operator $\bar{L}_{[V]}$ is

$$\bar{L}_{[V]} = V_n (1 + E^{-1}) (E V_n E - V_n) (E - 1)^{-1} \frac{1}{V_n}. \quad (3.76)$$

The first two equations in the Volterra hierarchy are

$$\bar{V}_{n,\bar{t}_1} = \bar{K}_{[V],1} = \frac{1}{2}V_n(E - E^{-1})V_n, \quad (3.77a)$$

$$\bar{V}_{n,\bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_{[V],3} = \frac{1}{2}V_n(E - E^{-1})[V_n(V_{n+1} + V_n + V_{n-1})] - V_n(E - E^{-1})V_n. \quad (3.77b)$$

Both equations $\bar{V}_{n,\bar{t}} = \bar{K}_{[V],1}$ and $\bar{V}_{n,\bar{t}} = \bar{K}_{[V],3} - 4\bar{K}_{[V],1}$ can be viewed as the discretizations of the KdV equation (2.22) (cf. [23–25]). In fact, the sdKdV hierarchy (3.71) and the Volterra hierarchy (3.75) are related through

$$V_n = 1 + Q_n, \quad (3.78a)$$

$$\bar{L}_{[K]} = \bar{L}_{[V]} - 4, \quad (3.78b)$$

which reveals the following combinatorial relations of the sdKdV flows and the Volterra flows,

$$\bar{K}_{[K],2s+1} = \sum_{i=0}^s (-4)^{s-i} C_s^i \bar{K}_{[V],2i+1} \Big|_{V_n=1+Q_n}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (3.79)$$

3.3.2 The sdmKdV hierarchy

To get the sdmKdV hierarchy, taking $R_n = \mp Q_n$ in the odd-numbered equations in the sdAKNS hierarchy (3.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{c} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n \end{array} \right)_{\bar{t}_{2s+1}} &= \bar{K}_{[A],2s+1} |_{R_n=\mp Q_n} = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} |_{R_n=\mp Q_n})^s \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \\ \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bar{L}_{[M]}^\pm)^s \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \\ \mp (\bar{L}_{[M]}^\pm)^s \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) \end{array} \right), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.80)$$

where $\mu_n^\pm = 1 \pm Q_n^2$ and

$$\bar{L}_{[M]}^\pm = \mu_n^\pm(E + E^{-1}) - (2 \mp Q_n Q_{n+1}) \pm \mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1})(E - 1)^{-1} Q_n \frac{1}{\mu_n^\pm}. \quad (3.81)$$

Thus, the sdmKdV hierarchy is written as

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_{2s+1}} = \bar{K}_{[M],2s+1}^\pm = (\bar{L}_{[M]}^\pm)^s \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.82)$$

where $\bar{L}_{[M]}^\pm$ is the recursion operator. The first two equations in the hierarchy are

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_1} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}), \quad (3.83a)$$

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_{[M],3}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\pm(E - E^{-1})[Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} \pm Q_n^2(Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1})]. \quad (3.83b)$$

The Lax pairs for the sdmKdV hierarchy are able to be obtained from those of the odd-numbered equations in the sdAKNS hierarchy by taking $R_n = \mp Q_n$.

3.3.3 The sdNLS hierarchy

Taking $\bar{t}_s = i^{s-1}\bar{t}_s$, then the reduction $(Q_n, R_n) = (Q_n, \mp Q_n^*)$ of the sdAKNS hierarchy (3.18) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_s} &= (-i)^{s-1} \bar{K}_{[A],s} |_{R_n = \mp Q_n^*} = (-i)^{s-1} (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} |_{R_n = \mp Q_n^*})^j \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \pm Q_n^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-i)^{s-1} (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[N]}^\pm)^j \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \pm Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 2j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.84a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_s} &= (-i)^{s-1} \bar{K}_{[A],s} |_{R_n = \mp Q_n^*} = (-i)^{s-1} (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} |_{R_n = \mp Q_n^*})^j \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\pm \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} \\ \mp (Q_{n+1}^* - Q_{n-1}^*) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-i)^{s-1} (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[N]}^\pm)^j \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\pm \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} \\ \mp (Q_{n+1}^* - Q_{n-1}^*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 2j + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.84b)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\mu_n^\pm = 1 \pm |Q_n|^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[N]}^\pm &= \begin{pmatrix} E - 2 + E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E - 2 + E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n E \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (\mp Q_n^* E, Q_n E^{-1}) - \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* E \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (\mp Q_n^* E^{-1}, Q_n E) \\ &+ \mu_n^\pm \begin{pmatrix} -E Q_n \\ \mp Q_{n-1}^* \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (\mp Q_n^*, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n^\pm} + \mu_n^\pm \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ \pm E Q_n^* \end{pmatrix} (E - 1)^{-1} (\mp Q_n^*, Q_n) \frac{1}{\mu_n^\pm}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

The sdNLS hierarchy are then present the following,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_{[N],s}^\pm = (-i)^{s-1} (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[N]}^\pm)^j \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \pm Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}, & s = 2j, \\ \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\pm \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} \\ \mp (Q_{n+1}^* - Q_{n-1}^*) \end{pmatrix}, & s = 2j + 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.86)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$. The third equation in the hierarchy, i.e.

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_2} = \bar{K}_{[N],2}^\pm = -i [Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} \pm |Q_n|^2 (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1})] \quad (3.87)$$

is known as the sdNLS equation [26] (or the AL equation). We note that sometimes the sdNLS hierarchy also means those equations with only $s = 2j$ in (3.86).

4 Continuum limits

Since the integrable discretizations usually break the original dispersion relations, it is not easy, in general, to give a uniform continuum limit, which maps the discrete integrable systems together with their integrable characteristics to the continuous counterparts (cf. [23, 27–29]). In [11] we have presented a uniform continuum limit which sends the whole sdAKNS hierarchy to the AKNS hierarchy. This continuum limit also explained the structure deformation of the Lie algebra of symmetries. In the following we use the same continuum limit to investigate the Lax pairs, conservation laws and reductions of the sdAKNS hierarchy.

4.1 Plan

Our plan for the continuum limit runs below [11]:

- Replacing Q_n and R_n with hq_n and hr_n , where h is the real spacing parameter.
- Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $h \rightarrow 0$ such that nh finite.
- Define continuous variable $x = x_0 + nh$, then for a scalar function, for example, q_n , one has $q_{n+j} = q(x + jh)$. For convenience we take $x_0 = 0$.
- Define time coordinate relation $t_s = h^s \bar{t}_s$ for $s = 0, 1, \dots$.
- Continuous spectral parameter η is defined by $\lambda = e^{h\eta}$.

4.2 Hierarchy

In Ref. [11] we have shown that in the above continuum limit the sdAKNS hierarchy (3.18) goes to the continuous AKNS hierarchy (2.5). Let us briefly review these results.

In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, it can be shown that

$$\bar{K}_{[A],0} = K_{[A],0}h + O(h^2), \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\bar{K}_{[A],1} = K_{[A],1}h^2 + O(h^3), \quad (4.1b)$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[A]} = \bar{L}_{[A]}^2 h^2 + O(h^3). \quad (4.2)$$

Then, from the recursive structure of the sdAKNS hierarchy (3.18), the continuum limits for the flows are

$$\bar{K}_{[A],s} = K_{[A],s}h^{s+1} + O(h^{s+2}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (4.3)$$

and at the level of equations we have the following.

Proposition 4.1. *In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, we have*

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{t}_s} - \bar{K}_{[A],s} = (u_{t_s} - K_{[A],s})h^{s+1} + O(h^{s+2}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (4.4)$$

4.3 Lax pairs

Based on the continuum limit designed in Sec.4.1, it is easy for one to find that the continuum limit of the spectral problem (3.22a) is

$$E\bar{\Phi} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_x - M_{[A]}\Phi)h + O(h^2). \quad (4.5)$$

To investigate the relations in the continuum limit between the time parts of the Lax pairs (2.1) and (3.22), we rewrite (2.3b) as the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma \sum_{k=1}^j (2\eta)^{2(j-k)} (L_{[A]} + 2\eta) L_{[A]}^{2(k-1)} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 2j, \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma \sum_{k=1}^j (2\eta)^{2(j-k)} (L_{[A]} + 2\eta) L_{[A]}^{2k-1} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix} + (2\eta)^{2j} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 2j + 1 \quad (4.6b)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$. A direct calculation yields

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} = 2\eta h + O(h^2), \quad -\lambda \bar{L}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{L}_2^{-1} = (L_{[A]} + 2\eta)h + O(h^2). \quad (4.7)$$

Then, from the expression (3.38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} &= \left[-\sigma \sum_{k=1}^j (2\eta)^{2(j-k)} (L_{[A]} + 2\eta) L_{[A]}^{2(k-1)} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix} \right] h^{2j} + O(h^{2j+1}), \quad s = 2j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} &= \left[-\sigma \sum_{k=1}^j (2\eta)^{2(j-k)} (L_{[A]} + 2\eta) L_{[A]}^{2k-1} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2\eta)^{2j} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \right] h^{2j+1} + O(h^{2j+2}), \quad s = 2j + 1 \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{[A],s} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{[A],s} \\ C_{[A],s} \end{pmatrix} h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.8)$$

Next, substituting (4.8) into (3.39) we find

$$\bar{A}_{[A],s} = A_{[A],s} h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad (4.9a)$$

$$\bar{D}_{[A],s} = D_{[A],s} h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad (4.9b)$$

for $s = 0, 1, \dots$. Therefore, from the relations (4.8) and (4.9) we conclude that

$$\bar{N}_{[A],s} = N_{[A],s} h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (4.10)$$

and further, we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, we have*

$$E\bar{\Phi} - \bar{M}_{[A]}\bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_x - M_{[A]}\Phi)h + O(h^2), \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{t_s} - \bar{N}_{[A],s}\bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_{t_s} - N_{[A],s}\Phi)h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.11b)$$

4.4 Conservation laws

In this part we will investigate the continuum limit of the infinitely many conservation laws obtained in Sec.3.2 for the sdAKNS hierarchy (3.18). We need to examine the relations between $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ and $\omega_{[A]}^{(j)}$, $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}$ and $\omega_{[A]}$, the Riccati equations (3.50) and (2.9), the formal conservation laws (3.54) and (2.14), and the infinitely many conservation laws (3.57) and (2.16), respectively.

First, for the relation between $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ and $\omega_{[A]}^{(j)}$, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, we have*

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} = \omega_{[A]}^{(j)} h^j + O(h^{j+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}$ and $\omega_{[A]}^{(j)}$ are defined in (3.52) and (2.11), respectively.

Proof. Let us use mathematical induction method. For $j = 1, 2$, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} &= R_{n-1} = rh + O(h^2), \\ \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} &= R_{n-2}(1 - Q_{n-1}R_{n-1}) - R_{n-1} = r_x h^2 + O(h^3),\end{aligned}$$

which means the relation (4.12) holds for $j = 1, 2$. Now, we suppose

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(i)} = \omega_{[A]}^{(i)} h^i + O(h^{i+1})$$

are true for any $i \leq j$. Then, from the recursive relation (3.52b) and after some calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j+1)} &= (E^{-1} - 1)\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} - Q_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(k)} E^{-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j-k)} - Q_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(k)} E^{-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j+1-k)} \\ &= \left(-\omega_{[A],x}^{(j)} - q \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega_{[A]}^{(k)} \omega_{[A]}^{(j-k)} \right) h^{(j+1)} + O(h^{j+2}) \\ &= \omega_{[A]}^{(j+1)} h^{(j+1)} + O(h^{j+2}),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality coincides with the recursive relation (2.11b). Therefore (4.12) holds for any $j \geq 1$. \square

Let us now go back to the expansion (3.51), i.e.

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j. \quad (4.13)$$

Noting that

$$z = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} = (2\eta)^{-1} h^{-1} + O(1), \quad (4.14)$$

and inserting (4.12) and (4.14) into (4.13) we have

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_{[A]}^{(j)} (2\eta)^{-j} + O(h), \quad (4.15)$$

namely,

$$\bar{\Omega}_{[A]} = \omega_{[A]} + O(h). \quad (4.16)$$

This gives the relation between $\bar{\Omega}_{[A]}$ and $\omega_{[A]}$.

Next, substituting the above relation into the Riccati equation (3.50) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{z} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} - \left[(E^{-1} - 1) \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{z} \right) Q_{n-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} E^{-1} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} + R_{n-1} \right] \\ &= \left[2\eta \omega_{[A]} - (\omega_{[A],x} - q \omega_{[A]}^2 + r) \right] h + O(h^2),\end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

which means the Riccati equation (3.50) goes to the continuous Riccati equation (2.9) in the continuum limit.

Let us look at the formal conservation law (3.54). For the l.h.s. of (3.54), by using (4.16) it is easy for us to see that

$$\ln(1 + Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}) = (q\omega_{[A]})h + O(h^2). \quad (4.18)$$

Meanwhile, from the relations (3.55) and (4.10) we immediately reach

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} = A_{[A]}h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad (4.19a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} = B_{[A]}h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad (4.19b)$$

which provides

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} = (A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s} \omega_{[A]})h^s + O(h^{s+1}). \quad (4.20)$$

Thus, for the relation of the formal conservation law of (3.54) and (2.14), we have the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & [\ln(1 + Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]})]_{\bar{t}_s} - (E - 1)(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}) \\ &= [(q\omega_{[A]})_{t_s} - (A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s} \omega_{[A]})_x] h^{s+1} + O(h^{s+2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

which describes the relation of the two formal conservation laws.

Finally, we focus on the continuum limits of the explicit infinitely many conservation laws (3.57), i.e.,

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_s} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = (E - 1) \bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (4.22)$$

The common conserved densities $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ are determined by

$$\ln(1 + Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} z^j,$$

with the explicit formulae (3.60), i.e.

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{y}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.23)$$

with $y_i \doteq Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$.

To investigate the continuum limit of $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$, we introduce *degrees* of functions (cf. [11]).

Definition 4.1. Under the plan described in Sec.4.1, a function $\bar{F}(\bar{U})$ can be expanded as a series of h . The order of the leading term of the series is called the *degree* of $\bar{F}(\bar{U})$, denoted by $\deg \bar{F}$.

For example,

$$\deg Q_n = 1, \quad \deg \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(i)} = i, \quad \deg y_i = i + 1, \quad \deg \bar{t}_s = s, \quad \deg z = -1.$$

Now, looking at the definition of the polynomials $h_j(\mathbf{y})$ (3.58b) (as examples see (3.59)), since $\deg y_i = i + 1$, we can find that $\deg h_j(\mathbf{y}) = \deg y_j = j + 1$ and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_j(\mathbf{y})}{h^{j+1}} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_j}{h^{j+1}}.$$

Since $y_j \doteq Q_n \bar{\Omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} = q\omega_{[A]}^{(j)} h^{j+1} + O(h^{j+2})$, we immediately have

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{y}) = q\omega_{[A]}^{(j)} h^{j+1} + O(h^{j+2}), \quad (4.24)$$

which means in the continuum limit we have $\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} \rightarrow \rho_{[A]}^{(j)} = q\omega_{[A]}^{(j)}$.

Next, noting that $\deg \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = j + 1$, which is given by (4.24), then it follows from (4.22) that $\deg \bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)}$ must be $j + s$. Therefore, we can suppose that

$$\bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} = W_{[A],s}^{(j)} h^{j+s} + O(h^{j+s+1}). \quad (4.25)$$

This means, in light of (4.14), we have

$$\bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} z^j = W_{[A],s}^{(j)} (2\eta)^{-j} h^s + O(h^{s+1}), \quad (4.26)$$

and further, from (3.56b) we get

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} - \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}^{(0)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} z^j = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_{[A],s}^{(j)} (2\eta)^{-j} \right) h^s + O(h^{s+1}).$$

Meanwhile, from (4.20) and (2.15) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s} + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{[A],s} \bar{\Omega}_{[A]} - \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{[A],s}^{(0)} &= [A_{[A],s} + B_{[A],s} \omega_{[A]} - \frac{1}{2} (2\eta)^s] h^s + O(h^{s+1}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{[A],s}^{(j)} (2\eta)^{-j} \right) h^s + O(h^{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, comparing the term of $(2\eta)^{-j}$ immediately yields $W_{[A],s}^{(j)} = J_{[A],s}^{(j)}$. It gives rise to

$$\bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} = J_{[A],s}^{(j)} h^{j+s} + O(h^{j+s+1}). \quad (4.27)$$

Thus, for the infinitely many conservation laws of the sdAKNS hierarchy, we can conclude in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *In the continuum limit described in Sec.4.1, we have*

$$\bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = \rho_{[A]}^{(j)} h^{j+1} + O(h^{j+2}), \quad (4.28a)$$

$$\bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} = J_{[A],s}^{(j)} h^{j+s} + O(h^{j+s+1}), \quad (4.28b)$$

and

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_s} \bar{\rho}_{[A]}^{(j)} - (E - 1) \bar{J}_{[A],s}^{(j)} = (\partial_{t_s} \rho_{[A]}^{(j)} - \partial_x J_{[A],s}^{(j)}) h^{j+s+1} + O(h^{j+s+2}), \quad (4.29)$$

for $s = 0, 1, \dots$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$, which describes the relation of the two sets of infinitely many conservation laws.

At the end of this part, let us also take a look at the continuum limit of the conserved densities $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{x})$ with $x_i \doteq Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$, which are derived in Sec.3.2.1 (also see [17]). Obviously,

$$\bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} = R_{n-1} = hr + O(h^2), \quad \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} = R_{n-2} = hr + O(h^2),$$

which means $\deg \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(1)} = \deg \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(2)} = 1$. Then, from the recursive structure (3.44) we immediately have

$$\deg \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} \equiv 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(j)} = hr + O(h^2), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus we get

$$x_j = qr h^2 + O(h^3), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and then from the definition of $h_j(\mathbf{x})$ we obtain

$$h_j(\mathbf{x}) \equiv qr h^2 + O(h^3), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proposition 4.4. *All the conserved densities $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} = h_j(\mathbf{x})$ with $x_i \doteq Q_n \bar{\omega}_{[A]}^{(i)}$, which are derived in Sec.3.2.1 (also see [17]) for the AL hierarchy as well as for the sdAKNS hierarchy, are trivial in the continuum limit given in Sec.4.1, and*

$$\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)} \equiv qr h^2 + O(h^3), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

In other words, in our continuum limit, all of the conserved densities $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ go to $\rho_{[A]}^{(1)} = qr$, which is the first conserved density of the AKNS hierarchy.

4.5 Reductions

4.5.1 The sdKdV hierarchy

For the sdKdV hierarchy, if we still use the continuum limit scheme given in Sec.4.1, we need to rewrite the reduction of the sdAKNS hierarchy under the constraint $(Q_n, R_n) = (hq_n, -h)$. However, this is just equivalent to taking $Q_n = h^2 q_n$ if we still use the constraint $(Q_n, R_n) = (Q_n, -1)$. Thus, for the continuum limit of the sdKdV hierarchy, we still follow the scheme proposed in Sec.4.1 except that the first item is replaced by

- Replacing Q_n with $h^2 q_n$.

Then we find that in the continuum limit

$$\bar{L}_{[K]} = L_{[K]} h^2 + O(h^3), \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$Q_{n, \bar{t}_1} - \bar{K}_{[K], 1} = (q_{t_1} - q_x) h^3 + O(h^4),$$

which implies

$$Q_{n, \bar{t}_{2s+1}} - \bar{K}_{[K], 2s+1} = (q_{t_{2s+1}} - K_{[K], 2s+1}) h^{2s+3} + O(h^{2s+4}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \tag{4.31}$$

We note that in our continuum limit it is the second equation (3.72b) in the sdKdV hierarchy (3.71) that goes to the continuous KdV equation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,t_3} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_n(E - E^{-1})[Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} + Q_n(Q_{n+1} + Q_n + Q_{n-1})] \\ = (q_{t_3} - q_{xxx} - 6qq_x)h^5 + O(h^6). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

This is also found in [24, 25]. In this sense, equation (3.72b) can be referred to as the sdKdV equation. Meanwhile, for the Lax pair of (3.72b), we find

$$E^2\bar{\phi} - \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)E\bar{\phi} - (1 + Q_n)\bar{\phi} = [\phi_{xx} - (\eta^2 - q)\phi]h^2 + O(h^3), \quad (4.33a)$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{\bar{t}_3} - \alpha_3\bar{\phi} - \beta_3E\bar{\phi} = [\phi_{t_3} + q_x\phi - (4\eta^2 + 2q)\phi_x]h^3 + O(h^4), \quad (4.33b)$$

i.e. it goes to the Lax pair of the KdV equation.

4.5.2 The sdmKdV hierarchy

For the sdmKdV hierarchy, under the continuum limit scheme described in Sec.4.1, we find

$$\bar{L}_{[M]}^{\pm} = L_{[M]}^{\pm}h^2 + O(h^3) \quad (4.34)$$

and

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_1} - \bar{K}_{[M],1}^{\pm} = (q_{t_1} - q_x)h^2 + O(h^3),$$

which leads to

$$Q_{n,\bar{t}_{2s+1}} - \bar{K}_{[M],2s+1}^{\pm} = (q_{t_{2s+1}} - K_{[M],2s+1}^{\pm})h^{2s+2} + O(h^{2s+3}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.35)$$

We call equation (3.83b) the sdmKdV equation because in our continuum limit, it goes to the mKdV equation (see also [8, 25]), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,\bar{t}_3} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^{\pm}(E - E^{-1})[Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} \pm Q_n^2(Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1})] \\ = [q_{t_3} - (q_{xxx} \pm 6q^2q_x)]h^4 + O(h^5). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

A higher order equation $\bar{Q}_{n,\bar{t}_5} = \bar{K}_{[M],5}^{-}$ was investigated in a recent paper [30].

4.5.3 The sdNLS hierarchy

For the sdNLS hierarchy, we find that in the continuum limit,

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{[N]}^{\pm} = (L_{[N]}^{\pm})^2h^2 + O(h^3), \quad (4.37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_0} - \bar{K}_{[N],0}^{\pm} &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \pm q^* \end{pmatrix}_{t_0} - i \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix} \right] h + O(h^2) \\ \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_1} - \bar{K}_{[N],1}^{\pm} &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix}_{t_1} - \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix}_x \right] h^2 + O(h^3). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ \mp Q_n^* \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_s} - \bar{K}_{[N],s}^\pm = \left[\begin{pmatrix} q \\ \mp q^* \end{pmatrix}_{t_s} - K_{[N],s}^\pm \right] h^{s+1} + O(h^{s+2}), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.38)$$

For example, the continuum limit of the sdNLS equation (3.87) is

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{n,\bar{t}_2} - i[Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + Q_{n-1} \pm |Q_n|^2(Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1})] \\ &= [qt_2 - i(q_{xx} \pm 2|q|^2q)]h^3 + O(h^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

5 Conclusions

We have shown that the sdAKNS hierarchy can be derived from the AL hierarchy through certain combinations. As reductions we obtained the sdKdV, sdmKdV and sdNLS hierarchies. The Lax pairs and conservation laws of the sdAKNS hierarchy were re-derived so that they cope with their continuous counterparts in continuum limit. We designed a uniform continuum limit scheme under which the sdAKNS hierarchy, their Lax pairs, infinitely many conservation laws and reductions of the hierarchy go to their continuous counterparts of the AKNS system. In this continuum limit scheme the spatial and temporal independence is kept. The same scheme has been also used to explain the structure deformation of symmetry algebra [11]. Hamiltonian structures of the sdAKNS hierarchy and their continuum limit will be investigated later.

Finally, a further comment is given for conservation laws. The known infinitely many conservation laws derived in [17] for the AL hierarchy (as well as for the sdAKNS hierarchy) are trivial in light of the continuum limit. We have shown that all those conserved densities $\bar{\varrho}_{[A]}^{(j)}$ go to the same $\rho_{[A]}^{(1)} = qr$ which is the first conserved density of the AKNS hierarchy. We have given *new* forms of the conservation laws for the sdAKNS hierarchy. These new conservations laws cope with their continuous counterparts and are related to those trivial ones through explicit combinatorial relation. By the same constraints we used in reductions, we can obtain the infinitely many conservation laws of those reduced hierarchies.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Deng-yuan Chen for enthusiastic discussions. DJZ is grateful to Prof. Zhijun Qiao for the hospitality when he visited the University of Texas-Pan American. This project is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Numbers 11071157 and 11171295), the SRF of the DPHE of China (No. 20113108110002) and the Project of “First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai”.

A \bar{N}_s in the AL Lax pair (3.1)

We list out several matrices \bar{N}_s in the AL Lax pair (3.1). \bar{N}_0 is for the equation $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_0} = \bar{K}_0$.

$$\bar{N}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A.1a)$$

$$\bar{N}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - Q_n R_{n-1} & Q_n \lambda \\ R_{n-1} \lambda & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{N}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} & -Q_{n-1}\lambda^{-1} \\ -R_n \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} + Q_{n-1} R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A.1b)$$

$$\bar{N}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_2 & \bar{B}_2 \\ \bar{C}_2 & \bar{D}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{N}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_{-2} & \bar{B}_{-2} \\ \bar{C}_{-2} & \bar{D}_{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1c})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^4 - Q_n R_{n-1} \lambda^2 - \mu_{n-1} Q_n R_{n-2} - \mu_n Q_{n+1} R_{n-1} + Q_{n-1} + Q_n^2 R_{n-1}^2, \\ \bar{B}_2 &= Q_n \lambda^3 + (\mu_n Q_{n+1} - Q_n^2 R_{n-1}) \lambda, \quad \bar{C}_2 = R_{n-1} \lambda^3 + (\mu_{n-1} R_{n-2} - Q_n R_{n-1}^2) \lambda, \\ \bar{D}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^4 + Q_n R_{n-1} \lambda^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_{-2} &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-4} - Q_{n-1} R_n \lambda^{-2}, \\ \bar{B}_{-2} &= -Q_{n-1} \lambda^{-3} + (-\mu_{n-1} Q_{n-2} + Q_{n-1}^2 R_n) \lambda^{-1}, \\ \bar{C}_{-2} &= -R_{n-1} \lambda^{-3} + (-\mu_n R_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} R_n^2) \lambda^{-1}, \\ \bar{D}_{-2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-4} + Q_{n-1} R_n \lambda^{-2} + \mu_{n-1} Q_{n-2} R_n + \mu_n Q_{n-1} R_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}^2 R_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

B The first four $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$

Here we give the first four of $\bar{N}_{[A],s}$:

$$\bar{N}_{[A],0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.1a})$$

$$\bar{N}_{[A],1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - Q_n R_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} & Q_n \lambda + Q_{n-1} \lambda^{-1} \\ R_{n-1} \lambda + R_n \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - Q_{n-1} R_n + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.1b})$$

$$\bar{N}_{[A],2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - (1 + Q_n R_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} & Q_n \lambda - Q_{n-1} \lambda^{-1} \\ R_{n-1} \lambda - R_n \lambda^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + (1 + Q_{n-1} R_n) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.1c})$$

$$\bar{N}_{[A],3} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_{[A],3} & \bar{B}_{[A],3} \\ \bar{C}_{[A],3} & \bar{D}_{[A],3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.1d})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_{[A],3} &= \frac{1}{4}\lambda^4 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + Q_n R_{n-1})\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_n R_{n-2} + Q_{n+1} R_{n-1} - 2Q_n R_{n-1} \\ &\quad - Q_{n-1} Q_n R_{n-2} R_{n-1} - Q_n Q_{n+1} R_{n-1} R_n - Q_n^2 R_{n-1}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + Q_{n-1} R_n)\lambda^{-2} - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^{-4}, \\ \bar{B}_{[A],3} &= \frac{1}{2}Q_n \lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2}(Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n - Q_n Q_{n+1} R_n - Q_n^2 R_{n-1})\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(Q_{n-2} - 2Q_{n-1} - Q_{n-2} Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} - Q_{n-1}^2 R_n)\lambda^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{n-1} \lambda^{-3}, \\ \bar{C}_{[A],3} &= \frac{1}{2}R_{n-1} \lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2}(R_{n-2} - 2R_{n-1} - Q_{n-1} R_{n-2} R_{n-1} - Q_n R_{n-1}^2)\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(R_{n+1} - 2R_n - Q_n R_n R_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} R_n^2)\lambda^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}R_n \lambda^{-3}, \\ \bar{D}_{[A],3} &= -\frac{1}{4}\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + Q_n R_{n-1})\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_{n-2} R_n + Q_{n-1} R_{n+1} - 2Q_{n-1} R_n \\ &\quad - Q_{n-2} Q_{n-1} R_{n-1} R_n - Q_{n-1} Q_n R_n R_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}^2 R_n^2) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + Q_{n-1} R_n)\lambda^{-2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] T. Miwa, On Hirota's difference equations, *Proc. Jpn. Acad.*, 58A (1982) 9-12.
- [2] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. I, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 51 (1982) 4116-4124.
- [3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. II, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 51 (1982) 4125-4131.
- [4] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. III, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 52 (1983) 388-393.
- [5] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. IV, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 52 (1983) 761-765.
- [6] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations. V, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 52 (1983) 766-771.
- [7] M.J. Ablowitz, J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 16 (1975) 598-603.
- [8] M.J. Ablowitz, J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis, *J. Math. Phys.*, 17 (1976) 1011-1018.
- [9] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, Nonlinear-evolution equations of physical significance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 31 (1973) 125-127.
- [10] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform-Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 53 (1974) 249-315.
- [11] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part II. Integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations and discrete AKNS hierarchy, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 125 (2010) 419-443.
- [12] M. Wadati, H. Sanuki, K. Konno, Relationships among inverse method, Bäcklund transformation and an infinite number of conservation laws, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 53 (1975) 419-436.
- [13] Y.S. Li, G.C. Zhu, New set of symmetries of the integrable equations, Lie algebra and non-isospectral evolution equations: II. AKNS system, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 19 (1986) 3713-3725.
- [14] D.Y. Chen, H.W. Zhang, Lie algebraic structure for the AKNS system, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 24 (1991) 377-383.
- [15] Y.B. Zeng, S.R. Wojciechowski, Restricted flows of the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy and their continuous limits, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 28 (1995) 113-134.
- [16] K.M. Tamizhmani, W.X. Ma, Master symmetries from Lax operators for certain lattice soliton hierarchies, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 69 (2000) 351-361.

- [17] D.J. Zhang, D.Y. Chen, The conservation laws of some discrete soliton systems, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 14 (2002) 573-579.
- [18] D.J. Zhang, D.Y. Chen, Hamiltonian structure of discrete soliton systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 35 (2002) 7225-7241.
- [19] D.J. Zhang, T.K. Ning, J.B. Bi, D.Y. Chen, New symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchies, *Phys. Lett. A*, 359 (2006) 458-466.
- [20] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, J. Michor, G. Teschl, Local conservation laws and the Hamiltonian formalism for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 120 (2008) 361-423.
- [21] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part I. Four-potential case, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 125 (2010) 393-418.
- [22] D.J. Zhang, J.W. Cheng, Y.Y. Sun, Deriving conservation laws for ABS lattice equations from Lax pairs, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 46, (2013) 265202 (19pp).
- [23] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices I. The Kac-Moerbeke system and KdV theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 180 (1996) 505-528.
- [24] R. Hernández Heredero, D. Levi, M.A. Rodríguez, P. Winternitz, Lie algebra contractions and symmetries of the Toda hierarchy, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 33 (2000) 5025-5040.
- [25] Yu.B. Suris, *The Problem of Integrable Discretization: Hamiltonian Approach*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [26] M.J. Ablowitz, B. Prinari, A.D. Trubatch, *Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [27] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices II. Volterra systems and $sp(N)$ theories, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 10 (1998) 235-270.
- [28] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices III. Kupershmidt systems and $sl(N+1)$ KdV theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 31 (1998) 2727-2746.
- [29] M. Schwarz Jr., Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear equations related to the Toda lattice, *Adv. Math.*, 44 (1982) 132-154.
- [30] T. Zhou, Z.N. Zhu, P. He, A fifth order semidiscrete mKdV equation, *Sci. China Math.*, 56 (2013) 123-134.