A dynamical mechanism for generating quark confinement

Han-Xin He[1] and Yu-Xin Liu[2]

[1] *China Institute of Atomic Energy, P.O.Box 275(10), Beijing 102413, China*
[2] *Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

We explore the dynamical mechanism for generating the infrared singular quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement based on the gauge invariance in covariant-gauge quantum chromodynamics(QCD). We first derive the gauge-invariance constraint relation for the infrared-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex, which shows the mechanism for generating the infrared behavior of the quark-gluon vertex. We hence unravel a novel mechanism for generating an infrared singular quark-gluon vertex and then a linear rising potential for confining massive quarks, where the infrared singularity in the form factors composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel plays a crucial role. The mechanism for linking chiral symmetry breaking with quark confinement is also shown.
PACS numbers:12.38.Aw, 11.15.-q, 11.30.-j, 11.30.Rd

arXiv:1307.4485v3 [hep-ph] 13 Dec 2013

Quarks are the "elementary particles" of constituting hadrons, which has been confirmed by experiments. However, the isolated quarks have not been observed in nature. This phenomenon is called quark confinement. To unravel the quark confinement from underlying strong interaction theory quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is doubtless one of major scientific challenges of this century. Lattice QCD computations have derived a linear rising potential between massive quarks, implying quark confinement at large distances [1], but the dynamical mechanism for generating such a confining potential is still elusive. It is convinced that the confinement mechanism should be encoded in the infrared(IR) structure of QCD. This idea may be expressed by the notion of infrared slavery[2], i.e. the IR-singularities of QCD Green functions generate confinement. Thus, to study the IR behavior of QCD Green functions will be hopeful to unravel the dynamical mechanism for generating confinement and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking(DCSB), ect. In this aspect, the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and its relation to the phenomena of quark confinement and DCSB are of particular interest.

Intuitively, the best way to unravel the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex would be to compute this vertex directly by lattice QCD. However, at present this task seems to be hard to perform since the complexity of the quark-gluon vertex[3]. Here we suggest a practicable approach to explore the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex based on the gauge invariance of QCD, which is first to derive the gauge-invariance constraint relation (like a sum rule) for the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex relating to all of possible contributions to this IR-behavior from the quark, gluon, ghost, and their interaction sectors. This is similar to derive the gauge-invariant decomposition of the nucleon spin into quark and gluon contributions for understanding the spin structure of the nucleon[4]. This approach allows one to find the origins for generating the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and then to calculate their contributions respectively, and hence to understand the dynamical mechanism for generating an IR-singular quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement.

In this Letter, we explore the dynamical mechanism for generating the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement in covariant-gauge QCD according to above-suggested approach. We first use the gauge-invariance of QCD, performed by using the Slavnov-Taylor identity, to derive the constraint relation for the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex. This constraint relation shows the mechanism that the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex originates from two parts: the ghost renormalization (the Yang-Mills sector) and the form factors composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel (the quark-ghost interaction sector). The gauge-invariance constraint relation for the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon running coupling is also derived. We then use these constraint relations to unravel the dynamical mechanism for generating an IR-singular quark-gluon vertex and a quark confining potential. The mechanism for linking DCSB with quark confinement is also shown.

Let us first derive the gauge-invariance constraint relation for the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex. As is well-known, the gauge invariance of QCD imposes powerful constraints on the quark-gluon vertex, which are described by the generalized Ward-Takahashi identity [5] or named the Slavnov-Taylor identity (STI) [6]: The longitudinal part of the vertex must satisfy the normal STI [7], while the transverse part of the vertex is constrained by the transverse STI [8]. In the limit of vanishing gluon momentum, the transverse part of the vertex and then the transverse STI has no contribution. Thus, to derive the constraint on the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex we only need the normal STI for the vertex which reads [7]

$$q^\mu\Gamma_\mu(k,p,q)G^{-1}(q^2) = [S^{-1}(k)H(k,p,q) - \bar{H}(p,k,q)S^{-1}(p)], \quad (1)$$

where $q = k - p$, q denotes the gluon momentum, k and p are quark momentums, $S^{-1}(p)$ is inverse of the full quark propagator, $G(q^2)$ is the ghost renormalization function relating to the ghost propagator by $\tilde{D}(q) =$

$-iG(q^2)/q^2$. $H(k,p,q)$ is the quark-ghost scattering kernel and $\bar{H}(p,k,q)$ is its "conjugate". The vertex Γ_μ is related to the full quark-gluon vertex $\Gamma^a_\mu(k,p,q) = gT^a\Gamma_\mu(k,p,q)$, where T^a is the generator of the gauge group $SU(N)$ with N being the number of colors, and $N=3$ for QCD. The matrix notation in both color and Dirac matrices is understood.

The inverse of the full quark propagator in the Minkowski space has the form

$$S^{-1}(p) = \alpha(p^2)\not{p} + \beta(p^2)I = Z_f^{-1}(p^2)(\not{p} - M(p^2)), \quad (2)$$

where I is the unit matrix, $\not{p} = p^\mu\gamma_\mu$, $\alpha(p^2) = Z_f^{-1}(p^2)$ and $\beta(p^2) = -M(p^2)Z_f^{-1}(p^2)$, $M(p^2)$ is the quark mass function and $Z_f(p^2)$ denotes the wave function renormalization. The DCSB is signaled by $M(p^2)\neq 0$ if the current quark mass $m=0$[10]. The quark-ghost scattering kernel $H(k,p,q)$ and its "conjugate" can be decomposed in terms of scalar functions ("form factors")[9] as

$$\begin{aligned} H(k,p,q) &= \chi_0 I + \chi_1\not{k} + \chi_2\not{p} + \chi_3\sigma_{\mu\nu}k^\mu p^\nu, \\ \bar{H}(p,k,q) &= \bar{\chi}_0 I + \bar{\chi}_1\not{p} + \bar{\chi}_2\not{k} + \bar{\chi}_3\sigma_{\mu\nu}k^\mu p^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_\mu,\gamma_\nu]$, $\chi_i = \chi_i(k^2,p^2,q^2)$ and $\bar{\chi}_i = \chi_i(p^2,k^2,q^2)(i=0,1,2,3)$. At the lowest order, $\chi_0^{(0)}=1$ and $\chi_i^{(0)}=0(i\geq 1)$. The one-loop results for χ_i functions have been given already by Ref.[9].

To study how the STI imposes the constraint on the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex, we consider the limit of vanishing gluon-momentum for the STI (1). At first, the right-hand side of Eq.(1) can be written in terms of the decomposition forms (2)-(3), which then can be calculated one-to-one after assuming in the limit of vanishing gluon momentum χ_i and $\bar{\chi}_i$ behaving as $\lim_{q\to 0}\bar{\chi}_i = \lim_{q\to 0}\chi_i \sim (q^2)^{\alpha_{\chi_i}} f_{(i)}(p^2)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}[S^{-1}(k)H(k,p,q) - \bar{H}(p,k,q)S^{-1}(p)] \\ &\sim \sum_{i=0}^{3}(q^2)^{\alpha_{\chi_i}+1/2}\hat{q}^\mu\sum_{j=1}^{3}f_{(i,j)}(p^2)\tilde{L}^{(j)}_\mu(p), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{L}^{(1)}_\mu = \gamma_\mu$, $\tilde{L}^{(2)}_\mu = p_\mu\not{p}$, $\tilde{L}^{(3)}_\mu = p_\mu$, denoting the undressed tensor structures for the longitudinal part of the quark-gluon vertex (see following Eq.(9)), $\hat{q}^\mu = q^\mu/\sqrt{q^2}$ and $f_{(0,1)}(p^2) = \alpha(p^2)f_{(0)}(p^2)$, $f_{(1,1)}(p^2) = \beta(p^2)f_{(1)}(p^2)$, etc. Eq.(4) shows that the right-hand side of this equation does not disappear if $\alpha_{\chi_i}\leq -1/2$, i.e. χ_i ($\bar{\chi}_i$) being IR-singular. But it does not mean that all χ_i and $\bar{\chi}_i$ would be IR-singular. In fact, recent calculations show that $\chi_0(\bar{\chi}_0)$ is IR-finite [11]. So far, there is no calculation of $\chi_i(\bar{\chi}_i)$ ($i\geq 1$). From the structure of $H(\bar{H})$ given by Eq.(3), one may conjecture that $\chi_1(\bar{\chi}_1)$ and $\chi_2(\bar{\chi}_2)$ may have similar IR-behavior, i.e, $\alpha_{\chi_1} = \alpha_{\chi_2}$. To simplify discussion, let us assume $\alpha_{\chi_1} = \alpha_{\chi_2} = \alpha_{\chi_3}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}[S^{-1}(k)H(k,p,q) - \bar{H}(p,k,q)S^{-1}(p)] \\ &\sim (q^2)^{\alpha_{\chi_1}+1/2}\hat{q}^\mu\sum_{i,j=1}^{3}f_{(i,j)}(p^2)\tilde{L}^{(j)}_\mu(p). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We note that the right-hand side of Eq.(5) will reduce to $(q^2)^{\alpha_{\chi_i}+1/2}\hat{q}^\mu\sum_j f_{(i,j)}(p^2)\tilde{L}^{(j)}_\mu(p)$ with $i=1$ or 2 if only $\chi_1(\bar{\chi_1})$ or $\chi_2(\bar{\chi_2})$ may have an IR-singularity.

The left-hand side of the STI (1) in the limit of vanishing gluon momentum is easy to write after assuming a ghost renormalization function behaving as $\lim_{q\to 0}G(q^2)\sim(q^2)^{\alpha_G}$ and the quark-gluon vertex possessing the IR power exponent δ_{qg}. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}q^\mu\Gamma_\mu(k,p,q)G^{-1}(q^2) \\ &\sim (q^2)^{\delta_{qg}+1/2-\alpha_G}\hat{q}^\mu\sum_{j=1}^{3}f_{(j)}(p^2)\tilde{L}^{(j)}_\mu(p). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Equating Eq.(5) with Eq.(6) for a definite momentum p and comparing their power exponent, we thus find the constraint relation for the IR-limit behavior of the quark-gluon vertex, imposed by the gauge invariance, as

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}\Gamma_\mu(k,p,q)\sim(q^2)^{\delta_{qg}}\tilde{L}_\mu(p) \\ &\quad\text{with } \delta_{qg} = \alpha_{\chi_i} + \alpha_G, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $i\geq 1$, $\tilde{L}_\mu(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{3}f_{(j)}(p^2)\tilde{L}^{(j)}_\mu(p)$ and $f_{(j)}(p^2) = \sum_i f_{(i,j)}(p^2)$. The constraint relation (7) shows a "sum rule" which indicates the mechanism that the IR-behavior of the quark-gluon vertex is generated by two parts: the form factors composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel and the ghost renormalization function. This constraint relation is the central result of the IR analysis for the quark-gluon vertex.

The further interesting quantity is the nonperturbative running coupling from the quark-gluon vertex, which is defined by the nonperturbative and renormalization group invariant relation

$$\alpha_{qg}(\mu^2) = \frac{g_0^2}{4\pi}Z_{1F}^{-2}(\mu^2)Z_f^2(\mu^2)Z(\mu^2). \quad (8)$$

Here $Z(\mu^2)$ is the gluon renormalization defined in the renormalization scale μ, $Z_{1F}(\mu^2)$ is the renormalization function of the quark-gluon vertex defined according to $\Gamma_{\mu,R} = Z_{1F}\Gamma_\mu = Z_{1F}\lambda_1\gamma_\mu + ...$, where λ_1 dresses the γ_μ-part of the vertex, which gives $Z_{1F}(\mu^2) = \lambda_1^{-1}(\mu^2)$.

To write $\lambda_1(\mu^2)$, we use the Ward-type identity for the quark-gluon vertex[12], which can be derived based on the STI (1) in the limit of vanishing gluon momentum. The result shows that the quark-gluon vertex in the limit of vanishing gluon momentum reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\mu(p,p) &= \lambda_1(p^2)\gamma_\mu + \lambda_2(p^2)\not{p}p_\mu \\ &\quad +\lambda_3(p^2)p_\mu + \lambda_4(p^2)\not{p}\gamma_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda_i(p^2) \equiv \lim_{q\to 0}\lambda_i(k^2,p^2,q^2)(i=1,2,3)(\lambda_4(p^2)=0)$. After setting $p=\mu=q$ we have

$$\lambda_1(q^2) = Z_f^{-1}(q^2)F_{1,H}(q^2)G(0), \tag{10}$$

where $G(0)=\lim_{q\to 0}G(q^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,H}(q^2) &= \chi_0(q^2) - 2q^2\chi_3(q^2) \\ &\quad + M(q^2)[\chi_2(q^2)-\chi_1(q^2)]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We thus find

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{qg}(q^2) &= \frac{g_0^2}{4\pi}F_{1,H}^2(q^2)G^2(0)Z(q^2) \\ &= F_{1,H}^2(q^2)(G(0)/G(q^2))^2\alpha_{gh}(q^2), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha_{gh}(q^2) = \frac{g_0^2}{4\pi}G^2(q^2)Z(q^2)$, which is the ghost-gluon running coupling [13] .

Eq.(12) indicates that the quark-gluon running coupling is related to the ghost-gluon running coupling through the vertex structure factor $F_{1,H}(q^2)$. The IR-limit behavior of $F_{1,H}(q^2)$ can be obtained by using Eq.(11). After assuming $\lim_{q\to 0}F_{1,H}(q^2)\sim(q^2)^{\alpha_H}$ and $\lim_{q\to 0}M(q^2)\sim(q^2)^{\alpha_M}$, we then have $\alpha_H=\alpha_{\chi_i}+\alpha_M$, where we used the result that χ_0 is IR-finite[11]. Thus, by Eq.(12) we further find the gauge-invariance constraint relation for the IR behavior of the quark-gluon running coupling in the limit of vanishing renormalization scale:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}\alpha_{qg}(q^2)\sim(q^2)^{\delta_{\alpha_{qg}}} \\ &\quad \text{with } \delta_{\alpha_{qg}} = 2\alpha_{\chi_i}+2\alpha_M+\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}=2\alpha_G+\alpha_Z$ denoting the IR-power exponent of the ghost-gluon running coupling. The constraint relation (13) indicates the mechanism for generating the IR-behavior of the quark-gluon running coupling.

Now we study how the constraint relations (7) and (13) relate to the dynamical mechanism for generating an IR-singular quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement. To answer this question, we need the knowledge about α_G, $\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}$ α_M and α_{x_i}. Note that constraints (7) and (13) can be used for any covariant gauge. So far, the IR behavior of the Yang-Mills Green functions has been intensely studied in Landau gauge and two types of solutions have been found: The scaling solution gives $\alpha_G=-\kappa\simeq -0.595$ and $\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}=0$, while the massive gluon (decoupling) solutions show $\alpha_G=0$ and $\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}\simeq 0$ if the massive gluon behavior is taken into account (Normally $\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}=1$) [13]. One may consider the scaling solution as "critical" one with a *unique* (critical) value of the coupling for which $G(0)\to\infty$, while the decoupling solutions as a family of sub-critical ones with finite $G(0)$[13]. Thus, although recent computations seem to favor the decoupling-type solutions, the scaling solution is still valuable for our discussions. The quark mass function $M(q^2)$ is IR-finite[10] and so $\alpha_M=0$. As for α_{x_i}, there is no calculation result for $\alpha_{x_i}(i\geq 1)$. We shall separately discuss its role for the case $\alpha_{x_i}=-1/2$, $\alpha_{x_i}<-1/2$ and $\alpha_{x_i}>-1/2$. Based on above information, we now apply the constraint relations (7) and (13) to derive the interaction potential between quarks in Landau gauge. We first consider the case that the form factor χ_i has the IR-singularity with $\alpha_{\chi_i}=-1/2$ and DCSB appears ($M\neq 0$). In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{q\to 0}\Gamma_\mu(k,p,q)\sim(q^2)^{-1/2+\alpha_G}\tilde{L}_\mu(p), \\ &\lim_{q\to 0}\alpha_{qg}(q^2)\sim(q^2)^{-1+\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

which generate an IR singular quark-gluon vertex and an IR divergent quark-gluon running coupling in the scaling case. Such an IR-singular quark-gluon vertex or quark-gluon running coupling with nonperturbative one-gluon exchange between two massive quarks generate an interaction quark potential

$$\lim_{q\to 0}V(q)\sim\frac{1}{(q^2)^2} \tag{15}$$

in the scaling case. This quark potential in the coordinate space is normally written

$$V(\vec{r}) = \int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}V(q^0=0,\vec{q})e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}\sim\ \mid\vec{r}\mid, \tag{16}$$

generating a linearly rising potential between massive quarks, implying quark confinement at large distances.

The constraint relations (7) and (13) are also valid in the chiral symmetry phase of QCD. In this case $M=0$, the factor $F_{1,H}(q^2)$ becomes IR-finite due to the disappearance of the term $M(q^2)[\chi_2(q^2)-\chi_1(q^2)]$ with IR-singularity (i.e, $f_{(1,1)}(p^2)=0, f_{(2,1)}(p^2)=0$) and hence $\alpha_H=0$. The constraint relations (7) and (13) then reduce to $\delta_{qg}=\alpha_G$ and $\delta_{\alpha_{qg}}=\delta_{\alpha_{gh}}$, which imply that the quark-gluon vertex has similar IR-limit behavior as that of the ghost renormalization function and the quark-gluon running coupling in IR-limit goes to a fixed point similar to the ghost-gluon running coupling. Such king of solution no longer leads to a confining potential.

Thus we have unravelled a novel mechanism for generating an IR singular quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement as well as a mechanism for linking DCSB with quark confinement and chiral symmetry restoring with the disappearance of confinement.

It is interesting to notice that the IR singular behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and the quark-gluon running coupling given by Eq.(14) in the scaling case is consistent with the result given by Ref.[14]. However, the mechanism for generating such kind of IR singular behavior is different. Present work shows that by gauge-invariance constraints the IR singularity scaling with $(q^2)^{-1/2}$ of the form factors $\chi_i(i\geq 1)$ composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel H plays a crucial role in generating such

kind of IR singular quark-gluon vertex, especially, the quark-gluon running coupling scaling with $(q^2)^{-1}$. Accordingly, the H would be IR-singular and the singularity with $\alpha_{\chi_i} = -1/2$ in H leads to that the right-hand side of STI (1) is just an IR constant (see Eq.(5) for a definite p) but not IR-singular. This is in sharp contrast to the power counting scheme of Ref.[14] where such kind of IR singular behavior is an induced effect from singularities in the pure Yang-Mills theory and H is IR-finite.

We note that in the decoupling cases using Eq.(14) can not lead to a linear confining potential at large distances. Does it mean that the mechanism to explain confinement would be different for the decoupling cases? This needs to be studied further. We should notice that the different IR behavior of the ghost (gluon) propagator for the scaling case and decoupling cases sets in at scales $q^2 \ll \Lambda^2_{QCD}$, while the difference between a scaling and a massive gluon (decoupling) solution is far less marked at scales $q^2 \geq \Lambda^2_{QCD}$ and all dynamics describing the physics of hadron world takes part on such scales[13, 15]. Hence it is reasonable to think that a linear confining potential also holds in decoupling cases, like the scaling case, at scales $q^2 \geq \Lambda^2_{QCD}$. We thus can infer that while a linear confining potential is generated at scales $q^2 \geq 0$ by Eq.(14) with nonperturbative one-gluon exchange in the scaling case with a "critical" value $G(0) \to \infty$, a linear confining potential may be generated also at scales $q^2 \geq \Lambda^2_{QCD}$ by the same way in decoupling cases with the sub-critical finite $G(0)$, where the IR-singularity in the quark-gluon vertex, generated by the IR-singularity of the form factors $\chi_i (i \geq 1)$ with $\alpha_{\chi_i} = -1/2$, plays a crucial role for the generation of the confining potential.

Now let us return to discuss the possible IR-behavior of the quark-gluon vertex in the case $\alpha_{\chi_i} > -1/2$ or $\alpha_{\chi_i} < -1/2$. The case $\alpha_{\chi_i} < -1/2$ gives the constraint $\delta_{qg} < -1/2 + \alpha_G$. In such case, an IR-singular quark-gluon vertex scaling as $(q^2)^{-1+\alpha_G}$ might exist if $\alpha_{\chi_i} = -1$, which can lead to a linear confining potential at large distances in the decoupling cases, but the corresponding quark potential is given by $V(\vec{r}) \sim |\vec{r}|^3$ at large distances in the scaling case. Such a case looks not reasonable. Interestingly, the case $\alpha_{\chi_i} > -1/2$ gives the constraint $\delta_{qg} > -1/2+\alpha_G$, where an IR-regular quark-gluon vertex may appear if all functions χ_i are IR-finite.

Finally, we would like to point out that Eq.(12) provides an approach to calculate the quark-gluon running coupling perturbatively and nonperturbatively. Thus it will be able to build a united description for the scale evolution of the quark-gluon running coupling from the ultraviolet momentum region up to the IR-momentum region, helping us to intuitively understand how the QCD interaction strength changes from the asymptotic freedom region to nonperturbative region.

To summarize, we explored the dynamical mechanism for generating the IR-singular quark-gluon vertex and quark confinement based on the gauge invariance in covariant gauge QCD. We have first derived the gauge-invariance constraint relations for the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and the quark-gluon running coupling by using the STI for the vertex, which gives the mechanism for generating the IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and the quark-gluon running coupling. We hence unravelled a novel mechanism for generating an IR singular quark-gluon vertex and then a linear confining potential as well as a mechanism for linking DCSB with quark confinement and chiral symmetry restoring with the disappearance of confinement, where the IR-singularity with $\alpha_{\chi_i} = -1/2$ of form factors $\chi_i (i \geq 1)$ composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel plays a crucial role. Above picture can be tested, which calls for consistent calculations of form factors. But at present one can not yet exclude the possibility that the quark-gluon vertex may be IR-regular provided all functions χ_i are IR-finite. Therefore, to study the IR behavior of the form factors composing the quark-ghost scattering kernel, by consistently calculating those form factors, then becomes a crucial test to unravel the real IR behavior of the quark-gluon vertex and the picture of quark confinement dynamics.

We are grateful to C.D.Roberts for valuable comments. We also thank X.D.Ji, H.S.Zong and H.L.Ma for useful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant Nos.10935001, 11075052, 11175004, and 11175262.

[1] G.S.Bali, Phys.Rep.**341**, 1(2001).
[2] S.Winberg, Phys.Rev.Lett.**31**,494(1973); D.J.Gross and F.Wilczek, Phys.Rev.D**8**, 3633(1973).
[3] J.I.Skullerud et al., JHEP**0304**, 047(2003); H.W.Lin, Phys.Rev.D**73**, 094511(2006).
[4] X.Ji, Phys.Rev.Lett.**78**, 610 1997; X.-S.Chen et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.**100**, 232002(2008); X.Ji, X.Xiong and F.Yuan, Phys.Rev.Lett.**109**, 152005(2012).
[5] J.Ward, Phys.Rev.**78**, 182(1950); Y.Takahashi, Nuovo Cimento **6**, 370(1957).
[6] J.C.Taylor, Nucl.Phys.B**33**, 436(1971); A.A.Slavnov, Theor.Math.Phys.**10**, 99(1972).
[7] W.Marciano and H.Pagels, Phys.Rep.**36c**, 137(1978).
[8] Han-Xin He, Phys.Rev.D**80**, 016004(2009).
[9] A.I.Davydychev, P.Osland and L.Sake, Phys.Rev.D**63**, 014022(2000).
[10] P.O.Bowman et al., Phys.Rev.D**71**, 054507(2005); P.Maris and Roberts, Phys.Rev.C**59**, 3659(1998).
[11] A.C.Aguilar and J.Papavassiliou,Phys.Rev.D**83**,014013(2011); E.Rojas et al., arXiv:1306.3022[hep-ph](2013).
[12] Han-Xin He et al., (paper in preparation).
[13] A review for the IR-behavior of the pure Yang-Mills Green functions, see Ph.Boucand et al., arXiv:1109.1936[hep-ph](2012), and References therein.
[14] R.Alkofer et al., Annals Phys.**324**, 106(2009); R.Alkofer, C.S.Fischer, F.J.Llanes-Estrada, Mod.Phys.Lett.A**23**, 1105(2008).
[15] M.R.Pennington, AIP Conf.Proc.**1343**, 63(2011).