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#### Abstract

We use the final results from Gravity Probe B to set new upper limits on the gravitational sector of the Standard-Model Extension, including for the first time the coefficient associated with the time-time component of the new field responsible for inducing local Lorentz violation in the theory.


The minimal pure-gravity sector of the Standard-Model Extension (SME) is characterized by nine independent coefficients $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ corresponding to the vacuum expectation values of a new tensor field whose couplings to the traceless part of the Ricci tensor induce spontaneous violations of local Lorentz symmetry. ${ }^{1}$ These coefficients are assumed to be constant in the asymptotically flat (Minkowski) limit. Most are constrained either individually or in various combinations by existing experiments and observations, ${ }^{2}$ but no limits have yet been placed on the $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ coefficient.

Gravity Probe B (GPB) was a satellite experiment launched in 2004 to measure the geodetic and frame-dragging effects predicted by General Relativity (GR). As shown by Bailey and Kostelecky in 2006, ${ }^{3}$ the orientation of a gyroscope in orbit around a spinning central mass like the earth is sensitive to seven of the nine $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ coefficients, including $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}$. Following earlier preliminary work, ${ }^{4}$ our goal here is to calculate the resulting constraints using the recently released final results from GPB. ${ }^{5}$

Within GR the geodetic and frame-dragging precession rates of a gyro-


Fig. 1. Experimental results are expressed in GPB coordinates ( $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{GS}}, \hat{e}_{\mathrm{NS}}, \hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}}$ ). Theoretical SME predictions are derived in the $(\hat{n}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{z})$ system. Both are ultimately referred to Sun-centered inertial coordinates $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$, where $\hat{x}$ points toward the vernal equinox.
scope with position $\vec{r}$ and velocity $\vec{v}$ in orbit around a central mass $M$ with moment of inertia $I$ and angular velocity $\vec{\omega}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=\left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{G M}{c^{2} r^{3}}\right) \vec{r} \times \vec{v} \quad, \quad \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}=\frac{G I}{c^{2} r^{3}}\left[\frac{3 \vec{r}}{r^{2}}(\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{r})-\vec{\omega}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combined precession $\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{GR}}=\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}+\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}$ causes the unit spin vector $\hat{S}$ of the gyroscope to undergo a relativistic drift $\vec{R} \equiv d \hat{S} / d t=\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{GR}} \times \hat{S}$. Averaging over a circular, polar orbit of radius $r_{0}$ around a spherically symmetric central mass, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{R}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=-\frac{3(G M)^{3 / 2}}{2 c^{2} r_{0}^{5 / 2}} \hat{e}_{\mathrm{NS}} \quad, \quad \vec{R}_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}=-\frac{G I \omega \cos \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}}{2 c^{2} r_{0}^{3}} \hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{GS}}$ points toward the guide star (located in the orbit plane at right ascension $\alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}$ and declination $\delta_{\mathrm{GS}}$ ), $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}}$ is an orbit normal pointing along the cross-product of $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{GS}}$ and the unit vector $\hat{z}$ (aligned with the earth's rotation axis) and $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ is a tangent to the orbit directed along $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}} \times \hat{e}_{\mathrm{GS}}$ (Fig. 1). The choice of polar orbit orthogonalizes the two effects so that $\vec{R}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}$ points entirely along $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ and $\vec{R}_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}$ points entirely along $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}}$.

For GPB with guide star IM Pegasi, $r_{0}=7018.0 \mathrm{~km}, \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}=16.841^{\circ}$, $R_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=6606.1 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ (including oblateness) and $R_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}=39.2 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ where mas=milliarcsecond. The final joint results for all four gyros indicate that $R_{\mathrm{NS}, \mathrm{obs}}=6601.8 \pm 18.3 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ and $R_{\mathrm{WE}, \mathrm{obs}}=37.2 \pm 7.2 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ with $1 \sigma$ uncertainties. ${ }^{5}$ Thus the NS and WE components of relativistic drift rate may deviate from the predictions of GR by at most $\Delta R_{\mathrm{NS}}<\left|R_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}-R_{\mathrm{NS}, \mathrm{obs}}\right|$ $=22.6 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ and $\Delta R_{\mathrm{WE}}<\left|R_{\mathrm{fd}, \mathrm{GR}}-R_{\mathrm{WE}, \mathrm{obs}}\right|=9.2 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$.

Within the SME, Lorentz-violating terms introduce an additional "anomalous" relativistic drift $\Delta \vec{R}$ whose components along $\hat{n}, \hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{z}$ are given by Eqs. (158-160) of Ref. 3 . Here $\hat{n} \equiv \hat{\sigma} \times \hat{z}$ and $\hat{\sigma}=-\hat{e}_{\mathrm{WE}}$ is an orbit normal (Fig. 1). These equations may be expressed in the form

$$
\Delta \vec{R}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathrm{GS}}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}\right) \sin 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}+\omega_{\mathrm{GS}} \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}} \cos 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}  \tag{3}\\
\omega_{\mathrm{T}} \bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}+\omega_{\mathrm{NS}}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}} \sin ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}} \sin 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}} \cos ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}\right) \\
\omega_{\mathrm{WE}}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}} \cos \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xZ}} \sin \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\omega_{\mathrm{GS}}=\omega_{\mathrm{WE}}=\frac{5}{6}\left(1-3 I / 5 \mathrm{Mr} r_{0}^{2}\right) R_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=4603 \mathrm{maS} / \mathrm{yr}, \omega_{\mathrm{T}}=$ $\frac{3}{4}\left(1-I / 3 M r_{0}^{2}\right) R_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=4503 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}, \omega_{\mathrm{NS}}=\frac{1}{12}\left(1+9 I / M r_{0}^{2}\right) R_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{GR}}=$ $1904 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}=343.26^{\circ}$. To transform to GPB coordinates, we reflect across the orbit plane and rotate about $\hat{\sigma}$ by $\delta_{\mathrm{GS}}$. The resulting drift rates along the GS, NS and WE axes are

$$
\Delta \vec{R}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{\mathrm{GS}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}\right) \sin 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \cos \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xY}} \cos 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \cos \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}\right.  \tag{4}\\
\left.-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xz}} \sin \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \sin \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}} \cos \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \sin \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}\right] \\
-\omega_{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{TT}}^{\mathrm{TY}}-\omega_{\mathrm{NS}}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}} \sin ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xY}} \sin 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}} \cos ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}}\right) \\
\omega_{\mathrm{WE}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}\right) \sin 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \sin \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xY}} \cos 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \sin \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}\right. \\
\left.-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xZ}} \sin \alpha_{\mathrm{GS}} \cos \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}} \cos \alpha \cos \delta_{\mathrm{GS}}\right]
\end{array}\right)
$$

Numerically,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta R_{\mathrm{GS}} & =1215 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}+3674 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}}+384 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XZ}}-1215 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}+1277 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}} \\
\Delta R_{\mathrm{NS}} & =-4503 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}-158 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}-1050 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xY}}-1746 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}  \tag{5}\\
\Delta R_{\mathrm{WE}} & =-368 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}-1112 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xY}}+1269 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XZ}}+368 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}+4219 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta R_{\mathrm{NS}}<22.6$ and $\Delta R_{\mathrm{WE}}<9.2$ from GPB (all units in mas $/ \mathrm{yr}$ ). The SME can accommodate precessions greater than those predicted by GR, unlike other extensions of the standard model where Einstein's theory is a limiting case. ${ }^{6}$ GPB does not constrain the GS component, since the gyro spin axes point along this direction by design. The GS and WE components are linear combinations of $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}}, \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XZ}}, \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}}$ and $\left(\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XX}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}\right.$ ), so they are superseded in any case by existing constraints, which read: ${ }^{2,7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}}\right|<(0.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-9}  \tag{6}\\
& \left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XZ}}\right|<(2.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-9}  \tag{7}\\
& \left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YZ}}\right|<(0.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-9}  \tag{8}\\
& \left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}\right|<(1.2 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-9}  \tag{9}\\
& \left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}+\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}-2 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{ZZ}}\right|<(1.8 \pm 38) \times 10^{-9} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus in practice the only new GPB constraint on the SME comes from the NS component of Eqs. (5), associated entirely with geodetic precession in standard GR. It reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}+0.035 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{xX}}+0.23 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XY}}+0.39 \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}\right|<5.0 \times 10^{-3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get seven conditions on seven unknowns, we supplement Eqs. (6-11) with the requirement that $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ be traceless, $\left|\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XX}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}-\bar{s}^{\mathrm{ZZ}}\right|=0 .^{3}$ Inverting, we then find that

$$
\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}<4.4 \times 10^{-3} \quad, \quad \bar{s}^{\mathrm{XX}}, \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}, \bar{s}^{\mathrm{ZZ}}<1.5 \times 10^{-3}
$$

This constitutes the first experimental upper bound on $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}$. (Other tests such as light deflection are also sensitive to this coefficient at similar levels of precision. ${ }^{8}$ ) It also lifts a degeneracy between other existing limits, allowing us to extract individual upper bounds on $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{XX}}, \bar{s}^{\mathrm{YY}}$ and $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{ZZ}}$.

One should also look at the effect of $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ on the equation of motion for the gyroscope. ${ }^{3}$ This has the effect of rescaling Newton's gravitational constant $G$, increasing our sensitivity to $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ and strengthening our limits by about $5 \% .^{9}$ If the actual orbit is not perfectly circular, as was the case for GPB (whose gyros remained in essentially perfect free fall around a nonspherically symmetric Earth), then additional $\bar{s}^{\mathrm{AB}}$-dependent terms are also introduced in the leading-order (GR) expressions for geodetic and framedragging precession, Eqs. (1). These do not significantly alter the NS or geodetic constraint from GPB, but they do strengthen the WE or framedragging constraint so that it may potentially become competitive with existing limits. We will report on these results elsewhere. ${ }^{9}$
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