Using Quantum entanglement to study CP and CPT violations

Yu Shi

Department of Physics, Fudan University Shanghai, 200433, China *E-mail: yushi@fudan.edu.cn

We report some general phenomenological results concerning CP and CPT violations in joint decays of entangled pseudoscalar neutral mesons.^{1–4}

1. Introduction

Quantum entanglement refers to the situation that the quantum state of a composite system is not a direct product of its subsystems. It significance was discovered by Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen.⁵ Schödinger coined the term and regarded it as "the characteristic trait of quantum mechanics, the one that enforces its entire departure from classical lines of thought."⁶ Pseudoscalar neutral mesons are copiously generated as pairs entangled in the flavor space.^{7–13} CP and CPT violating parameters can be measured by studying the joint decays of entangled meson pairs.^{1–4,7,12,14,15} This provides a venue of searching standard model extension.¹⁵

2. Single meson states

$$\begin{split} |M^{0}\rangle & \text{and } |\bar{M}^{0}\rangle \text{ are eigenstates of parity }P \text{ both with eigenvalue }-1, \text{ and of}\\ \text{a characteristic flavor }\mathcal{F} \text{ with eigenvalues }\pm1. \ C|M^{0}\rangle &= -|\bar{M}^{0}\rangle, \ C|\bar{M}^{0}\rangle &= -|M^{0}\rangle. \text{ Hence the eigenstates of CP are }|M_{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M^{0}\rangle \pm |\bar{M}^{0}\rangle),\\ \text{with eigenvalues }\pm1. \text{ In the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation, the weak}\\ \text{decay of a meson can be described by }i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|M(t)\rangle &= H|M(t)\rangle, \text{ where}\\ H &= \begin{pmatrix}H_{00} \ H_{0\bar{0}}\\ H_{\bar{0}0} \ H_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}\end{pmatrix}. \text{ It is defined that }\frac{1-\epsilon_{M}}{1+\epsilon_{M}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{H_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}}{H_{0\bar{0}}}} \equiv \frac{q}{p}, \ \delta_{M} \equiv \frac{H_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}-H_{0\bar{0}}}{\sqrt{H_{0\bar{0}}H_{\bar{0}\bar{0}}}}. \end{split}$$

- If CP or T is conserved indirectly, then $\epsilon_M = 0$.
- If CPT or CP is conserved indirectly, then $\delta_M = 0$.

Proceedings of the Sixth Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry (CPT'13)

The eigenstates $|M_S\rangle$ and $|M_L\rangle$ of H, corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_S and λ_L respectively, are found by diagonalizing H. Starting as $|M_S\rangle$, the state of a single meson evolves as $|M_S(t)\rangle = e^{-i\lambda_S t}|M_S\rangle$. Starting as $|M_L\rangle$, the state evolves as $|M_L(t)\rangle = e^{-i\lambda_L t}|M_L\rangle$. Based on this, one obtains $|M^0(t)\rangle$, which starts as $|M^0\rangle$; $|\bar{M}^0(t)\rangle$, which starts as $|M_+\rangle$; and $|M_-(t)\rangle$, which starts as $|M_-\rangle$.

To characterize direct violations, for decays into flavor eigenstates $|l^{\pm}\rangle$ with eigenvalue ± 1 , we define decay amplitudes $R^+ \equiv \langle l^+ | \mathcal{H} | M^0 \rangle$, $S^+ \equiv \langle l^+ | \mathcal{H} | \bar{M}^0 \rangle$, $S^- \equiv \langle l^- | \mathcal{H} | M^0 \rangle$, $R^- \equiv \langle l^- | \mathcal{H} | \bar{M}^0 \rangle$. They can be related to quantities a, b, c, d usually defined.^{2,7}

- If CP is conserved directly, then $R^+ = R^-$ and $S^+ = S^-$.
- If CPT is conserved directly, then $(R^+)^* = R^-$ and $(S^+)^* = S^-$.
- If $\Delta \mathcal{F} = \Delta Q$ rule is respected, then we have $S^{\pm} = 0$.

For decays into CP eigenstates $|h^{\pm}\rangle$ with eigenvalue ± 1 , we define decay amplitudes $Q^+ \equiv \langle h^+ | \mathcal{H} | M_+ \rangle$, $X^+ \equiv \langle h^- | \mathcal{H} | M_+ \rangle$, $X^- \equiv \langle h^+ | \mathcal{H} | M_- \rangle$, $Q^- \equiv \langle h^- | \mathcal{H} | M_- \rangle$. These newly defined quantities are convenient.

- If CP is conserved directly, then $X^{\pm} = 0$.
- If CPT is conserved directly, then X^{\pm} is purely imaginary.

3. Entangled states

The C = -1 entangled state of a pair of pseudoscalar mesons is $|\Psi_{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M^{0}\rangle_{a}|\bar{M}^{0}\rangle_{b} - |\bar{M}^{0}\rangle_{a}|M^{0}\rangle_{b}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_{-}\rangle_{a}|M_{+}\rangle_{b} - |M_{+}\rangle_{a}|M_{-}\rangle_{b})$, which is produced for kaons at ϕ resonance,⁷ and for B mesons by $\Upsilon(4s)$ resonance^{8,9} and by $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance with a large branch ratio.^{10–12} The C = +1 entangled state is $|\Psi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_{0}\rangle|\bar{M}_{0}\rangle + |\bar{M}_{0}\rangle|M_{0}\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_{+}\rangle_{a}|M_{+}\rangle_{b} - |M_{-}\rangle_{a}|M_{-}\rangle_{b})$, which is produced for B mesons by $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance with some branch ratio^{10–12} and above $\Upsilon(4s)$ resonance.¹³

Although physically a single meson cannot be in a CP eigenstate $|M_{\pm}\rangle$ because of CP violation, the entangled states can be *exactly* written in terms of $|M_{\pm}\rangle$, this makes the expression $|M_{\pm}(t)\rangle$ and the decay amplitudes Q^{\pm} and X^{\pm} meaningful and useful.

Starting from $|\Psi_{-}\rangle$, the entangled meson pair decay to certain products at t_a and t_b , hence the time-dependent state is $|\Psi_{-}(t_a, t_b)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M^0(t_a)\rangle_a|\bar{M}^0(t_b)\rangle_b - |\bar{M}^0(t_a)\rangle_a|M^0(t_b)\rangle_b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_{-}(t_a)\rangle_a|M_{+}(t_b)\rangle_b - |M_{+}(t_a)\rangle_a|M_{-}(t_b)\rangle_b)$. Similarly, starting as $|\Psi_{+}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{+}(t_a, t_b)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M^0(t_a)\rangle_a|\bar{M}^0(t_b)\rangle_b + |\bar{M}^0(t_a)\rangle_a|M^0(t_b)\rangle_b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_{+}(t_a)\rangle_a|M_{+}(t_b)\rangle_b - |M_{-}(t_a)\rangle_a|M_{-}(t_b)\rangle_b)$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

4. Joint decay rates

For state $|\Psi(t_a, t_b)\rangle$, the joint rate that particle a decays to f at t_a while particle b decays to g at t_b is $I(f, t_a; g, t_b) = |\langle f, g | \mathcal{H}_a \mathcal{H}_b | \Psi(t_a, t_b) \rangle|^2$, where \mathcal{H}_a and \mathcal{H}_b represent the Hamiltonians governing the weak decays of a and b, respectively. In experiments, it is more convenient to use the integrated rate $I(f, g, \Delta t) = \int_0^\infty I(f, t_a; g, t_a + \Delta t) dt_a$. Then one can find the asymmetry between the joint decays to f and g and the joint decays to f' and g', $A(fg, f'g', \Delta t) \equiv \frac{I[f, t_a; g, t_a + \Delta t] - I[f', t_a; g', t_a + \Delta t]}{I[f, t_a; g, t_a + \Delta t] + I[f', t_a; g', t_a + \Delta t]} = \frac{I[f, g, \Delta t] - I[f', g', \Delta t]}{I[f, g, \Delta t] + I[f', g', \Delta t]}.$ We have considered the following cases of the final states: (1) the de-

We have considered the following cases of the final states: (1) the decay products are flavor eigenstates $|l^{\pm}\rangle$, with the equal-flavor asymmetry $A(l^+l^+, l^-l^-, \Delta t)$ and the unequal-flavor asymmetry $A(l^+l^-, l^-l^+, \Delta t)$; (2) the decay products are CP eigenstates $|h^{\pm}\rangle$, with the equal-CP asymmetry $A(h^+h^+, h^-h^-, \Delta t)$ and the unequal-CP asymmetry $A(h^+h^-, h^-h^+, \Delta t)$; (3) the decay products $|h_1\rangle$ and $|h_2\rangle$ are CP conjugates.

5. General results on joint decays of $|\Psi_-\rangle^2$

Theorem 1 If the equal-flavor asymmetry is nonzero, then there exists one or two of the following violations: (1) CP is violated indirectly, (2) both CP and CPT are violated directly.

Theorem 2 If the equal-flavor asymmetry is nonzero while CPT is assumed to be conserved both directly and indirectly, then in addition to indirect CP violation, we can draw the following conclusions: (1) $|q/p| \neq 1$, i.e. T must also be violated indirectly; (2) $|\langle l^+|\mathcal{H}|\bar{M}_0\rangle| \neq$ $|\langle l^+|\mathcal{H}|M^0\rangle|, |\langle l^-|\mathcal{H}|M^0\rangle| \neq |\langle l^-|\mathcal{H}|\bar{M}_0\rangle|$, despite $\langle l^+|\mathcal{H}|M^0\rangle = \langle l^-|\mathcal{H}|\bar{M}_0\rangle^*$ and $\langle l^+|\mathcal{H}|\bar{M}_0\rangle = \langle l^-|\mathcal{H}|M^0\rangle^*$.

Theorem 3 If the unequal-flavor asymmetry is nonzero, then CP must be violated, directly or indirectly or both.

Theorem 4 If the unequal-flavor asymmetry is nonzero for $\Delta t \neq 0$ while CPT is assumed to be conserved both directly and indirectly, then we can draw the following conclusions: (1) $|\langle l^+ | \mathcal{H} | \bar{M}_0 \rangle| = |\langle l^- | \mathcal{H} | M^0 \rangle| \neq$ $|\langle l^+ | \mathcal{H} | M^0 \rangle| = |\langle l^- | \mathcal{H} | \bar{M}_0 \rangle|$; (2) $\langle l^- | \mathcal{H} | M^0 \rangle = \langle l^+ | \mathcal{H} | \bar{M}^0 \rangle^* \neq 0$, which means $\Delta \mathcal{F} = \Delta Q$ rule must be violated.

Theorem 5 The equal-CP asymmetry is always a constant independent of Δt .

Theorem 6 For $\Delta t = 0$, the unequal-CP asymmetry vanishes, no matter whether CP or CPT is violated.

Theorem 7 If any equal-CP joint decay rate is nonzero, then CP must be violated, directly or indirectly or both.

6. General results on joint decays of $|\Psi_+\rangle^3$

Theorem 8: If the unequal-flavor asymmetry is nonzero, then CP must be violated indirectly.

Theorem 9: If the $I(l^+, l^-, \Delta t)$ and $I(l^-, l^+, \Delta t)$ depend on the first order of ϵ_M , then CP must also be violated directly.

Theorem 10: If the unequal-flavor asymmetry depends on the first or second order of ϵ_M , then $\Delta \mathcal{F} = \Delta Q$ rule is violated.

Theorem 11: The deviation of the unequal-CP joint decay rate $I(h^+, t_a; h^-, t_b)$ or $I(h^-, t_a; h^+, t_b)$ from zero implies direct CP violation.

Theorem 12: Suppose $|h_1\rangle$ and $|h_2\rangle$ are CP conjugates. If $I(h_1, h_2; \Delta t)$ and $I(h_2, h_1; \Delta t)$ depend on the first order of ϵ_M , then CP is violated directly.

In addition, we have derived various quantitative relations of the indirect violating parameters with the decay asymmetries of $|\Psi_{-}\rangle^{1,2}$ with those of $|\Psi_{+}\rangle^{3}$ and with four asymmetries defined for some time-ordered integrated rates of $|\Psi_{-}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{+}\rangle^{4}$.

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10875028).

References

4

- 1. Y. Shi, Euro. Phys. J. C 72, 1907(2012).
- 2. Y. Shi, arXiv:1306.2676.
- 3. Z. Huang and Y. Shi, arXiv:1307.4459.
- 4. Z. Huang and Y. Shi, Euro. Phys. J. C 72, 1900(2012).
- 5. E. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777 (1935).
- 6. E. Schrödinger, Proc. Camb. Phi. Soc. 31, 555 (1936).
- 7. A. Di Domenico (KLOE Collaboration), Found. Phys. 40, 852 (2010).
- 8. B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 221802 (2002).
- 9. A. Go et al. (BELLE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 131802 (2007).
- 10. O. Aquines et al. (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 152001 (2006).
- 11. R. Louvot et al. (BELLE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 021801 (2009).
- 12. D. Atwood and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 82, 036003 (2010).
- 13. D. S. Skerib et al. (CLEO II Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1692 (1991).
- J. Bernabéu, F. J. Botella and J. Roldán, Phys. Lett. B 211, 226 (1980); I. Dunietz, J. Hauser and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 35, 2166 (1987); C. D. Buchanan et al., Phys. Rev. D 45, 4088 (1992); G. D'Ambrosio, G. Isidori and A. Pugliese, in L. Maiani, G. Pancheri and N. Paver. (eds.), The Second DAΦNE Physics Handbook, (SIS-Publicazioni, Frascati, 1995).
- V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1818 (1998); V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D 61, 016002 (1999); V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D 64, 076001 (2001).