

Impacts of non-geometric moduli on effective theory of 5D supergravity

Yutaka Sakamura^{1,2*} and Yusuke Yamada^{3†}

¹*KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

²*Department of Particles and Nuclear Physics,
The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (Sokendai),
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Waseda University,
Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

Abstract

5D supergravity generically has moduli other than the radion that belong to 5D vector multiplets. We summarize the impacts of such non-geometric moduli on 4D effective theory of 5D supergravity on S^1/Z_2 . We mainly discuss the structure of the effective Kähler potential including the one-loop quantum corrections. As an illustrative example, we construct a model in which the size of the extra dimension is stabilized at an exponentially large value compared to the Planck length, which is similar to the LARGE volume scenario in string theory.

*E-mail address: sakamura@post.kek.jp

†E-mail address: yuusuke-yamada@asagi.waseda.jp

1 Introduction

Five-dimensional supergravity (5D SUGRA) compactified on an orbifold S^1/Z_2 has been thoroughly investigated since it is the simplest setup for supersymmetric (SUSY) extra-dimensional models, and it can appear as an effective theory of string theory [1, 2]. Besides, SUSY extensions of the Randall-Sundrum model [3] are also constructed in 5D SUGRA on S^1/Z_2 [4, 5, 6].

When we construct phenomenological models based on 5D SUGRA, the size of the extra dimension must be stabilized at finite values. The corresponding modulus field is often referred to as the radion, and it belongs to a chiral multiplet in four-dimensional (4D) effective theories. Since the radion multiplet T_{rad} often plays a significant role in the mediation of SUSY breaking to our visible sector, it is crucial to specify the T_{rad} -dependence of the effective action. This issue was discussed in Refs. [7, 8, 9].

Here we should note that 5D SUGRA generically has other moduli whose masslessness at tree level is ensured by shift symmetries. Such moduli might also be the geometric moduli of more fundamental theories in higher dimensions, but from the viewpoint of 5D SUGRA, they are just massless scalar fields (at least at tree level). If such non-geometric moduli exist, they generically mix with the radion to form supermultiplets. The mixing is characterized by a cubic polynomial, which is referred to as the norm function [10, 11, 12]. This corresponds to the prepotential in 4D $N = 2$ SUSY gauge theories. However, most models based on 5D SUGRA studied so far implicitly assumed that the non-geometric moduli do not exist. In our previous works [13]-[21], we have investigated various properties of 4D effective theories for *generic* 5D SUGRA, including the non-geometric moduli. However our discussions involve somewhat complicated technical aspects since we have worked in the superconformal formulation [10, 11, 12, 22] to deal with generic 5D SUGRA.

In this paper, we would like to emphasize the impacts of the non-geometric moduli on effective theories of 5D SUGRA by summarizing the results obtained so far without going into technical details. Especially we focus on the differences from the conventional results [7, 8, 9] that do not include the non-geometric moduli. We also construct a simple model with multi moduli that dynamically realizes a large extra dimension [23] as an illustrative example. It is interesting to note that this model is similar to the LARGE volume scenario in string theory [24, 25] although no stringy effects are necessary in our model.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we provide a compact review of 5D SUGRA action with boundary-localized terms. In Sec. 3, we show the results about the tree-level Kähler potential. Many of them have been obtained in our previous works, but it is worthwhile to summarize them in an organized way. In Sec. 4, we discuss the one-loop Kähler potential. We see that our formula is consistent with other related works, and construct a simple model that realizes the large extra dimension dynamically. Sec. 5 is devoted to the summary. In Appendix A, we provide the definitions of matrices that characterize the vector sector. In Appendix B, we listed the definitions of functions in the formula for the one-loop Kähler potential.

2 Set-up

We consider 5D SUGRA with generic prepotential, compactified on an orbifold S^1/Z_2 . We take the fundamental region of S^1/Z_2 as $0 \leq y \leq L$, where y is the coordinate of the extra dimension. The constant L is not the physical size of the extra dimension unless $\langle e_y^4 \rangle = 1$.

2.1 Field content

5D SUGRA has the following supermultiplets,¹ which are decomposed into $N = 1$ superfields.

Hypermultiplet

A hypermultiplet is decomposed into two chiral superfields, which have opposite orbifold Z_2 -parities. The hypermultiplets are divided into two classes, i.e., the compensator multiplet (Φ_C, Φ_C^c) and the physical matter multiplets $(\mathcal{Q}_a, \mathcal{Q}_a^c)$, where the index a labels gauge multiplets. The former is an auxiliary multiplet and eliminated by the superconformal gauge fixing.² The Z_2 -parities of the $N = 1$ superfields are shown in Table I.³ Only the Z_2 -even superfields $(\Phi_C, \mathcal{Q}_a)$ have zero-modes (ϕ_C, Q_a) .

Vector multiplet

A vector multiplet $\mathbb{V}^I$ ($I = 1, 2, \dots, n_V$) is decomposed into $N = 1$ vector and chiral

¹ We do not consider the tensor multiplets, which are discussed in Refs. [12, 26].

² In this paper, we assume that the number of the compensator multiplets is one, and have defined each $N = 1$ superfield so that the Weyl weight of Φ_C is one and the others have zero weights. (See (A.2) in Ref. [19], for example.)

³ We assume that each $N = 1$ superfield has the same Z_2 -parity at both boundaries, for simplicity.

5D multiplet	Hypermultiplet				Vector multiplet			
Role	compensator		matter		moduli		gauge	
$N = 1$ superfield	Φ_C	Φ_C^c	$\mathcal{Q}_a$	$\mathcal{Q}_a^c$	V^{I_o}	Σ^{I_o}	V^{I_e}	Σ^{I_e}
Z_2 -parity	+	−	+	−	−	+	+	−
Zero-mode	ϕ_C		Q_a			T^{I_o}	V^{I_e}	

Table I: The decomposition of 5D supermultiplets into $N = 1$ superfields. The orbifold Z_2 -parities of the $N = 1$ superfields are also shown. Only the Z_2 -even superfields have zero-modes that will appear in 4D effective theory.

superfields (V^I, Σ^I) , which have opposite Z_2 -parities. The vector multiplets are also divided into two classes according to their Z_2 -parities. One is a class of the gauge supermultiplets, which are denoted as $\mathbb{V}^{I_e}$ ($I_e = 1, \dots, n_{V_e}$). In this class, V^{I_e} are Z_2 -even and have zero-modes that are identified with the gauge superfields in 4D effective theory. The other is a class of the moduli multiplets, which are denoted as $\mathbb{V}^{I_o}$ ($I_o = 1, \dots, n_{V_o}$). In this class, the chiral superfields Σ^{I_o} have zero-modes T^{I_o} , which are referred to as the moduli superfields in this paper. At least one vector multiplet belongs to the latter class, whose vector component is identified with the graviphoton.

Besides the above supermultiplets, we have the gravitational multiplet, or the Weyl multiplet in the superconformal formulation. It has to be taken into account when the one-loop correction is evaluated [21].

The gauge-invariant field-strength superfields are defined as ⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_\alpha &\equiv \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 (e^V D_\alpha e^{-V}) + \dots, \\
\mathcal{V} &\equiv e^V \partial_y e^{-V} + \Sigma + e^V \Sigma^\dagger e^{-V} + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the ellipses denote terms involving the gravitational superfields [20]. We have used a matrix notation $(V, \Sigma) \equiv (V^I, \Sigma^I) t_I$, where the hermitian generators t_I act on $(\Phi_C, \mathcal{Q}_a)$ and contain gauge coupling constants. The gauge supermultiplets $(V_e, \Sigma_e) \equiv (V^{I_e}, \Sigma^{I_e}) t_{I_e}$ are divided into the sum of the matrices (V_r, Σ_r) , where r labels the simple or Abelian factors of the gauge group. In this paper, we assume that the gauge groups for the moduli multiplets $\mathbb{V}^{I_o}$ are Abelian, and Φ_C and $\mathcal{Q}_a$ have charges $-3k_{I_o}$ and $-2d_{aI_o}$ for $\mathbb{V}^{I_o}$,

⁴ Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is not hermitian, but $e^{-\frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}} \mathcal{V} e^{\frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}}$ is.

respectively. Namely, the corresponding generators t_{I_o} are

$$t_{I_o} = -3k_{I_o}\mathcal{P}_C \oplus \bigoplus_a (-2d_{aI_o} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n_a}). \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_C$ is a projection operator onto Φ_C , and n_a denotes the dimension of the gauge-group representation that $\mathcal{Q}_a$ belongs to. The gauge coupling constants k_{I_o} and d_{aI_o} induce the 5D cosmological constant and 5D bulk masses for $(\mathcal{Q}_a, \mathcal{Q}_a^c)$, respectively. These coupling constants are Z_2 -odd. Such kink-type couplings can be realized in SUGRA context by the mechanism proposed in Ref. [27].

The vector sector is characterized by a cubic polynomial $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V})$, which is referred to as the norm function. This is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \equiv \frac{c_{\text{vc}}}{3} \text{tr}(t_I \{t_J, t_K\}) \mathcal{V}^I \mathcal{V}^J \mathcal{V}^K, \quad (2.3)$$

where the real constant c_{vc} can take different values for each simple or Abelian factor of the gauge group. Eq.(2.3) must be Z_2 -even, and thus can be rewritten in the following form.

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_r C_{I_o}^r \mathcal{V}^{I_o} \text{tr}(\mathcal{V}_r^2) + \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{V}^{I_o}), \quad (2.4)$$

where $C_{I_o}^r$ are real constants, and the second term is a cubic function of only $\mathcal{V}^{I_o}$.

2.2 5D Lagrangian

Since only $N = 1$ SUSY is preserved by the orbifold projection, it is convenient to express 5D Lagrangian in terms of the $N = 1$ superfields in Table I. It is an extension of Ref. [28] to the local SUSY case. Besides couplings to the gravitational superfields,⁵ the 5D Lagrangian is expressed as [16, 29]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & - \int d^4\theta \, 3 |\Phi_C|^2 \mathcal{N}^{1/3}(\mathcal{V}) e^{2k \cdot \tilde{V}} \\ & \times \left\{ 1 + e^{-6k \cdot V} |\Phi_C^c|^2 - \sum_a (e^{2d_a \cdot V} \mathcal{Q}_a^\dagger e^{-V_e} \mathcal{Q}_a + e^{-2d_a \cdot V} \mathcal{Q}_a^{c\dagger} (e^{V_e})^t \mathcal{Q}_a^c) \right\}^{2/3} \\ & + \left[\int d^2\theta \, 2\Phi_C^3 \left\{ (\partial_y - 3k \cdot \Sigma) \Phi_C^c - \sum_a \mathcal{Q}_a^t (\partial_y - (2d_a + 3k) \cdot \Sigma + \Sigma_e^t) \mathcal{Q}_a^c \right\} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ & + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vc}} + 2 \sum_{y_*=0,L} \mathcal{L}_{\text{bd}}^{(y_*)} \delta(y - y_*), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

⁵ Terms involving the gravitational superfields are listed in Ref. [20].

where $k \cdot V \equiv k_{I_0} V^{I_0}$, $d_a \cdot V \equiv d_{a I_0} V^{I_0}$, $\dots$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{vc}}$ contains the kinetic term for V and the Chern-Simons term. In the Wess-Zumino gauge, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{vc}}$ is written as [28, 30]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vc}} \equiv - \int d^2\theta \, c_{\text{vc}} \text{tr} \left[\Sigma \mathcal{W}^2 - \frac{1}{24} \bar{D}^2 (\{V, \partial_y D^\alpha V\} - \{\partial_y V, D^\alpha V\}) \left(\mathcal{W}_\alpha - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(2)} \right) \right] + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(2)}$ is a quadratic part of $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ in V . The fractional powers in (2.5) appear after integrating out an auxiliary superfield in the 5D gravitational multiplet [29].

The boundary Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bd}}^{(y_*)}$ ($y_* = 0, L$) is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bd}}^{(y_*)} = - \left[\int d^2\theta \sum_r \frac{1}{2} f^{(y_*)r}(\mathcal{Q}, q_{y_*}) \text{tr} (\mathcal{W}_r^2) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \int d^4\theta \, |\Phi_C|^2 \Omega^{(y_*)}(\mathcal{Q}, q_{y_*}, V) + \left[\int d^2\theta \, \Phi_C^3 W^{(y_*)}(\mathcal{Q}, q_{y_*}) + \text{h.c.} \right], \quad (2.7)$$

where $f^{(y_*)r}$ and $W^{(y_*)}$ are holomorphic functions and $\Omega^{(y_*)}$ is a real function. The bulk superfields are evaluated at $y = y_*$, and q_{y_*} denotes 4D chiral superfields localized at $y = y_*$.

The warp factor does not appear explicitly in the above expressions since it can be absorbed by using the dilatation, which is a part of the superconformal symmetry that is respected in our formulation.

3 Effective theory at tree level

Following the procedure developed in Refs. [16, 18, 19], we can derive 4D effective Lagrangian at tree level for the 5D theory (2.5), which is expressed in the following form.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = - \left[\int d^2\theta \sum_r \frac{1}{2} f_{\text{eff}}^r(Q, T) \text{tr} (\mathcal{W}_r^2) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \int d^4\theta \, |\phi_C|^2 \Omega_{\text{eff}}(|\tilde{Q}|^2, \text{Re } T) + \left[\int d^2\theta \, \phi_C^3 W_{\text{eff}}(Q, T) + \text{h.c.} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $|\tilde{Q}_a|^2 \equiv Q_a^\dagger e^{-V_e} Q_a$. Here we omit the 4D boundary superfields q_{y_*} in this section. The effective gauge kinetic functions and superpotential are given by

$$f_{\text{eff}}^r(Q, T) = C_{I_0}^r T^{I_0} + f^{(0)r}(Q) + f^{(L)r}(e^{-d_a \cdot T} Q_a), \\ W_{\text{eff}}(Q, T) = W^{(0)}(Q) + e^{-3k \cdot T} W^{(L)}(e^{-d_a \cdot T} Q_a). \quad (3.2)$$

The matter fields Q_a can appear in $f^{(y_*)^r}$ only through the gauge-singlet combinations. The effective Kähler potential $\Omega_{\text{eff}} = -3e^{-K_{\text{eff}}/3}$ has a more complicated structure. We will explain it in the next two subsections.

Here let us comment on the physical size of the extra dimension L_{phys} . The relation between the 4D and 5D Planck masses M_{Pl} and M_5 is $M_{\text{Pl}}^2 = M_5^3 L_{\text{phys}}$.⁶ In the 4D Einstein frame, the compensator scalar ϕ_C is fixed as $\langle |\phi_C|^2 \Omega_{\text{eff}} \rangle = -3M_{\text{Pl}}^2$ [31]. On the other hand, the gauge-fixing condition for the 5D Einstein frame is $\langle |\Phi_C|^2 \rangle = M_5^3$ [13]. In our derivation of (3.1), it follows that $\langle \phi_C \rangle = \langle \Phi_C^{2/3} \rangle$ [16]. Therefore, we find that $L_{\text{phys}} = (-\langle \Omega_{\text{eff}} \rangle / 3)^{3/2} / M_{\text{Pl}}$. In the rest of this paper, we will basically take a unit of M_{Pl} .

3.1 Flat spacetime

The flat 5D spacetime is realized when the compensator multiplet is neutral for the moduli multiplets, i.e., $k_{I_0} = 0$.

In the single modulus case ($n_{V_0} = 1$), the effective Kähler potential Ω_{eff} can be easily calculated as [29]

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{eff}} = & \Omega^{(0)}(Q, V) + \Omega^{(L)}(e^{-d_a T_{\text{rad}}} Q_a, V) \\ & - 3\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} + \sum_a \frac{1 - e^{-2d_a \text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}}}{d_a} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 + \sum_{a,b} \frac{1 - e^{-2(d_a + d_b) \text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}}}{6(d_a + d_b)} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \\ & + \mathcal{O}(Q^6), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $d_a \equiv d_{a1}$ are the bulk masses for (Q_a, Q_a^c) in the unit of M_5 , and $T_{\text{rad}} \equiv T^1$ is the radion superfield. The first line is the contributions of the boundary terms, and the second line is from the bulk. The first term in the second line is the well-known radion Kähler potential [7]. The second term is relevant to the realization of the hierarchy among Yukawa couplings for the matter fields Q_a after the canonical normalization. The third term contributes to the soft SUSY-breaking masses when some of Q_b are SUSY-breaking superfields with non-vanishing F-terms.⁷

In the multi moduli case ($n_{V_0} \geq 2$), (3.3) is modified as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{eff}} = & \Omega^{(0)}(Q, V) + \Omega^{(L)}(e^{-d_a \cdot T} Q_a, V) \\ & - 3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_a Y_{d_a} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 + \sum_{a,b} \tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^6), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

⁶ We neglect the contributions from the boundary terms.

⁷ The induced soft masses turn out to be tachyonic in the single modulus case [32]. Related issues are also discussed in Ref. [33].

where

$$Y_d(\text{Re } T) \equiv \frac{1 - e^{-2d \cdot \text{Re } T}}{2d \cdot \text{Re } T},$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)}(\text{Re } T) \equiv \frac{(d_a \cdot \mathcal{P}_V a^{-1} \cdot d_b) \{Y_{d_a+d_b} - Y_{d_a} Y_{d_b}\}}{3(d_a \cdot \text{Re } T)(d_b \cdot \text{Re } T)} - \frac{Y_{d_a+d_b}}{9}. \quad (3.5)$$

A matrix a_{IJ} and a projection operator $\mathcal{P}_V$ are defined in (A.1) and (A.3), respectively [10]. Here and henceforth, the arguments of the norm function $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ and its derivatives are understood as $(\text{Re } T^{I_0})$. The radion superfield T_{rad} is identified as

$$T_{\text{rad}} = \left\langle \frac{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{I_0}}{3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{2/3}} \right\rangle T^{I_0}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the vacuum expectation value (VEV). The effective theory in this case has the following properties.

- Since the size of the extra dimension is $L_{\text{phys}} = \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \rangle = (\text{Re } \langle T_{\text{rad}} \rangle)^{3/2}$, the Kaluza-Klein (KK) mass scale is provided by $m_{\text{KK}} = \pi / \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \rangle$, which is regarded as the cutoff scale of 4D effective theory.
- Note that the matter-independent part $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{moduli}} = -3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3}$ is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{moduli}} &= -3 \left\{ \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{I_0}}{3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{2/3}} \right\rangle \text{Re } \tilde{T}^{I_0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\langle \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{N}}\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{I_0 J_0} - 2\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{I_0}\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{J_0}}{18\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{5/3}} \right\rangle (\text{Re } \tilde{T}^{I_0})(\text{Re } \tilde{T}^{J_0}) + \mathcal{O}((\text{Re } \tilde{T})^3) \right\} \\ &= -3\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} + \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} (a \cdot \mathcal{P}_V)_{I_0 J_0} \right\rangle (\text{Re } T^{I_0})(\text{Re } T^{J_0}) + \mathcal{O}((\text{Re } \tilde{T})^3), \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{T}^{I_0} \equiv T^{I_0} - \langle T^{I_0} \rangle$. The first term is the radion Kähler potential, which already appeared in the single modulus case (3.3), while the second term represents the kinetic terms for the non-geometric moduli. As we can see from (3.7), if we single out the radion T_{rad} from the moduli T^{I_0} and treat it separately, the projection operator $\mathcal{P}_V$ appears in the kinetic terms for the other moduli, which makes awkward to treat them. Thus it is convenient to treat all the moduli on equal footing in the multi moduli case.

- The moduli Kähler potential $K_{\text{eff}}^{\text{moduli}} = -3\ln(-\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{moduli}}/3) = -\ln \hat{\mathcal{N}}$, and has the no-scale structure. Thus the potential for the moduli is not generated at tree level. The one-loop correction breaks this structure, as we will see in the next section.

- The first term in $\tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)}$ is peculiar to the multi moduli case. It is induced by integrating out the non-geometric moduli, and can significantly affect the sfermion masses for the bulk matter fields. In fact, we have pointed out that non-tachyonic and approximately flavor universal sfermion masses are naturally obtained when the fermion mass hierarchy is realized by the wave function localization [18].

3.2 Warped spacetime

Next we consider a case that the compensator multiplet is charged for the moduli multiplets, i.e., $k_{I_0} \neq 0$. In this case, the background spacetime has a nontrivial warped geometry.

In the single modulus case, it becomes the Randall-Sundrum spacetime [4, 5, 6],

$$ds^2 = e^{-2ky} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2, \quad (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3) \quad (3.8)$$

where $k \equiv k_1$ is the AdS curvature scale in the unit of M_5 . The effective Kähler potential Ω_{eff} is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{eff}} = & \Omega^{(0)}(Q, V) + e^{-2k\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}} \Omega^{(L)}(e^{-d_a T_{\text{rad}}} Q_a, V) \\ & - 3\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} \left\{ Y_k - \sum_a \frac{2Y_{k+d_a}}{3} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 - \sum_{a,b} \frac{Y_{k+d_a+d_b}}{9} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^6). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

The matter-independent term in the second line is the well-known radion Kähler potential [8, 9]. The expression (3.9) will reduce to (3.3) in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ since $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} Y_k = 1$.

In the multi moduli case, on the other hand, it is much more difficult to derive Ω_{eff} . In our previous work [19], we derived it under the condition,

$$\langle k \cdot \mathcal{P}_V \rangle = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{eff}} = & \Omega^{(0)}(Q, V) + e^{-2k \cdot \text{Re } T} \Omega^{(L)}(e^{-d_a \cdot T} Q_a, V) \\ & - 3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} \left\{ Y_k - \sum_a \frac{2Y_{k+d_a}}{3} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 + \sum_{a,b} \tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^6), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)} = \frac{(d_a \cdot \mathcal{P}_V a^{-1} \cdot d_b) \left\{ Y_{k+d_a+d_b} - \frac{Y_{d_a} Y_{d_b}}{Y_{-k}} \right\}}{3 \{(k+d_a) \cdot \text{Re } T\} \{(k+d_b) \cdot \text{Re } T\}} - \frac{Y_{k+d_a+d_b}}{9}. \quad (3.12)$$

The corresponding background geometry is the Randall-Sundrum spacetime (3.8). The first term in (3.12) is peculiar to the multi moduli case, just like in the case of the flat

spacetime. The condition (3.10) indicates that the compensator is charged only for the graviphoton (A.2), which is the $N = 2$ superpartner for the radion (3.6). However, this condition does not seem to be natural because it is a relation between the parameters of the theory k_{I_0} and the VEVs of the moduli. This means that the Randall-Sundrum spacetime is only a special limit in the multi moduli case. This is because the background field configuration of the non-geometric moduli generically contribute to the spacetime geometry. We need some moduli-stabilization mechanism that realizes (3.10) dynamically in order to justify (3.11).

For arbitrary choices of k_{I_0} , an explicit form of Ω_{eff} is known only in the case that the norm function is monomial. Thus consider a case that

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{V}) = (\mathcal{V}^1)^2 \mathcal{V}^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Then we obtain the moduli Kähler potential as [29]

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}} = -3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}^{2/3} Y_{3k_2}^{1/3} + \mathcal{O}(Q^2), \quad (3.14)$$

and the corresponding background geometry is

$$ds^2 = e^{2\sigma(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - e^{-4\sigma(y)} dy^2, \\ e^{2\sigma(y)} = \left(3k_1 y + \left\langle \frac{3k_1 \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3}}{e^{3k_1 \text{Re } T^1} - 1} \right\rangle \right)^{2/3} \left(6k_2 y + \left\langle \frac{6k_2 \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3}}{e^{6k_2 \text{Re } T^2} - 1} \right\rangle \right)^{1/3}. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $L_{\text{phys}} = -\langle \Omega_{\text{eff}} \rangle / 3$, the KK mass scale is $m_{\text{KK}} = \pi / \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}^{2/3} Y_{3k_2}^{1/3} \rangle$. The condition (3.10) now becomes $k_1 \text{Re} \langle T^1 \rangle = 2k_2 \text{Re} \langle T^2 \rangle$ (see (A.5)), and under this condition, (3.15) becomes the Randall-Sundrum metric (3.8) after the coordinate redefinition.

The matter-dependent terms are more complicated even in the case of the simple norm function (3.13), but they are reduced to simpler forms in some limits. In the $k_2 = d_{a2} = 0$ case, the bulk contribution to Ω_{eff} is obtained as

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} = -3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}^{2/3} \\ \times \left\{ 1 - \sum_a \frac{2Y_{d_{a1} + \frac{3}{2}k_1}}{3Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 - \sum_{a,b} \frac{Y_{d_{a1} + \frac{3}{2}k_1} Y_{d_{b1} + \frac{3}{2}k_1}}{9Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}^2} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^6), \quad (3.16)$$

and in the $k_1 = d_{a1} = 0$ case, it becomes

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} = -3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{3k_2}^{1/3} \left\{ 1 - \sum_a \frac{2Y_{d_{a2} + 3k_2}}{3Y_{3k_2}} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 + \sum_{a,b} \tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 |\tilde{Q}_b|^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^6), \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{a,b}^{(4)} = \frac{Y_{d_{a2}+d_{b2}+3k_2}}{3Y_{3k_2}} - \frac{4Y_{d_{a2}+3k_2}Y_{d_{b2}+3k_2}}{9Y_{3k_2}^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

In the flat limit $k_1, k_2 \rightarrow 0$, both (3.16) and (3.17) are reduced to (3.4) with the norm function (3.13). For arbitrary gaugings, the matter-dependent part of $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}}$ becomes much more complicated, and is calculated as

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bulk}} = -3\mathcal{N}^{1/3}Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}^{2/3}Y_{3k_2}^{1/3} \left[1 - \sum_a \frac{2\mathcal{X}}{3Y_{\frac{3}{2}k_1}} |\tilde{Q}_a|^2 + \mathcal{O}(Q^4) \right], \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(\text{Re } T) &\equiv - \int_0^{-\text{Re } T^1} dU \frac{e^{(2d_{a1}+3k_1)U}}{\text{Re } T^1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A} + e^{3k_1 U}}{\mathcal{A} + 1} \right)^{\frac{d_{a2}}{3k_2}} \\ &= - \frac{1}{(2d_{a1} + 3k_1)\text{Re } T^1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A} + 1} \right)^{\frac{d_{a2}}{3k_2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[e^{(2d_{a1}+3k_1)U} {}_2F_1 \left(1 + \frac{2d_{a1}}{3k_1}, -\frac{d_{a2}}{3k_2}, 2 + \frac{2d_{a1}}{3k_1}; -\frac{e^{3k_1 U}}{\mathcal{A}} \right) \right]_0^{-\text{Re } T^1}, \\ \mathcal{A}(\text{Re } T) &\equiv \frac{e^{-6k_2 \text{Re } T^2} - e^{-3k_1 \text{Re } T^1}}{1 - e^{-6k_2 \text{Re } T^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Here ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. Notice that

$$\lim_{k_1, k_2 \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{X} = Y_{d_a}, \quad \lim_{k_2, d_{a2} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{X} = Y_{d_{a1} + \frac{3}{2}k_1}, \quad \lim_{k_1, d_{a1} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{X} = \frac{Y_{d_{a2}+3k_2}}{Y_{3k_2}}, \quad (3.21)$$

and thus (3.19) is consistent with the results (3.4), (3.16) and (3.17).

Besides the case of (3.13), there are some other cases in which Ω_{eff} can be calculated. For example, the norm function,

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{V}) = \alpha(\mathcal{V}^1)^3 + \beta(\mathcal{V}^1)^2\mathcal{V}^2, \quad (3.22)$$

where α and β are arbitrary real constants, reduces to the form of (3.13) by the field redefinition,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^1 = \mathcal{V}^1, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^2 = \alpha\mathcal{V}^1 + \beta\mathcal{V}^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Thus, Ω_{eff} can be obtained by replacing (T^1, T^2) with $(T^1, \alpha T^1 + \beta T^2)$ in the above expressions.

4 One-loop Kähler potential

In this section, we discuss the one-loop contribution to Ω_{eff} . We consider the case of flat spacetime, in which the tree-level Kähler potential has the no-scale structure. The one-loop correction breaks such structure, and generate the potential for the moduli, which is necessary for the moduli stabilization. Here we will focus on this property and neglect terms involving the bulk matter multiplets in $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$. Such terms only provide subleading corrections to the counterparts in the tree-level Kähler potential.

4.1 General expression

We have derived the one-loop contribution to Ω_{eff} for arbitrary forms of the norm function in Ref. [21]. The result is ⁸

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{2/3}} \left[(n_V + 1) \mathcal{Z}(0) - \sum_a n_a \mathcal{Z}(d_a \cdot \text{Re } T) \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^\infty d\lambda \sum_{F=U,V,\text{ch}} g_F \lambda \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_F(\lambda)}{\mathcal{H}_F^{(L)}(\lambda) \mathcal{H}_F^{(0)}(\lambda)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(Q^2), \quad (4.1)$$

where n_a denotes the dimension of the gauge-group representation that Q_a belongs to, $(g_U, g_V, g_{\text{ch}}) = (-2, -1, \frac{1}{2})$, the functions $\mathcal{G}_F$ and $\mathcal{H}_F^{(y_*)}$ are listed in Appendix B, and

$$\mathcal{Z}(x) \equiv - \int_0^\infty d\lambda \lambda \ln \left(2e^{-\sqrt{\lambda^2 + x^2}} \sinh \sqrt{\lambda^2 + x^2} \right). \quad (4.2)$$

The brane-to-brane loop effects are contained in the second line of (4.1), and $F = U, V, \text{ch}$ denote the contributions of the loops of the gravitational, vector and chiral multiplets, respectively. The function $\mathcal{Z}(x)$ is an even function that has a maximum value $\mathcal{Z}(0) = \zeta(3)/4 \simeq 0.30$ at $x = 0$ and exponentially decreases as $|x|$ increases. In fact, it is negligible when $|x| \gtrsim 3$. In the case that $|d_a \cdot \text{Re } T| \gg 1$, the wave function for Q_a strongly localized toward one of the boundaries. So only the zero-modes that spread over the bulk contribute to the first line in (4.1).

The above expression is consistent with the results in Refs [34, 35, 36]. To see this, let us consider a simple case that there are no non-geometric moduli ($n_{V_0} = 1$), no bulk

⁸ If we calculate $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$ on an interval $0 \leq y \leq L$, we have divergent terms proportional to $(d_a \cdot \text{Re } T)^3$. Such terms are canceled for theories on S^1/Z_2 with the contribution for $-L \leq y \leq 0$ because they are Z_2 -odd.

masses for the hypermultiplets ($d_a = 0$), no gauge kinetic terms nor superpotentials at the boundaries ($f^{(y_*)} = W^{(y_*)} = 0$), and $\Omega^{(y_*)}$ only depend on the localized chiral multiplets q_{y_*} . Then (4.1) becomes

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2(\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}})^2} \left[(n_{V_e} - n_H + 2) \frac{\zeta(3)}{4} - \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, 2\lambda \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_U(\lambda)}{\mathcal{H}_U^{(L)}(\lambda) \mathcal{H}_U^{(0)}(\lambda)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(Q^2), \quad (4.3)$$

where $n_H \equiv \sum_a n_a$ is the number of the physical hypermultiplets. The coefficient of the bulk contribution ($n_{V_e} - n_H + 2$) is consistent with (4.7) of Ref. [34]. Now we further assume that there are no bulk matter fields, i.e., $n_{V_e} = n_H = 0$. Since

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \lambda \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_U}{\mathcal{H}_U^{(L)} \mathcal{H}_U^{(0)}} = \frac{\zeta(3)}{4} + \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \lambda \ln \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 - \frac{\lambda \Omega^{(0)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}})(1 - \frac{\lambda \Omega^{(L)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}})}{(1 + \frac{\lambda \Omega^{(0)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}})(1 + \frac{\lambda \Omega^{(L)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}})} e^{-2\lambda} \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

we have

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = -\frac{9}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dx \, x \ln \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 - 6x\Omega^{(0)})(1 - 6x\Omega^{(L)})}{(1 + 6x\Omega^{(0)})(1 + 6x\Omega^{(L)})} e^{-12\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} x} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(Q^2), \quad (4.5)$$

where $x \equiv \frac{\lambda}{6\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}}$. This agrees with (2.7) (or (6.32)) of Ref. [35] if we identify $-6\Omega^{(y_*)}$ in (4.5) with Ω_{y_*} in Ref. [35].

When $\Omega^{(0)}$ and $\Omega^{(L)}$ are small, $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$ can be expanded as

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = \frac{\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2(\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}})^2} \left\{ 1 - 2 \frac{\Omega^{(0)} + \Omega^{(L)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}} + 3 \left(\frac{\Omega^{(0)} + \Omega^{(L)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}} \right)^2 \right\} + \dots \quad (4.6)$$

We have used that

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \lambda^2 (\coth \lambda - 1) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \frac{\lambda^3}{\sinh^2 \lambda} = 2\mathcal{Z}(0) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Eq.(4.6) agrees with the expression,

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = \frac{\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2} (\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} + \Omega^{(0)} + \Omega^{(L)})^{-2} + \dots, \quad (4.8)$$

up to the quadratic order in $\Omega^{(y_*)}$.

In the limit $\Omega^{(L)} \rightarrow \infty$, on the other hand, $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$ is expanded as

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = -\frac{3}{64\pi^2(\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}})^2} \left\{ 1 - 2 \frac{\Omega^{(0)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}} + 3 \left(\frac{\Omega^{(0)}}{\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}}} \right)^2 \right\} + \dots \quad (4.9)$$

We have used that

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \lambda^2 (\tanh \lambda - 1) = -\frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \frac{\lambda^3}{\cosh^2 \lambda} = -\frac{3}{8}\zeta(3). \quad (4.10)$$

Eq.(4.9) agrees with the expression,

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}} = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{64\pi^2} (\text{Re } T_{\text{rad}} + \Omega^{(0)})^{-2} + \dots, \quad (4.11)$$

up to the quadratic order in $\Omega^{(0)}$.

The approximate expressions (4.8) and (4.11) coincide with (5.5) and (5.6) in Ref. [36], respectively, if we assume that $\Omega^{(0)} = \Omega_0^{(0)} - |q_0|^2/6$, where $\Omega_0^{(0)}$ is a real constant.

4.2 LARGE Volume Scenario in 5D SUGRA

In order to illustrate an impact of the non-geometric moduli on the moduli stabilization, we construct a simple model, in which the moduli are stabilized by $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$ and an exponentially large extra dimension is dynamically realized.

4.2.1 Approximate no-scale structure

The moduli Kähler potential is rewritten as

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}} = -3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} + \frac{(n_V - \bar{n}_H + 1)\zeta(3)}{32\pi^2\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{2/3}} + \dots, \quad (4.12)$$

where the first and the second terms are the tree-level and the one-loop contributions respectively, the ellipsis denotes terms involving the matter fields, and the effective number of the hypermultiplets $\bar{n}_H$ is defined as

$$\bar{n}_H \equiv \sum_a n_a \frac{\mathcal{Z}(d_a \cdot \text{Re } T)}{\mathcal{Z}(0)} \leq n_H. \quad (4.13)$$

This counts the number of hypermultiplets that spread over the bulk.

Here we assume that $L_{\text{phys}} = \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \rangle \gg 1$. In this case, some moduli have very large VEVs, which are collectively denoted as T_b . The other moduli are denoted as T_s . Then the effective Kähler potential K is expanded as

$$K = -3 \ln \left(-\frac{\Omega_{\text{eff}}}{3} \right) = -\ln \hat{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{\xi}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} \right), \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\xi \equiv \frac{(\bar{n}_H - n_V - 1)\zeta(3)}{32\pi^2}. \quad (4.15)$$

This Kähler potential satisfies the following approximate no-scale relation,

$$K_{I_o} K^{I_o \bar{J}_o} K_{\bar{J}_o} = 3 + \frac{6\xi}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} + \frac{4\xi_{I_o} \text{Re } T^{I_o}}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} + \frac{\xi_{I_o} K^{I_o \bar{J}_o} \xi_{\bar{J}_o}}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} \right), \quad (4.16)$$

where $K^{I_o\bar{J}_o}$ is an inverse matrix of the Kähler metric, and

$$\xi_{I_o} \equiv \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial T^{I_o}} = \sum_a \frac{n_a d_{aI_o} (d_a \cdot \text{Re } T)}{16\pi^2} \ln(1 - e^{-2|d_a \cdot \text{Re } T|}). \quad (4.17)$$

Note that $|d_a \cdot \text{Re } T| \gg 1$ unless d_{aT_b} is negligibly small or a nontrivial cancellation occurs. Thus ξ_{T_b} is exponentially small, and the ξ -dependent terms in (4.16) are all suppressed by $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}$. Namely the no-scale structure is broken only by the corrections of $\mathcal{O}(1/\hat{\mathcal{N}})$.

4.2.2 Moduli stabilization

Now we consider a specific model with two moduli multiplets (V_b, Σ_b) and (V_s, Σ_s) , and assume $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ as

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}_b^3 - C_s \mathcal{V}_s^3, \quad (4.18)$$

where the constant C_s is assumed to be positive and typically $\mathcal{O}(1)$.⁹ We further assume that the gaugino condensation occurs in a non-Abelian sector $r = G$, in which $C_{T_b}^G = 0$ and $C_{T_s}^G = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in (3.2). Then the following the effective superpotential W is induced.

$$W(T) = W_0 + A e^{-aT_s}, \quad (4.19)$$

where the constants W_0 and A are of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and $a = \mathcal{O}(4\pi^2)$. In this setup, we will show that there is a vacuum where $\text{Re } \langle T_b \rangle \gg \text{Re } \langle T_s \rangle$ and L_{phys} becomes exponentially large. Therefore, T_b is almost identified as the radion and T_s is the non-geometric modulus.

The scalar potential is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{pot}} &= e^K \left(D_I W K^{I\bar{J}} D_{\bar{J}} \bar{W} - 3 |W|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} \left\{ \frac{2\hat{\mathcal{N}}(aA)^2 e^{-2a\tau_s}}{3C_s \tau_s \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{6}\right)} + 4a\tau_s \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta}{6}\right) W_0 A e^{-a\tau_s} \cos(a\rho_s) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{6\xi W_0^2}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2C_s \tau_s^3}{3\xi} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\delta}{4} \right) \right\} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where $D_I W \equiv W_I + K_I W$, $T_s \equiv \tau_s + i\rho_s$, the ellipsis denotes higher order in $\xi/\hat{\mathcal{N}}$, and

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{\xi'}{C_s \tau_s^2}, \quad \delta \equiv \frac{\xi''}{C_s \tau_s}. \quad (4.21)$$

⁹ The 5D SUGRA description is not valid in the region of the moduli space in which $\langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle \simeq 0$.

Here the prime denotes the derivative with respect to τ_s . By solving the minimization condition for V_{pot} , we find the vacuum at the leading order in the $\xi/\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ -expansion as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tau_b^3 \rangle &\simeq \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle = \frac{3\xi W_0 e^{a\langle \tau_s \rangle}}{a\langle \tau_s \rangle A} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{3} \left(1 + \frac{2C_s \tau_s^3}{\xi} \right) - \frac{\delta}{6} \left(1 - \frac{C_s \tau_s^3}{\xi} \right) \right\}, \\ \langle \tau_s \rangle &\simeq \left(\frac{\xi}{C_s} \right)^{1/3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{3} \left(1 + \frac{C_s \tau_s^3}{3\xi} \right) - \frac{\delta}{6} \left(1 - \frac{C_s \tau_s^3}{3\xi} \right) \right\} \equiv \tau_s^{(0)}, \\ \cos\langle \rho_s \rangle &= -\text{sign}(W_0 A),\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

where $T_b \equiv \tau_b + i\rho_b$. Since ρ_b does not appear in V_{pot} , its VEV is not determined at this order. We have assumed that

$$a\langle \tau_s \rangle \sim a \left(\frac{\xi}{C_s} \right)^{1/3} \gg 1,\tag{4.23}$$

which leads to an exponentially large $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$. Hence the condition for the validity of the above analysis is (4.23). When the standard model particles live in the bulk, the number $n_H - n_V - 1$ is around 40. Thus typical value of ξ is of $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$. In this case, (4.23) is satisfied for $a = \mathcal{O}(4\pi^2)$, and thus an exponentially large value of $\langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle$ is obtained. For example, $L_{\text{phys}} = \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \rangle$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^8)$ for $(\xi, C_s, W_0/A, a) = (0.1, 1, 1, 4\pi^2)$, $(0.1, 0.8, 1, 8\pi^2)$ and $(0.1, 0.2, 1, 8\pi^2)$, respectively. Expanding the potential (4.20) around the vacuum (4.22), we find that the moduli are non-tachyonic and have the following masses.

$$\begin{aligned}m_{\tau_b} &\simeq \left\langle \frac{12\sqrt{6}\xi W_0}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{a\tau_s}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{C_s \tau_s^3}{9\xi} \left(\epsilon + \frac{11}{4}\delta - \zeta \right) + \frac{1}{9}(-8\epsilon + 3\delta + \zeta) \right\} \right\rangle, \\ m_{\rho_b} &\simeq 0, \quad m_{\tau_s}, m_{\rho_s} \simeq \left\langle \frac{4a\tau_s W_0}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{N}}}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta}{12} \right\} \right\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

where $\zeta \equiv \xi'''/C_s$. Therefore (4.22) is a stable vacuum. It is a SUSY-breaking vacuum as we will see below. The potential value at this vacuum is

$$V_{\text{min}} \simeq - \left\langle \frac{18\xi W_0^2}{(2a\tau_s + 1)\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} \right\rangle.\tag{4.25}$$

Thus we need an extra source of SUSY-breaking to cancel this negative vacuum energy. Since $|V_{\text{min}}|$ is exponentially suppressed, the corrections to (4.22) and (4.24) by including such extra SUSY-breaking are negligible.

4.2.3 Soft SUSY-breaking masses

The gravitino mass is calculated as

$$m_{3/2} = \langle e^{K/2} W \rangle \simeq \frac{W_0}{\sqrt{\langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle}}. \quad (4.26)$$

The F-term of T_b is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{F^{T_b}}{T_b + \bar{T}_b} \right\rangle \simeq - \left\langle \frac{K^{T_b \bar{T}_b} D_{\bar{T}_b} \bar{W} + K^{T_b \bar{T}_s} D_{\bar{T}_s} \bar{W}}{2\tau_s \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{N}}}} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{W_0}{\sqrt{\langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle}} \simeq m_{3/2}. \quad (4.27)$$

The F-term of T_s vanishes at the leading order in the $\mathcal{O}(1/(a\langle \tau_s \rangle))$ -expansion. Thus we need to evaluate $\langle \tau_s \rangle$ including the next leading order. Then $\langle \tau_s \rangle$ in (4.22) is modified as

$$\langle \tau_s \rangle = \tau_s^{(0)} + \frac{3}{2a^2 \tau_s^{(0)}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{9} \left(2 + \frac{5C_s \tau_s^{(0)3}}{\xi} \right) + \frac{\delta}{9} \left(1 + \frac{C_s \tau_s^{(0)3}}{\xi} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.28)$$

Here we used an assumption that $\langle \epsilon \rangle, \langle \delta \rangle \ll 1$, which is valid for typical values of the parameters. Then we obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{F^{T_s}}{T_s + \bar{T}_s} \right\rangle \simeq - \left\langle \frac{K^{T_s \bar{T}_s} W_{\bar{T}_s} + K^{T_s \bar{T}_s} K_{\bar{T}_s} \bar{W}}{2\tau_s \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{N}}}} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{m_{3/2}}{a\tau_s^{(0)}} \ll \left\langle \frac{F^{T_b}}{T_b + \bar{T}_b} \right\rangle. \quad (4.29)$$

The F-term of the compensator ϕ_C is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{F^{\phi_C}}{\phi_C} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} \langle K_I F^I \rangle + m_{3/2} \simeq \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{m_{3/2}}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{4/3}} \right) \ll \left\langle \frac{F^{T_s}}{T_s + \bar{T}_s} \right\rangle, \quad (4.30)$$

where the cancellation of the leading contributions is ensured by the approximate no-scale structure of the Kähler potential [37]. The F-terms of the other chiral superfields are negligible. Therefore, the dominant source of SUSY breaking is the F-term of T_b .

Now let us consider the soft SUSY-breaking masses. Since the gauge coupling constants g_r ($r = U(1)_Y, SU(2)_L, SU(3)_c, \dots$) are determined by $\frac{1}{g_r^2} = \text{Re } f_{\text{eff}}^r$, where f_{eff}^r is given in (3.2), $C_{T_b}^r$ must be zero or negligible. Otherwise g_r become much smaller than the observed values. Thus the gaugino masses M_r are evaluated as

$$M_r = \langle F^I \partial_I \ln(\text{Re } f_{\text{eff}}^r) \rangle \simeq \left\langle \frac{F^{T_s}}{T_s + \bar{T}_s} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{m_{3/2}}{a\tau_s^{(0)}}. \quad (4.31)$$

As for the matter multiplets, there are two possibilities, i.e., they are in the bulk or localized on the boundaries. The soft scalar masses in each case are estimated as follows.

Bulk matter

Since the Kähler potential for the bulk matters are read off from (3.4) as

$$\Omega_{\text{matter}} = \sum_a 2\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{d_a} |Q_a|^2 + \cdots, \quad (4.32)$$

the SUSY-breaking scalar masses of Q_a are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{Q_a}^2 &= - \left\langle F^I \bar{F}^{\bar{J}} \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} \ln \left(2\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} Y_{d_a} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\simeq m_{3/2}^2 \left\{ 1 - (d_a \cdot \text{Re} \langle T \rangle)^2 \mathcal{Y}(d_a \cdot \text{Re} \langle T \rangle) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y}(x) \equiv \frac{1 + e^{4x} - 2e^{2x}(1 + 2x^2)}{(1 - e^{2x})^2 x^2}, \quad (4.34)$$

is monotonically decreasing function of $|x|$ and $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 1/3$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \mathcal{Y}(x) = 1$, these masses become much smaller than $m_{3/2}$ when the wave function for Q_a is strongly localized toward one of the boundaries, i.e., $|d_a \cdot \text{Re} \langle T \rangle| \gg 1$.

Brane matter

The brane matter does not couple with the moduli at tree level. Thus we need to take into account the one-loop contributions in order to estimate the soft SUSY-breaking masses for them. From (4.1) with (B.1) and (B.2), we obtain

$$\Omega_{\text{eff}} = (\Omega^{(0)} + \Omega^{(L)}) - \frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2 \hat{\mathcal{N}}} (\Omega^{(0)} + \Omega^{(L)}) + \cdots, \quad (4.35)$$

where the ellipsis denotes terms independent of the brane-localized fields or higher order terms in the $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}$ -expansion. The first term is the tree-level contribution. We have used (4.7) to obtain the second term. As an example, we consider a case that

$$\Omega^{(0)} = \Omega_0^{(0)} + h_q |q_0|^2, \quad \Omega^{(L)} = 0, \quad (4.36)$$

where $\Omega_0^{(0)}$ and h_q are constants. Then the soft mass for q_0 is computed as

$$m_q^2 = - \left\langle F^I \bar{F}^{\bar{J}} \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} \ln \left\{ h_q \left(1 - \frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2 \hat{\mathcal{N}}} \right) \right\} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2 \langle \hat{\mathcal{N}} \rangle} m_{3/2}^2, \quad (4.37)$$

which is much smaller than the soft masses for the bulk matters.

The mass scales of this model in the unit of M_{Pl} are summarized in Table II. We have assumed that $W_0 = \mathcal{O}(1)$ there.

Note that the above spectrum is basically that of the Scherk-Schwarz SUSY-breaking [38] because the dominant SUSY-breaking source is provided by the F term of the radion superfield $T_{\text{rad}} \simeq T_b$ [15, 39]. In our model, an exponentially large extra dimension is dynamically realized with the aid of the non-geometric moduli T_s .

M_{Pl}	m_{τ_s}	m_{ρ_s}	m_{KK}	$m_{3/2}$
1	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln L_{\text{phys}}}{L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln L_{\text{phys}}}{L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$
m_{Q_a}	M_r	m_{τ_b}	m_q	m_{ρ_b}
$\lesssim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}} \ln L_{\text{phys}}}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}}^2}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{phys}}^2}\right)$	$\simeq 0$

Table II: The orders of magnitude of the mass eigenvalues in the unit of M_{Pl} . The size of the extra dimension L_{phys} is exponentially large in our model.

4.2.4 Comparison with LARGE volume scenario in string theory

Finally let us compare the LARGE volume scenario in type IIB string theory [24, 25]. In this scenario, the Kähler potential for the Kähler moduli has a structure,

$$K = -2 \ln \left(V_{\text{CY}} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) + \dots, \quad (4.38)$$

where V_{CY} is the volume of 6-dimensional compact space M in the string frame, $\xi = -\frac{\chi(M)\zeta(3)}{2(2\pi)^3} = 0.48$ (χ is the Euler number). The ellipsis denotes terms dependent on the other moduli. On the other hand, the moduli Kähler potential in our model (4.14) can be rewritten as

$$K = -3 \ln \left(\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/3} + \frac{\xi}{3\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{2/3}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^2} \right). \quad (4.39)$$

In both (4.38) and (4.39), the Kähler potential has the no-scale structure at the leading order,¹⁰ and the subleading term proportional to ξ breaks it. However, the origin of ξ is different in the two cases. In (4.38), it comes from the α' -correction, that is a stringy effect. In (4.39), it is induced by the one-loop correction and thus obtained within the field theory. Besides, ξ in (4.38) is a constant while it depends on the moduli in (4.39).

The mass spectrum in Ref. [24, 25] is

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{KK}} &= \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\langle V_{\text{CY}}^{2/3} \rangle} \right), & m_{\tau_s}, m_{\rho_s} &= \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln \langle V_{\text{CY}} \rangle}{\langle V_{\text{CY}} \rangle} \right), \\ m_{3/2}, m_S, m_\phi &= \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\langle V_{\text{CY}} \rangle} \right), & m_{\tau_b} &= \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\langle V_{\text{CY}}^{3/2} \rangle} \right), & m_{\rho_b} &\simeq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

The moduli τ_b and τ_s correspond to a large and a small cycles in a ‘Swiss-cheese’ structure of the Calabi-Yau manifold. The moduli ρ_b and ρ_s are their axionic partners. The other

¹⁰ For the explicit moduli-dependence of V_{CY} , see (6) and (7) in Ref. [25].

moduli S and ϕ are the dilaton-axion and the complex structure moduli respectively. Comparing our spectrum in Table II with (4.40), we find that the nongeometric moduli τ_s and ρ_s are heavier than the KK mass scale $m_{\text{KK}} = \pi/\langle\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2}\rangle$ in contrast to (4.40). Thus the expressions of their masses in our analysis are valid only when $W_0 < \mathcal{O}(1/a\langle\tau_s\rangle)$, although our mechanism that realizes a large extra dimension still works even if $W_0 = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

5 Summary

We discussed the impacts of the non-geometric moduli on 4D effective theory of 5D SUGRA on S^1/Z_2 . Such moduli often exist when we construct models based on generic 5D SUGRA.

At tree level, additional matter quartic terms are induced in the effective Kähler potential by integrating out the non-geometric moduli, and they can significantly affect the flavor structure of the sfermions for the bulk matters, as we pointed out in Ref. [18]. In the flat spacetime, the moduli Kähler potential has the no-scale structure, and thus the potential for the moduli is not generated. The warped geometries are obtained by gauging an isometry on the hyperscalar manifold with the moduli multiplets $\mathbb{V}^{I_0}$. This corresponds to a case that the compensator multiplet is charged for $\mathbb{V}^{I_0}$ in our off-shell formulation. Notice that such warped geometries generically deviate from the familiar Randall-Sundrum spacetime in the multi moduli case because the VEVs of the non-geometric moduli also contribute to the geometry. The Randall-Sundrum geometry is just a special limit in the multi moduli case, which is realized when the isometry is gauged only by the graviphoton (or radion) multiplet.

At one-loop level, the no-scale structure in the flat spacetime is broken. Thus the moduli have a nontrivial potential, and can be stabilized. This is interpreted as the stabilization by the Casimir effect [40, 41, 42]. The one-loop Kähler potential in the multi moduli case is calculated in our previous work [21], including generic form of the norm function and the boundary-localized terms. We checked that this result is consistent with those of other related works [34, 35, 36], which were obtained in a simple case, i.e., ungauged SUGRA without the non-geometric moduli.

To illustrate the impact of the non-geometric moduli, we also construct a simple model, in which the size of the extra dimension is stabilized at an exponentially larger value than the Planck length. This dynamical realization of the large extra dimension is similar to the LARGE volume scenario in string theory. In contrast to the latter, we should note that

the correction to the no-scale structure, which is a key of this scenario, is obtained within the field theory. Since the subleading corrections are suppressed by inverse powers of the large extra dimension, the results obtained here is robust. This scenario works thanks to the existence of the non-geometric moduli. The dominant source of SUSY breaking is provided by the F term of the radion superfield so the spectrum is essentially that of the Scherk-Schwarz SUSY breaking. Detailed phenomenological analysis of this model is interesting, and we will leave it for a future publication.

In this paper, we focused on the case that the gauge groups for the moduli multiplets are Abelian, for simplicity. When the moduli and gauge supermultiplets, $\mathbb{V}^{I_o}$ and $\mathbb{V}^{I_e}$, form a non-Abelian gauge multiplet, the spontaneous breaking of the gauge symmetry can occur by the Hosotani mechanism [43] and the moduli will form gauge multiplets under the unbroken gauge group. Thus we can discuss, for example, the gauge-Higgs unification scenario at the grand unification scale [44, 45] after extending our formula (4.1) to the non-Abelian case. This issue is also left for a future work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Hiroyuki Abe and Tetsutaro Higaki for useful information and discussions. This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No.25400283 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Y.S.), and a Grant for Excellent Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan (Y.Y.).

A Matrices constructed from the norm function

The vector sector is characterized by the norm function $\mathcal{N}(X)$ defined in (2.4). The coefficients of the kinetic terms for the vector multiplets are given by [10]

$$a_{IJ} \equiv -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \left(\mathcal{N}_{IJ} - \frac{\mathcal{N}_I \mathcal{N}_J}{\mathcal{N}} \right), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\mathcal{N}_I \equiv \partial\mathcal{N}/\partial X^I$ and $\mathcal{N}_{IJ} \equiv \partial^2\mathcal{N}/\partial X^I\partial X^J$. This matrix is positive definite for physically sensible theories.

One combination of the vector multiplets is identified with the graviphoton superfield,

$$V_G \equiv \left\langle \frac{\mathcal{N}_I}{3\mathcal{N}^{2/3}} \right\rangle V^I. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

This is essentially auxiliary degree of freedom in our superfield formalism. Thus we define the following projection operator $\mathcal{P}_V$ that eliminate V_G from the n_V vector superfields [10].

$$(\mathcal{P}_V)^I{}_J(X) \equiv \delta^I{}_J - \frac{X^I \mathcal{N}_J}{3\mathcal{N}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

This satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}_I(\mathcal{P}_V)^I{}_J = (\mathcal{P}_V)^I{}_J X^J = 0, \quad \mathcal{P}_V^2 = \mathcal{P}_V. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For the norm function (3.13), for example, the matrices (A.1) and (A.3) become

$$a(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(X^1)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2(X^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P}_V(X) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{X^1}{X^2} \\ -\frac{2X^2}{X^1} & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{P}_V a^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} (X^1)^2 & -2X^1 X^2 \\ -2X^1 X^2 & 4(X^2)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

B Functions for $\Omega_{\text{eff}}^{\text{1loop}}$

The functions in (4.1) are defined in terms of the quantities in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bd}}^{(y*)}$ in (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_U(\lambda) &= 1 + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{2/3}}{\lambda^2 \Omega^{(L)} \Omega^{(0)}} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{(L)}} + \frac{1}{\Omega^{(0)}} \right) \coth \lambda, \\ \mathcal{G}_V(\lambda) &= \det \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{n_{V_e}} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{2/3}}{\lambda^2} H_V^{(L)-1} H_V^{(0)-1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda} \left(H_V^{(L)-1} + H_V^{(0)-1} \right) \coth \lambda \right\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\text{ch}}(\lambda) &= \det \left\{ 2e^{-\frac{T_R}{2}-\lambda} \sinh \omega_T + \frac{2\mathcal{N}^{2/3}}{\lambda^2} H_{\text{ch}}^{(L)-1} e^{\frac{T_R}{2}-\lambda} \sinh \omega_T H_{\text{ch}}^{(0)-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda^2} H_{\text{ch}}^{(L)-1} e^{\frac{T_R}{2}-\lambda} \left(\omega_T \cosh \omega_T - \frac{T_R}{2} \sinh \omega_T \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda^2} e^{-\frac{T_R}{2}-\lambda} \left(\omega_T \cosh \omega_T + \frac{T_R}{2} \sinh \omega_T \right) H_{\text{ch}}^{(0)-1} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \det \left(2e^{-\frac{T_R}{2}-\lambda} \sinh \omega_T \right) \right\}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_U^{(y*)}(\lambda) &\equiv 1 + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda \Omega^{(y*)}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_V^{(y*)}(\lambda) \equiv \det \left(\mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda} H_V^{(y*)-1} \right), \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{ch}}^{(0)}(\lambda) &\equiv \det \left\{ \mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda^2} \left(\omega_T + \frac{T_R}{2} \right) H_{\text{ch}}^{(0)-1} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{ch}}^{(L)}(\lambda) &\equiv \det \left\{ \mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda^2} H_{\text{ch}}^{(L)-1} e^{T_R} \left(\omega_T - \frac{T_R}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Here the matrices $H_V^{(y_*)}$, $H_{\text{ch}}^{(y_*)}$, T_R and ω_T are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(H_V^{(y_*)}\right)_{J_e}^{I_e} &\equiv a^{I_e K_e} \left(\frac{\text{Re } f_{K_e J_e}^{(y_*)}}{\mathcal{N}^{2/3}} - \frac{2\Omega_{K_e J_e}^{(y_*)}}{3\lambda^2} \right), \\ \left(H_{\text{ch}}^{(y_*)}\right)_{AB} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\Omega_{\bar{A}B}^{(y_*)} + \frac{i\mathcal{N}^{1/3}}{\lambda} W_{AB}^{(y_*)} \right), \\ T_R &\equiv \bigoplus_a (-2d_a \cdot \text{Re } T \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n_a}), \quad \omega_T \equiv \left(\lambda^2 + \frac{T_R^2}{4} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where indices $A, B = 1, \dots, n_H$ run over all the physical hypermultiplets, and the suffixes of $\Omega^{(y_*)}$, $f^{(y_*)}$ and $W^{(y_*)}$ denote the derivatives with respect to the corresponding superfields.

References

- [1] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 506 [hep-th/9510209]; Nucl. Phys. B **475** (1996) 94 [hep-th/9603142].
- [2] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 086001 [hep-th/9803235]; Nucl. Phys. B **552** (1999) 246 [hep-th/9806051].
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370 [hep-ph/9905221].
- [4] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **586** (2000) 141 [hep-ph/0003129].
- [5] A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, Phys. Lett. B **491** (2000) 172 [hep-th/0004093].
- [6] R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger and D. Nemeschansky, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 125025 [hep-th/0003117].
- [7] M. A. Luty and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 035008 [hep-th/9910202].
- [8] M. A. Luty and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 065012 [hep-th/0012158].
- [9] J. Bagger, D. Nemeschansky and R. -J. Zhang, JHEP **0108** (2001) 057 [hep-th/0012163].
- [10] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **105** (2001) 323 [hep-ph/0010288].
- [11] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (2002) 203 [hep-th/0203276].
- [12] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (2003) 1143 [hep-th/0208082].

- [13] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, JHEP **0410** (2004) 013 [hep-th/0408224].
- [14] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 105010 [hep-th/0501183]; Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 125013 [hep-th/0511208].
- [15] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, JHEP **0602** (2006) 014 [hep-th/0512326].
- [16] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 025018 [hep-th/0610234].
- [17] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, JHEP **0703** (2007) 106 [hep-th/0702097]; Nucl. Phys. B **796** (2008) 224 [arXiv:0709.3791 [hep-th]].
- [18] H. Abe and Y. Sakamura, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 045005 [arXiv:0807.3725 [hep-th]].
- [19] H. Abe, H. Otsuka, Y. Sakamura and Y. Yamada, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2018 [arXiv:1111.3721 [hep-ph]].
- [20] Y. Sakamura, JHEP **1207** (2012) 183 [arXiv:1204.6603 [hep-th]].
- [21] Y. Sakamura, Nucl. Phys. B **873** (2013) 165 [Erratum-ibid. B **873** (2013) 728] [arXiv:1302.7244 [hep-th]].
- [22] M. Zucker, Nucl. Phys. B **570** (2000) 267 [hep-th/9907082]; JHEP **0008** (2000) 016 [hep-th/9909144].
- [23] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 263 [hep-ph/9803315].
- [24] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, JHEP **0503** (2005) 007 [hep-th/0502058].
- [25] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, JHEP **0508** (2005) 007 [hep-th/0505076].
- [26] M. Gunaydin and M. Zagermann, Nucl. Phys. B **572** (2000) 131 [hep-th/9912027].
- [27] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, JHEP **0010** (2000) 033 [hep-th/0007044].
- [28] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. Gregoire and J. G. Wacker, JHEP **0203** (2002) 055 [hep-th/0101233].

- [29] F. Paccetti Correia, M. G. Schmidt and Z. Tavartkiladze, Nucl. Phys. B **751** (2006) 222 [hep-th/0602173].
- [30] A. Hebecker, J. March-Russell and R. Ziegler, JHEP **0908** (2009) 064 [arXiv:0801.4101 [hep-ph]].
- [31] Y. Sakamura, JHEP **1112** (2011) 008 [arXiv:1107.4247 [hep-th]].
- [32] H. Abe, T. Higaki, T. Kobayashi and Y. Omura, JHEP **0804** (2008) 072 [arXiv:0801.0998 [hep-th]].
- [33] E. Dudas and G. von Gersdorff, JHEP **1210** (2012) 100 [arXiv:1207.0815 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Falkowski, JHEP **0505** (2005) 073 [hep-th/0502072].
- [35] R. Rattazzi, C. A. Scrucca and A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. B **674** (2003) 171 [hep-th/0305184].
- [36] T. Gregoire, R. Rattazzi, C. A. Scrucca, A. Strumia and E. Trincherini, Nucl. Phys. B **720** (2005) 3 [hep-th/0411216].
- [37] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. F. Giudice and A. Romanino, Nucl. Phys. B **709** (2005) 3 [hep-ph/0409232].
- [38] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. B **82** (1979) 60.
- [39] G. von Gersdorff and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 064016 [hep-th/0110132]; G. von Gersdorff, M. Quiros and A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B **634** (2002) 90 [hep-th/0204041]; A. Delgado, G. von Gersdorff and M. Quiros, JHEP **0212** (2002) 002 [hep-th/0210181].
- [40] M. Fabinger and P. Horava, Nucl. Phys. B **580** (2000) 243 [hep-th/0002073].
- [41] J. Garriga, O. Pujolas and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **605** (2001) 192 [hep-th/0004109].
- [42] D. J. Toms, Phys. Lett. B **484** (2000) 149.
- [43] Y. Hosotani, Phys. Lett. B **126** (1983) 309.
- [44] A. Hebecker and M. Ratz, Nucl. Phys. B **670** (2003) 3 [hep-ph/0306049].
- [45] N. Haba and Y. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 095001 [Erratum-ibid. D **69** (2004) 059902] [hep-ph/0212166].