arXiv:1307.7855v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 16 Sep 2013

# On possible origins of power-law distributions

Grzegorz Wilk* and Zbigniew Włodarczyk†

*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland[1]
†Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, Świętokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland[2]

**Abstract.** Selected examples of possible origins of power-law distributions are presented.

**Keywords:** Nonextensive statistics, Superstatistics, power laws, multiparticle production processes
**PACS:** 02.50.-r; 05.40.-a; 24.60.-k; 25.75.Dw; 87.75.-k

## INTRODUCTION

Power-law distributions are ubiquitous in all branches of science and their possible origins are accordingly numerous. Here we present and shortly discuss some selected examples chosen from our experience with high energy multiparticle production processes [1, 2, 3]. Such processes are usually described using some kind of thermodynamical approach with Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) statistics (or based on Shannon entropy if treated from the information theory point of view). That was because all important measured distributions apparently seemed to follow exponential laws, $f(x) \propto \exp(-x/x_0)$. However, it was realized that, in fact, almost all of them are in fact developing power-like tails for large $x$, $f(x) \propto x^{-\gamma}$, remaining exponential at small $x$. It was then natural to propose a Tsallis distribution,

$$f_q(x) \propto \left[1-(1-q)\frac{x}{x_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = \exp_q\left(-\frac{x}{x_0}\right), \tag{1}$$

which possess both these features, as a most natural candidate for the description of such processes (notice that for $q \to 1$ $\exp_q(-x/x_0)$ becomes the usual $\exp(-x/x_0)$). This is also supported by the argument that formula (1) follows from the replacement of BG statistics by Tsallis statistics (based on Tsallis entropy).

On the other hand, formula (1) also describes data which are not likely to follow a thermal approach but rather come from some kind of hard collisions (described by, for example, quantum chromodynamics, QCD) [4]. In such cases justification of Eq. (1) must be different (cf., [4]). Therefore the search for other than thermodynamical origins of Tsallis distribution power-laws is very desirable. Among many possibilities (cf. [1] for more details and references) the most interesting from our point of view is the so called *superstatistics*, *stochastic network approach*, which we shall mention shortly, and connection with *multiplicative noise* which will be discussed in more detail below. We end with a short demonstration that essentially all distributions of interest, including Tsallis, can be derived from information theory based on Shannon entropy.

## EXAMPLES OF MECHANISMS LEADING TO TSALLIS DISTRIBUTION

**Superstatistics** [5]. It is based on the observation that fluctuations of the scale parameter $\tilde{x}_0$ in exponential distribution, for example given by a gamma function [6, 7],

$$g(\tilde{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)}\frac{x_0}{q-1}\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\frac{x_0}{\tilde{x}_0}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{q-1}\frac{x_0}{\tilde{x}_0}\right), \tag{2}$$

[1] e-mail: wilk@fuw.edu.pl
[2] e-mail: zbigniew.wlodarczyk@ujk.edu.pl

convert $\exp(-x/x_0)$ into $\exp_q(-x/x_0)$ with parameter $q = 1 + Var(\tilde{x}_0)/\langle\tilde{x}_0\rangle^2 > 1$ characterizing the strength of fluctuations.

**Stochastic network approach** [8]. This can be used in situations when the scale parameter depends on the variable under consideration. It is the case when in the system under consideration one encounters correlations of the type called *preferential attachment and "rich-get-richer" phenomenon* in networks, for example,when $x_0 \to x_0'(x) = x_0 + (q-1)x$. In this case the probability distribution function, $f(x)$, is given by equation the solution of which is Tsallis distribution:

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{x_0'(x)} f(x) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad f(x) = \frac{2-q}{x_0}\left[1-(1-q)\frac{x}{x_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}. \tag{3}$$

**Tsallis distribution from multiplicative noise** [8, 2]. Following ideas of [7], consider the Langevin equation

$$\frac{dp}{dt} + \gamma(t)p = \xi(t) \tag{4}$$

where both $\gamma(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ denote stochastic processes, traditional multiplicative noise and additive noise, respectively. The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation in this case is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial(K_1 f)}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial^2(K_2 f)}{\partial p^2} \tag{5}$$

with coefficients

$$K_1 = E(\xi) - E(\gamma)p \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_2 = Var(\xi) - 2Cov(\xi,\gamma)p + Var(\gamma)p^2, \tag{6}$$

which stationary solution satisfies the equality

$$\frac{d(K_2 f)}{dp} = K_1 f. \tag{7}$$

As shown in [7, 9] in the case of $Cov(\xi,\gamma) = 0$ and $E(\xi) = 0$ (i.e., for, respectively, no correlation between noises and no drift term due to the additive noise) the solution of Eq. (7) is given by the non-normalized Tsallis distribution for the variable $p^2$:

$$f(p) = \left[1 + (q-1)\frac{p^2}{T}\right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}} \qquad \text{with} \quad T = \frac{2Var(\xi)}{E(\gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad q-1 = \frac{2Var(\gamma)}{E(\gamma)} \quad \text{or} \quad T = (q-1)\frac{Var(\xi)}{Var(\gamma)}. \tag{8}$$

However, we are interested in the Tsallis distribution (1) with only a single power $p$, in particular in whether such a distribution also satisfies the stationary equality (7). Write for simplicity (1) in the following form:

$$f(p) = \left[1 + \frac{p}{nT}\right]^{-n}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad n = \frac{1}{q-1}. \tag{9}$$

We shall demonstrate now that Eq. (9) satisfies (7) but under conditions which must be satisfied by $T$ and $q$. Substituting (9) into the stationary equality (7), one gets that $K_1$ and $K_2$ have to be related in the following way:

$$K_2(p) = -\frac{nT+p}{n}\left[K_1(p) - \frac{dK_1(p)}{dp}\right]. \tag{10}$$

Using definitions of $K_{1,2}$ given in Eq. (6) one finds that Eq. (9) holds, provided

$$n = 2 + \frac{E(\gamma)}{Var(\gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\frac{E(\xi)}{2Var(\gamma)} - \frac{1}{n}\frac{Cov(\xi,\gamma)}{Var(\gamma)} = \frac{n-2}{n(n-1)}\frac{E(\xi)}{2E(\gamma)} - \frac{n-2}{n}\frac{Cov(\xi,\gamma)}{E(\gamma)}. \tag{11}$$

In terms of the nonextensivity parameter $q$, one has

$$T = T(q) = -\frac{Cov(\xi,\gamma)}{E(\gamma)} + \left[\frac{E(\xi)}{2E(\gamma)} + \frac{Cov(\xi,\gamma)}{E(\gamma)}\right](q-1) - \frac{E(\xi)}{2E(\gamma)}(q-1)^2 = (2-q)\left[T_0 + (q-1)T_1\right] \tag{12}$$





**FIGURE 1.** (Color online) Left panel: Energy ($\sqrt{s}$) dependence of the exponent $n = 1/(q-1)$ (cf., Eq. (11))deduced from NA49 [10] and CMS [11] experiments and from compilation [12] (Wibig). Two possible fits are shown. Right panel: $T$ as function of $(q-1)$ (cf., Eq. (12)) deduced from Pb+Pb collisions at energies 6.3, 7.6, 8.8, 12.3, 17.3 GeV citeNA49, from Au+Au and D+Au collisions at energy 200 GeV [13] and from p+p collisions at energies 200 GeV [13] and 900 and 7000 GeV [11, 14]. The nuclear results can be fitted either by linear form, $T(q) = 0.22 - 1.25(q-1)$ (blue), or by quadratic, $T(q) = 0.17 - 7.3(q-1)^2$ (red). The best fit to p+p results is linear: $T(q) = 0.0065 + 0.93(q-1)$.

where

$$T_0 = -\frac{Cov(\xi,\gamma)}{E(\gamma)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_1 = \frac{E(\xi)}{2E(\gamma)}. \tag{13}$$

It should be stressed that Eq. (12) provides a new justification to the idea of *effective temperature*,

$$T = T_0 - (q-1)T_0 \quad (linear) \qquad \text{or} \qquad T = T_0 - (q-1)^2 T_1 \quad (quadratic), \tag{14}$$

introduced by us in [1]. Notice that if $E(\xi) = 0$ (no drift due to the additive noise)the positivity of temperature $T$ in Eq. (11) requires that $Cov(\xi,\gamma) < 0$, i.e., that one has an anticorrelation between noises. For $E(\xi) \neq 0$ one can have $T > 0$ even for $Cov(\xi,\gamma) = 0$. However, in order to satisfy the experimentally observed linear dependence of $T$ on $(q-1)$ [1, 2, 3] one needs $Cov(\xi,\gamma) < 0$ and $E(\xi) = 0$. For a quadratic dependence one can have $E(\xi) \neq 0$. The examples of energy dependence of $n = 1/(q-1)$ deduced from the available experimental data and $T$ as a function of $q-1$ for different energies are presented in Fig. 1. Notice the opposite behavior of $T(q)$ for A+A and p+p collisions. In the notation of Eq. (12) experimental data show that in the presented range of $q$ for p+p collisions $E(\xi) > 2|Cov(\xi,\gamma)|$ and also that for A+A collisions $E(\xi) << 2|Cov(\xi,\gamma)|$ (and therefore it can become negative). Actually, already in [15] where we have analyzed $T(q) = T_{eff}$ for the first time, the point for p+p for 200 GeV was not following the A+A results. In Fig. 1 the new points from CMS p+p data at higher energies were added [4]. It turned out that $T(q)$ for p+p collisions are just opposite to that for A+A data [3].

**Tsallis distribution from Shannon entropy**. In information theory applications one uses Shannon entropy,

$$S = -\int dx\, f(x) \ln[f(x)], \tag{15}$$

[3] To explain this difference one has to remind that behind $T_{eff} = T(q)$ used in [15] was the idea of energy transfer between the interaction region and its surroundings. In the case of A+A collisions energy can transferred to the spectator nucleons (not participating in the collision process). Spectators, which have small transverse energy, effectively "cool" the interacting system. For the p+p collisions there is no such possibility. The region of interaction is immersed in quark-gluon environment, which has transverse energy comparable with that of the colliding system and because of this they additionally "heat" it. As a result, the corresponding parameter describing this energy transfer is negative for A+A collisions and positive for p+p collisions, resulting in behavior seen in Fig. 1. However, before reaching any final conclusions, one has to stress the difficulties in evaluation of parameters $T$ and $q$ from experimental data. Among other things both quantities depend on which phase factors were taken into account and whether $m_T - m$ scaling was assumed or not ($T$ is more sensitive to data at low transverse momenta, while $q$ to higher transverse momenta points). Therefore more detailed analysis are needed and are in progress.

as a measure of information from which one can deduce different probability distributions imposing different conditions. For example, for condition $\langle x\rangle = const$ one gets the usual exponential distribution. Adding to it condition $\langle x^2\rangle = const$ results in a Gauss distribution whereas for $\langle \ln(x)\rangle = const$ and $\langle \ln\left(1+x^2\right)\rangle = const$ one gets, respectively, gamma and Cauchy distributions. In general, for some function of $x$, $h(x)$, the maximum entropy density for $f(x)$ satisfying the constraint $\int f(x)h(x)dx = const$ is of the form

$$f(x) = \exp\left[\lambda_0 + \lambda h(x)\right]. \tag{16}$$

The constants $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda$ are fixed by the requirement of normalization for $f(x)$ and by the constraint. Now, the condition

$$\langle z\rangle = z_0 = \frac{q-1}{2-q} \quad \text{where} \quad z = \ln\left[1-(1-q)\frac{x}{x_0}\right] \tag{17}$$

results in

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z_0}\exp\left(-\frac{z}{z_0}\right) = \frac{1}{(1+z_0)x_0}\left[1+\frac{z_0}{1+z_0}\frac{x}{x_0}\right]^{\frac{1+z_0}{z_0}} = \frac{2-q}{x_0}\left[1-(1-q)\frac{x}{x_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, \tag{18}$$

i.e., in a Tsallis distribution. For more detailed presentation cf. [16].

## CONCLUSIONS

We close with the following remark. It turns out that the condition imposed by Eq. (17) is natural for processes described by Eq. (4), i.e., for multiplicative noise. Notice the connection between the kind of noise in this process and the condition imposed in the MaxEnt approach. For processes described by additive noise, $dx/dt = \xi(t)$, one has exponential distributions. The natural condition for them is that imposed on the arithmetic mean, $\langle x\rangle = c + E(\xi)\tau$. For the multiplicative noise, $dx/dt = x\gamma(t)$, one has a power law distribution for which the natural condition is $\langle \ln x\rangle = c + E(\gamma)\tau$, i.e., the geometrical mean (for more details, cf. [17]).

From the examples presented here it should be realized that the widely discussed origin of Tsallis distribution as emerging from Tsallis entropy, is by no means the only possibility. It also arises from many nonthermal sources without really resorting to Tsallis entropy.

## REFERENCES


1. G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A40**, 299 (2009).
2. G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A48**, 162 (2012).
3. G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Centr. Eur. J. Phys.* **10**, 568 (2012).
4. C. Y. Wong and G. Wilk, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B43**, 2047 (2012); *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, 114007 (2013).
5. C. Beck and E.G.D. Cohen, *Physica* **A322**, 267 (2003); F. Sattin, *Eur. Phys. J.* **B49**, 219 (2006).
6. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2770 (2000) and *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **13**, 581 (2001).
7. T.S. Biró, A. Jakovác, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **9**4, 132302 (2005).
8. G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B35**, 871 (2004) and 2141 (2004); C. Tsallis, *Eur. Phys. J.* **ST161**, 175 (2008); D.J.B. Soares, C. Tsallis, A.M. Mariz and L.R. da Silva, *Europhys. Lett.* **70**, 70 (2005).
9. C. Anteneodo and C. Tsallis, *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 5194 (2003).
10. C. Alt et al., *Phys. Rev.* **C77**, 034906 (2008) and *Phys. Rev.* **C77**, 024903 (2008); S. V. Afanasiev et al., *Phys. Rev.* **C66**, 054902 (2002).
11. V. Khachatryan et al. [CMS Collaboration], *J. High Energy Phys.* **02**, 041 (2010) and *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 022002 (2010); S. Chatrchyan et al. [CMS Collaboration], *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 086 (2011).
12. T. Wibig, *J. Phys.* **G37**, 115009 (2010).
13. B. De, S. Bhattacharyya, G. Sau and S.K. Biswas, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **E16**, 1687 (2007).
14. G. Aad et al. [ATLAS Collaboration], *New J. Phys.* **13**, 053033 (2011).
15. G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Phys. Rev.* **C79**, 054903 (2009).
16. E. Rufeil Fiori and A. Plastino, *Physica* **A392**, 1742 (2013).
17. A.Rostovtsev, *On a geometric mean and power-law statistical distributions*, arXiv:cond-mat/0507414 [cond-mat.stat-mech].